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Case report

Giant fibrovascular polyp of the oesophagus: report of a case and effects on oesophageal function
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SUMMARY A 40 year old man with dysphagia and a giant fibrovascular polyp of the oesophagus is reported. The patient was followed for two years before removal of the polyp. During this period the tumour markedly increased in size and the oesophagus reached a maximum diameter of 5.9 cm. In presence of the polyp the body of the oesophagus had no pressure activity after swallows and a 22 hour intraoesophageal pH record showed pH>7 for 31.4% of the time and never pH<4. After excision of the tumour the oesophagus regained normal size and peristalsis. Intraoesophageal pH>7 and <4 were recorded for 1.6% and 16.1% of the time respectively. Dysmotility was probably a contributing factor to the genesis of dysphagia induced by the giant fibrovascular polyp. Prolonged alkalinisation of intraoesophageal pH conceivably reflected altered oesophageal clearing of alkaline salivary and/or oesophageal secretions.

Benign tumours of the oesophagus are uncommon.1-4 They are mainly leiomyomas, but fibrovascular polyps, although much rarer, were known to physicians even before the use of radiology and endoscopy5 as they are sometimes regurgitated into the mouth. Not infrequently fibrovascular polyps pose diagnostic problems especially when they attain giant proportions, being confused most commonly with achalasia and non-pedunculated intramural tumours.5-11 Fibrovascular polyps have been described with different names such as pedunculated fibromas, fibrolipomas, lipomas, myxofibromas and hamartomatous polyps.11,12-14 About 60 have been reported11-17 to date, which developed almost exclusively in the cervical oesophagus at the level of the cricoid cartilage. It is commonly thought11 that the symptoms induced by these polyps are caused by mechanical obstruction, however, there are no data regarding their possible influence on oesophageal motor function. We report the case of a man with a giant fibrovascular polyp of the oesophagus causing severe dysphagia, in whom oesophageal motility and 22 hour intraoesophageal pH monitoring were performed before and four months after excision of the tumour.

Case report

A 40 year old man was referred to our outpatients’ clinic in July 1986 with a 10 day history of dysphagia, odynophagia and a sensation of a lump in the throat. At that time the patient was able to follow a semiliquid diet only.

His past medical history included anxiety and chest oppression, the latter being considered a psychosomatic symptom. A barium meal done in 1973 for postprandial heartburn was apparently normal (Fig. 1a).

Physical examination and routine blood tests were unremarkable. A barium swallow was done immediately and showed (Fig. 1b) a dilated oesophagus (maximum diameter 4.5 cm) containing a large filling defect extending from the neck to a few cm above the cardia. At upper gastrointestinal

Address for correspondence: Dr R Penagini, Cattedra di Patologia Medica III, Pad Gianelli, Via F Siorza, 35, 20122 Milano, Italy.

Accepted for publication 14 March 1989.
endoscopy a mass was identified which started immediately below the upper oesophageal sphincter, extended up to 7–8 cm from the cardia, was covered with normal mucosa, and appeared progressively larger as the scope moved distally. The mucosa of the distal 3 cm of the oesophagus showed erosive oesophagitis. The patient refused any further investigations or surgery. His symptoms fluctuated, but worsened progressively and he lost 10 kg in weight up to May 1988 when he agreed to be further investigated and eventually to have his mass removed. At this time his blood tests showed an ESR of 108, Hb 11·3 g/dl, WBC 11300/mm³, platelets 410000/mm³ and raised α2 globulins. A repeated barium swallow showed (Fig. 1c) a substantial growth of the mass (maximum diameter of the oesophagus 5·9 cm). An upper gastrointestinal endoscopy showed the mass to extend up to 3 cm from the cardia. To clarify the nature of the tumour a computed tomography (CT) scan of the neck and chest (Fig. 2) was then performed which showed a mass of homogeneous density, comparable to density of the lax connective tissue, which did not infiltrate the oesophageal wall nor compressed the trachea. A magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scan was also done which did not add any information to the computed tomography. After barium swallow, endoscopy, computed tomography and magnetic resonance imaging scans, it remained
unclear whether the mass was intraluminal or intramural. On June 16, 1988 the patient underwent surgery. A right thoracotomy and a 4 cm longitudinal oesophagotomy above the aortic arch were done. A big pedunculated multilobulated polyp, attached to the cervical oesophagus just below the upper oesophageal sphincter, was found and excised. The gross specimen (Fig. 3) was 14.5 cm long, had a maximum diameter of 7 cm and a 2 cm stalk. Its appearance was smooth and it had no ulceration on its surface. Histopathological analysis showed it to be composed of fibrous connective tissue with myxoid areas, a few fat cells, chronic inflammatory infiltrate and small congested vessels, and appearance typical of a fibrovascular polyp.18

