Comparison of cholesterol and \(\beta\)-sitosterol: effects on jejunal fluid secretion induced by oleate, and absorption from mixed micellar solutions
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SUMMARY Jejunal fluid secretion induced by perfusion with oleic acid can be reduced by the addition of cholesterol. The present study was performed to test the specificity of this effect by comparing the effects of cholesterol with that of a plant sterol, \(\beta\)-sitosterol during perfusion of the jejunum in healthy volunteers. In addition, we compared the solubilities of cholesterol and \(\beta\)-sitosterol in micellar solutions and their jejunal absorption rates. One millimolar \(\beta\)-sitosterol was as effective as 1 mM cholesterol in reducing jejunal fluid secretion induced by 6 mM oleate (n=7). In mixed micellar solutions consisting of 10 mM taurocholate and 6 mM oleate, solubility of \(\beta\)-sitosterol is about one third of cholesterol solubility. When cholesterol was gradually replaced by \(\beta\)-sitosterol in the incubation mixture, \(\beta\)-sitosterol reduced cholesterol solubility to a greater extent than would be expected from an equimolar replacement of cholesterol by \(\beta\)-sitosterol. Absorption of \(\beta\)-sitosterol was limited by its solubility in mixed micellar solutions and both sterols were absorbed at equal rates as long as their solubility limits were not exceeded (n=5).

Long chain fatty acids induce intestinal fluid and electrolyte secretion in man and experimental animals.\(^1\)\(^-\)\(^4\) In addition, they reduce absorption of organic solutes in the jejunum and ileum.\(^3\)\(^-\)\(^4\) These effects are thought to be responsible for the diarrhoea in certain malabsorption states.\(^5\) We reported recently that cholesterol reduces the effects of oleic acid and taurodeoxycholate on jejunal water and solute transport.\(^6\) To test whether this observation is specific for cholesterol, the present study compares the effects of cholesterol on fluid secretion induced by oleate with those of \(\beta\)-sitosterol, a plant sterol. The experiments provided in addition the opportunity to compare the absorption of cholesterol and \(\beta\)-sitosterol during \textit{in vivo} perfusion. Sitosterol absorption has not been studied in perfusion studies before. In feeding experiments it is poorly absorbed.\(^7\) Because it has been used therapeutically to reduce cholesterol absorption\(^8\) we also studied the effects of \(\beta\)-sitosterol on the solubility of cholesterol in mixed micellar solutions.

Methods

MATERIALS Taurocholate was synthesised as described previously.\(^9\) The purity of the final product was greater than 98\% by thin layer chromatography. Cholic acid was purchased from Sigma Chemical Company (St. Louis, MO). Oleic acid (cis-9-octadecenoic acid) and cholesterol (both with a purity of greater than 99\%) were obtained from Nu Check Prep (Elysian, MN). \(\beta\)-sitosterol (5-cholest-24\beta-ethyl-3\beta-ol) (purity greater than 98\%) was obtained from Applied Science Laboratories (State College, PA), \([1,2,\text{\textsuperscript{14}}\text{C}]-\text{polyethylene glycol}, \text{[4,\text{\textsuperscript{14}}\text{C}]-cholesterol and [22,23-\text{\textsuperscript{3}}\text{H(N)}] }\beta\)-sitosterol from New England Nuclear (Boston, MA).

SOLUBILITY STUDIES The solubilities of cholesterol and \(\beta\)-sitosterol were determined in a solution containing 10 mM taurocholate and 6 mM oleate in 20 mM sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7.4, and 120 mM sodium chloride. One hundred micromoles taurocholate, 6 \(\mu\)mol oleic acid, and 4 \(\mu\)mol sterols were dissolved.
in chloroform: methanol, (v/v 2:1) in 20 ml screw cap vials. The molar ratios of $^{14}$C-cholesterol (specific activity 18 $\mu$Ci/mmol) to $^3$H $\beta$-sitosterol (specific activity 90 $\mu$Ci/mmol) in the mixtures were 4:0, 3:1, 2:2, 1:3 or 0:4. The solvent was evaporated under nitrogen. The dry precipitates were resuspended in 10 ml of 20 mM sodium phosphate buffer with 120 mM NaCl (pH 7.4). The incubation flasks were shaken for four days under argon atmosphere at 37°C. Sterol solubility was determined by filtration through a 220 $\mu$m Millipore filter.\textsuperscript{10} The final concentrations of cholesterol and $\beta$-sitosterol were calculated from the isotope ratios in the filtrates. All incubations were performed in triplicate, all isotope determinations in duplicate.

