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SUMMARY Some empirical and theoretical models of the emptying behaviour of the stomach are presented. The laws of Laplace, Hooke, and Poiseuille are used to derive a new model of gastric emptying. Published data on humans are used to test the model and evaluate empirical constants. It is shown that for meals with an initial volume of $\geq 300$ ml, the reciprocal of the cube root of the volume of meal remaining is proportional to the time the meal is in the stomach. For meals of initial volume of $< 300$ ml the equation has to be corrected for the fact that the 'resting volume' of gastric contents is about 28 ml. The more exact formula is given in the text. As this model invokes no neural or hormonal factors, it is suggested that the gastric emptying response to the volume of a meal does not depend on these factors. The gastric emptying response to the composition of the meal does depend on such factors and a recent model of this process is used to evaluate an empirical constant.

Many authors have proposed equations describing the emptying of a meal from the stomach with time. If $V$ is the volume of a meal in the stomach at a given time and $t$ is the time since the meal was given, then the differential $dV/dt$ describes the rate of gastric emptying. Table 1 gives various empirically justified relationships of $dV/dt$ to $V$. They belong to a family of equations of the form:

$$\frac{dV}{dt} = -cV^p$$

where $p$ is a constant and $c$ may or may not be a constant for the particular meal employed. As the quoted equations have varying degrees of theoretical, empirical, and practical value, it was felt that a further attempt at modelling gastric emptying was needed.

The present paper is concerned with evaluating the constants of the newly proposed model, given in

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$p$</th>
<th>$c$</th>
<th>Subjects</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>Constant independent of $V$</td>
<td>Human neonates</td>
<td>Husband and Husband (1969)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>Constant independent of $V$</td>
<td>Human adults</td>
<td>Moberg and Carlberger (1973)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>Constant independent of $V$</td>
<td>Human adults</td>
<td>Hopkins (1966a, b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>Constant $\times \left(1 - \left(\frac{\text{constant}}{V}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}\right)$</td>
<td>Human adults</td>
<td>George (1968)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>Constant $\times \left(1 - \left(\frac{\text{constant}}{V}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}\right)$</td>
<td>Dogs</td>
<td>Tamarit (1955)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 Some equations of gastric emptying of form $\frac{dV}{dt} = -cV^p$ where $V =$ volume of meal in stomach, $t =$ time, $p$ is a constant, and $c$ may or may not be constant.
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the Table. The theoretical basis for the new model is given in an appendix.

We have as our present equation describing gastric emptying:

\[ \frac{dV}{dt} = -CV^p \left(1 - \left( \frac{V}{V_0} \right)^n \right) \]  

where \( C \) is a constant related to the composition of the meal, but not the volume; \( p \) and \( n \) are constants; and \( V \) is the volume of the meal and/or secretions in a 'resting' stomach. Integrating between limits \( V_t \) at time = \( t \) and \( V_0 \) at time = 0 we have:

\[ -Ct = V_t^{1-p}F(V_t) - V_0^{1-p}F(V_0) \]  

where \( F(V) = \left( \frac{-1}{n} \right) \sum \frac{x^i}{y + i} \)  

and \( x = (v/V)^n \)  

and \( y = (1-p)/(-n) \)  

and \( 0 \leq i \leq \infty \) in integral steps.

If we put \( t = t_{0,5} \) at \( V_t = 0.5 \ V_0 \) we have from eqn. (2):

\[ -C = \frac{V_0^{1-p}}{t_{0,5}} [0.5^{1-p}F(0.5V_0) - F(V_0)] \]  

Thus putting (3) into (1) and re-arranging, we have:

\[ \frac{dV}{dt} = \frac{V_0^{1-p}}{t_{0,5}} [0.5^{1-p}F(0.5V_0) - F(V_0)]V^p \]

\[ \times [1 - (v/V)^n] \]  

At time \( t = 0 \) we have:

\[ \left. \frac{dV}{dt} \right|_0 = \frac{V_0}{t_{0,5}} F^*(V_0) \]  

where \( F^*(V_0) = [0.5^{1-p}F(0.5V_0) - F(V_0)] \times [1 - (v/V)^n] \) \( . \)  

Putting (3) into (2) and re-arranging we have:

\[ V_t = (F(V_0))^{-1/(1-p)} V_0 [F(V_0) + \frac{t}{t_{0,5}}] \]

\[ \times (0.5^{1-p}F(0.5V_0) - F(V_0))^{1/(1-p)}. \]  

We now consider the case when \( V \) becomes so large that \((v/V)^n\) approximates to zero.