The patient made an uneventful recovery and was seen again in November 1988 when he reported that he was completely free of dysphagia. His weight and routine blood tests had returned to normal. An upper gastrointestinal endoscopy showed no remnant of the polyp and a longitudinal scar at the site of the previous oesophagotomy. Erosive oesophagitis was grossly unchanged.

EVALUATION OF OESOPHAGEAL FUNCTION

Oesophageal manometry and 22 h intraoesophageal pH monitoring were performed before excision of the mass (May, 1988) and four months after (November, 1988). Oesophageal manometry was done using a low compliance pneumohydraulic infusion system (Sensormedics, Italy) at a flow rate of 0.5 ml/min and four external pressure transducers (Sensormedics 223651) connected to a polygraph (Sensormedics, model R711), permitting a response of >200 mmHg/sec.19 Lower and upper oesophageal sphincter activity were investigated using the slow pull through technique. The oesophageal body was assessed in two stages: at 3.8, 13, 18 cm and at 8, 13, 18, 23 cm above the lower oesophageal sphincter. The most proximal port was placed in the cervical oesophagus just below the upper oesophageal sphincter. The average of data from all ports after wet (5 ml) swallows was used to calculate amplitude and duration of the peristaltic wave. Twenty two hour intraoesophageal pH monitoring was performed following a protocol already reported in detail.20 Briefly, the test was done during hospital admission with an ambulatory system (Synectics, Sweden) using an antimony pH electrode placed 5 cm above the manometrically determined lower oesophageal sphincter. The electrode was thus positioned at a level where the lumen was filled with the mass. The patient had meals at 0800, 1200, and 1800 h and spent 13 hours in upright and nine hours in recumbent position. The tracing was analysed with respect to percentage of time at pH<4 as a measure of gastro-oesophageal reflux and with respect to percentage of time at pH>7 as an index of alkalinisation of intraoesophageal environment. We have recently shown that intraoesophageal alkalinisation is not caused by reflux and it is presumably determined by the pH of swallowed fluids.21

Preoperative oesophageal manometry showed the lower oesophageal sphincter to have a basal tone of 6 mmHg and apparent complete relaxations after swallows. The intraoesophageal baseline equalled the intragastric one. The oesophageal body did not show any pressure activity after 20 swallows (Fig. 4a). The patient was noted to belch after each wet swallow. Monitoring pressures in the hypopharynx and upper oesophageal sphincter during five additional wet swallows showed that the sphincter had a basal tone of 45 mmHg and presented two complete relaxations after each swallow, separated by 3.0–3.5 sec one from the other. The first relaxation occurred normally just before the hypopharyngeal contraction and allowed the water to be swallowed. The second one allowed a belch to occur and was unaccompanied by pharyngeal contraction. Postoperative oesophageal manometry showed similar
lower oesophageal sphincter function (8 mmHg) and an intraoesophageal baseline 6 mmHg less than the intragastric one. In the body, peristalsis was seen after 20 swallows with a median amplitude of 36 mmHg (range: 31–44 mmHg), a median duration of three seconds (range: 2·4–3·2 sec) and a median velocity of 2·4 cm/sec (range: 2·2–3·0 cm/sec) (Fig. 4b). The port situated 18 cm above the lower oesophageal sphincter, corresponding to the area of the oesophagotomy, recorded very weak contractions (<10 mmHg) only. The upper oesophageal sphincter showed a basal tone of 35 mmHg and one complete relaxation after each swallow.

