Morphological and cell kinetic effects of dietary manipulation during colorectal carcinogenesis

D J GALLOWAY, FREDA JARRETT, P BOYLE, MALLIKA INDRAN, KATHARINE CARR, R W OWEN, AND W D GEORGE

From the University Departments of Surgery, Pathology and Anatomy, Western Infirmary and University of Glasgow, Glasgow, and Bacterial Metabolism Research Laboratory, Centre for Applied Microbiology and Research PHLS Porton Down, Salisbury, Wilts.

SUMMARY The effect of dietary manipulation of fat and fibre on the structural and cell kinetic characteristics of colonic mucosa was studied before and during experimental carcinogenesis in 232 male Albino Swiss rats. Carcinogen treated animals were given 12 weekly injections of azoxymethane (10 mg/kg/week). The animals were divided between four dietary groups (1) high fat, high fibre, (2) low fat, high fibre, (3) high fat, low fibre and (4) low fat, low fibre. Pathological and cell kinetic information together with details of certain faecal characteristics was collected when the animals were killed 4, 20, and 28 weeks after starting their experimental diet. Tumour induction was significantly influenced by diet. The highest risk of colorectal tumour development was found in groups fed diet 3: high fat, low fibre (p<0.03). In contrast, diet 2: low fat, high fibre was associated with the lowest risk. The proportion of histologically proven colonic tumours occurring in each dietary group was: diet 1 – 10.9%, diet 2 – 3.6%, diet 3 – 63.7%, diet 4 – 21.8%. Scanning electron microscopic (SEM) studies done on selected samples indicated both dietary and azoxymethane related alterations in crypt unit integrity. The most marked surface architectural changes were seen in carcinogen treated animals maintained on diet 3 (high fat, low fibre). Stathmokinetic analysis revealed considerable intergroup variability. Both fat and fibre produced significant effects, principally during the preneoplastic phase of carcinogenesis. Faster proliferative activity tended to be found in animals at low risk of tumour induction (diet 2), slower proliferation being more characteristic of animals at high risk (p<0.05) The findings suggest that both topographical and cell kinetic parameters have an important relationship with promoting and protecting dietary factors during the development of colorectal cancer.

Colorectal cancer is at present the second most frequent cause of cancer related death in the Western World. Despite increasing sophistication in both diagnostic and therapeutic strategies, little improvement has been evident in the outcome after management of this condition. Much of the current research relative to large bowel cancer is thus being directed towards achieving a clearer understanding of the aetiological and biological properties of the disease.

Descriptive and analytical epidemiological studies have, by highlighting the marked international incidence variation for colorectal cancer, consistently emphasised the importance of environmental factors in the aetiology of this condition. In particular, dietary factors appear to be important, especially dietary fat and fibre which have been shown to have promoting and protecting influences respectively.

Over the past decade several good animal models for experimental colorectal cancer have been developed and investigated. Wide experience has been gained with a particularly reliable model which involves the induction of large bowel cancer in rodents using such hydrazine carcinogens as 1, 2-dimethyl-hydrazine or azoxymethane. Dietary manipulation of fat and fibre during experimental carcinogenesis has shown respective promoting and protecting influences attributable to these crude nutrients in the animal model.
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In the process of neoplastic transformation it is clear that functional disturbances in the proliferative characteristics of any tissue undergoing neoplastic change are required before the development of the recognisable morphological abnormalities that constitute neoplasia. Current understanding of the histogenesis of colorectal cancer owes much to morphological studies. Until recently, however, there has been relatively little emphasis on the study of the functional changes in growth characteristics which can account for the resulting histological pattern. Thus kinetic parameters demand scrutiny in any serious study of the histogenesis of colorectal cancer.

It is clear, therefore, that both dietary factors and cell kinetic characteristics bear a fundamental relationship to the development of colorectal cancer. The purpose of this study was to examine and characterise the effects of the manipulation of dietary fat and fibre on the structural and cell kinetic characteristics of colonic mucosa during the induction of experimental large bowel cancer.

Methods

STUDY DESIGN

The experimental system used in this study involved the induction of colorectal cancer in inbred, male Albino Swiss rats using subcutaneous azoxymethane given in a dose of 10 mg/kg/week for 12 consecutive weeks. The animals were 8–12 weeks old at the start of the experiment and they were housed in cages with stainless steel grid floors to minimise coprophagia. Two hundred and thirty two animals were studied and divided between four dietary groups. Both the experimental diets and water were available to the animals ad libitum. The diets were commercially prepared to the crude nutrient specifications shown in Table 1. (Special Diet Services, Witham, Essex).