Thus:

\[ \frac{dV}{dt} = -CV^p \]  

By similar reasoning to that above we obtain the analogous large-meal equations:

\[ -Ct = V_t^{1-p} \left( \frac{1}{1 - p} \right) - V_0^{1-p} \left( \frac{1}{1 - p} \right) \]  

\[ -C = \frac{V_0^{1-p}}{t_{0,5}} [0.5^{1-p} \left( \frac{1}{1 - p} \right) - \left( \frac{1}{1 - p} \right)] \]  

We wish to determine the constants \( p, n, \) and \( v \) in equation (1). The nature of the equation with its non-explicit solution forces us to use an indirect approach.

DETERMINATION OF \( p \)

Data were taken from Hunt (1949) where a test meal of 750 ml was given to 21 normal adult male subjects. In all 183 test meals were given. It was assumed that the value of \((v/V)^n\) was sufficiently small to use the analogous large-meal equations. From Hunt's mean curve the differential \( dV/dt \) could be approximated over a five minute interval. As values up to 110 minutes were available, 23 different points were obtained. The gastric secretion added over each five minute interval was corrected for. A computer programme which minimised the squared residuals was used. Fitting equation (1') it was found that \( p = 1.322 \). Fitting equation (2') it was found that \( p = 1.338 \). In neither case was the possible range of \( p \) calculable from this particular programme. The conclusion drawn is that \( p = 4/3 \).

DETERMINATION OF \( n \)

Again using data from Hunt (1949) and a computer programme which minimised the squared residuals, an attempt was made to fit equation (1). The equation was resistant to the iterative procedure used but generated the following values: \( p = 1.33, n = 0.50, \) and \( 10 < v < 50 \) ml. As the value of \( p \) was the same as before and the value of \( n \) was constant for various attempts, it was taken that \( n = 1/2 \).

DETERMINATION OF \( v \)

Hunt and Stubbs (1975) have shown that for meals where \( V_0 \geq 300 \) ml the half-time, \( t_{0.5} \), can be given by:

\[ \frac{dV}{dt} = \frac{V_0^{1-p}(0.5^{1-p} - 1)}{t_{0.5} (1 - p)} V^p \]  

\[ \frac{dV}{dt} = \frac{0.5^{1-p} - 1}{1 - p} \frac{V_0}{t_{0.5}} \]  

\[ V_t = V_0 \left( 1 + t(0.5^{1-p} - 1) \right)^{1/(1-p)} \]  

From the above we deduce what can also be deduced directly—that is, as \( V \) tends to infinity:

\[ F(V) \rightarrow \left( -\frac{1}{n} \right)/(1 - p) \]  

and \( F^*(V) \rightarrow F^*(V) = \frac{0.5^{1-p} - 1}{(1-n)(1-p)} \)

Methods and results
where \( K \) is the caloric density (Kcal/ml) of the meal. For meals where \( V_0 < 300 \) ml, eqn. (9) predicts the half-time, \( t_{0.5} \), with increasing error as \( V_0 \) is reduced. Hunt and Stubbs (1975) assumed an equation of type (1') for their work. The error of prediction is now accounted for and utilised to evaluate \( v \). If eqn (5') is divided by eqn (5) we have (assuming observed and predicted are the same):

\[
\frac{t_{0.5}}{t'_{0.5}} = \frac{F*(V_0)}{F*(V_0)} = z \quad \text{................. (10)}
\]

Using \( p = 1.33 \) and \( n = 0.5 \) values of \( z \) may be found using eqns (5a) and (8) and computer generation. Thus a table of \( z \) and \( x \) (eqn 2b) may be drawn up.

Data for small volume test meals were taken from the literature (Table 2). Using Table 2 and eqn (9) it was possible to calculate the ratio \( t_{0.5}/t'_{0.5} \) (\( \equiv z \), eqn 10). Using a \( z-x \) table it was possible to find the corresponding value of \( x = (v/V_0)^{1/2} \). Thus \( v \) could be computed. It was found that \( v = 28.45 \) ml (\( \pm SD 28.84; \text{SEM} \pm 6.41 \)).

**Determination of all constants**

The above data, methods, and results suffer from the disadvantages that they are derived from pooled data and they give little guide to inter-individual differences. These problems can be partially resolved in the following manner. Raw data (values and times) can be fitted to the differential equation (1) by a computer programme which minimises squared residuals. The programme estimates the four unknown parameters with poor precision for the following reasons: (1) the fitting of raw data to a differential equation with four parameters is a procedure at the limits of power of the programme and the standard deviations of the estimated parameters is very large; (2) the number of test meals for any one subject and meal type is small; (3) the coefficient of variation of the test meal method is not small. The present data showed that for any subject given the same meal with the same recovery time on more than one occasion than the standard deviation of that series of recoveries was likely to be 9% of the mean volume recovered. This is noticeable with any test meal data set since when a smooth curve is drawn through the data a wide spread occurs.