Preoperative 22 h intraoesophageal pH monitoring showed no acidification of intraoesophageal pH, which in turn remained alkaline for 31-4% of total time (60·3% in recumbent position). Post-operative pH monitoring revealed prolonged acid reflux, especially at night. Percentage of total time at pH<4 was 16·1 (28·8 in recumbent position). In contrast, alkaline pH was recorded for only 1·6% of total time (1·7% in recumbent position).

Discussion

To our knowledge, about 60 cases14–17 of giant fibrovascular polyp of the oesophagus have been reported in the literature. We describe an additional patient in whom the effects of the mass on oesophageal motility and pH were investigated. At preoperative manometry no pressure activity whatsoever was detected in the oesophageal body. Although we could not identify a reason for this alteration, three hypotheses, not mutually exclusive, may be proposed: first, a direct mechanical effect of the mass which may have absorbed the force generated by the oesophageal wall; second, a reflex inhibition of oesophageal motility triggered by oesophageal distention similar to previous findings in experimental conditions;18,21 and third, the effect of the increase in cylindrical bowel radius which, according to Young and Laplace’s law, is inversely proportional to the intraluminal pressure generated by muscle contraction. Whatever the mechanism was, the alteration of motor function in presence of our polyp was reversible. Four months after excision of the mass the oesophagus had regained its normal size and peristalsis, although the amplitude of the pressure waves was at the lower limit of the normal range.14–17 Intraluminal pressures were still almost absent at the level of the oesophagotomy only, which was probably the result of the operation itself. The mechanism by which a benign tumour like the present one induces dysmotility seems to be different from that operating in malignant oesophageal tumours, according to a recent report.22 In those cases dysmotility remained unchanged after laser ablation of the tumour and it was probably caused by intramural infiltration. The response of the upper oesophageal sphincter to wet swallows during the preoperative oesophageal
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**Fig. 4** Oesophageal manometry tracings: (a) before excision of the polyp; note complete absence of pressure activity; (b) four months after excision of the polyp. The port placed 23 cm above the lower oesophageal sphincter is within the cervical oesophagus just below the upper oesophageal sphincter. WS = wet swallow.
manometry was interesting. Conceivably, stasis of the swallowed water in the cervical oesophagus induced sudden distention of the oesophageal wall, which in turn triggered an upper oesophageal sphincter relaxation and consequently an oesophagopharyngeal gas reflux after each swallow.

Interpretation of pH monitoring data before operation should take into account that the pH electrode was placed at a level where the lumen was filled with the mass. Thus from our pH data it seems that on the one hand the polyp prevented gastric reflux up the oesophageal lumen and on the other it grossly altered oesophageal clearing, determining stasis of alkaline saliva and/or oesophageal mucus.

Persistence of oesophagitis after excision of the tumour, prolonged reflux recorded postoperatively and heartburn in the medical history all suggest that erosive oesophagitis was unrelated to the tumour, but was instead caused by coexisting gastro-oesophageal reflux disease.

The absence of the polyp in the thoracic oesophagus at the barium swallow in 1973 and substantial increase in the size between 1986 and 1988 agree with a previous report which documented a visible polyp enlargement after one year of follow up.

It is interesting that a raised ESR, which was documented in our case and in previous ones, may not necessarily suggest malignant degeneration of the polyp, but could simply be caused by inflammation within the tumour.

Finally, misdiagnosis of the pedunculated nature of the tumour has led to more complicated and hazardous surgical procedures than cervical approach and oesphagotomy on a few occasions (and our case) and even the additional use of computed tomography and magnetic resonance imaging scans have proved unhelpful (and our case) in this respect.

It seems clear to us after extensive revisions of the literature on oesophageal mass lesions, however, that a mass with the density of lax connective tissue at CT scan and filling most of the oesophagus cannot be anything but a giant fibrovascular polyp. Thus, as fibrovascular polyps are nearly always attached to the cervical oesophagus, oesphagotomy by the cervical route is the operation of choice even if the pedunculated nature of the mass is not apparent.
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