Table 1  Dietary composition; crude nutrient content (adjusted to 10% water content)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Diet 1</th>
<th>Diet 2</th>
<th>Diet 3</th>
<th>Diet 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High fat</td>
<td>Low fat</td>
<td>High fat</td>
<td>Low fat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>high fibre</td>
<td>high fibre</td>
<td>low fibre</td>
<td>low fibre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moisture (%)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crude fat (%)</td>
<td>25-1</td>
<td>2-1</td>
<td>25-1</td>
<td>1-9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crude fibre (%)</td>
<td>26-6</td>
<td>26-9</td>
<td>2-1</td>
<td>1-9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crude protein (%)</td>
<td>14-9</td>
<td>14-8</td>
<td>14-9</td>
<td>14-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbohydrate (%)</td>
<td>16-4</td>
<td>38-7</td>
<td>43-7</td>
<td>67-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ash (%)</td>
<td>7-0</td>
<td>7-5</td>
<td>4-1</td>
<td>4-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (%)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digestive energy</td>
<td>13-0</td>
<td>8-2</td>
<td>17-9</td>
<td>13-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20-1</td>
<td>14-7</td>
<td>20-3</td>
<td>14-9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Each diet contained the same standard vitamin and mineral supplementation. The source of fat in the diets was beef tallow and the principal source of fibre was purified cellulose. Morphological and cell kinetic observations were made at three different stages of carcinogenesis as follows:

1 0/12 category

The animals in this measurement category were maintained on the respective diets for four weeks before being killed. No carcinogen or control injections were administered.

2 4/12 category

Animals in this group were maintained on their respective diet for four weeks and then, in addition, a course of control or carcinogen injections were given and the animals killed four months from the time of the first injection.

3 6/12 category

In this group the animals were treated in the same way as the 4/12 category except that the time of death was six months after the first injection.

GROUP SIZE

In the 0/12 category each of the four dietary groups contained 10 animals. In both the 4/12 and 6/12 categories both control and carcinogen treated groups for each of the four diets comprised 12 animals.

SAMPLING PROCEDURE

At the time of death an identical sampling procedure was followed for each group of up to 12 animals. Eight of these animals were used for the stathmokinetic analysis. These animals received intraperitoneal vincristine (1 mg per kg) at 9:00 am on the day of study. Individual animals within the group were then killed at carefully defined time intervals (30, 45, 60, 75, 90, 105, 120, and 180 minutes) over the ensuing three hours. All of these animals were then subjected to the same post mortem examination. The gastrointestinal tract was excised and opened along its length. Standard full thickness specimens were taken from the colon at four defined sites corresponding to the rectum, the descending colon, the major flexure and the caecum. Two corresponding samples were taken from each of these four areas and were processed for histology and cell population kinetic analysis respectively. The liver was also removed from each animal and was processed for histology as was any other abnormal feature detected on autopsy.

HISTOLOGY

The tissue samples were fixed in buffered 10% formalin. Dehydration and blocking in paraffin wax was carried out and four micron thick sections were
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cut and then stained using a regressive haemalum and eosin staining technique before examination. Standard histological sections from three animals in each individual subgroup – that is, 20 subgroups, and from each of the four anatomical sites were examined for evidence of crypt hyperplasia by carefully counting the nuclei along the length of 10 axially sectioned crypts on each slide.

Scanning Electron Microscopy

Paraffin embedded tissue blocks from the descending colon of each dietary group studied in the 4/12 category were taken and reprocessed for scanning electron microscopy by removing the paraffin wax from the tissue firstly by trimming and subsequently by melting in a pan of hot wax. The samples were then taken through four changes of xylene and then two changes each of absolute alcohol and amyl acetate before critical point drying with carbon dioxide. They were then mounted on stubs using silver paint, coated with gold in a sputter coater and examined in a JEOL T300 scanning electron microscope at 20KV without any stage tilt. The recording was done on FP4 70mm films by exposing it for 90 seconds.

To facilitate the analysis of the SEM appearances a scoring system was used. This was developed from a previously validated system for the assessment of villous damage in irradiated small intestinal mucosa.

The scoring system is as follows: 0: Spherical or ovoid crypt units with round or ovoid crypt orifices; normal appearance, (Fig. 1), 2: Elongated crypt units with slit like orifices, 4: Large crypt units with wide orifices, 6: Crypt units showing wide orifices with swollen edges, 8: Absence of definitive crypt units (Fig. 2), 10: Total absence of epithelium. When a sample contained crypt units fitting more than one of the descriptive categories, an average of the individual total score for each dietary group was calculated.

