CLINICAL TRIAL

Sucralfate in the treatment and prevention of gastric ulcer: multicentre double blind placebo controlled study


Abstract

A randomised controlled multicentre trial was performed in 160 patients with gastric ulcer, proved by endoscopy and biopsy, to compare ulcer healing with sucralfate and ranitidine (double blind double dummy design) and to assess the effect of maintenance treatment with sucralfate on ulcer recurrence (double blind placebo controlled design). The healing rates were similar with 4 g sucralfate suspension per day and 300 mg ranitidine per day (82% and 88% after 12 weeks, respectively). Of the 109 patients with healed ulcers, 92 were entered into the maintenance trial and treated with sucralfate tablets (2 g per day) or placebo tablets. Maintenance treatment with sucralfate delayed symptoms of gastric ulcer recurrence. Lifetable analysis showed significant differences between sucralfate and placebo, both after six months (p=0.018) and after 12 months (p=0.044). The rates of symptom recurrences were 13% and 34% after six months and 34% and 55% after 12 months for sucralfate and placebo, respectively. The rate of asymptomatic recurrences after 12 months was similar in the two groups (9% and 10%, respectively). The recurrence rate was higher in patients who had never taken non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs than in those who had but had stopped on admission to the study. It was also higher in patients with recurrent ulcer and in those with scarring deformation and narrowing of the pylorus. Maintenance treatment with sucralfate slowed the appearance of symptom recurrences of gastric ulcer.

The prevention of gastric ulcer recurrences is still a major problem. While it is possible to delay the recurrence of a duodenal ulcer by initial treatment with agents such as bismuth compounds, this is not the case for gastric ulcer. Maintenance treatment in patients with healed gastric ulcers has been attempted with varying success using cimetidine, ranitidine, pirenzepine, carbenoxolone, and also sucralfate. The results of randomised maintenance trials comparing sucralfate with either placebo or cimetidine are not conclusive for the following reasons: a small number of patients admitted to the trial, a short duration of only six months, failure to standardise initial ulcer treatment, administration of different doses of sucralfate during maintenance treatment, problems with randomisation and blinding, and inclusion of patients with pyloric ulcers in the gastric ulcer group. Some groups of patients appear in more than one publication but are often quoted as belonging to different studies. Information on 497 patients with gastric ulcer is available from these trials. The four placebo controlled trials lasting for six months gave widely differing results; the overall recurrence rate was lower with sucralfate (26/101, 26%) than with placebo (50/91, 55%). No information on the one year recurrence rate is available. Thus, the value of sucralfate in the longterm maintenance treatment of gastric ulcer patients remains to be established.

We therefore planned an adequately sized, double blind one year trial where only patients with an endoscopically proved healed gastric ulcer were included and both initial ulcer treatment and maintenance treatment were predefined by randomisation before admission to the initial treatment phase.

Patients and methods

The patients entered into this study fulfilled the following criteria. They were ambulatory, had a benign gastric ulcer proved by endoscopy and biopsy, were over 18 years of age, gave informed consent, were likely to cooperate, and were willing to fill in a diary card.

At the onset of the study the gastric ulcer had a diameter of 5 to 30 mm (ulcer size was estimated with open biopsy forceps; for calculation of ulcer area see Blum et al), was located entirely in the stomach without involving the pyloric area or the duodenal bulb, showed no endoscopic signs of acute ulceration (large, flat, irregular, multiple mucosal defects), and had not bled within the previous 24 hours. At least two biopsy specimens from the ulcer area, four from the ulcer wall, and two from the surrounding mucosa showed no malignant tissue or severe dysplasia. The presence of a deep ulcer with edges markedly
raised above the general mucosal surface was recorded. An antrum with standing folds, not flattened by forceful insufflation of air, was considered to show scarring deformation. Pyloric deformation was diagnosed when the open pylorus was neither round nor oval. 'Stressful life events' were recorded when the patients responded affirmatively to the question: Do you think that your present ulcer attack is the consequence of stressful life events? Daily use of coffee, cigarettes, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, and alcohol was recorded.

