Adenosine deaminase activity in the diagnosis of tuberculous peritonitis
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SUMMARY We studied the activity of adenosine deaminase in the peritoneal fluid of 66 patients who were divided into five groups according to causes of ascites as follows: tuberculous peritonitis (group I), septic peritonitis (group II), secondary to malignant tumours (group III), miscellaneous conditions (group IV), and control subjects of transudates (group V). In patients with tuberculous peritonitis the enzyme activity was significantly higher than for the rest of the groups (p<0.001), and enzyme concentrations in all patients were well above the upper non-tuberculous value. Adenosine deaminase activity in the peritoneal fluid has proved to be a simple and reliable method for early diagnosis of tuberculous peritonitis.

Adenosine deaminase (EC 3.5.4.4) is an enzyme of the catabolism of purine bases, capable of catalysing the deamination of adenosine forming inosine in the process. Its principal biological activity is related to proliferation and differentiation of lymphocytes; the enzyme activity is greater in T lymphocytes than in B cells, and it is also inversely proportional to the degree of T cell differentiation.

In previous studies we confirmed the high sensitivity and specificity of adenosine deaminase test for early diagnosis of tuberculous pleuropericardial and meningeal effusions. The adenosine deaminase increase was attributed to the matrurative stage and/or degree of stimulation of T lymphocytes as a response of cell mediated immunity to mycobacterial antigens.

This prospective report evaluates the adenosine deaminase test in patients with tuberculous peritonitis.

Methods

Patients
We studied the activity of adenosine deaminase in the peritoneal fluid of 66 patients with ascites who were included in a systematised prospective protocol. This protocol evaluated clinical data (medical history and physical examination), chest and abdominal roentgenograms, tuberculin test (5 IU of PPD-T), and results of a series of diagnostic procedures, such as: (1) chemistry profile of peritoneal fluid (protein, glucose, LDH, and amylase content, and adenosine deaminase activity); (2) qualitative and quantitative cytology of the ascitic fluid in regard to ratio of lymphocytes and polymorphonuclear leukocytes; (3) cultural identification of microorganisms from peritoneal fluid, sputum, gastric aspirate, biopsied tissue specimens (Ziehl-Neelsen stain, cultures in agar and Lowenstein-Jensen media, and in medium for anaerobic bacteria), and (4) histological examination of peritoneal tissue obtained by laparoscopy or laparotomy-pathologic confirmation was available in eight (12%) cases.

Patients were grouped according to the final aetiological diagnosis of the peritoneal fluid, as follows:

Group I included 10 patients with tuberculous peritonitis confirmed by bacteriologic and/or histopathologic studies. In eight cases, M tuberculosis was cultured from peritoneal fluid, and in three of them peritoneal or pleural biopsy revealed caseating granulomatous serosis. In the remaining two cases, bacteriological studies were negative, but histologic examination of peritoneal and pleural specimens showed characteristic lymphocytic exudates, that
resolved after administration of tuberculostatic agents.

Group II consisted of eight cirrhotic patients with septic peritonitis resulting from infected ascites. Causative microorganisms identified by culture of the peritoneal fluid and/or blood culture were *Escherichia coli* in five cases, *Proteus mirabilis* in two cases, and *Bacteroides fragilis* in one case.

Group III included 17 patients with ascites as a manifestation of malignant tumours. In all cases cytologic examinations of the ascitic fluid disclosed malignant cells at least in three different consecutive samples. Furthermore, peritoneal biopsy revealed neoplastic infiltration in three cases. The primary tumour was found in the ovary in six cases, gastrointestinal tract in five cases, kidney in one case, breast in one case, and in the remaining four cases the primary site was unknown.

Group IV consisted of six patients with miscellaneous conditions, such as pancreatic ascites (three cases), Whipple's disease (one case), Meigs' syndrome (one case), and chylous ascites secondary to cirrhosis of the liver (one case).

Group V consisted of 25 patients with ascites (acellular, biochemically proved transudate) secondary to liver cirrhosis (23 cases) or hypoproteinaemia (two cases). This group was considered the control group.

The determination of adenosine deaminase in the peritoneal fluid was carried out after the colorimetric method of Galanti and Giusti, which is based on the indirect measurement of the formation of NH₃ produced when adenosine deaminase acts in excess of adenosine. The Mann-Whitney test was used to analyse the data for statistical significance.

