Distribution of the 10 000 molecular weight calcium binding protein along the small and large intestine of man
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SUMMARY The distribution of the 10000 molecular weight calcium binding protein along the human small and large intestine was studied using an enzyme linked immunoabsorbent assay. Small intestinal mucosal samples were obtained from the duodenal bulb, the second and third part of the duodenum and at about 50 cm intervals from jejunum and ileum of five whole small intestines of necro-kidney donors. Mucosal samples of caecum, colon ascendens, and transversum were also investigated. The amount of calcium binding protein per milligram of cytosolic protein increased throughout duodenum to reach the maximum in the proximal segment of jejunum and then declined steadily to nearly undetectable levels in ileum. In the colon no 10 000 molecular weight CaBP was detectable. The distribution of CaBP along the small and large intestine of man is thus parallel to the efficiency of the active calcium absorption of human intestine.

Calcium is absorbed in the small as well as in the large intestine.\(^1\)\(^2\) In the proximal segments of the small intestine calcium transport is promoted by an active mechanism,\(^3\) whereas passive absorption supervenes in the ileum and colon.\(^4\)\(^5\) The cellular events leading to enhanced calcium absorption, however, remains unsettled. Cytosolic calcium binding proteins (CaBP) detected in the small intestine of chicks and mammals (molecular weight 28 000 and 10 000 respectively)\(^6\)\(^7\) have been linked to the vitamin D induced calcium absorption because of correlation between the rate of calcium transport and intestinal content of CaBP under a variety of physiological conditions.\(^8\)\(^9\) CaBP may furthermore play a role in maintaining intracellular calcium homeostasis.\(^9\)

Only scant knowledge about the distribution of CaBP along the intestinal tract is available. In the rat and the pig the largest amount of CaBP was found in the duodenum and proximal segments of the jejunum as measured by radioimmunoassays.\(^10\)\(^11\) A study carried out on human small intestine, however, reported a nearly constant level of CaBP throughout the small intestine.\(^12\) CaBP has further been detected in colon epithelium of the rat by using a competitive calcium binding assay.\(^13\)

The present paper reports the distribution of the 10 000 molecular weight CaBP\(^14\) along the small and large intestine of man.

**Methods**

**MATERIALS**

All chemicals were obtained as previously stated.\(^14\) Specific antiserum against human intestinal CaBP was raised in rabbits as outlined in an earlier paper.\(^14\)

**TISSUE PREPARATION**

All preparative steps were carried out at 4°C.

**Small intestine**

Small intestine was obtained from five necro-kidney donors (age 18–55 years) within 25 minutes of death. The intestine was immediately cooled in iced 0.9% NaCl and then cut in pieces of 50 cm length and...
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frozen at −80°C until used. The intestine was cut open and mucosal samples were obtained by scraping from the first, second, and third part of duodenum and from the jejunum and ileum at intervals of about 50 cm. The mucosal samples (200 mg) were homogenised for three minutes in four volumes of 0.15 M NaCl containing 0.1 mmol phenylmethansulphonyl-fluoride (PMSF) and 2.8 μg aprotinin/ml. The homogenates were prepared by centrifugation (50 000×g for one hour at 4°C) and the supernatants were removed for analysis.

Colon
A piece of normal caecum was obtained from a necro-kidney donor. Three biopsies of colon ascendens and four biopsies of colon transversum presenting a normal histological structure were obtained from patients undergoing colon resection because of ulcerative colitis or Crohn's disease. The tissue was stored at −80°C until used.

A cytosol fraction of mucosa of the caecum was prepared. The mucosa (5 g) obtained by scraping with a microscope slide was homogenised in three volumes of 0.05 M Tris/HCl, pH 8.0, containing 0.15 M NaCl and 0.1 mmol PMSF and 2.8 μg aprotinin/ml, using a Potter-Elvehjem homogeniser for three minutes. The homogenate was centrifuged (50 000×g for one hour, at 4°C), and the supernatant (15 ml) was concentrated by ultrafiltration (Amicon YM 5, Lexington, MA, USA) to 2.5 ml and applied
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**Fig. 1** Distribution of CaBP along human small intestine. A cytosol fraction of the mucosal samples was prepared as described in the Methods section. CaBP measured by the ELISA method was expressed as the μg amount of CaBP per mg of cytosolic protein. The results obtained studying five small intestines are shown in the figure.
to a Sephadex G-100 column (size 1.5 cm × 80 cm). The column was equilibrated in and eluted with 0.05 M Tris/HCl, pH 8.0, containing 0.15 M NaCl, at a flow rate of 3 ml/cm² per hour and fractions of 2.5 ml were collected.

Mucosal samples of colon ascendens and transversum were prepared as described for the small intestine.

**ASSAYS**

**Protein assay**

Protein was measured by a Coomassie G-250 method using a commercial reagent (Bio Rad, Richmond, CA, USA) and bovine serum albumin (Cohn's fraction V) as standard.

**Calcium binding assay (Chelex-100 assay)**

The competitive calcium-binding assay was carried out as previously described. All samples were tested in duplicate and the amount of CaBP per mg of protein was calculated. The detection limit of the assay was 3 ng (applied in 150 μl) and the interassay coefficient of variation was about 10%.