Cell Kinetics

The tissue samples for kinetic analysis were fixed in Carnoy’s fluid for six hours, rehydrated, hydrolysed...
in normal hydrochloric acid at 60°C for 10 minutes before staining in Schiff's reagent for one hour. The mucosa was then microdissected and a squashed preparation of whole colonic crypts was obtained which was then mounted in clear epoxy resin. Mean whole crypt metaphase counts from 10 crypts in each sample were then plotted against time to derive the crypt cell production rate by linear regression analysis.

**STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

In order to make estimates of the independent effects of the different levels of dietary fat and fibre intakes as well as the administration of a carcinogen, the use of a multivariate analysis was required. This was accomplished using the computer package GLIM (Generalised Linear Interactive Modelling). In order to test whether the crypt cell production rates differed between the dietary groups a modified linear regression analysis was used. Because each point on any slope was calculated from a number of observations and the standard errors of each point varied considerably, it was necessary to perform the regression ‘weighting’ each point inversely according to its standard error. This avoided the situation where one very high or low aberrant value based on few observations could over-influence the calculated slope.

**FAecal CHARACTERISTICS**

The weight of faeces produced by animals in the four dietary groups within the 4/12 category was recorded during two separate seven day periods. These periods were located during week six and week 16, that is, halfway through the course of injections and immediately before death.

Faeces from each animal were tested just before death for the presence of occult blood using a modified guiac test. (Haemoccult; Eaton Labs).

The identification and quantification of faecal steroids was done as previously described. Faecal samples from each group were pooled and extracts

Fig. 2. Scanning electron microscopic appearances showing crypt units with wide orifices with swollen edges; the crypt unit integrity score was 8.
comprising both neutral sterols and free faecal bile acids were analysed, the individual compounds being identified by computerised gas liquid chromatography and mass spectrometry.

**Results**

**General Characteristics**

In total, 24 (10-34%) of the 232 animals failed to survive until the scheduled time of their death. Of these, six were in the control and 18 in the carcinogen treated groups. No pathological abnormalities were detected among the control groups and in the carcinogen treated animals, no deaths were clearly attributable to gastrointestinal neoplasia or any complications resulting therefrom. Considerable difficulty was encountered in achieving detailed post-mortem information from these animals because of cannibalisation. The four different dietary groups in both the 0/12 category and the 4/12 category were not statistically different from one another with regard to either the weight of diet consumed or the calculated gross energy intake appropriate to that food intake. In the 6/12 category both the weight of food taken in and the corresponding gross energy value was greater in animals fed diet 1 compared with all the other three dietary groups (p<0-001).

Table 2 shows that the pattern amongst the four dietary groups for total body weight during the course of experiments was such that high fibre fed animals tended to thrive less well than low fibre fed animals. The only groups which consistently lost body weight were the 6/12 groups eating diet 2 (low fat, high fibre).

**Pathology**

No abnormalities were encountered in any of the control animals. Reliable autopsy data are available for 208 (90%) animals. Among the carcinogen treated groups in the 4/12 category the only neoplastic lesions noted were found among animals consuming diet 3 (high fat, low fibre). Amongst these 10 animals, four had large bowel neoplasia; in two, lesions in the proximal colon were easily visible and in a further two microscopic adenomatous foci were detected on histological examination of mucosa which appeared macroscopically normal.

Within the 6/12 category an entire range of gastrointestinal neoplastic lesions was identified. The interdietary distribution of visible colonic tumours which were subsequently confirmed histologically was analysed by first comparing the median number of tumours in each dietary group using the Kruskal-Wallis test (non-parametric analysis of variance). This revealed that the median number of tumours for each of the dietary groups showed statistically significant differences (p<0-025). Follow up Mann-Whitney U tests were carried out to compare each diet with each of the other diets. Diet 1 had significantly fewer tumours than diet 3 (p<0-02) and diet 4 (p<0-05). Diet 2 had significantly fewer tumours than diet 3 (p<0-02) and diet 4 (p<0-05). Finally, diet 3 animals had significantly more tumours than diet 4 counterparts.