**Perfusion Technique**

Jejunal perfusion studies were performed in healthy male volunteers who gave informed written consent. The protocol was approved on 28 September 1977 by the Human Studies Committees of the Veterans Administration Center, Wood, Wisconsin and the Medical College of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, Wisconsin. The perfusion technique, previously described,\textsuperscript{1 6} uses a 4-lumen tube with an occluding balloon at the ligament of Treitz. Perfusion solutions were delivered at 37°C at a constant rate of 10 ml per minute just distal to the balloon and sampled 25 cm distally by siphonage. Duodenal contents proximal to the balloon were removed by intermittent suction. An additional gastric tube was inserted for aspiration of gastric secretions. Control and test solutions were perfused for 60 minutes. The first 30 minutes were used for equilibration. Samples were collected for six consecutive five-minute intervals. Steady-state conditions were confirmed by stable concentrations of polyethylene glycol (PEG) during these sequential sampling periods and all results refer to observations during the steady state.\textsuperscript{1 3 6} The means of the six sampling periods constitute one data point.

**Composition of Perfusion Solutions**

Perfused were isotonic electrolyte solutions which contained (in mmol/l): Na 130, K 5, Cl 119, glucose 11-2, taurocholate 10 (for micellar solubilisation), PEG-4000 5 g/ml with [1,2-$^{14}$C] PEG 4 $\mu$Ci/ml as non-absorbable marker. In addition the solutions contained varying concentrations of oleate, cholesterol, or $\beta$-sitosterol (see below).

**Analytical Methods**

PEG was determined as $^{14}$C-PEG.\textsuperscript{11} One millilitre of the perfusate was mixed with 10 ml of a scintillation cocktail (Ready Solv-HP, Beckman Instruments Inc., Fullerton, CA) and counted in a liquid scintillation counter (Beckman Model LS-255). Quench correction was made by external standardisation. Counts per minute were converted into disintegrations per minute with a computer program which corrected for quenching and spillover of $^{14}$C into the tritium channel.\textsuperscript{12} Spillover of tritium into the $^{14}$C channel was less than 1%. Oleic acid was measured by gas liquid chromatography of its methyl ester after acid extraction into a toluene ethanol mixture (2:1) containing heptadecanoic acid as internal standard.\textsuperscript{1 6} Sterols in the perfusion solutions were measured by gas liquid chromatography after alkaline extraction into a toluene ethanol mixture (2:1) containing cholestane as an internal standard.\textsuperscript{13} Glucose was measured by the glucose-oxidase method (Boehringer-Mannheim Corp, New York, NY).

**Calculations and Statistical Analysis**

Net water and solute movements were calculated using standard formulas from the changes in PEG and solute concentrations. Results are expressed as mean ($\pm$ standard error). Net water movement is expressed as ml/min per 25 cm and solute absorption as $\mu$mol/min per 25 cm. Differences in net movement of water and solutes were evaluated statistically by paired $t$ test.

**Results**

**Solubility of Cholesterol and $\beta$-Sitosterol**

Figure 1 describes the solubility of cholesterol and $\beta$-sitosterol in mixed micellar solutions. Under the experimental conditions, maximum solubility of cholesterol alone was almost three times as great as that of $\beta$-sitosterol. The addition of sitosterol to the incubation mixture reduced cholesterol solubility below that predicted by an equimolar replacement of cholesterol by sitosterol, that is, the total amount of sterols solubilised in the presence of $\beta$-sitosterol was less than the amount of cholesterol that could have been solubilised in the absence of $\beta$-sitosterol.

**Effect of Cholesterol and $\beta$-Sitosterol on Fluid Secretion in the Presence of Oleate** (Experiment 1) (Table 1)

Three solutions were perfused in random sequence in seven volunteers. Solution (1) contained 6 mM oleate; solution (2) contained in addition 1 mM cholesterol, and solution (3) 1 mM $\beta$-sitosterol. Net fluid secretion occurred in the presence of 6 mM oleate. The addition of either sterol to the perfusion solution reduced fluid secretion significantly (p=0.005). There was no difference between the effects of cholesterol and $\beta$-sitosterol. Glucose absorption was enhanced with reduced fluid secre-
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Fig. 1 Solubility of cholesterol and β-sitosterol in mixed micellar solutions containing 10 mmol taurocholate and 6 mmol oleate. β-sitosterol reduced the solubility of cholesterol below concentration predicted by an equimolar replacement of cholesterol by β-sitosterol.

