The effect of calcium on gastric acid and gastrin secretion in antrectomized subjects
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Summary

Serum gastrin concentrations and gastric acid secretion were measured in nine antrectomized subjects during infusion of saline and calcium gluconate. The basal gastrin level was of the same magnitude as in normal individuals and unoperated duodenal ulcer patients. Calcium infusion only induced gastrin release in one patient and did not induce acid secretion in any of the patients.

The intravenous infusion of calcium stimulates gastric acid secretion in normal individuals (Reeder, Jackson, Ban, Clendinnen, Davidson, and Thompson, 1970) and in duodenal ulcer patients (Barreras and Donaldson, 1967). The mechanism by which calcium induces gastric secretion is unknown. Studies in patients with primary hyperparathyroidism have indicated that a release of gastrin may be the explanation (Trudeau and McGuigan, 1969; Christiansen and Aagaard, 1972; Dent, James, Wang, Deftos, Talamo, and Fischer, 1972), while a potentiation between gastrin and calcium probably does not occur in man (Christiansen and Hendel, 1972).

Studies by Reeder et al (1970) support the view that calcium exerts its effect on gastric acid secretion through the release of gastrin. Rosato, Mullen, Lancialult, Rosato, and Brooks (1973), who in conscious monkeys also found reproducible elevations in serum gastrin concentrations after calcium infusion, could not, however, demonstrate any correlation between serum gastrin concentration and gastric acid secretion.

Further information on any relationship between calcium concentration and gastrin stimulation of acid secretion could be found by studying the effect of an infusion of calcium salts on the gastric acid secretion in patients in whom the antral source of gastrin had been removed.

Material and Methods

Nine patients were studied, eight men and one woman, ages ranging from 22 to 60 years, who had had an antrectomy for duodenal or prepyloric ulcer at least three months before the examination was carried out. The patients all gave informed consent. Five of the patients had a gastro-duodenostomy (Billroth I) and four a gastro-jejunostomy (Billroth II). The removed specimen measured at least 10 cm along the lesser curvature.

Four healthy male volunteers aged 22-25 acted as controls.

The patients and control subjects were examined after an overnight fast. A Levin tube was placed in the stomach under fluoroscopic control. Intermittent mechanical suction producing a subatmospheric pressure of 150 mm of mercury was used in the collection of the secretion. Through a thin polyvinyl tube welded to the Levin tube a marker substance was instilled into the stomach (²⁶Cr-EDTA, flow 30 ml/hr) in order to determine recovery. The opening of the polyvinyl tube was 10 cm proximal to the most proximal opening of the Levin tube.

Saline was administered by constant intravenous infusion (flow 30 ml/hr) for a control period of two hours.

Calcium gluconate was infused over the next two hours with a flow of 30 ml/hr, delivering 4 mg Ca⁺⁺/kg-hr. The infusions were performed with a constant infusion pump.

The volume of the secretion collected was measured for each 15-minute period, and the concentration of H⁺ and ²⁶Cr-EDTA determined. The concentration of H⁺ was determined by titration with an automatic titrator (Radiometer, Copenhagen) to pH 7.0. The concentration of ²⁶Cr-EDTA was determined in a well counter. The volume of the secretion collected and the output of H⁺ were corrected as to the actual recovery by way of the marker substance instilled.
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Repeated estimations of osmolarity by freezing point reduction were taken as an index of the degree of duodenal reflux.

Blood samples were taken from an indwelling catheter every 15 minutes. All samples were centrifuged immediately and serum for gastrin measurement was stored at −20°C. The concentrations of gastrin in serum were measured radioimmunologically (Stadil and Rehfeld, 1971). The antiserum employed, 2604-8, was raised in rabbits against synthetic human gastrin (2-17) covalently coupled to bovine serum albumin (Rehfeld, Stadil, and Rubin, 1972). The antiserum binds four gastrin components of different molecular size, of which the largest, gastrin component I, appears unpaired (Rehfeld, Stadil, and Vikelsøe, 1974), while the remaining three—gastrin -33, -17, and -13—each circulates in sulphated and nonsulphated pairs (for review see Rehfeld, 1973). Monoiodinated synthetic human gastrin (1-17) was used as tracer. The reliability parameters of the assay have been described in detail elsewhere (Stadil and Rehfeld, 1973). Blood samples for calcium determination were taken every 30 minutes. Serum calcium concentration was determined by atomic absorption spectrophotometry.

For the statistical evaluation of the results Student's t test for paired and unpaired data was applied (Snedecor and Cochran, 1967).

Results

Figure 1 shows the volume and acid secretion as well as serum calcium and serum gastrin concentration during the intravenous infusion of saline and calcium gluconate in the nine antrectomized subjects.

