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SUMMARY Rats were subjected to right hemicolecotomy (including removal of the caecum), left hemicolecotomy or subtotal colectomy. Body weight resumed and maintained a rate of increase very similar to that in control rats. After hemicolecotomy, food intake showed no change. Faecal weight increased by about one-third after right hemicolecotomy, but did not increase after left hemicolecotomy. After right hemicolecotomy, the remaining—that is, downstream—portion of the colon showed increase in weight, and so did the (upstream) small intestine, in which the increase involved all three-thirds of its length and was predominantly mucosal. No such changes in the remaining colon or in small intestine were found after left hemicolecotomy. After subtotal hemicolecotomy, rats ate 30-40% more food than control rats, and faecal weight increased 60% at three months after operation. Study of energy intake and output indicated diminished absorption. All three-thirds of the small intestine showed increase in weight, predominantly mucosal in the upper two-thirds and predominantly seromuscular in the lowest third; villi were taller at all levels. Evidence suggests that the increase in food intake is not due to cessation of coprophagy, and that the small intestine changes are not due solely to increased food intake and occur when the colon is bypassed but not removed.

The effects of partial resection of the small intestine in rats have been extensively studied (for references see Dowling, 1974). The effects of partial or subtotal resection of the colon have been less fully examined. It is known that after subtotal resection in rats the distal ileum shows taller villi, but little change in the number of enterocytes along unit length of the villus margin; and water absorption measured per unit length of ileum increases (Wright et al., 1969b). Similar changes were shown in man (Wright et al., 1969a). The present study explores further the consequences of partial and subtotal colectomy in rats.

A brief communication of some of these results has been given to the Physiological Society (Masera, 1976).

Methods

Operations were performed on male Wistar rats between 2 and 3 months old, reared initially free of specific pathogens. At 2 months old these rats attain a weight of about 200 g, and their food intake has reached an adult plateau although body weight continues to rise (Forrester, 1972). They were paired according to body weight and allotted to operative procedures by conventional random methods. Right hemicolecotomy removed segment A (Fig. 1); it comprised removal of the caecum, about 0.5 cm of terminal ileum, and about the proximal tenth of the total length of the colon. This is the ‘right colectomy’ or ‘partial colectomy’ of Lambert (1965). Left hemicolecotomy comprised removal of about a fifth of the length of the whole colon (segment B in Fig. 1) and the anastomosis lay about 1.5 cm above the anus. Subtotal colectomy comprised removal of both portions and of the intervening segment (C in Fig. 1) of colon; it appears synonymous with the ‘total colectomy’ of Wright et al. (1969b) and with the ‘total colectomy’ of Lambert (1965), and terminal ileum was anastomosed to rectum. In a separate group of rats the colon was left in place but bypassed by anastomosing terminal ileum to the side of distal colon at the same site as in subtotal colectomy. Control animals underwent simple transection of the terminal ileum with anastomosis. Certain animals underwent no operation and are termed ‘normal’ in
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Results

Effects of right and left hemicolectomy

Body weight

After about a week of recovery from either left or right hemicolectomy, rats reverted to steady weight gain and paralleled the progress of both unoperated and control rats (Figs. 2 and 3), though not showing 'catch-up' growth. Figure 3 shows strikingly little disturbance by the operation in the progress of both control rats and rats undergoing left hemicolectomy. These rats were younger and lighter than the rats used in right hemicolectomy, and therefore possibly more resilient; the wet weight of tissue removed in the two procedures does not differ by so much as 1 g and so cannot explain the difference.

Fig. 1 Portions of rat colon removed at operation. See ext.

this paper. Animals were killed as required by inhalation of chloroform in the early afternoon.

Weight of food intake is stated as dried to constant weight; food scattered was weighed and deducted. Stomach to anus transit time was measured by intragastric administration of 3 ml barium sulphate suspension (Micropaque, Damancy) to unfasted animals in the evening and subsequent radiographic examination of faeces. Intermediate transit times were measured by similar administration to fasted animals in the morning and observation by image intensifier; this procedure is not precisely comparable with that used for stomach to anus transit time, but was followed as the image intensifier was not accessible overnight. Fasting avoided overdistension of the stomach when the barium was given.

Intestinal wet and dry weights were measured by conventional methods, and mucosa was separated from seromuscular coat by scraping (Gleeson et al., 1972). Fixation of histological preparations was started with 10% aqueous formalin in vivo under anaesthesia. Mean villus height was assessed from 30 well-oriented tallest villi in six serial transverse sections 10 μm thick stained with haematoxylin and eosin. Enterocyte density was measured in the same selected villi by counting over 150 μm of mid-villus edge.