ABSORPTION OF CHOLESTEROL AND β-SITOSTEROL FROM MIXED MICELLAR SOLUTIONS (Experiment 2)

To define, whether the absorption rates for β-sitosterol and cholesterol were owing to the different solubility limits of the two sterols, we studied in five volunteers the absorption of (1) 0-25 mM cholesterol, (2) 0-25 mM β-sitosterol and (3) a combination of 0-125 mM cholesterol and β-sitosterol from solutions containing 4 mM of oleate. Four millimolar oleate was chosen because previous studies had shown that no significant fluid secretion is induced by that fatty acid concentration. The sterol concentration in this experiment was well below the solubility limits for either sterol. Water and fatty acid absorption during all three experimental situations were about equal. There was no difference between the absorption rates of cholesterol and β-sitosterol when either sterol was perfused alone or during the perfusion of both sterols simultaneously.

To test whether the solubility limits for the two sterols explained the lower absorption rates of β-sitosterol in experiment 1, we plotted the concentrations of oleate vs cholesterol and β-sitosterol at the sampling site in experiment 1 and experiment 2 (Fig. 2). The figure also shows the solubility limits for each sterol in relation to fatty acid concentration. These lines are extrapolations from the solubility limits in 6 mM oleate and 10 mM taurocholate (Fig. 1). For the purposes of this graph the low solubility of cholesterol and sitosterol in taurocholate alone is set equal to zero and it is assumed that sterol solubility increases linearly with the fatty acid concentration in the solution. As taurocholate absorption in the jejunum is negligible, taurocholate concentration is considered unchanged for purposes of this analysis. During experiment 1 β-sitosterol concentrations at the distal end of the test segment were at or above its solubility limits in five out of seven studies in contrast with only one out of five studies in experiment 2. Cholesterol concentrations remained well below its solubility limits under all experimental conditions.

Discussion

We have shown that β-sitosterol is as effective as

Table 1 Effect of β-sitosterol on fluid secretion in the presence of oleate in the human jejunum

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test conditions (mM)</th>
<th>I Oleate (6)</th>
<th>II Oleate (6) + Cholesterol (1)</th>
<th>III Oleate (6) + β-sitosterol (1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H₂O (ml/min)*</td>
<td>-1.6±0.4</td>
<td>-0.2±0.3†</td>
<td>-0.1±0.3†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose (µmol/min)</td>
<td>64±2.5</td>
<td>70±2.5</td>
<td>76±2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oleic acid (µmol/min)</td>
<td>31.8±3.5</td>
<td>30±1.3±3.3</td>
<td>33±2.3±4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol (µmol/min)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>2.0±0.2</td>
<td>1.1±0.2‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-sitosterol (µmol/min)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* — = net secretion. †p<0.005 vs I. ‡p<0.01 vs I. §p<0.005 vs cholesterol.
Data are mean ±SE from studies in seven healthy volunteers. Each solution was perfused for one hour at 10 ml/min. The perfusion sequence was randomised.
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sterol in reducing jejunal fluid secretion induced by oleate. In addition these studies show that β-sitosterol is less soluble than cholesterol in mixed micellar solutions and that it reduces the solubility of cholesterol. β-sitosterol is absorbed as fast as cholesterol, provided that its solubility limits are not exceeded.

Although the current experiments do not provide a fatty acid free control period, the fluid secretion in the presence of 6 mM oleate can be attributed to the fatty acid in view of our earlier studies³ ⁶ and in view of the fact that water absorption was observed in the presence of 4 mM oleate (Table 2). The data indicate that the ability of cholesterol to reduce fatty acid-induced fluid secretion⁶ is not limited to this sterol. In other model systems β-sitosterol can also substitute for cholesterol. For instance, β-sitosterol supports the growth of sterol-dependent mycoplasmas.¹⁶ The present observation, therefore, is consistent with our hypothesis that cholesterol in the intestinal lumen exerts its protective effect by stabilisation of the lipid bilayer membrane of the enterocytes.⁶ This hypothesis is based on the fact that fatty acids have detergent properties¹⁷ and that cholesterol reduces detergent effects on red blood cells¹⁸ and stabilises phospholipid bilayer membranes in liposomes exposed to membrane-perturbing agents.¹⁹ The fact that sitosterol was less rapidly absorbed than cholesterol does not argue against the proposed mechanism by which sterols reduce fluid secretion induced by fatty acids, because the absorption rate of sterols does not necessarily reflect their concentration in the cell membrane of the enterocyte.

