Enumeration of Paneth cells in coeliac disease: comparison of conventional light microscopy and immunofluorescence staining for lysozyme
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SUMMARY By conventional light microscopy, a reduced number of Paneth cells per intestinal crypt was found in the jejunal mucosa of patients with untreated or gluten-challenged coeliac disease as compared with histologically normal control specimens. A much better detection sensitivity was obtained when Paneth cells were counted by fluorescence microscopy after immunostaining for lysozyme with a rhodamine-labelled rabbit IgG conjugate. This method showed that there was no numerical reduction of Paneth cells in coeliac disease, but that the proportion of cells with a low lysozyme content was increased. Most of these cells were probably missed by conventional microscopy in which identification of Paneth cells is principally based on a substantial cellular complement of acidophilic granules. A reduced number of lysozyme-containing granules in coeliac disease may reflect increased discharge, enhanced secretory activity, or a raised turnover of the Paneth cells.

The Paneth cells are secretory epithelial cells localised at the base of the small intestinal crypts. They are the main source of jejunal lysozyme (muramidase), which is an enzyme with bactericidal and antiviral activity. It has, therefore, been suggested that the Paneth cells participate in the anti-microbial defence of the intestinal crypts. Jejunal mucosa of patients with coeliac disease has by some authors been reported to contain fewer Paneth cells per crypt than normal, and this reduction was found to persist in patients on a gluten-free diet when the mucosa had otherwise recovered. However, there has been considerable dispute concerning overall Paneth cell changes in coeliac disease, as discussed in a recent review.

The aim of this study was to establish whether the state of the Paneth cells is a practical indicator of gluten sensitivity as evaluated by enumeration of Paneth cells per intestinal crypt and by taking their lysozyme content into account. Specimens of jejunal mucosa with various degrees of villous atrophy, obtained from patients with coeliac disease, were compared with an apparently normal control material.
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Methods

PATIENTS
Control subjects
Seven males, eight females (median age, 39 years; range, 3–77 years) with a histologically normal jejunal mucosa were included; in three cases colon carcinoma, motor neuron disease, or psoriasis was diagnosed, respectively, whereas the remaining 12 had no detectable organic disease despite abdominal complaints.

Coeliac disease in remission
Three males, 12 females (median age, 10 years; range, 2–52 years) with proven coeliac disease were included. They had received a gluten-free diet for a median period of three years (range one to nine years). Their jejunal mucosa showed no histopathological alterations (four cases) or partial villous atrophy (11 cases).

Untreated or gluten-challenged coeliac disease
Six males, nine females (median age, 20 years; range, 4–71 years) with clinically overt coeliac disease at the time of biopsy were included. Their mucosa showed subtotal to total villous atrophy.
Paneth cells in coeliac disease

**Methodology**

The mucosal biopsy specimens were fixed in 4% formalin and processed by the routine method of our pathology department. Paraﬃn sections were cut serially at 6 µm and numbered 1–4. After deparaﬃnisation, sections 1 and 3 were stained by haematoxylin, asphloxine, and saﬀron (HAS). Sections 2 and 4 were subjected to pronase treatment followed by immunofluorescence staining for lysozyme as detailed elsewhere. The ﬂuorochrome conjugate was a monospeciﬁc rabbit IgG labelled with tetramethylrhodamine isothiocyanate in our laboratory according to established procedures. The characteristics of this conjugate have been reported previously. It was applied at a concentration of 0.15 g IgG/l for 20 hours at room temperature.

**Paneth cell counting**

The study was based on tissue sections in which the intestinal crypts were oriented reasonably perpendicular to the muscularis mucosae. All crypts (between 15 and 84) in every section were evaluated with regard to Paneth cells. The senior investigator was responsible for the cell counting throughout the study.

The HAS-stained sections were examined by conventional light microscopy using a ×40 objective and a ×10 ocular. Crypt cells that contained red granules and showed a distinct nucleus were enumerated.

The immunostained sections were examined by ﬂuorescence microscopy with a Leitz Orthoplan microscope equipped with a Plom-landscape vertical illuminator; excitation and selective ﬁltration conditions for rhodamine, a ×25 immersion objective, and a ×10 ocular were used. Paneth cells were counted and recorded in two categories according to ﬂuorescence intensity (faint or bright). Fluorescent crypt cells that showed morphology and size characteristic of Paneth cells were included whether a nucleus could be identiﬁed or not. Smaller cell proﬁles were included only when they contained a distinct nucleus (Fig. 1). Lysozyme-containing cells in the lamina propria were stained quite faintly with the working concentration of the anti-lysozyme reagent used in this study; they were easily distinguished from Paneth cells both because of different localisation and staining properties.

