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Ulcerative colitis and Crohn’s disease in an unselected population of monozygotic and dizygotic twins. A study of heritability and the influence of smoking
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SUMMARY By running the Swedish twin registry containing about 25 000 pairs of twins of the same sex together with the central national diagnosis register of hospital inpatients, 80 twin pairs suffering from inflammatory bowel disease were found. In the ulcerative colitis group one of 16 monozygotic pairs was concordant for the disease, but all the other 20 pairs (dizygotic or unknown zygosity) were discordant. In the Crohn’s disease group eight of 18 monozygotic pairs and one of 26 dizygotic pairs were discordant. The proband concordance rate among monozygotic twins was 6·3% for ulcerative colitis and 58·3% for Crohn’s disease. The calculated heritability of liability based on monozygotic pairs was 0·53 and 1·0 respectively. Thus heredity as an aetiological factor is stronger in Crohn’s disease than in ulcerative colitis. Monozygotic twins with Crohn’s disease were more likely to be smokers than monozygotic twins with ulcerative colitis. Smoking did not explain the discordance of twin pairs with either ulcerative colitis, or Crohn’s disease. The combination of identical heredity and similar smoking habit is not sufficient to cause disease.

There is an increased prevalence of inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) among relatives of patients with ulcerative colitis (UC) and Crohn’s disease (CD) and the two diseases can appear in the same family. Although genetic factors are important uncertainty exists if they are equally significant in UC and in CD. For instance, Monsén et al1 found a 15 times higher prevalence of UC in first degree relatives than in the general population of Stockholm. Similarly Mayberry et al2 in Cardiff found a 13 times greater prevalence of CD in first degree relatives in comparison with non-relatives. This might indicate that genetic factors carry the same weight in UC as in CD. On the other hand McConnell, in a survey of the literature, found 11 pairs of monozygotic (MZ) twins with UC of which five were concordant3 while Wetterman and Pena4 reported 20 pairs of monozygotic twins with CD, 17 concordant, and only three pairs discordant for the disease. These two reports indicate that genetic factors are significant in both diseases, but more so for CD than for UC. Such reports might, however, be biased, as concordant pairs are more likely than discordant pairs to become known to the physician and also for their circumstances to be published. To our knowledge no previous study of unselected twins with IBD has been reported.

Methods

SUBJECTS

The Swedish twin registry at the Department of Environmental Hygiene, Karolinska Institute, Stockholm, contains about 25 000 pairs of monozygotic or dizygotic twins of the same sex born between 1886–1958. Since 1964 a central diagnosis register of hospital inpatients has been organised at the National Board of Health and Welfare, Stockholm. This has covered all inpatients in Sweden since 1984 although initially it only covered certain areas of Sweden. In order to find an unbiased population of
twins suffering from UC or CD these two registers were matched.

The study was approved by the National Swedish Board of Health and Welfare and the Swedish Data Inspection Board.

All hospital inpatients who had been cared for under the diagnosis of ileitis terminalis, enteritis regionalis, colitis chronica ulcerosa, proctitis ulcerosa, enterocolitis ulcerosa, enterocolitis alia definita or enterocolitis NUD according to the WHO diagnosis register were run against the twin registry. One hundred and five pairs of twins with one or other of these diagnoses were found. In 50 pairs UC could be a possible diagnosis in one or both twins and in the other 55 pairs CD.

The medical notes from the hospitals where the patients had been treated were scrutinised. The diagnosis of UC was based on the clinical history, sigmoidoscopy, barium enema and/or colonoscopy together with the histology reports. The diagnosis of CD was made from the clinical history, radiology of the small and large bowel, together with pathology reports on endoscopic biopsies or surgical specimens. Of the 50 pairs of twins suspected to suffer from UC 14 pairs were excluded for the following reasons: infectious disease (n=8), antibiotic associated diarrhoea (n=3), missing medical notes (n=1). In two pairs the diagnosis in the affected twin had been subsequently changed to CD. These two pairs were added to the CD group. Of the 55 pairs suspected to suffer from CD, 13 pairs were excluded: Infectious disease (n=6), systemic lupus (n=1), ischaemic bowel disease (n=1), and abdominal complaints without any definite diagnosis (n=5).