In total, 55 gastrointestinal neoplasms were confirmed among all the carcinogen treated groups in these experiments. Six (10-9%) of these lesions were found in animals fed diet 1. Two (3-6%) of the lesions occurred in animals fed diet 2 (low fat, high fibre). In contrast, 35 (63-7%) occurred in high fat, low fibre fed animals, – that is, diet 3, and the remaining 12 (21-8%) were found in the low fat, low fibre fed group.

Careful assessment of crypt length showed no evidence of any significant differences between any carcinogen treated groups and their corresponding controls. Thus in this study there is no direct evidence of any azoxymethane induced crypt hyperplasia.
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Fig. 4  Scanning electron microscopic appearances of tiny adenomatous focus involving several adjacent crypts.

**TOPOGRAPHICAL CHARACTERISTICS**
No major topographical changes can be attributed to diet along the colon, although slight differences in surface architecture were apparent between the dietary groups, with the highest crypt unit integrity score applying to animals fed diet 2 (low fat, high fibre). After carcinogen treatment a much greater degree of deviation from the normal pattern was seen. These changes were most severe in the animals fed diet 3 (high fat, low fibre), see Figure 3.

**CELL POPULATION KINETICS**
Table 3 shows the values for the crypt cell production rates (CCPR) in the animals in the 4/12 category. There was considerable variability within those data and no clear pattern exists with respect to an effect of any of the major variables, namely diet, anatomical

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diet</th>
<th>Anatomical site</th>
<th>Rectum</th>
<th>Descending colon</th>
<th>Transverse colon</th>
<th>Caecum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>AOM</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>AOM</td>
<td>Control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>High fat</td>
<td>7.54</td>
<td>12.72</td>
<td>12.57</td>
<td>9.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High fibre</td>
<td>7.82</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Low fat</td>
<td>11.52</td>
<td>21.51</td>
<td>13.45</td>
<td>42.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High fibre</td>
<td>11.16</td>
<td>4.82</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>High fat</td>
<td>4.23</td>
<td>6.72</td>
<td>7.80</td>
<td>8.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Low fibre</td>
<td>3.32</td>
<td>3.69</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Low fat</td>
<td>9.25</td>
<td>14.10</td>
<td>12.60</td>
<td>11.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Low fibre</td>
<td>7.32</td>
<td>10.40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The kinetic activity is rather more even and no significant dietary differences appeared. In the corresponding carcinogen treated animals there was only one isolated difference between diets 1 and 4.

Faecal Characteristics

Physical Properties

Table 4 shows the weight of faecal output for control and carcinogen treated groups, during both week six and week 16 of the study, averaged and expressed as grams of faeces per rat per 24 hours. High fibre containing diets (diets 1 and 2) were associated with a much more marked bulking effect than the low fibre containing diets (p<0.001). Furthermore no significant differences were seen which could be attributed to dietary fat or the administration of carcinogen.

Faecal Occult Blood

In 208 animals Haemoccult tests were carried out and 22 (10-57%) were positive. Sensitivity and specificity have been calculated with respect to macroscopically obvious gastrointestinal lesions which subsequently were confirmed to be neoplastic on histological examination. There were five false positive slides and one false negative. Thus the test had a sensitivity of 94.4% and a specificity of 97.3% The predictive accuracy was 77.2% for a positive result and 99.4% for a negative result.

Faecal Bile Acid Concentration

Figure 6 shows a summary of the total faecal free bile acid (FBA) concentration expressed as milligrams per gram dry faeces. The total FBA concentration in dietary groups 1 and 2, the high fibre containing diets,

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diet</th>
<th>Control groups</th>
<th>Carcinogen treated groups</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Week 6</td>
<td>Week 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>High fat</td>
<td>12-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High fibre</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Low fat</td>
<td>12-25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High fibre</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>High fat</td>
<td>1-39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Low fibre</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Low fat</td>
<td>2-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Low fibre</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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were most dissimilar. Neither protein nor carbohydrates have been previously thought to influence carcinogenesis significantly. There is no direct relationship between tumour induction and food or energy intake in this study. It is possible, however, that the protective effect of diet 2 (low fat, high fibre) may have been accentuated by the failure of those particular groups to thrive.

Current understanding of the role of dietary factors on intestinal carcinogenesis implicates the metabolic activity of the bacterial population of the gastrointestinal tract. The flora can be altered by changes in dietary practices. Whether fibre produces its effect by some direct physicochemical action or by increasing faecal bulk, thereby diluting and possibly minimising any contact between the mucosa, and as yet unidentified carcinogens, is unknown. In this study fibre was the only nutrient associated with any significant alteration in faecal bulk.

