Effect of paracetamol (acetaminophen) on gastric ionic fluxes and potential difference in man
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SUMMARY Paracetamol has replaced aspirin as the analgesic of choice in many situations. The major reason is the damaging effect of aspirin on gastric mucosa. Alterations in gastric ionic fluxes and potential difference provide measures of aspirin-induced structural damage. We studied the effect of large doses of paracetamol (acetaminophen 2.0 g) on gastric ionic fluxes in man. In addition, the effect of 2.0 g paracetamol on gastric potential difference was compared with that of 600 mg aspirin. In contrast with salicylates, paracetamol caused no significant alteration in movement of H+ and Na+ ions over control periods. Aspirin causes a significant fall in transmucosal potential difference (PD) across gastric mucosa of 15 mv, while paracetamol caused no significant change. Paracetamol in a dose four times that recommended does not alter gastric ionic fluxes or potential difference. These studies support choice of paracetamol as analgesic over aspirin where damage to gastric mucosa may be critical.

Use of aspirin as an analgesic is restricted by its damaging effect on gastric mucosa. Because of this, paracetamol (acetaminophen (USA); trade names: Panadol (Winthrop), Tylenol® (McNeil)) has replaced aspirin as analgesic of choice in many clinical situations. Most studies comparing the effect of aspirin and paracetamol on the human stomach have been indirect—for example, measurement of gastrointestinal bleeding (Goulston and Skyring, 1964). Vickers in 1967 found a 17% incidence of visible gastroscopic lesions after aspirin compared with 0% after paracetamol. This study, however, seriously underestimated the incidence of aspirin-induced damage as measured by other techniques (Goulston and Skyring, 1964) including microscopic examination of gastric biopsies (Ivey et al., 1975a), which indicated damage in 100% of subjects.

Aspirin has been well documented to damage gastric mucosa in animals and man by altering the gastric mucosal barrier to hydrogen ion movement (Davenport, 1965; Ivey et al., 1972a; Ivey and Gray, 1972b; Ivey et al., 1975b). Aspirin has also been shown to significantly reduce potential difference across gastric mucosa in man (Geall et al., 1970; Murray et al., 1974; Ivey et al., 1975a, b, c). No studies have been published in man directly measuring hydrogen ion movement and/or changes in potential difference after paracetamol.

We studied the direct effect of large ‘analgesic’ doses of paracetamol on human gastric mucosa as measured by changes in the gastric mucosal barrier to H+ and Na+ movement and transmucosal potential difference (PD). The effects on PD were compared with those of aspirin. In separate studies we have shown that changes in potential difference as an index of function of the gastric mucosal barrier correlate with gastric mucosal structural damage induced by aspirin in man (Ivey et al., 1975a).

Methods

Subjects

Fifteen studies were carried out on five healthy volunteers aged 18-25 years; each was studied on three different occasions. The first study consisted of two parts, each carried out on a separate day.
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PD across gastric mucosa was measured after intragastric administration of (1) paracetamol, and (2) aspirin in saline. In the second study an acid test solution was instilled and net movement of H⁺ and Na⁺ ions measured and compared with effects on ionic flux of a solution with paracetamol. Potential difference was monitored throughout this study, also.

**TEST SOLUTION**

**Study I Measurement of PD with saline solutions**
The control solution consisted of 100 ml isotonic NaCl (154 mmol/l). The test solution consisted of either (1) 2.0 g paracetamol or (2) 600 mg (two 0.3 g tablets) aspirin suspended in 100 ml isotonic NaCl.

**Study II Measurement of ionic fluxes**
The control solution consisted of 200 ml 160 mEq/l HCl, mean osmolality 307 mOsm/kg, and radioactive chromium chloride (51Cr, 25 μCi/l) as non-absorbable indicator. The test solution contained in addition 2.0 g (four 0.5 g tablets) paracetamol ground into a suspension in 200 ml of the acid control solution. A 200 ml wash solution contained 160 mEq/l HCl only.