Thirty six pairs remained where one or both twins had UC. Of these, 16 pairs were monozygotic, 17 pairs dizygotic while the zygosity was unknown in three pairs. Of the 44 twin pairs with CD 18 pairs were monozygotic and 26 dizygotic. The zygosity classification of the twin registry was used. The method relies on questions on childhood resemblance and has proved to be very accurate. According to Cederlöf et al. a correct determination is obtained in 99% of monozygotic and 91% of dizygotic twins in comparison with serological classification. All these 80 twin pairs have been interviewed by telephone (n=148) or by a postal questionnaire (n=7) except for five dead individuals about whom sufficient information could be given by the surviving twin.

The occurrence of any gastrointestinal complaint was thoroughly discussed at interview of all twins in order not to miss a mild non-hospitalised case of inflammatory bowel disease. Other questions covered smoking habits, use of the contraceptive pill and major psychological trauma preceding the disease. If any of the twins earlier considered to be healthy had sought medical advice for symptoms suspected to be caused by IBD, their medical notes were also scrutinised.

Concordance rates can be calculated in two ways: (1) pair concordance, which just shows the proportion of concordant twins; (2) proband concordance, which also takes into account how the concordance was discovered, either independently as index cases (C2) or secondarily to the interview (C1). The proband concordance rate is calculated according to the formula

\[
\frac{2C_2 + C_1}{2C_2 + C_1 + D}
\]

where D is the number of discordant pairs. For a multifactorial disease, it can be assumed that each individual has a specific probability of being affected which is normally distributed in the population and that the disease manifests when the probability or liability exceeds the threshold level. The heritability of liability to the disease (r) may be estimated by comparing family with general population rates (prevalence). The interpretation of the r-value depends on the type of relatives studied. For monozygotic twins the r-value reflects the expression of shared genes and similar environment. For dizygotic twins r reflects the similarity due to shared environment and that half of the genes are in common. If the disease is independent of environment factors, the r-value of monozygotic twins or twice the r-value of dizygotic twins estimates the heritability of liability. If not, the influence of shared environmental factors may be corrected for by taking twice the difference between the rMZ and the rDZ. This estimate reflects the proportion of variance of normally distributed disease liability which is genetic, and it may range 0–1.

We used estimated prevalences for IBD calculated from Stockholm county and Copenhagen. Because both estimates were very similar only the Stockholm prevalence was used.

Results

Ulcerative Colitis

The mean age at the diagnosis in the twins with UC was 29.8 years. The male:female ratio was 1.4:1. All 36 twin pairs were discordant for UC with the exception of one monozygotic pair. Disease extent is shown in Table 1. Although monozygotic twins had less extensive UC than dizygotic twins the small numbers do not allow a meaningful analysis of extent. The only concordant pair in the whole UC group was two monozygotic brothers with distal UC diagnosed at the age of 27 and 35 respectively. Neither of whom had been operated on or had had
any complications and neither had ever smoked. As the concordance was discovered by the interview the 
proband concordance is 6-3%. The prevalence of UC 
in Stockholm has been found to be 78/10^5 inhabitants 
(Hellers, personal communication). The heritability 
coefficient in monozygotic twins was 0.53 with a 95% 
confidence interval of 0.24–0.82 (Table 2).

All twins with UC had been brought up together. 
No evaluable data emerged concerning psychological 
trauma and the use of the contraceptive pill. Firm 
data were obtained regarding the smoking pattern at 
the time of diagnosis. Table 3 shows these findings in 
the discordant monozygotic twins. All these 15 
discordant pairs had a similar smoking pattern at the 

time of diagnosis in the diseased twin, except for 
three pairs. During the time between the diagnosis 
and the survey, two of the four healthy twins who 
had been smokers had given up. These two twins 
have remained healthy during an observation period of 
seven and six years respectively. In the diseased 
twin group one subject who was an ex-smoker at the 
time of diagnosis had started to smoke again. All other 
monozygotic twins had unchanged smoking pattern 
during this period. The mean observation time in 
the healthy twin was 19.4 (6–38) years after the 
diagnosis of UC in the twin partner.

**CROHN’S DISEASE**

The mean age at diagnosis of CD was 30.3 (14–57) 
years for concordant pairs and 29.5 (17–59) years for 
discordant. The male:female ratio was 0.8:1. The 
localisation of the inflammation was similar to other 
larger epidemiological studies. Of 18 monozygotic 
twin pairs eight were discordant for the disease; six 
pairs were found as index cases and another two pairs 
by the interview. This gives a proband concordance 
of 58-3%. Only one of 26 dizygotic twin pairs was

---

**Table 1**  *Extent of the ulcerative colitis at the time of diagnosis in the individual twins*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Monozygotic twins</th>
<th>Dizygotic twins</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Distal*</td>
<td>Extensive†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monozygotic twins</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dizygotic twins</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown zygosity</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Distal UC = inflammation not extending beyond the splenic flexure; †extensive UC = inflammation beyond the splenic flexure but not involving the whole colon; ‡total = whole colon inflamed.