Patients with reflux oesophagitis or a pyloric or duodenal ulcer were excluded from this study. Other exclusion criteria were age below 18 years, pretreatment with antiulcer drugs, surgery in the upper gastrointestinal tract (except for appendectomy and surgery for inguinal hernia), continuing treatment with non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs or other potentially ulcerogenic drugs, alcoholism (daily pure ethanol consumption of more than 100 ml (women) or more than 120 ml (men), or behaviour compatible with alcoholism), and concurrent diseases which might have influenced longterm outcome such as malignancy, liver cirrhosis, or renal insufficiency. Pregnant and lactating women were also excluded.

The study was accepted by the Ethics Committee of the Triemli Hospital.

INITIAL ULCER TREATMENT

Initial ulcer treatment has been described in detail elsewhere. Briefly, endoscopy was performed before the patient was entered into the trial. Initial curative treatment was started within 24 hours after endoscopy. The first control endoscopy was performed six weeks after treatment was started. When the ulcer was healed four biopsy specimens from the ulcer scar and two specimens from the surrounding mucosa were taken. Healing was defined as an endoscopic appearance compatible with complete re-epithelialisation and the absence of mucosal defects in the biopsy specimens. Patients with malignancy or severe dysplasia in any of the biopsy specimens were excluded from the maintenance trial. Patients whose ulcer had not healed after six weeks were treated for another six weeks and endoscopy was repeated after a total treatment of 12 weeks.

MAINTENANCE TREATMENT

All patients whose ulcer had healed within 12 weeks were eligible for the maintenance part of the study. Complete informed consent was again given at the start of maintenance treatment. Patients were introduced into the maintenance study only if they did not take potentially ulcerogenic drugs. All patients who had previously taken non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs stopped taking them when the gastric ulcer was diagnosed. No suggestions about diet were made. The patients were informed that smoking was potentially harmful and might provoke ulcer recurrence; despite this, none of the smokers changed their smoking habits. The patients were allowed to take alcoholic beverages with their meals and were advised not to take hard liquor on an empty stomach.

Maintenance treatment was started immediately after endoscopy. Outpatient visits were scheduled at two monthly intervals. During these visits weight and blood pressure were measured and the patients were interviewed concerning symptoms suggestive of a recurrence. When a recurrence was suspected endoscopy was performed. When no recurrence was suspected the patient received drugs for the next two months and a new outpatient appointment was made. In the event of epigastric distress occurring between scheduled visits, the patients were asked to contact their physician; an interim, off-schedule endoscopy was performed even if only mild or atypical symptoms were present. At the end of the one year maintenance period an endoscopy was performed on all patients who were still in the trial.

MEDICATION

The patients were randomly assigned to one of the following four treatment arms: (i) initial ulcer treatment with sucralfate followed by maintenance treatment with sucralfate; (ii) sucralfate followed by placebo; (iii) ranitidine followed by sucralfate; (iv) ranitidine followed by placebo. For each patient a complete set of medication for both phases of the study was available on admission.

Schralfate was given for initial ulcer treatment as a suspension contained in plastic bags (5 ml of the suspension corresponded to 1 g of sucralfate) and as tablets (1 g each) in the maintenance phase. For initial ulcer treatment patients took 5 ml of the sucralfate suspension four times daily plus a ranitidine placebo, one tablet twice daily; those allocated to ranitidine treatment took one tablet (150 mg) twice daily plus a placebo sucralfate suspension (5 ml) four times daily. In this double dummy system sucralfate suspension and placebo suspension were identical in appearance, colour, and taste. The same was true for ranitidine tablets and placebo tablets. The suspension was taken a half hour before breakfast, lunch, and dinner and before going to bed. The tablets were taken together with the suspension before breakfast and before going to bed. The patients had free access to chewable tablets containing an antacid with a very low buffer capacity (3 mmol/tablet).