**EXPERIMENTAL TECHNIQUE, LABORATORY MEASUREMENTS, AND CALCULATIONS**

**Study I Measurement of PD**
Gastric PD was measured by established methods (Andersson and Grossman, 1965; Ivey et al., 1975b). Reference electrode was a polyethylene tube filled with saturated KCl solution in 3% agar, gas sterilised and placed in a peripheral vein through a 14 gauge needle. A similar electrode was attached to a nasogastric tube with the tips of the two tubes adjacent. It was placed under fluoroscopy in the gastric fundus near the greater curvature as previously described (Ivey and DenBesten, 1971). The position of the tip was monitored fluoroscopically at frequent intervals throughout the study. PD was recorded continuously throughout all studies with a Radiometer voltmeter connected to a Riken-Denshi strip-chart recorder. After removal of residual fasting gastric contents 100 ml isotonic saline was instilled intragastrically and baseline PD recorded for 30 minutes. The test solution containing either paracetamol or aspirin was then instilled. Thirty minutes later the remaining gastric contents were aspirated. The residual volumes were small for both paracetamol and aspirin, most of the instilled solution having emptied through the pylorus. In separate studies where the gastric contents were not aspirated PD recordings were identical with those when aspiration was performed. Next the control saline solution was instilled and the PD recorded after another 30 minutes. Test period and saline periods were then each repeated in that order while PD was recorded continuously. Each study, therefore, consisted of either two paracetamol or two aspirin test periods and three control periods. Each of the five subjects was studied with the paracetamol and aspirin solutions on separate days several weeks apart and randomly allocated. Two subjects had the paracetamol study first and three the aspirin study first.

**Study II Ionic fluxes**
Our methodology has been described in detail elsewhere (Ivey and Clifton, 1971a; Ivey et al., 1972a; Ivey and Clifton, 1974). Because of our previous finding (Ivey and Schedl, 1970a) of adsorption of indicator to gastric mucosa during the first 15-minute period of exposure to test solution, the stomach was first exposed to 200 ml control acid test solution for 15 minutes. Gastric contents were then aspirated and discarded. Each subject was then studied for four 15-minute periods: the first with control, and the remaining three with paracetamol test solution. In brief, 200 ml test solution was instilled into the stomach at the beginning of each study period. This was mixed rapidly with residual gastric contents and sampled. Exactly 15 minutes later gastric contents were aspirated as fully as possible and the residual volume recovered by rinsing with wash solution. Net ion flux = amount of ion aspirated + amount of ion emptied − amount of ion initially present (Ivey and Clifton, 1974). A positive flux indicates a net addition of ions to the lumen. A negative flux indicates a net loss of an ion from the lumen. All samples were tested for bilirubin by Ictotest tablets and those more than a trace positive were discarded. PD was monitored as in study I continuously through the control and paracetamol test periods.

**STATISTICS**
Student's t test was used to analyse paired and unpaired observations. A p value < 0.05 was regarded as significant.

**Results**

**Study I Potential difference**
Mean gastric mucosal PD during the control saline instillation was about — 45 mV (Fig. 1), mucosa negative with respect to serosa. The slight fall in PD after paracetamol instillation was not significant. Similar small falls in PD occurred with repeated instillation of saline solution and were attributed to the mechanical effect of injecting the solution with a syringe into the stomach through the nasogastric tube to which the stomach electrode is attached. Other workers have shown
small insignificant falls in PD after drug instillation in man (Murray et al., 1974).

Aspirin caused a significant fall in PD of 15 mV (Fig. 1). Fall in PD was rapid, and significantly different from control at three minutes (p < 0.05), reaching a maximum effect 15 minutes after instillation (p < 0.001). PD did not quite return to baseline (p < 0.05) at the end of the 30-minute aspirin instillation period, but recovered during the subsequent 30-minute control saline period. Reinstillation of aspirin followed by saline produced an identical fall and recovery of PD. The fall in PD after aspirin was significantly greater than that after paracetamol at all points from 12-27 minutes after drug instillation (p < 0.01; time 27-42 minutes, Fig. 1).