**Table 2**  *Proband concordance rates and estimates of heritability of liability for ulcerative colitis (UC) and Crohn’s disease (CD)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Monozygotic twins</th>
<th>Dizygotic twins</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Prevalence conc. (%)</td>
<td>r²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Proband conc. (%)</td>
<td>r²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disk, age 70</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.24–0.82)†</td>
<td>(0.20–0.73)§</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Proband concordance; †heritability of liability; ‡corrected r-value for common familial environmental factors; §95% confidence interval.

**Table 3**  *Smoking pattern in discordant monozygotic twin pairs with ulcerative colitis at the time of diagnosis*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Diseased twin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Never smoker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Twin</td>
<td>Never smoker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Former smoker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Smoker</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Table 4**  *Data regarding concordant monozygotic and discordant dizygotic twin pairs with Crohn’s disease*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zygosity</td>
<td>MZ</td>
<td>MZ</td>
<td>MZ</td>
<td>MZ</td>
<td>MZ</td>
<td>MZ</td>
<td>MZ</td>
<td>DZ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at diagnosis</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extent</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extraintestinal</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intestinal</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking pattern</td>
<td>+/cx</td>
<td>cx/</td>
<td>cx/</td>
<td>+/</td>
<td>+/</td>
<td>+/</td>
<td>+/</td>
<td>cx/</td>
<td>–/</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*MZ= monozygotic, DZ=dizygotic; †extent: 1 = small intestine, 2 = large bowel, 3 = combined; ‡extraintestinal complications (erythema nodosum, iritis, arthritis, sarcoiditis, vasculitis); §intestinal complications (fistula, abscesses, fissures); ††= smoker, – = never smoker, cx = former smoker at the time of diagnosis of CD.

**Table 5**  *Smoking pattern in discordant monozygotic twin pairs with Crohn’s disease at the time of diagnosis*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Diseased twin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Never smoker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Twin</td>
<td>Never smoker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Former smoker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Smoker</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Table 4**  *Data regarding concordant monozygotic and discordant dizygotic twin pairs with Crohn’s disease*
Inflammatory bowel disease in twins

concordant. The proband concordance of 3.9% in dizygotic twins was significantly lower than for monozygotic twins. The prevalence of CD in Stockholm has been found to be 54/10^5 inhabitants. The heritability was 1.0 with a 95% confidence interval of 0.80–1.0 and for dizygotic twins 0.47 with a 95% confidence interval of 0.20–0.73 (Table 2). The r-value corrected for shared environments is still 1.0 although the 95% confidence interval becomes wide (0.34–1.0). The monozygotic r-values for UC and CD differ significantly (p<0.005).

All twins with CD had been brought up together. As with the UC twins no evaluable risk data regarding psychologic trauma or the use of the contraceptive pill could be gained. Good information on the smoking pattern at the time of diagnosis, however, could be obtained. As shown in Table 4 the smoking habits at diagnosis of discordant monozygotic twins were very similar. Table 5 shows the smoking pattern of the discordant group of monozygotic twins at diagnosis. Eight of the 10 pairs had identical or similar smoking pattern. During the time between the diagnosis and survey, both twins in one pair had stopped smoking and in another pair both twins who had never been smokers had started to smoke. The healthy twins in these two pairs have been observed for five and two years respectively without acquiring CD. In a third pair the diseased twin had continued smoking while the healthy twin had given up smoking for one year and had remained well. In the remaining seven discordant monozygotic twin pairs, the smoking pattern was unchanged. The difference between the UC and CD groups regarding non-smoking (never-smoking, former smoking) at the time of diagnosis is statistically significant (χ²=6.5, p<0.05).

As shown in Table 4, most of the discordant monozygotic twins had a similar distribution of disease. In five of the eight discordant monozygotic pairs the disease was diagnosed within a period of two years. In the remaining three pairs the interval was 5–15 years. The mean observation time of the healthy twin in the group of discordant monozygotic twins was 12.9 (5–29) years after the diagnosis of CD in the diseased twin. The occurrence of both extraintestinal and intestinal complications did not seem to be genetically determined as no consistent pattern could be detected in discordant pairs (Table 4). In no twin pairs with UC or CD did the two diseases occur intermingled.