The serum calcium concentration rose significantly during calcium infusion (serum calcium concentration during saline infusion (mean ± SEM): 9.8 ± 0.1 mg/100 ml, and during calcium infusion: 12.0 ± 0.4 mg/100 ml, P < 0.001). The mean basal serum
gastrin concentration was 48 ± 4 pg equiv/ml, and did not change significantly during saline infusion (49 ± 4 pg equiv/ml, \( p \sim 0.50 \)). During calcium infusion the mean serum gastrin concentration rose to 55 ± 6 pg equiv/ml (\( p > 0.20 \)) but analysing the data of the individual subjects shows that this rise could be ascribed to a rise in a single patient (fig 2).

The volume of secretion was unchanged (during saline infusion: 35 ± 2 ml/15 min, and during calcium infusion 34 ± 4 ml/15 min, \( p > 0.40 \)).

Acidity decreased slightly during calcium infusion (during saline infusion: 13 ± 3 mequiv H⁺/l, and during calcium infusion 1 ± 3 mequiv H⁺/l, \( p > 0.10 \)).

Acid output also decreased slightly during saline infusion (mean ± SEM: 0.5 ± 0.2 m-equiv/15 min) and during calcium infusion: 0.4 ± 0.2 m-equiv H⁺/15 min (\( p > 0.10 \)).

The secretory responses during saline and calcium infusion were not influenced by duodenal reflux as no significant differences in the osmolarity of the gastric juice were found (see table).

The patient who exhibited a rise in serum gastrin concentration during calcium infusion showed the same pattern of volume and acid secretion as shown in figure 2. Mean serum gastrin concentration in the control subjects was 17 ± 2 pg equiv/ml during saline infusion and 29 ± 3 pg equiv/ml during calcium infusion (\( p < 0.05 \)), ie, a mean percentage increase of 71 (range 48 to 88%). Acid output rose from 0.8 ± 0.1 m-equiv/15 min during saline infusion to 1.2 ± 0.1 m-equiv/15 min during calcium infusion (50%; \( p < 0.05 \)).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient No.</th>
<th>Saline Infusion</th>
<th>Calcium Infusion</th>
<th>( p )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SEM</td>
<td>Mean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>221</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>226</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>319</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VI</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>221</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VII</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIII</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>268</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IX</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>258</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table: Osmolarity (mosm/kg) of gastric aspirates during infusion of saline (0-120 min) and during infusion of calcium (120-240 min)

Discussion

The serum gastrin concentrations during infusion of saline in the antrectomized subjects was of approximately the same magnitude as reported earlier with the same radioimmunoassay technique in fasting normal subjects and unoperated duodenal ulcer patients (Stadil and Rehfeld, 1973). The low mean fasting gastrin concentration in the four controls in the present study is caused by very low values in one of the subjects. Similar findings have recently been reported by Stern and Walsh (1973), who in antrectomized patients with a gastro-duodenostomy found fasting and postprandial serum gastrin concentrations similar to those found in nonulcer and unoperated duodenal ulcer subjects. In antrectomized patients with a gastrojejunostomy the mean fasting and postprandial serum gastrin values were lower, but overlapping between the two groups occurred. In the present study the serum gastrin concentration in the four patients with a Billroth II anastomosis did not differ significantly from the group mean during saline infusion. The main source of this non-antral gastrin is probably the duodenum which in man is known to contain large amounts of immunoreactive gastrin.

Calcium infusion in subjects with an intact stomach results in a significant increase in serum gastrin concentration. In normal subjects Reeder et al (1970) found a 200% increase in serum gastrin concentration after calcium infusion and in duodenal ulcer patients a 135% increase. In patients with primary hyperparathyroidism Dent et al (1972) found an increase in serum gastrin concentration of 100 to 200% when serum calcium concentration rose 40-45%, which compares with the increase in serum calcium concentration observed in the present study during the last hour of calcium infusion. In this study, all control subjects also showed an increase in serum gastrin concentration during calcium infusion, although to a lesser degree, than mentioned above. Of the nine antrectomized subjects, however, only one exhibited an increase in serum gastrin concentration after calcium infusion. The most likely explanation for this difference seems to be either that duodenal gastrin, unlike antral gastrin, is not released by calcium infusion or that the release of duodenal gastrin is less sensitive to calcium. The fact that one patient did show an increase in serum gastrin concentration after calcium infusion could be explained by retained antral tissue.

While calcium infusion in subjects with an intact stomach has resulted in an increase in acid output to 27 to 46% of the maximal Histalog-stimulated acid output (Barreras and Donaldson, 1967; Reeder et al, 1970), it did not in this study of antrectomized subjects result in any increase in either volume secretion, acidity, or acid output. This is in contrast to studies of Basso and Passaro (1970), who studied five patients who had had a truncal vagotomy and a
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