Results (unless stated otherwise) are given as mean ± standard error of the mean, and comparisons were made by paired t test. Groups of rats were generally eight in number and never less than six.
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Food intake and faecal output
At two weeks and again seven weeks after operation, food intake of operated and control rats showed no significant difference; indeed, the mean intake of rats seven weeks after right hemicolecctomy was slightly less than that of control rats. The data are available elsewhere (Masesa, 1975). After right hemicolecctomy, faeces were semi-fluid for some two to three weeks and thereafter less well-formed than normal. Dry weight of faeces excreted daily was unchanged after left hemicolecctomy, but after right hemicolecctomy it increased by 37% when measured three months later, and the loss of weight on drying also rose, by about 12%.

Intestinal transit
This was compared in six normal rats and in six rats three months after right hemicolecctomy. Table 1 shows that the head of the barium load reached the end of the small intestine more quickly after right hemicolecctomy, but then cleared from the stomach and small intestine more slowly.

Colonic remnant after right or left hemicolecctomy
The weight of colon removed at operation was measured and also the weight remaining at killing three months later. The caecum was not included in either measurement. In this way it was possible to detect compensatory growth of the remnant by comparison with control rats in which operation and killing were done at the same times as in experimental rats; thus growth of the normal colon over the relevant period of a rat's life was taken into account. After right hemicolecctomy obvious compensatory growth of the distal colon occurs (Fig. 4), the remnant colon actually weighing about 37% more than the entire control colon (p < 0.02). Figure 4 shows wet weight; dry weight gave very similar ratios. The ratio of mucosal weight to seromuscular weight (1.45 ± 0.1) was not significantly greater than in control animals (1.27 ± 0.1) indicating that mucosa and seromuscular coat were both concerned in the increase. But after left hemicolecctomy (Fig. 4) there was no evidence of compensatory growth in the proximal colon. It is possible that some mucosal growth had occurred at the expense of the seromuscular coat, as the ratio of mucosal weight to seromuscular weight had changed from 1.32 ± 0.12 (control) to 1.65 ± 0.16, but this change did not reach significance. There was no significant change in the weight of the caecum after left hemicolecctomy, though its mean fresh weight was 6% less than in control animals.

Changes in small intestine
Weight changes in the small intestine were not found after left hemicolecctomy. But in rats killed three months after right hemicolecctomy there was a 23% increase in the weight of the small intestine (p < 0.005), whether measured wet or dry, and this was examined in more detail. Weights of the small intestine divided into thirds indicated that the increase involved all thirds, but especially the lowest third (Fig. 5). Separation of mucosal and seromuscular components showed that the mucosal contribution predominated (Fig. 6). But measurement of villus height three months after operation (Fig. 7)

Table 1 Progress of barium load inserted by gastric intubation in six normal rats and in six rats three months after right hemicolecctomy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Normal (min)</th>
<th>R. hemicolecctomy (min)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>To reach anastomosis or caecum</td>
<td>220 (175-295)</td>
<td>175 (145-205)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Till major portion of barium had entered colonic remnant or caecum</td>
<td>273 (265-290)</td>
<td>345 (310-400)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small intestine empty of barium</td>
<td>430 (385-450)</td>
<td>493 (450-510)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stomach empty of barium</td>
<td>185 (145-265)</td>
<td>330 (205-475)</td>
<td>&lt;0.005</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ranges are stated in parentheses.

Fig. 4 Colon weight in control rats, weight removed at hemicolecctomy (filled segment of right columns) and weight found at killing three months after hemicolecctomy (open segment of right columns) Note that caecum is not included.
failed to demonstrate any change, except in the most proximal segment, where an increase in height in the hemicolecetomised animals was significant (£ < 0·05). However, at all levels below the most proximal three, enterocyte density (Fig. 8) showed a slight (6-7%) but significant (£ < 0·01) increase. Changes in the overall length of the small intestine were sought, but not found; the length removed at hemicolecetomy was about 0·5 cm only.

**EFFECT OF SUBTOTAL COLECTOMY**

**Body weight and blood**

About 90% of rats survived the operation and the first three post-operative days; very few died later.

**Food intake and faecal output**

By two weeks after operation, and still at three and at four months after operation, rats were eating 30-40% more food than control rats (£ < 0·01). The increased intake was consistent throughout the night and not confined to early or late hours. Faeces remained unformed and semi-solid throughout the period studied (up to four months), and were very watery for the initial three to four weeks after operation. Measurements of faecal dry weight at three
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months after operation showed a 60% (p < 0.001) increase over control values, which is rather greater than the percentage increase in food intake.

The suspicion of faulty absorption thus created was strengthened on comparing intake and output of combustible energy by bomb calorimetry (Table 2), although this method neglects possible changes in bacterial metabolism of components of colon contents. Three months after operation, rats were excreting in faeces 57% more energy than control rats (p < 0.01), though only consuming (in this particular group of rats) 37% more. But the extra excretion only amounted to some 43 kJ per day; the rats were consuming an extra 116 kJ per day.