Given the above problems, this procedure was used on each subject of Hunt (1949). Because of the small number of meals per subject, it was not possible to correct for gastric secretions as was done for the pooled data. Thus the programme was run using either the total recovered volume (VT) or the volume of meal remaining (VMR), where secretions are subtracted. The true values are probably intermediate between the ones obtained. The mean values obtained for the parameter \( p \) were (using VT) 1.27 (SEM \pm 0.072) and (using VMR) 1.33 (SEM \pm 0.078). In neither case was the value significantly different from 1-25 or 1-33 but the values were significantly different from 1-0 and 1-5 (\( p < 0.01 \)). The mean values obtained for the parameter \( n \) were (using VT) 0.47 (SEM \pm 0.050) and (using VMR) 0.41 (SEM \pm 0.056). In neither case was the value significantly different from 0-5, but it was significantly different from 0-33 (\( p < 0.05 \)), in the case of VT only. The mean values obtained for the parameter \( v \) were (using VT) 25-10 (SEM \pm 9-26) and (using

**Table 2**  
Half-times, as observed and predicted, for gastric emptying of meals of small volume and variable composition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>( V_0 ) Initial Volume</th>
<th>( K ), caloric density</th>
<th>Predicted half-life (min)</th>
<th>Observed half-time</th>
<th>Ratio: ( t_{0.5}/t'_{0.5} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>4.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>3.16</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>2.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>6.32</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>1.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>12.64</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>1.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>1.91</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>3.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>2.54</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>2.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>5.92</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>2.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>11.83</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>2.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>35.48</td>
<td>43.0</td>
<td>1.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>10.36</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>22.60</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>1.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>33.54</td>
<td>34.0</td>
<td>1.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>38.82</td>
<td>43.0</td>
<td>1.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>35.48</td>
<td>46.0</td>
<td>1.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>40.26</td>
<td>36.0</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>11.39</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>1.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>57.03</td>
<td>56.0</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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VMR) 38.74 (SEM ± 9.29). The large standard error of the mean signifies a large inter-individual variation for the parameter \( v \), unlike the case for the parameters \( p \) and \( n \) which are similar between individuals.

A second set of data was provided by Hunt and Fisher (unpublished). They gave eight kinds of test meals to 13 subjects. The initial volume varied from 50 to 300 ml and the caloric density varied from 0.17 to 2.0 kcal/ml. The data were examined in the same way as the approach previously described. The volume of meal remaining (VMR) was the variable examined. The following mean values were obtained: \( p = 1.33 \) (SEM ± 0.057), \( n = 0.46 \) (SEM ± 0.057), and \( v = 27.67 \) (SEM ± 1.72). These parameters did not vary significantly as a function of the volume or composition of the meal.

Discussion

An equation of type (1) will correctly predict the half-time of a small meal. With meals of initial volume greater than or equal to 300 ml an equation of type (1') is adequate. It was found that the constant \( p = 4/3 \). In the literature (Table 1) we find \( 0 \leq p \leq 2 \). In the appendix it is predicted that \( 1.0 \leq p \leq 1.33 \). It was found that the constant \( n = 0.5 \). In the appendix it is predicted that \( 0.33 \leq n \leq 0.5 \). Further, it was found that the volume of the contents of a "resting" stomach was about 28 ml. This agrees with the direct empirical value of 22.0 ml (SD ± 6.5) as found by Williams and Hunt (1973) in healthy adult males.

The given equation of gastric emptying is difficult to apply without computer assistance. For test meals of initial volume greater than or equal to 300 ml the following equation appears to fit the data better than others:

\[
V_t^{-1/3} = V_0^{-1/3} - ct
\]

The value of equation (1) is that it seems to fit the gastric emptying of meals over all time periods and it predicts that gastric emptying will cease at a finite time and non-zero gastric volume.

The premises of the present model would imply that the gastric response to the volume of a meal is determined by the physical characteristics of the gastric wall and some local hydraulic considerations. No neural or hormonal factors were invoked. Thus the stomach responds to the volume of a meal in a passive fashion. The gastric response to the composition of the meal has been modelled by Hunt and Stubbs (1975) where they implied that the neural and hormonal factors released by duodenal receptor stimulation. In the present model it was hypothesised that the neural and hormonal factors influencing the gastric response to the composition of a meal act upon the Young's modulus of the gastric wall.

The author wishes to thank J. N. Hunt, F. House, G. Elfring, and A. P. Hopkins for their help and advice.
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Appendix

A theoretical basis for equation (1) is here derived.

