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Abstract
This study investigates whether aspirin injury to the human gastric mucosa can be prevented by profound acid suppression with omeprazole, in a randomised, double blind, crossover design according to latin square. It was concluded that profound acid suppression can prevent aspirin induced gastric mucosal injury in normal subjects. This approach may prevent the development of peptic ulcers and their complications in patients taking aspirin and other non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs.

Aspirin and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs are strongly associated with peptic ulcer complications in the elderly in Britain. Assuming that the association may be causative, a number of approaches have tried to reduce the extent of damage caused by these agents. Although old people appear to be at greatest risk, it is difficult to evaluate possible therapeutic manoeuvres in this population. We have therefore investigated healthy adult volunteers whose acute responses appear to reflect those of older patients. Our previous studies show that acid inhibition by ranitidine and famotidine results in a reduction in gastric mucosal damage, as quantified by the rate of gastric mucosal bleeding and endoscopic appearance, but aspirin induced damage was not totally abolished. In the present study we have examined the hypothesis that gastric acid is necessary for the occurrence of aspirin induced gastric damage. To achieve virtual gastric anacidity we used omeprazole, an irreversible inhibitor of the proton pump in gastric parietal cells.

Methods

SUBJECTS
Sixteen healthy non-smoking adults (eight men; age range 19–25 years) were studied. They took no regular medication, except the contraceptive pill. All had normal biochemical and haematological values, including platelet count, prothrombin time and activated partial thromboplastin time. The study was approved by the Nottingham Medical School Ethical Committee and subjects gave written informed consent.

STUDY DESIGN
Gastric blood loss was measured in each subject on four occasions, at the end of each of the following regimens: (a) placebo omeprazole plus placebo aspirin, both for seven days; (b) placebo omeprazole for seven days plus aspirin 900 mg bd for the last 48 h; (c) omeprazole 20 mg each morning for seven days plus aspirin 900 mg bd for the last 48 h; and (d) omeprazole 40 mg bd for seven days plus aspirin 900 mg bd for the last 48 h. The last doses of omeprazole and aspirin (or the corresponding placebo) were taken at 07 00 h and 07 30 h – that is, 120 and 90 minutes, respectively, before measurement of gastric blood loss. Each of the above regimens was separated by a seven day washout period. The order of treatments was randomised according to a latin square design and the study conducted in double blind manner.

SAMPLE COLLECTION
Gastric blood loss was determined after an overnight fast, the final doses being taken at 07 00 h and 07 30 h on the study day. At 09 00 h each subject swallowed a 16 French gauge Salem sump orogastric tube. After aspiration of resting gastric juice, the stomach was rinsed three times with distilled water (not a glucose solution) as originally described by Hunt. The first of three 10 minute study periods then commenced. Half way during each period phenol red (2 mg in 15 ml water) was introduced through the orogastric tube and dispersed around the stomach. After nine minutes, distilled water 100 ml was introduced, dispersed and then aspirated by 10 minutes. After two more rinses a second 10 minute study period started, and after two further rinses there was a third 10 minute study period. The subjects were recumbent on their left side to reduce pyloric loss of gastric contents, except when liquids were introduced into the stomach when a standard series of manoeuvres was performed in order to ensure maximal contact with the gastric mucosa.

ASSAYS
The pH of resting gastric juice and gastric washings was measured immediately after collection using a glass electrode (Corning). The volume of blood in gastric aspirates was quantified by the peroxidase activity of haemoglobin, using the orthotoluidine reaction. Briefly, samples in citrate buffer were mixed with orthotoluidine. The rate of development of a blue colour was determined on a spectrophotometer at 640 nmol between 30 and 60 sec after addition of hydrogen peroxide. This was compared with values from a standard curve constructed using the subjects own blood. Gastric blood loss was expressed as μl of blood/10 min period after correction for phenol red recovery. The median value from the three 10 minute periods was used for analysis. Phenol red concentration in gastric aspirates obtained during the study periods was...
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TABLE Gastric mucosal blood loss, pH of resting juice, pH of gastric washings and phenol red recovery in 16 subjects after (a) placebo only, (b) aspirin 900 mg bd only, (c) aspirin 900 mg bd plus omeprazole 20 mg mane, and (d) aspirin 900 mg bd plus omeprazole 40 mg bd