The proposed promoting mechanism for fat has been closely linked with faecal bile acid concentration. It has been shown that in man, increasing the dietary intake of fat was associated with an increase in FBA concentration. In experimental animals, secondary bile acids have cocarcinogenic properties. While in the present study a comparison of the diets with respect to FBA concentration showed a pattern which paralleled that of tumour induction, the major dietary determinant of FBA concentration was fibre rather than fat content.

**Dynamic cell population kinetics**

While the fundamental relevance of cell kinetic studies to colorectal neoplasia is not in dispute, the actual kinetic processes involved in tumour development are more controversial. Dietary manipulation in this study produced no overall clear-cut kinetic effect. Carcinogen treated groups in the preneoplastic phase of tumour development (4/12 category) did not show some striking fat and fibre related trends in colonic proliferative activity. The slower kinetic activity tended to occur in dietary groups at high risk for tumour induction, the lower risk diet groups having more rapid cell production rates. High fibre containing diets were frequently associated with fast cell production, while high fat, in contrast, was often linked to slower cell proliferation. There were no kinetic effects attributable to individual factors such as azoxymethane, protein, carbohydrate, energy intake or body weight.

In view of the presence of discernable diet related kinetic effects at only one stage of carcinogenesis it may be postulated that these proliferative patterns are relevant to subsequent tumour production at one crucial part of a complex multistage process. Much

---

**Discussion**

Despite the many mechanisms postulated by which dietary fat and fibre may exert promoting and protecting influences on colorectal carcinogenesis, the exact importance of each and their interrelationships are still poorly understood.

**Tumour induction**

In this study the two techniques of histology and SEM show that both the levels of dietary fat and fibre have a bearing on the ultimate tumour risk applying to carcinogen treated animals consuming any one of the four diets. As in other investigations, high levels of fat enhanced carcinogenesis, and high levels of fibre had a potent protective effect. In addition there is good evidence of a significant interaction between the promoting and protecting influences of fat and fibre.

The deliberate variation of any single dietary component will require the addition or displacement of other components thus altering the relative composition of at least two dietary variables. The role of other crude nutrients such as protein and carbohydrate on subsequent tumour induction is seen here to be of little importance. The protein content of the four study diets is closely similar despite widely differing risks for tumour development. While carbohydrate levels differed between the groups they were most closely similar between diets 2 and 3, for which tumour induction patterns were most dissimilar. Neither protein nor carbohydrates have been previously thought to influence carcinogenesis significantly. There is no direct relationship between tumour induction and food or energy intake in this study. It is possible, however, that the protective effect of diet 2 (low fat, high fibre) may have been accentuated by the failure of those particular groups to thrive.

Current understanding of the role of dietary factors on intestinal carcinogenesis implicates the metabolic activity of the bacterial population of the gastrointestinal tract. The flora can be altered by changes in dietary practices. Whether fibre produces its effect by some direct physicochemical action or by increasing faecal bulk, thereby diluting and possibly minimising any contact between the mucosa, and as yet unidentified carcinogens, is unknown. In this study fibre was the only nutrient associated with any significant alteration in faecal bulk.

The proposed promoting mechanism for fat has been closely linked with faecal bile acid concentration. It has been shown that in man, increasing the dietary intake of fat was associated with an increase in FBA concentration. In experimental animals, secondary bile acids have cocarcinogenic properties. While in the present study a comparison of the diets with respect to FBA concentration showed a pattern which paralleled that of tumour induction, the major dietary determinant of FBA concentration was fibre rather than fat content.

**Dynamic cell population kinetics**

While the fundamental relevance of cell kinetic studies to colorectal neoplasia is not in dispute, the actual kinetic processes involved in tumour development are more controversial. Dietary manipulation in this study produced no overall clear-cut kinetic effect. Carcinogen treated groups in the preneoplastic phase of tumour development (4/12 category) did not show some striking fat and fibre related trends in colonic proliferative activity. The slower kinetic activity tended to occur in dietary groups at high risk for tumour induction, the lower risk diet groups having more rapid cell production rates. High fibre containing diets were frequently associated with fast cell production, while high fat, in contrast, was often linked to slower cell proliferation. There were no kinetic effects attributable to individual factors such as azoxymethane, protein, carbohydrate, energy intake or body weight.

In view of the presence of discernable diet related kinetic effects at only one stage of carcinogenesis it may be postulated that these proliferative patterns are relevant to subsequent tumour production at one crucial part of a complex multistage process. Much
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