Intestinal transit

In these rats, stomach-to-anus transit time was studied, and screening to detect intermediate progress was not employed. Rats served as their own controls and the emergence of barium in faeces was observed during 14 hours after insertion by gastric intubation, both before and after (three weeks and three months) operation. Normal rats were also studied. Before subtotal colectomy, barium first appeared in faeces six hours after insertion and was still present 14 hours after insertion. After colectomy, barium first appeared at three or four hours and was virtually all through by eight hours, dispersion being evidently much less.

Effect upon small intestine

In eight rats killed eight months after subtotal colectomy, the weight of the whole small intestine was 33 ± 0.7% greater than in control animals (p < 0.01). In eight other rats killed four months after subtotal colectomy, the increase involved all of the small intestine, but predominantly the top and lowest thirds (Fig. 10). In the top third, the mucosal percentage increase was rather greater than the seromuscular increase, but in the lowest third the reverse was true, the seromuscular coat showing the greater percentage increase (Fig. 11). Unlike the villi after right hemicolectomy, the villi after subtotal colectomy were consistently a little taller at every level (Fig. 12), especially towards the top end and then abruptly in the terminal segment, a 10-12% difference (p < 0.01) occurring at both these regions. Enterocyte density was a little (7%) greater after subtotal colectomy at all levels of the small intestine (p < 0.01 at all levels) (Fig. 13). Changes in overall length of the small intestine were sought, but not found.

Effect of pair feeding

In order to estimate the role of the increased food intake of the colectomised rat in producing their enlarged small intestine, a group of eight animals was pair fed for three weeks three months after subtotal colectomy, receiving daily only the food consumed by their control partners the previous day. Calculated allowance was made for food scatter. At the end of the three weeks, the colectomised animals had lost 4% of their body weight and the control animals had gained 3.5%. Figure 14 shows that the marked changes of Fig. 10 had considerably dimin-

![Fig. 9 Body weight of normal and control rats and rats after subtotal colectomy.](image)

![Fig. 10 Wet weight of small intestine in control rats (C) and in rats four months after subtotal colectomy (SC).](image)

Table 2 Combustible energy intake and absorption

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Subtotal colectomy</th>
<th>Control operation</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Food intake: dry weight</td>
<td>24.3 g</td>
<td>17.8 g</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy content of food</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>per g dry weight</td>
<td>17.8 kJ</td>
<td>17.8 kJ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy intake</td>
<td>433 kJ</td>
<td>317 kJ</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry weight of faeces</td>
<td>7.7 g</td>
<td>4.8 g</td>
<td>&lt;0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy output in faeces</td>
<td>118 kJ</td>
<td>75 kJ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy absorbed</td>
<td>315 kJ</td>
<td>237 kJ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absorption as % of intake</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean values per rat per day three months after operation.
Fig. 11  *Wet weight of small intestine four months after subtotal colectomy, divided into components.*

Fig. 12  *Villus height three months after subtotal colectomy*

Fig. 13  *Enterocyte density three months after subtotal colectomy.*

Fig. 14  *Small intestinal weight at end of three weeks of pair feeding of control (C) rats and rats after subtotal colectomy (SC).*

Effect of colon bypass

It seemed possible that the consequences of removing the colon might differ from those of bypassing it but leaving it in place. A group of eight rats were so treated and killed three months after operation. Their food intake was raised just like that of colectomised rats, no significant difference being found, and their body weight increased indistinguishably from that of colectomised rats. The total weights of the small intestine of bypass and colectomised rats were similar, and so were the weights of the mucosa and seromuscular coat for the three thirds. However, it is true that the mean weight of each component (mucosal and seromuscular) in each third was 1-10% less after colon bypass than after subtotal colectomy, but the differences were not significant.

Discussion

INCREASED FOOD INTAKE AFTER SUBTOTAL COLECTOMY

It is striking that increased food intake is not seen in rats after partial resection of the small intestine (Dowling, 1968). After subtotal colectomy, deficient absorption appears a partial explanation. It seems anomalous that absorption should be impaired when the small intestine is actually larger than normal, but the role of the large intestine in digestion and absorption of 'unavailable carbohydrates' is established. However, absorption of these is unlikely to exceed 2-5% of the energy uptake in man (Southgate, 1973).
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It may be a little more extensive in the rat, but there is evidence that uptake of volatile fatty acids from the caecum of rats on a normal diet amounts only to about 5% of the daily energy intake (Yang et al., 1970); in normal rats volatile fatty acids are formed almost exclusively in the large intestine (Yang et al., 1969). Neither these observations nor the present results suggest a failure of absorption in colectomised rats sufficient to match the substantial increase in food intake.