**LAW OF LAPLACE**

This has been applied to the stomach by Brody and Quigley (1948a,b), Quigley and Brody (1950), and Hopkins (1966a,b) and to other organs (Stillwell, 1973). Although it relates to a structure at equilibrium we may apply it to a cross-section of the active stomach at any instant. It relates to the circumferential tension, T, to transmural pressure, \( P_x \), at a circular cross section, \( x \), of radius \( r \) by the formula

\[
P_x = \frac{T}{r} \quad \text{(A1)}
\]

**LAW OF HOOKE**

The force which empties the stomach is provided by gastric smooth muscle. Evans (1926) showed that smooth muscle has the property that the force generated in response to stretch is proportional to the proportional change in length. The constant of proportionality is called the tonus or tension, \( T \), and is calculated as force per unit length. This fact was observed for the heart (Starling’s law) and the stomach (Marbaix, 1898). It was shown by Brody and Quigley (1948a) that Hooke’s law could be applied to a hollow organ of circular cross section \( r \), and \( r_0 \) when resting, by the formula:

\[
T = a \left( \frac{r - r_0}{r_n} \right) r_0^{m-1} \quad \text{(A2)}
\]

where \( a \) is constant and \( m \) is a constant variously taken as 0.0 (Winton and Bayliss, 1935), 1.0 (Brody and Quigley, 1948a), and 2.0 (Frank, 1910). The constant \( a \) contains the Young’s modulus. This factor is presumably the Young’s modulus which is influenced by the quality of the meal rather than its volume.

**LAWS OF POISEUILLE**

This has been applied to the stomach by Nelson and Kohatsu (1971). It can be shown that

\[
\frac{dV}{dt} = -\frac{\pi r^4}{8 \eta} \quad \text{(A3)}
\]

where \( P = p_1 - k^2 p_2 \) where 1 and 2 are points in a circular conducting pipe of radii \( r = r_1 = kr_2 \); 1 is the distance between points 1 and 2; \( p_1 \) and \( p_2 \) are pressures at 1 and 2; and \( n \) is the viscosity of the fluid flowing in the pipe.

**THE ACTIVE STOMACH**

The stomach contracts about three times every minute. We are concerned with its behaviour over a longer period than one minute and assume that the behaviour of the stomach over longer intervals can be treated as a smooth curve.

We may now combine these four assumptions to produce a model of gastric emptying.

Using eqn (A1) in the definition of \( P \) we have:

\[
P = \frac{T_1}{r_1} - k^2 T_2 r^2 \quad \text{(A4)}
\]

since \( r = r_1 \) and \( r = kr_2 \) we have:

\[
P = \frac{T_1}{r} - k^2 T_2 r \quad \text{(A5)}
\]

Using eqn (A2) we have:

\[
P = \frac{a_1}{r} \left( \frac{r - r_0}{r_n} \right) r_0^{m-1} - \frac{a_2}{r} \left( \frac{kr - kr_0}{km r_n} \right) (kr_0)^{m-1} \quad \text{(A6)}
\]

Hence:

\[
P = C_1 \left( \frac{r - r_0}{r_n^{m+1}} \right) r_0^{m-1} \quad \text{(A7)}
\]

where \( C_1 = a_1 - a_2 \) \quad \text{(A8)}

Using eqn (A3) we have:

\[
\frac{dV}{dt} = -\frac{\pi r^4}{8 \eta} \frac{C_1}{r_0^{m-1}} \quad \text{(A9)}
\]

Hence:

\[
\frac{dV}{dt} = -C_2 a_0^4 \left( \frac{1 - \frac{r_0}{r}}{r} \right) r_0^{m-1} \quad \text{(A10)}
\]

where \( C_2 = \pi/8 \eta \) \quad \text{(A11)}

Stomach geometry is complex and has been approximated to a sphere or a cone of fixed height, \( h \). If gastric volume is \( V \) then \( V = \frac{4}{3} \pi r_3^3 \) or \( V = \frac{1}{3} \pi r_3 h \) and in general:

\[
r = C_3 V^n \quad \text{(A12)}
\]

where \( 0.3 \leq n \leq 0.50 \), and \( C_3 \) is a constant.
Models of gastric emptying

Substituting eqn (A12) into eqn (A10) we have (if \( v = \) volume at resting radius \( r_0 \)):

\[
\frac{dV}{dt} = -CV^p \left( 1 - \left( \frac{v}{V} \right)^n \right) \quad \ldots (A13)
\]

where \( C = C_1 C_2 C_3 v^{m-1} \) \( \quad \ldots (A14) \)

and \( p = n (4 - m) \) \( \quad \ldots (A15) \)

From before we deduce that \( 1.00 \leq p \leq 1.33 \).

Equation (A13) corresponds to equation (1) in the text. The exponents \( n \) and \( p \) have a geometrical basis and are best determined empirically. The constant, \( C \), contains terms which are numerical, a function of gastroduodenal geometry, and a function of the composition of the meal which determines its viscosity and the value of the Young's modulus which the stomach assumes. We may presume that the contents of meals which act to slow gastric emptying do so by altering the Young's modulus ('contractility') of the stomach. The term \( C \) in equation (A13) is a function of the composition of the meal. The remaining terms in equation (A13) are functions of the volume of the meal.
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