Water and electrolyte absorption by the colon in tropical sprue
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SUMMARY A defect in colonic absorption of electrolytes and water was demonstrated in patients with tropical sprue by perfusing the colon with normal saline containing a non-absorbable marker. Colonic water absorption correlated negatively with stool weight and was abnormal in patients with steatorrhoea. The possible mechanisms producing this defect are discussed. This defect may be related to colonocyte damage produced by unabsorbed unsaturated fatty acids in patients with steatorrhoea.

Damaged small intestinal enterocytes cause malabsorption of nutrients in tropical sprue. The pathogenesis of diarrhoea with increased stool weight, however, the commonest clinical feature in tropical sprue, is not yet fully understood. A defect in small intestinal water and electrolyte absorption sufficient to cause diarrhoea could not be confirmed in southern Indian patients with tropical sprue. Fluid and electrolyte malabsorption in the small intestine was not severe enough to explain the degree of diarrhoea, in view of the colonic reserve capacity to absorb sodium and water. It therefore appeared reasonable to investigate the absorption of electrolytes and water by the colon in a group of southern Indian patients with tropical sprue and appropriate controls using total colonic perfusion with normal saline containing a non-absorbable marker.

Methods

All patients and controls were admitted to a metabolic ward and detailed studies of small intestinal structure and function were carried out as described elsewhere. Informed consent was obtained from all subjects and the protocol was approved by the research committee of this institution. Ten consecutive patients admitted with a diagnosis of tropical sprue of more than three months' duration were studied. Ten age- and sex-matched healthy asymptomatic volunteers from villages kept under surveillance by the Unit were also studied as controls.

The entire colon was perfused at a constant rate through one of three polyvinyl radiopaque tubes (internal diameter 1.5 mm) cemented together; the distal opening positioned fluoroscopically in the caecum after oral passage had a mercury balloon attached to it and was used for perfusion with normal saline with 4 g/l of polyethyleneglycol (PEG molecular weight nominally 4000) as the non-absorbable marker. Two other openings, 20 cm and 60 cm orad from the distal opening, were used to monitor the volume and recovery of ileal contents with phenolsulphonphthalein (PSP) injected through the most proximal opening at one hour intervals throughout the study period with continuous suction applied to the opening 40 cm further down. The position of the tip of the tube in the caecum was confirmed fluoroscopically at the beginning of the perfusion, at two hourly intervals throughout the studies and at the end of perfusion. The rate of perfusion, 5 ml, 10 ml, and 15 ml per minute, was controlled by a constant infusion pump (Watson Marlow, UK) and the order of perfusion was randomised in each subject. The effluent from the colon was collected through a 30F soft rubber catheter positioned at the dentate line.

During the passage of the tube the subjects were allowed their normal diet. When the tube reached the caecum the subject was fasted for eight hours and then the colon washed out with 1 to 2 l normal saline till the return was free of faeces and mucus. The patient was encouraged to empty the colon and
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about an hour after this perfusion was started. At the beginning of perfusion two hours were given for achieving steady state and between each change of perfusion rate one hour. After achieving steady state, four 15 minute samples were collected through the rectal tube for analysis. Calculations of net water and electrolyte movements were done according to standard formulae. Concentrations of sodium and potassium were determined by flame photometry, chloride by titration against mercuric nitrate, and PEG by turbidimetry. PSP was measured spectrophotometrically at 560 μm after precipitation of bile pigments. In seven subjects in each group the residual volume in the colon was determined by quantifying the volume infused and recovered.

**Results**

The mean net water, sodium, and chloride absorption by the colon in patients with tropical sprue was significantly lower compared with the controls at each rate of perfusion (Table 1). Net secretion of potassium into the perfusate was also higher in patients with sprue, although the difference was significant only at the 10 ml/min rate of perfusion (Table 1). Net secretion of water into the lumen was found in several of the patients with tropical sprue (Fig. 1), but net secretion of sodium was seen in only one patient (Fig. 2).

**Table 1** Net movement of water, sodium, chloride, and potassium in 10 patients with tropical sprue and 10 normal controls

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Perfusion rate (ml/min)</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>15</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Water absorption (ml/min)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>1.38 (0.79)</td>
<td>2.02 (1.22)</td>
<td>2.42 (1.97)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sprue</td>
<td>0.14 (0.55)</td>
<td>0.10 (1.15)</td>
<td>0.50 (1.12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sodium absorption (µmol/min)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>350 (132)</td>
<td>530 (243)</td>
<td>774 (507)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sprue</td>
<td>117 (107)</td>
<td>146 (190)</td>
<td>250 (104)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chloride absorption (µmol/min)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>474 (121)</td>
<td>846 (259)</td>
<td>1134 (541)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sprue</td>
<td>234 (94)</td>
<td>396 (172)</td>
<td>561 (153)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Potassium secretion (µmol/min)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>13-1 (12-6)</td>
<td>20 (8-3)</td>
<td>26-2 (16-1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sprue</td>
<td>23-2 (6-9)</td>
<td>32-1 (14-6)</td>
<td>44-9 (29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Numbers indicate mean values, while numbers in parentheses represent the standard deviation.