In the double blind maintenance treatment trial the patients who were randomised to treatment with sucralfate took a tablet containing 1 g

---

**Table 1 Patients' characteristics**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Sucralfate</th>
<th>Placebo</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patients (n)</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men/women (n)</td>
<td>27/22</td>
<td>24/18</td>
<td>51/40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>55 (35–83)</td>
<td>56 (26–83)</td>
<td>56 (26–83)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location of ulcer</td>
<td>16–33</td>
<td>12–30</td>
<td>28–36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corpus-antrum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial ulcer treatment with:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ranitidine</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sucralfate</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time to ulcer healing:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete after 6 weeks</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete after 12 weeks</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
Sucralfate treatment
of sucralfate half an hour before breakfast and a
second tablet in the evening before retiring. The patients
who were randomised to placebo took placebo tablets which were identical to sucralfate
tables in appearance, taste, and colour. During
the maintenance trial no antacid tablets were
given.

INVESTIGATORS
The investigators in this study had collaborated
in at least two previous studies on gastric ulcer
and had already established standardised endo-
scopical criteria for the diagnosis of benign
gastric ulcer and ulcer healing.36

AIM OF THE STUDY—STATISTICAL ANALYSIS
The statistical methods applied to initial ulcer
treatment have been described previously.37 The
study was designed to detect a difference in the
healing rates between two curative treatments of
at least 25% with an 80% probability and a level
of alpha=5%. On this basis, at least 150 patients
had to be entered for the initial ulcer treatment
stage. It was estimated that approximately half of
the patients thus admitted would complete mainte-
nance treatment, allowing us to detect a
difference between sucralfate and placebo main-
tenance treatment of 27–30% with the same
degree of probability.

Recurrences were defined as symptomatic
(symptoms with endoscopically-confirmed ulcer)
or asymptomatic (no symptoms but endoscopi-
cally-confirmed ulcer). Recurrence rates were
assessed by lifetable analysis according to the
method of Cutler and Ederer38 with time to
response grouped into 30 day intervals. Lifetable
curves for sucralfate and placebo were compared
with the generalised Kruskal-Wallis test by
Breslow.41 In addition, cumulative relapse rates
based on the patients at risk after six and 12
months were calculated (descriptive statistics).
For the analysis of risk factors the Cox pro-
portional hazards regression model was used.41

Results
The results of the initial ulcer treatment trial
have been published elsewhere.37 Briefly, 160
patients were admitted to the study. After the
exclusion of four patients with carcinoma, 10
patients with pyloric ulceration, two patients
with other protocol violations, five patients with
intercurrent diseases, and five patients because
of lack of compliance, a total of 134 patients
could be analysed. Healing after six weeks was
observed in 37 of 66 patients (56%) who had been
treated with sucralfate and 49 of 68 patients
(72%) who had been treated with ranitidine. The
cumulative healing rates after 12 weeks were
82% and 88%, respectively. The differences
between the two types of treatment were not
significant after six and 12 weeks.

Of the 109 patients with healed ulcer (51 of 62
patients treated with sucralfate and 58 of 66
treated with ranitidine), 92 agreed to enter the
maintenance treatment trial. All 17 patients who
refused gave as a reason their unwillingness to
come to regular control visits and to undergo
compulsory endoscopy after one year of main-
tenance treatment. Of the 92 patients included in
the trial, one patient later withdrew his consent
and demanded that his data be withdrawn from
the data analysis. Details of the remaining 91
patients are shown in Table I. The patient
characteristics are well matched in both the
sucralfate and the placebo maintenance treat-
ment groups. Table II shows the reasons patients
were withdrawn from the study: none had an
ulcer recurrence at the time of withdrawal. Of
the 20 patients subsequently withdrawn for lack
of compliance, five had moved to another town
and thus changed their physician, 14 were
asymptomatic and felt that there was no need to
continue maintenance treatment, and one refused
endoscopy after 12 months.