Discussion

Both UC and CD are multifactorial disorders with significant genetic influence. In this respect, twin studies are of great value. Classical twin studies are based on a comparison between monozygotic and dizygotic twins. A significantly higher degree of concordance in the monozygotic than in the dizygotic twins suggests a genetic influence if the environment is shared to the same extent. Earlier recorded twin surveys of UC and CD have been based on summaries of case reports with a large risk of selection bias. We are well aware that our selection method excludes patients who have been treated only as outpatients. This should to a higher extent concern UC than CD. Without corrections for deaths the total prevalence of UC in the twin registry can roughly be estimated to 74/10^5 and for CD 106/10^5. These figures differ from those found in Stockholm but are well within the limits reported from the Western world in general. Thus we do not believe that our general findings and conclusions are affected in any major way by our selection method.

Ulcerative colitis

A survey of the literature has revealed 16 pairs of monozygotic twins with UC21–29 excluding that of Lyons and Postlethwait28 which we do not find absolutely convincing for UC. We have added another three pairs well known to us and not found as a result of this study, either because they had not been hospitalised or were born after 1958. Of these 19 monozygotic pairs, nine are discordant compared with one of the 16 pairs in our study (χ²=7.3, p<0.01). One of seven reported pairs of dizygotic twins21,27–29 was discordant while we found no discordant case in 17 dizygotic pairs. In three reported, discordant twin pairs24,25 the zygosity was not mentioned.

It could be argued that some of the healthy twins in our study could still contract UC. The mean observation time of 19.4 years, however, should be sufficient in this respect. These findings indicate that evaluations based on earlier twin reports have probably overestimated the importance of genetic factors in UC.

The heritability estimate found in our study of 0.53 in monozygotic twins is still fairly high, although the 95% confidence interval is wide (0.24–0.82). This can be compared with some other diseases considered to be partly genetically determined such as diabetes (r=0.77), duodenal ulcer (r=0.46), schizophrenia (r=0.68), hypertension (r=0.57), and bronchial asthma (r=0.29).11 Our results of heritability may be influenced by shared familial environmental factors, the effect of which is impossible to assess as there were no concordances among the dizygotic twins. Thus genetic factors cannot be disregarded in the aetiology of UC but they are probably weak.

Samuelsson showed that non-smoking was a feature in UC but he did not analyse the smoking...
pattern at the time of diagnosis. Our recent study of this matter showed that cigarette smoking has a protective effect in a dose dependent way and that the risk of UC increases in former smokers and especially in those who have smoked heavily. Also in this twin study non-smoking was a characteristic feature of UC but not of CD. This population of monozygotic twins with UC showed similar smoking patterns in the concordant and in the discordant pairs. After the time of diagnosis two healthy monozygotic twins had given up smoking during a mean observation time of 6.5 years without contracting UC. Apparently not even the combination of identical heredity and smoking pattern is enough to cause UC and additional environmental factors are needed.

**Crohn's Disease**

The literature revealed 27 pairs of monozygotic twins including one pair of monozygotic triplets with CD, of which 24 pairs were concordant. Two of eight reported dizygotic twins were concordant and we can add one more discordant dizygotic pair known to us. In the literature there are six further reports where zygotity is not mentioned and of these four are discordant. Of the three monozygotic twin pairs with IBD reported by Carlisle and Hersh, the nature of the disease can be determined with absolute certainty in only one pair from the abstract. This pair with CD has been included above. The reports by Ehrenpreis et al. and Lagercrantz concern the same twin pair (Lagercrantz, personal communication). All twins which have been reported only as personal communications have been excluded, which explains why we present a smaller number of twins than in the survey by Purmann et al. Some of the healthy twins in our study might still contract CD but the long mean observation time makes it unlikely that the concordance rate will reach the same level as in the literature survey. The CD heritability of 1.0 is extremely high and suggests a much larger genetic influence than in UC. The r-value of 1.0 corrected for common familial environmental factors also suggests a strong genetic influence. Our numbers of patients are still relatively small, however, with resulting wide confidence limits of the point estimates.

One possible aetiological factor is cigarette smoking, which is much more common in patients than in the general population. Here the smoking pattern in monozygotic twins was very similar in concordant and discordant pairs. From the time of diagnosis to the time of survey, three healthy monozygotic twins had changed their smoking pattern without developing CD during a mean observation period of 2.7 years. Thus identical heredity plus similar smoking habits are not sufficient in themselves to cause CD but additional factors are needed.

The majority of the concordant twin siblings developed CD within a period of two years, and the extent of the disease was very similar.
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