It also seemed possible that after subtotal colectomy rats refrain from coprophagy because of the change in faecal composition and consistency, thus impairing absorption by losing the 'second time round', and possibly eating additional food instead of faeces. The occurrence of extensive coprophagy in normal fully fed rats is well known (Barnes et al., 1957) and is easily shown through the presence of formed faecal pellets in gastric contents; the uniform faeces of colectomised rats cannot be so easily discerned. But the discovery of free bile acids in their gastric contents (Masasa, 1975) excludes the possibility that there is no coprophagy at all in colectomised rats; free bile acids are found in rat small intestine only in the distal part (Dietschy, 1967).

Whether appetite increases in normal man after colectomy is hard to establish, since appetite is likely to be influenced both before and after operation by disorders requiring colectomy, measured food intakes vary greatly from one normal person to another (Edholm et al., 1955), and human appetite is greatly dependent on habit and custom. Increased intakes in some patients with coeliac or pancreatic disease, or after resection of the small bowel, have been described (Hall and Creamer, 1974).

**Intestinal Transit**

After right hemicolecction, prompt arrival of a little barium beyond the anastomosis but a slow subsequent build-up suggest that the anastomosis presents no mechanical obstruction, but propulsion through it is not as effective as through normal gut. This impression was strengthened at killing when the gut just (1 cm) above and below the anastomosis was invariably dilated and loaded with semi-solid contents, yet the anastomotic site showed no evidence of narrowing. In man, Wright et al. (1969a) found no change after subtotal colectomy in the time taken for barium to reach the terminal ileum (average 180 min), an observation compatible with ours in rats; Wright et al. also reported slight dilatation of the terminal ileum. The slow gastric emptying after right hemicolecction in rats is not explained; a similar delay was noted by Nygaard (1967), but in his animals it occurred with small gut resection and anastomosis just beyond the duodenum.

**Growth of Colon after Hemicolecction**

The present results demonstrate growth in the colon downstream only from an excised portion. This is what occurs predominantly in the small intestine after partial excision of small intestine, though not exclusively; some upstream growth occurs (Dowling and Booth, 1967). A similar downstream effect was found by Nygaard (1967) who detected growth of the whole colon after massive ileal resection. The growth in our animals involved the mucosa more than the seromuscular coat, which again is the case in ileal growth after a jejunal resection (Dowling and Booth, 1967), and suggests increased absorptive function in the distal colonic remnant, since increased transport function evoked by dilution of the food with kaolin produces more growth of the colon's muscular coat (Dowling et al., 1967). The mechanisms responsible for the growth are not clear.

**Growth of Small Intestine after Colectomy**

The percentage increase in weight of the small intestine after subtotal colectomy corresponded to the percentage increase in food intake, and in the upper two-thirds was predominantly mucosal, with taller villi. These aspects are compatible with the view that increased luminal nutrition was an important factor (for references see Dowling, 1974). The lower third showed more seromuscular growth than the other two thirds, and a similar tendency is evident after right hemicolecction (Fig. 6), though not reaching significance. This accords with the hypothesis that increased propulsive activity is required above an anastomosis between terminal ileum and colon, and hypertrophy of intestinal muscle ensues. The transit studies support this explanation.

The slight increase in enterocyte density at all levels may be discounted on the presumption that gut diameter was a little greater, which is possible since length changes were not found; as the total number of villi presumably does not change (Clarke, 1972; Forrester, 1972), a villus simply slightly stretched from side to side would show a little crowding of enterocytes up its height. The increase in villus height in the upper two-thirds of the small intestine clearly cannot be thus explained and is evidently real. On similar reasoning, the villi after right hemicolecction might have shown some increase in height in the absence of stretching. Wright et al. (1969a,b) have demonstrated taller villi in the terminal ileum after subtotal colectomy in rat and man. Taller villi, with an increased number of enterocytes rather than enlargement of each
enterocyte, is the condition in rat ileum after jejunal resection (Dowling and Booth, 1967).

Increased food intake, and increased propulsive work above an anastomosis between ileum and colon, may not wholly explain the growth changes found. First, right hemicolecotomy led to growth of the small intestine, especially its lowest third, but not to increased food intake. Secondly, after subtotal colectomy pair feeding for three weeks did not entirely cancel the increase. It is possible that an even longer period of pair feeding might have done so, but pair feeding is open to the objection that the day's ration is taken rather rapidly, which in itself leads to intestinal growth (Fabry and Kujalova, 1960), although the effect during pair feeding should be slight (Brownlee and Moss, 1959). Gastrointestinal hormones may play an important part (for references see Barrowman, 1975). If so, the hormonal changes after colectomy do not require actual removal of the colon, since bypass alone is associated with small intestinal growth and increase in food intake indistinguishable from those after actual colectomy.
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