**Statistical methods**

Every specimen was evaluated by both HAS-staining and immuno-staining. For each section the total number of Paneth cells recorded by either method was divided by the number of crypts and the result was expressed as Paneth cells per crypt. The mean results obtained from duplicate sections were used in group calculations. Differences between methods were evaluated by Wilcoxon’s test for paired samples, and between groups by Wilcoxon’s test for unpaired samples.

**Reproducibility**

Cell counts were repeated in 10 specimens after at least six weeks by the senior investigator; the data showed a mean coeﬃcient of variation (CV±SD) of 8.2±5.5 for Paneth cells per crypt as evaluated by conventional microscopy; 14.6±7.2 for Paneth cells per crypt as evaluated by immunostaining; and 14.7±10.8 for the proportion of Paneth cells that showed faint ﬂuorescence staining.
Results

Paneth cells recorded by conventional light microscopy

The observed range for Paneth cells per crypt was relatively large and overlapped markedly among the three categories of subjects (Fig. 2). When patients with coeliac disease in remission were compared with controls, no difference in the number of Paneth cells per crypt was found. However, patients with untreated or gluten-challenged coeliac disease contained significantly fewer Paneth cells (p<0.005).

Paneth cells recorded by fluorescence immunostaining

With this method a significantly higher number of Paneth cells (p<0.001) was found in all categories of subjects compared with the light microscopical results, but there was no significant difference in the total number of immunostained cells between the three groups (Fig. 2). However, compared with controls, the percentages of faintly stained cells (Fig. 3) were higher both in patients with coeliac disease in remission (p<0.05) and in the untreated or gluten-challenged patients with coeliac disease (p<0.001).

Discussion

By conventional light microscopy, a reduced number of Paneth cells per crypt seemed to be present in the jejunal mucosa of untreated or gluten-challenged patients with coeliac disease as compared with histologically normal controls. This result is in agreement with some previous studies, but we could not confirm that patients with coeliac disease in remission had a reduced number of Paneth cells.

The detection sensitivity was considerably enhanced when Paneth cell enumerations were based on direct immunofluorescence staining for lysozyme with a rhodamine conjugate and prolonged incubation time. By this method no significant difference in the numbers of Paneth cells per crypt was found among the three categories of specimens studied. By conventional light microscopy Paneth cells are identified principally by
their characteristic acidophilic granules in which most of the cytoplasmic lysozyme is localised. Paneth cells with a reduced number of granules will be difficult to recognise, and immunostaining showed that the jejunal mucosa of coeliac disease patients contained an increased proportion of Paneth cells with a low content of lysozyme. Most of these cells would probably escape recording by conventional light microscopy.

Reduced extractable lysozyme activity per mg wet weight of jejunal mucosa has been reported in coeliac disease. This is in accordance with our immunohistochemical staining results for Paneth cells. However, in the evaluation of extractable activity the changes in mucosal mass related to coeliac disease were not taken into account and a contribution of lysozyme by infiltrating inflammatory cells was not fully excluded.

Paneth cells have been shown in mice to discharge their granules after pilocarpine and carbachol stimulation. Our immunohistochemical observation of a raised proportion of Paneth cells with a low lysozyme content in patients with coeliac disease could have resulted from an increased sensitivity of the cells to some chemical stimulus or be caused by a lysosomal membrane instability as suggested by Ward et al. But it might also reflect the increased division of crypt cells in coeliac disease or an influence of mucosal inflammation on these cells. A decreased cytoplasmic lysozyme content is not necessarily a sign of reduced Paneth cell activity. If the enzyme is rapidly secreted instead of being stored in the granules, the cell may have a normal or even an increased production of lysozyme. A raised serum lysozyme activity in patients with coeliac disease is perhaps in agreement with the latter possibility, but it is not possible to know the relative contributions from inflammatory cells and Paneth cells to the circulating pool of lysozyme.

Conclusions

There is no numerical reduction of jejunal Paneth cells in coeliac disease, although the proportion of cells with a high content of lysozyme is decreased. This finding does not necessarily indicate that the production of lysozyme in Paneth cells is hampered in coeliac disease, but may rather reflect an increased secretion of the enzyme or a raised turnover of the cells.
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