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Placebo</th>
<th>Aspirin</th>
<th>Aspirin plus Omeprazole 20 mg bd</th>
<th>Aspirin plus Omeprazole 40 mg bd</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gastric mucosal blood loss μl/10 min (geometric mean (95% CI))</td>
<td>1·4 (0·8–2·4)</td>
<td>3·4 (1·4–5·2)†</td>
<td>2·4 (1·3–4·4)†</td>
<td>2·4 (1·3–4·4)†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial pH median (lower-upper quartiles)</td>
<td>3·12 (2·07–2·93)</td>
<td>3·12 (2·31–5·19)</td>
<td>6·01 (4·25–7·32)§</td>
<td>6·60 (4·91–7·16)§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastric aspirate pH median (lower-upper quartiles)</td>
<td>2·43 (1·94–2·53)</td>
<td>2·26 (2·11–2·58)</td>
<td>3·07 (2·66–6·52)§</td>
<td>6·65 (5·59–6·88)§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenol red recovery (%) mean (SD)</td>
<td>54·6 (11·5)</td>
<td>57·6 (9·7)</td>
<td>63·4 (13·1)</td>
<td>61·1 (11·0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p<0·01 compared with placebo; †p<0·01 compared with aspirin alone; §p<0·001 compared with aspirin plus omeprazole 20 mg/day, and NS compared with placebo; ||p<0·01 compared with placebo.

measured spectrophotometrically at 560 nmol, after adjustment of pH to 10·5 with sodium hydroxide.

Statistical methods

Analysis of variance was used to assess the influence of aspirin and of omeprazole on gastric mucosal bleeding. To approximate to a normal distribution, these data were logarithmically transformed before computation and results given as geometric mean with 95% confidence limits. Phenol red recovery data were analysed in a similar manner, but without logarithmic transformation. Results are expressed as mean and standard deviation (SD). Friedman two way analysis of variance by ranks was used to assess the significance of changes in pH values and results expressed as medians and interquartile ranges.

Results

The rate of gastric mucosal bleeding after aspirin was over 10-fold greater than that after placebo (p<0·001) (Table and Figure). The value after aspirin plus omeprazole 20 mg/day was reduced significantly by 79% when compared with that after aspirin alone (p<0·01). Omeprazole 40 mg bd plus aspirin resulted in a gastric mucosal bleeding rate that was 85% less than after aspirin alone (p=0·001), and although the mean value was slightly higher than placebo, this difference was not significant (p=0·07).

The effects of omeprazole on the pH of resting juice or of gastric washings are shown in the Table. The median initial pH was unaffected by aspirin (p=0·79), but increased significantly with omeprazole 20 mg/day and 40 mg bd (p=0·035 and p<0·001, respectively compared with placebo). The median initial pH was not significantly different between the two omeprazole dose regimens (p=0·4). The median pH of gastric washings is also given in the Table. The only notable difference was a lower pH with the lower omeprazole dose, while pH of washings remained at the initial value on the higher omeprazole dose, a difference which was significant (p<0·01). Overall, the reduction in gastric mucosal bleeding rate was significantly correlated with the pH of initial gastric aspirates (r=0·423, p<0·02).

Discussion

Endoscopic observations implicating aspirin in the pathogenesis of iatrogenic gastric damage were made by Southwaite and Lintott half a century ago. The subsequent widespread use of aspirin and other non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs has been implicated in peptic ulcer perforation rates in Britain. Emergency admission because of bleeding from gastric and duodenal ulcers in the elderly is...
associated with aspirin and other non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug use in our hospital population. Aspirin ingestion is associated with a relative risk of three, even for short periods of exposure. Moreover, aspirin can provoke gastric mucosal bleeding at doses of up to 75 mg taken daily for five days or less. It is evident that aspirin is probably responsible for a spectrum of damage, ranging from acute gastric erosions to peptic ulcer complications.

In our present study aspirin induced gastric mucosal damage (as quantified by gastric mucosal blood loss) was abolished by omeprazole 40 mg bd, a dose that produces virtual anacidity. Quantification of gastric mucosal injury by measurement of gastric mucosal blood loss closely reflects direct endoscopic evidence of mucosal damage: we have previously shown gastric mucosal blood loss to correlate with the extent of petechial haemorrhage seen endoscopically. Our findings accord with a small endoscopic study recently reported in abstract form which showed prevention by omeprazole of gastric injury after a single aspirin dose.