**Results**

The median enzyme value was 108.5 U/l in group I (patients with tuberculosis), 1.7 U/l in group II (patients with infected ascites), 6.3 U/l in group III (patients with neoplasms), 6.9 U/l in group IV (patients with miscellaneous conditions), and 0.01 in group V (control subjects). Table 1 refers to adenosine deaminase activity in the different groups; individual results are given in the Figure.
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**Figure** Individual distribution of adenosine deaminase values in the different groups of peritoneal effusions: group I, tuberculous peritonitis; group II, non-tuberculous septic peritonitis; group III, neoplasms; group IV, miscellaneous, and group V, transudates or control subjects.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Cases (n)</th>
<th>Adenosine deaminase</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>Significant difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>108.5</td>
<td>72.5-148</td>
<td>II, III, IV, V (p&lt;0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0-6</td>
<td>I (p&lt;0.001), III (p&lt;0.05), IV (p&lt;0.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>0-44</td>
<td>I, V (p&lt;0.001), II (p&lt;0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>0-5</td>
<td>I, V (p&lt;0.001), II (p&lt;0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>3-19</td>
<td>I, III, IV (p&lt;0.001)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2 Clinical data and results of diagnostic procedures in 10 patients with tuberculous peritonitis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (yr)</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Tuberculin test</th>
<th>WBC</th>
<th>Lymphocytes %</th>
<th>Ziehl stain*</th>
<th>Löwenstein culture medium*</th>
<th>Histologic study</th>
<th>Adenosine deaminase activity</th>
<th>Chest radiograph</th>
<th>Active extraperitoneal tuberculosis</th>
<th>Associated condition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>+ PSB</td>
<td>+ PSB</td>
<td>+ peritoneal 102-65</td>
<td>right pleural effusion</td>
<td>pulmonary</td>
<td>alcoholic liver cirrhosis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1850</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>- PB</td>
<td>+ PB</td>
<td>+ peritoneal 141-20</td>
<td>hilar lymph nodes</td>
<td>Fallopian tubes</td>
<td>normal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>2100</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>- PGB</td>
<td>+ P</td>
<td>+ peritoneal 126-20</td>
<td>normal</td>
<td>calcified</td>
<td>granulomas</td>
<td>pulmonary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>1150</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>- PS</td>
<td>+ P</td>
<td>91-80</td>
<td>calcified granulomas</td>
<td></td>
<td>right pleural effusion</td>
<td>pulmonary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>470</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>- PSGB</td>
<td>+ S</td>
<td>+ pleural 72-50</td>
<td>military pattern pneumonia</td>
<td></td>
<td>pulmonary</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>2700</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>- PS</td>
<td>+ P</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>pulmonary</td>
<td></td>
<td>pulmonary</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>780</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>- PB</td>
<td>- PB</td>
<td>+ pleural 87</td>
<td>pulmonary</td>
<td></td>
<td>pulmonary</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>- PB</td>
<td>- PB</td>
<td>+ peritoneal 77</td>
<td>normal</td>
<td></td>
<td>alcoholism</td>
<td>diabetes m.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>5840</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>- PS</td>
<td>+ P</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>normal</td>
<td></td>
<td>haemodialysis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P: peritoneal fluid; S: sputum; B: biopsy; G: gastric aspirate.
adenosine deaminase activity between the groups of patients with neoplasms and miscellaneous conditions, nor between patients with septic peritonitis and control subjects (transudate). Table 1 shows the significant differences between the groups.

Clinical data of the 10 patients with tuberculous peritonitis are summarised in Table 2. The tuberculin test was positive (diameter of skin thickness > 10 mm read 48 hours later) in seven (70%) cases, increased proportion of lymphocytes (higher than 70% of the total cellularity) in eight (80%) patients, and positive bacteriologic diagnosis also in eight (80%) patients. The Ziehl-Neelsen stain was positive in only one case (10%). Histological studies of peritoneal (four cases) and pleural biopsies (two cases) were always positive. Furthermore, adenosine deaminase test was more sensitive and specific than the absolute lymphocyte count; 10 of the 17 patients in group III (neoplastic ascites) had a higher number of lymphocytes than the lower limit of the tuberculous cases. The test was also more specific than lymphocytosis (100% versus 70%).

There was no correlation between the adenosine deaminase concentration and the absolute lymphocyte count (Spearman’s correlation coefficient).

Discussion

Despite a generalised impression that tuberculous peritonitis has become uncommon, this form of tuberculosis still occurs in endemic areas. Also, the prevalence of extrapulmonary tuberculosis is declining more slowly than the reduction in the number of cases of pulmonary tuberculosis. The incidence of tuberculous peritonitis in some large series of patients with tuberculous infection varies between 0.4%–0.7%;14–18 it is about 4% in Spain.19

The diagnosis of tuberculous peritonitis is easy to establish whenever lymphocytic ascitic exudate occurs in a tuberculous patient with positive cultural identification of M tuberculosis, but this occurs in 10%–40% of cases.17 18 20 In most cases, diagnosis should be made by culture confirmation from ascitic effusion; Ziehl-Neelsen stained smears for standard microscopic detection of acid fast bacteria are not particularly useful. Culture confirmation is positive in only 8%–69% of the cases,20 21 although it may reach a positivity of 83%,22 when a litre of fluid is used for bacteriologic diagnosis. Another problem is the time spent waiting for cultural proof of diagnosis (from 4–6 weeks).

Histologic examination plus cultural identification of M tuberculosis from tissue biopsied under direct vision (laparoscopy) reaches a diagnostic sensitivity from 75%–100%.23–26 The procedure, however, may not be available at all medical institutions, and still has an extremely low mortality and morbidity in experienced hands.

The present results confirm the high sensitivity and specificity of adenosine deaminase test for early diagnosis of tuberculosis in cases of serous effusions. The selective enzyme increase in tuberculous peritonitis strongly supports the concept that the study of adenosine deaminase activity is of great value for identifying the tuberculous aetiology of ascites.

Liver disease and ascites are frequently seen in alcoholics, who also present with a high incidence of tuberculosis. Tuberculous peritonitis may be a transudate in up to 29% of the cases.27 For this reason the differential diagnosis of tuberculous peritonitis in alcoholics can be difficult to establish. In our series, two patients with tuberculous peritonitis were alcoholics; one of them had liver cirrhosis and ascites corresponding to a transudate. Increased adenosine deaminase activity was found in both cases, whereas in alcoholic patients with infected ascites (group II) and in alcoholics from the control group adenosine deaminase concentrations were lower. In our experience, the adenosine deaminase test proved to be useful in differentiating tuberculous and non-tuberculous ascites in alcoholic patients.

This specific serologic test provides a presumptive diagnosis of tuberculous peritonitis upon which to institute che motherapy, although it does not replace the standard histological and microbiological diagnostic methods.
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