The structural requirements for sterols as membrane stabilising agents are a planar sterol nucleus, a 3β hydroxyl group and a side chain;²⁰ these are all met by β-sitosterol. In previous studies we had shown that reduction of monomer activities of fatty acids by cholesterol cannot explain our in vivo observations.⁶ Intracellular effects are also unlikely, although not completely excluded, in view of the observation that β-sitosterol is as effective as cholesterol in spite of a significantly lower absorption rate (Table 1).

The enhancement of glucose absorption in the presence of cholesterol or β-sitosterol is consistent with our previous observations, that fatty acids reduce absorption of glucose in addition to their effects on water and electrolyte movement.³ A reduction of the effects on glucose absorption is therefore to be expected when fluid secretion is reduced.

The present studies suggest that significant amounts of β-sitosterol can be absorbed from micellar solutions and that a major cause for the poor absorption of β-sitosterol in comparison with cholesterol is its limited solubility in micellar solutions. Under the special conditions where

### Table 2 Absorption of cholesterol and β-sitosterol from micellar solutions in the human jejunum

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test conditions (mM)*</th>
<th>Net transport/25 cm</th>
<th>Glucose (μmol/min)</th>
<th>Cholesterol (μmol/min)</th>
<th>β-sitosterol (μmol/min)</th>
<th>Oleate (μmol/min)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol 0.25</td>
<td>0.5±0.4</td>
<td>67.4±7.7</td>
<td>0.6±0.1</td>
<td>28.1±2.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-sitosterol 0.25</td>
<td>0.6±0.5</td>
<td>73.8±12.9</td>
<td>0.4±0.1</td>
<td>23.8±3.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol 0.125 +</td>
<td>0.8±0.4</td>
<td>73.5±8.5</td>
<td>0.2±0.1</td>
<td>25.6±3.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-sitosterol 0.125</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are mean ±SE from studies in five volunteers.
*All solutions contained 10 mM taurocholate and 4 mM oleate for micellar solubilisation of sterols.

Fig. 2 Concentrations of cholesterol and β-sitosterol in relation to that of oleate at the distal end of the test segment during perfusion of the human jejunum. All solutions contained 10 mM taurocholate. In experiment 1 (open symbols) the perfusion solutions contained 6 mM oleate and 1 mM sterol, in experiment 2 (closed symbols) 4 mM oleate and 0.25 mM sterol. The dotted and solid lines indicate the solubility limits for cholesterol and β-sitosterol under these conditions.
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Solubility in micellar solution was maintained, the rate of absorption of β-sitosterol was equal to that of cholesterol (Table 2). This is consistent with the concept that micellar solubilisation facilitates lipid absorption. The data can be reconciled with results from feeding experiments, where sitosterol absorption has been consistently lower than cholesterol absorption because, according to our solubility studies, the sitosterol administered in triglyceride oil would have been much less soluble in micellar solution than cholesterol. Moreover, in the experiments by Sylven and Borgström the oil phase was markedly expanded and only 75% of the triglyceride administered was absorbed. Therefore, the greater lipid solubility of sitosterol may have favoured its presence in the oil phase in comparison with cholesterol. The data are somewhat more difficult to reconcile with the uptake studies by Sylven in which sitosterol uptake from micellar solutions was significantly less than that of cholesterol. The micellar solutions, however, in these experiments were made up of 10 mM taurodeoxycholate and 10 mM monoolein, as micellar expander. Taurodeoxycholate in contrast to taurocholate has significant effects on the intestinal mucosa and sterol uptake and absorption is significantly influenced by the polar lipid used as micellar expander. Thus, the experimental conditions may not be comparable.

Our observations, of course, have the limitation that absorption was only assessed by the recovery of the perfused lipid at the sampling site. The observations will, therefore, have to be confirmed in an animal model where recovery of the absorbed sterols in the thoracic duct lymph and their absorption in the perfused test segment can be measured simultaneously.

In feeding experiments β-sitosterol significantly reduces the absorption of cholesterol and this observation has been used therapeutically. The mechanism by which β-sitosterol reduces the absorption of cholesterol has not been studied in detail. The solubility experiments show that the less soluble β-sitosterol reduces the solubility of cholesterol in mixed micellar solutions to a greater extent than would be expected from a mole for mole replacement of cholesterol. β-sitosterol is less soluble, probably because its more bulky tail requires more space in the hydrophobic core of the mixed micelles. This would also explain why cholesterol solubility is reduced to such a degree in the presence of β-sitosterol. As micellar solubilisation becomes rate limiting for lipid absorption, reduction of cholesterol solubility by the addition of sitosterol to the diet will reduce its absorption in feeding experiments or balance studies.

The current observations could find some therapeutic application in attempts to control the diarrhoea of patients with steatorrhoea.
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