The dissociation constant (pKa) of aspirin is 3.5. Thus, at the levels of intragastric pH achieved with omeprazole in our study, aspirin ionisation is virtually complete. In this form passive absorption of aspirin into the gastric mucosa does not occur. In contrast, at normal intragastric pH aspirin is almost entirely unionised and able to diffuse passively into cells of the gastric epithelium where a neutral pH results in reionisation and intracellular trapping of salicylate in high concentrations. The consequent topical toxicity of salicylates is well recognised and results in impaired barrier function, reduced mucus and bicarbonate secretion, and capillary injury. The underlying metabolic changes are not firmly established, but in the presence of acid aspirin may achieve intracellular levels sufficient to uncouple oxidative phosphorylation or interfere with carbohydrate metabolism. As most other non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs are weak acids, similar considerations are likely to apply although direct evidence is lacking.

Apart from these specific benefits, acid inhibition may result in other non-specific advantages. Gastric acid enhances mucosal injury caused by a variety of stimuli and damages the basal lamina resulting in impaired epithelial restitution. In addition, the activity of pepsin is pH dependent and is inhibited at high pH. Whatever the mechanism, the observations presented here strongly support the hypothesis that gastric acid is crucial in the genesis of aspirin- and possibly other non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug- related gastroduodenal injury. Our data show that the reduction in gastric mucosal bleeding rate bears a close relationship to the intragastric pH achieved with omeprazole. In this context, it is notable that patients with pernicious anaemia and resultant achlorhydria are resistant to aspirin injury compared with healthy controls. Even with achlorhydria, however, there was slight injury, possibly because aspirin itself acted as a source of exogenous acid.

It is possible, however, that oral omeprazole protects the gastric mucosa by additional acid independent mechanisms. In animals, omeprazole given by the oral route is much more effective than when given parenterally in preventing aspirin induced gastric damage despite complete inhibition of gastric acid. In addition, oral omeprazole protects against ethanol induced gastric damage if given between 15 to 60 minutes before ethanol, there being no effect evident 3.5 h after the dose. This protective effect of omeprazole is not mediated through gastric mucosal prostaglandins, changes in gastric mucosal blood flow, or alterations in gastric mucosal bicarbonate secretion. It may be the result of a direct effect of omeprazole on the vascular endothelium, as omeprazole also protects human gastric epithelial cells in vitro from indomethacin induced damage.

From these and previous data it is clear that aspirin remains injurious to the human gastric mucosa until pH values approaching neutrality are reached. Greater suppression of acid may be needed to protect the gastroduodenal mucosa against aspirin and other non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs than is adequate for ulcer healing. Profound suppression of acid, however, may have serious consequences. An increased incidence of enteric infection is recognised but this is nonetheless relatively uncommon. At neutral pH (however achieved) serum gastrin concentrations are raised. Whether there is a real risk of gastric carcinoma in man given omeprazole is much less clear. There is no evidence in man that the small rises in plasma gastrin seen with gastric antisecretory drugs produce any sustained hyperplastic change in enterochromaffin like cells. For the frail elderly patient at high risk of developing aspirin or other non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug associated ulceration, bleeding, perforation or death the benefits of profound acid suppression with omeprazole are likely to outweigh the risks of enterochromaffin like cell carcinoma tumour development. Although our study showed a statistically significant advantage of omeprazole 40 mg bd over omeprazole 20 mg each morning in reducing gastric mucosal bleeding, the higher dose may not necessarily confer a clinical advantage. A clinical trial of this approach, using omeprazole 20 mg once per day, would therefore be justified in patients at risk of gastric damage from aspirin and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs. Recent prospective studies in patients have shown that ranitidine and misoprostol attenuate the damaging effects of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs on the upper gastrointestinal tract. Ranitidine, however, has a preferential protective effect on the duodenum but did not protect against drug induced gastric ulceration, while the converse was true of misoprostol. In this context, it is possible that omeprazole may possess an advantage (as yet untested) because of its more potent acid inhibiting action and be capable of attenuating non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug induced damage in both stomach and duodenum.

These data were presented to the British Society of Gastroenterology Autumn Meeting: Sheffield September 14–16, 1988. We thank Dr Keith Gillon and Astra Clinical Research Unit, Edinburgh, for supply of study medication and financial support.
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