Study II  Ion fluxes and volumes  Net fluxes of H⁺ and Na⁺ ions and volumes secreted and emptied were not significantly different between control and paracetamol periods (Table). Changes in electrolyte concentrations were also not significantly different between control and paracetamol periods. Mean ± SE values for changes in H⁺ were −17.1 ± 4.4 mEq/l in the control period and −15.7 ± 1.5 mEq/l during the paracetamol periods; corresponding mean ± SE values for Na⁺ were 6.8 ± 1.6 mEq/l in the control period and 6.2 ± 0.8 mEq/l during the paracetamol periods.

**Potential difference during acid instillation periods**

PD remained constant throughout control and paracetamol in acid instillation periods (Fig. 2).

**Discussion**

Apart from aspirin, paracetamol is the analgesic most widely used by the general public. Its considerable increase in sales is due largely to its reputation for being free from gastric side-effects. This reputation has been based on indirect assessment of gastric bleeding by measurement of stool blood loss (Goulston and Skyring, 1964) and also on gastroscopic studies which show no macroscopically visible small ulcers and inflammation compared with aspirin (Vickers, 1967).

Both these techniques tend to underestimate the incidence of drug-induced mucosal damage. Studies of blood loss in stools show an overall incidence of increased blood loss in only 50-70% of subjects given large doses of aspirin, 1 g three times daily, for three days (Matsumoto and Grossman, 1959; Grossman et al., 1961; Goulston and Skyring, 1964). Vickers' (1967) direct gastroscopic study using a routine 650 mg dose of aspirin found only a 17% incidence of macroscopically visible lesions. Even when this dose was given with a relatively large dose of acid (10 mEq) by Thorsen et al. in 1968, only a 50% incidence of abnormalities by gastrocamera examination could be found.
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We have reported, however, a 100% incidence of microscopic abnormalities after a single dose of aspirin (Ivey et al., 1975a). Approximately 10 minutes after aspirin instillation, 25% of surface epithelial cells examined show structural damage. These changes correlated well with alterations in potential difference measured at the time biopsies were taken (Ivey et al., 1975a).

In the present study significant changes in PD were produced by 600 mg aspirin. Paracetamol in a dose four times the recommended dose had no such effect. Since aspirin-induced changes in PD are closely correlated with structural and ultrastructural changes in gastric mucosa (Ivey et al., 1975a), the absence of a significant fall in PD after paracetamol is suggestive evidence that this drug produced less gastric damage than aspirin.

The ability of a drug to alter ionic permeability is another measure of damage to gastric mucosa. Previous studies in man have shown that agents which injure gastric mucosa such as bile salts (Ivey et al., 1970b, 1971b), aspirin and salicylic acid (Ivey et al., 1972a; Ivey and Gray, 1972b; Ivey et al., 1975b) alter permeability to $H^+$ and $Na^+$ movement.

Our studies show that four times the recommended dose of paracetamol has no significant effect on net movement of $Na^+$ or $H^+$. Previous studies by us in healthy volunteers have shown that salicylic and acetylsalicylic acids significantly alter net movement of $H^+$ and $Na^+$ across the gastric mucosa in man (Ivey et al., 1972a; Ivey and Gray, 1972b; Ivey et al., 1975b). For comparison, mean ± SE control values (Ivey et al., 1972a) for $H^+$ and $Na^+$ ions were 0.07 ± 0.15 and 0.84 ± 0.12 mEq per 15 minutes and after salicylates −0.99 ± 0.13 and 1.54 ± 0.14 respectively.

During our acid flux studies with paracetamol, PD was recorded continuously as a second modality of gastric mucosal barrier function. In previous acid flux studies we (Ivey et al., 1975b) and others (Smith et al., 1971) have found a significant fall in PD induced by aspirin. Paracetamol induced no such fall.

We conclude that in contrast with aspirin, paracetamol in "analgesic" doses does not alter either potential difference or net movement of $H^+$ or $Na^+$ ions across man. In limited studies of structural damage induced by paracetamol in man, minimal to no damage was found in contrast with marked changes produced by aspirin (Ivey et al., 1975c). Whether paracetamol is completely free of damaging effects on gastric mucosa awaits further ultrastructural studies.
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