**Results**

**SMALL INTESTINE**

The distribution of immunoreactive CaBP along each of the five small intestines studied is shown in Figure 1. The amount of CaBP/mg of cytosolic protein increased steeply down the duodenum to reach maximum values at a level corresponding to the third part of duodenum or proximal segments of jejunum. The maximum amount of CaBP, however, varied significantly between individuals. Thus the highest amount of CaBP measured in each small intestine ranged from 0.9–8.5 μg/CaBP per mg of protein. The amount of CaBP gradually decreased along distal jejunum and CaBP was undetectable in ileum with the exception of one small intestine displaying a low CaBP level throughout ileum (Fig. 1). The cytosol fractions of the different mucosa samples were tested in several dilutions by the competitive ELISA method. The displacement curves obtained by using duodenal, jejunal or ileal extracts were parallel (not shown) thus indicating immunochemical
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Fig. 2 Gel filtration now Sephadex G-100 of the heat precipitated cytosol fraction prepared of large intestinal mucosa. The cytosol fraction was prepared as described in the Methods section. 2.5 ml was applied to the column. For experimental details see text. ▲ – ▲, calcium binding activity. ● – ●, protein concentration (E280). Positions of marker proteins is indicated by arrows (ovalbumin, 44 000; chymotrypsin, 25 000; aprotinin, 6 800).
identity between CaBP detected in the different segments of the small intestine.

**Colon**

To investigate the expression of cytosolic calcium binding proteins in colon epithelium gel filtration of the colon cytosol was performed. The elution profile shown in Figure 2 shows that large amounts of calcium binding activity, as measured by the Chelex assay, appeared with the void volume; smaller amounts eluted in fractions corresponding to a molecular weight of about 60,000. No calcium binding activity was eluted at a lower molecular weight range (10,000 to 20,000). Furthermore, by applying the ELISA method it was shown that no 10,000 molecular weight CaBP was detectable in any of the fractions eluted. The presence of the 10,000 molecular weight CaBP in the large intestine was further investigated by testing three mucosal samples of colon ascendens and four samples of colon transversum by the ELISA method. No immunoreactive CaBP was detected (results not shown).

**Discussion**

The present study shows that the level of 10,000 molecular weight human small intestinal CaBP is highest in duodenum and proximal jejunum in accordance with the observation that the efficiency of active calcium absorption is highest in the upper segments of the small intestine. The study therefore supports the idea of an important role of CaBP in the calcium absorptive mechanism. Although the distribution of CaBP along the small intestine was parallel in different individuals, the amount of CaBP per mg of protein showed a considerable interindividual variation (Fig. 1). The small intestines studied were viable as judged by the specific activity of alkaline phosphatase being normal in mucosal samples of proximal jejunum (results not shown). The interindividual variations found may bear on differences in the nutritional status of the patients examined as studies performed in animal models have disclosed the level of CaBP to be regulated by vitamin D and the dietary intake of calcium and phosphate.

The distribution along the human small intestine of a 28,000 molecular weight CaBP has previously been investigated using immunoelectrophoresis. In contrast, this study reported CaBP to be evenly distributed throughout the small intestine of a single normal individual and of two patients with sarcoidosis. The biochemical properties of the 28,000 molecular weight protein detected in the mucosal samples obtained several hours post mortem were, however, not reported. Previously, we have been unable to discover any 28,000 molecular weight CaBP in human small intestinal mucosa and the differences displayed probably reflects that the 10,000 molecular weight CaBP is a different protein.

Rat and pig small intestine express a 10,000 molecular weight CaBP with molecular properties comparable with the human intestinal CaBP. Correspondingly, the distribution of CaBP along the small intestine of these species is to some extent similar to that of human intestinal CaBP. Thus, using a radioimmunoassay the amount of CaBP was measured in mucosal samples of duodenum, midjejunum and distal ileum of vitamin D repleted rats. A 10–30 fold higher level of CaBP was found in duodenal mucosa as compared with jejunal and ileal mucosa containing low levels of CaBP. Corresponding results have been reported in young pigs; the highest amount of CaBP as measured by radioimmunoassay was present either in duodenum or proximal jejunum and low or undetectable levels of CaBP were found in ileum. In the present study, however, CaBP was only detected in the distal ileum of a single individual. Possibly, small amounts of CaBP expressed in the enterocytes of ileum remained undiscovered due to a lower sensitivity of the ELISA technique applied to measure CaBP. The maximum levels of CaBP measured (about 8 µg/mg protein, Fig. 1) in the adult human appears to be significantly lower than reported in the young pig. The animals investigated were not fully grown and this may account for the difference as previous studies in animals have shown a decreasing CaBP level with increasing age.

In mucosal samples of caecum and colon no CaBP with a molecular weight lower than 60,000 was found neither by gel filtration of colon cytosol nor by applying the ELISA method. This contrasts a previous study performed on the rat showing CaBP to be present in the colon epithelium as demonstrated by gel filtration of colon cytosol. Besides, the concentration of CaBP was parallel to the in vitro ability of the colon epithelium to transport calcium. A clinical significant role of colon in calcium absorption of man has been supported by the observation that patients with extensive small bowel resection had a higher fractional calcium absorption when colon was preserved. In addition, the calcium absorption related to colon was increased by supplying 1,25-dihydroxycholecalciferol to healthy humans suggesting that the 10,000 molecular weight CaBP may be expressed in the mucosa of colon. We were, however, unable to show any presence of CaBP in mucosal samples of colon by applying the ELISA method. To exclude the possibility that CaBP of human colon epithelium have molecular properties dissimilar to CaBP of the small intestine, gel filtration of colon cytosol was performed. No CaBP in the
lower molecular weight range was however detected by using the competitive calcium binding assay. The peak eluted corresponding to a molecular weight of 60 000 might represent albumin also capable of binding calcium. Thus, the results of the present study argue that in patients with preserved small intestine, the expression of CaBP in colon mucosa do not appear to be essential for maintaining the calcium absorption of the large intestine. It is, however, possible that detectable levels of CaBP could be found in mucosal samples of colon from patients with severe short bowel syndrome as these patients depend more upon the calcium absorptive function of the large intestine.
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