There was an inverse correlation (p<0.05) between water absorption and stool weight (Fig. 3). This correlation was best using data obtained with 10 ml per minute perfusion. The best discrimination between controls and patients with tropical sprue was also seen at the 10 ml/min perfusion (Table 1).

All patients with tropical sprue had steatorrhoea, while all controls had normal faecal fat. Mean PSP recovery in patients was 40% with 37 ml of fluid recovered from the terminal ileum and in controls 29% with 37-5 ml of fluid recovered. The mean residual volume of fluid in the colon at the end of perfusion at each rate suggested that there was some
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Table 2 Mean distension of the colon at different rates of perfusion in 10 control subjects and 10 patients with sprue

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Perfusion rate (ml/min)</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>15</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normal (ml)</td>
<td>885</td>
<td>994</td>
<td>983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tropical sprue (ml)</td>
<td>826</td>
<td>860</td>
<td>898</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 3 Correlation between colonic net water movement and faecal weight (sprue ●, controls ○).

Distension of the colon with the higher rates of perfusion (Table 2). The colon of patients with tropical sprue retained less fluid, although the differences were not statistically significant.

Discussion

Patients with tropical sprue absorb significantly less electrolytes and water from the colon than comparable controls under identical experimental conditions. The method used in the present study is similar to earlier reports investigating the capacity of the colon to absorb water and electrolytes. The amount of water and electrolytes absorbed by the colon increased with increasing rates of perfusion, although the percentage of the infusate absorbed decreased, as has been noted by other workers. The slowest rate of perfusion used in this study, 5 ml/min, is higher than the normal rate of efflux from the ileum to the colon. Patients and matched controls were studied under identical conditions, however, and comparison between the two groups is therefore valid. The volume of ileal flow as measured by PSP recovery was small; the rate of recovery of the marker was higher in the patient group. The reduction in colonic absorption is therefore unlikely to be due to a disproportionately high ileal efflux in patients with tropical sprue. The rates of perfusion used here are probably in the upper range of fluid flow through the colon in conditions such as cholera, where the volume of ileal effluent has been shown to overcome the absorptive capacity of the colon. It is probably better to test the colonic capacity under conditions which are above the physiological capacity to absorb to bring out the differences between controls and groups of patients under conditions of stress.

The mean absorption of water, sodium, and chloride in patients with sprue was significantly lower than that in controls at each rate of perfusion. In addition, several of the patients had net water secretion, which was not found in the controls. These results support the hypothesis that, in southern Indian patients with tropical sprue, there is a functional defect in the colon leading to colonic water and electrolyte malabsorption. The significant inverse correlation between faecal weight and the amount of water absorbed at 10 ml/min (Fig. 3) suggests that this defect may be contributing significantly to diarrhoea in tropical sprue.

Several possible mechanisms could contribute to the pathogenesis of this colonic lesion in tropical sprue. Electronmicroscopic examination of colonic biopsies is necessary to see if the agent that damages enterocytes also damages colonocytes. Enterotoxin production by bacteria resident in the colon could produce net water and electrolyte secretion as seen in the small bowel in cholera. An osmotic effect caused by unabsorbed nutrients, especially carbohydrates, is unlikely to be a cause, as under the conditions of the experiments the colon is washed clean and only iso-osmotic solutions are perfused. Malabsorption of bile acids with increased faecal loss does occur in some patients with sprue and bile acids have been shown to produce colonic water malabsorption under experimental conditions. Another possible mechanism is the effect of free fatty acids on the colonocyte. In the present study all the patients had steatorrhoea. Free fatty acids are increased in faeces of patients with tropical sprue, although hydroxy fatty acids are not present in significant amounts. Free unsaturated fatty acids inhibit sodium, potassium activated adenosine triphosphatase, and magnesium activated adenosine triphosphatase of the basolateral membrane of colonocytes. The constant exposure of colonocytes to fatty acids present in colonic contents could well be a factor in the pathogenesis of the observed changes in colonic function.
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