The Figure shows lifetable curves of symptom
recurrence. Lifetable analysis of the entire 12
month observation period using Breslow’s test
showed a difference between placebo and sucral-
Tate maintenance treatment with respect to both
symptom recurrence alone (p=0.044, Figure) and
total (symptomatic plus asymptomatic) recurrences
(p=0.039) (not shown separately). The difference
for symptom recurrence was also significant when
only the first six months of the maintenance trial
were examined (p=0.018).

At 12 months, 35 (53%) of the 66 patients at
risk had developed a recurrent ulcer: 15 (43%) of
35 patients in the sucralfate group and 20 (65%)
of 31 patients in the placebo group.

![Lifetable curves of symptom recurrence in patients receiving maintenance treatment with sucralfate (Suc) or placebo (Pla).](image-url)
A total of 17 (23%) symptom recurrences among the 74 patients at risk were observed in the first six months, and 12 further symptom recurrences were seen during the subsequent six months (Table III). Thus, the cumulative symptom recurrence rate in the 66 patients at risk was 44% in one year. The rates of symptom recurrence in sucralfate treated patients and placebo treated patients were 13% and 34% after six months and 34% and 55% after 12 months, respectively. Of the 37 patients who completed the 12 month trial without recurrent ulcer symptom six (16%) were found to have had an asymptomatic recurrence.

Compliance during the study was excellent. The patients were asked to bring all unused tablets to their outpatient appointments. The percentage of patients who had taken at least 90% of the tablets was 81% after two months, 85% after four months, 92% after six months, 85% after eight months, 93% after 10 months, and 89% after 12 months.

Adverse drug events are listed in Table II. In the two patients who stopped maintenance treatment for suspected adverse drug events a causal relation between the symptoms and the drug intake appeared unlikely.

An analysis of risk factors that might predict a recurrence during the one year observation period was performed in all 91 patients. The following patient characteristics, potentially indicative of a high recurrence rate, were introduced into the analysis: maintenance treatment with placebo (42 patients), scarring deformation and narrowing of the pylorus (12 patients) and antrum (10 patients), intake of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs before entering the trial (24 patients), a deep ulcer with edges markedly raised above the general mucosal surface suggesting long lasting ulceration (12 patients), past history of bleeding ulcer (four patients), previous ulcer attacks (66 patients), regular daily consumption of coffee (80 patients) or alcohol (59 patients) or use of cigarettes (69 patients), stressful life events (49 patients), and a large ulcer (area > group mean of 70 mm$^2$) before initial ulcer treatment (55 patients).

TABLE IV shows the one year recurrence rates in the presence and absence of ‘risk factors.’ Patients with their first ulcer attack had fewer recurrences than patients with a past history of at least one recurrence. No non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug use and scarring deformation of the pylorus just reached significance as risk factors. Additional factors such as age, sex, and a past history of slow ulcer healing were not associated with a high recurrence rate.

The potential risk factors were also examined in a multiple stepwise regression analysis using the Cox proportional hazards regression model. This model takes into account the time of appearance of the recurrences. The type of maintenance treatment was also included in this analysis. Two factors appeared in the whole patient sample: deformation of the pylorus followed by not taking non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs before treatment (in contrast to patients who took the drugs but stopped taking them when told that they were ulcerogenic).

Finally, the effect of risk factors was also examined using a jackknifing method. This checks the stability of the regression model and the internal consistency of the data. In a first step, 10 subsets were created; each subset was to contain approximately 90% of the entire sample of 91 patients. A random selection procedure was used. The 10 subsets thus created contained 84, 82, 78, 84, 85, 88, 83, 82, 81, and 83 patients respectively. In these subsets the Cox model was again applied. In each of the 10 subsets scarring deformation and narrowing of the pylorus appeared as a factor associated with a high recurrence rate. In eight and four subsets, respectively, no consumption of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and placebo treatment after ulcer healing appeared as factors associated with a high recurrence rate.

### Table III

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk factors</th>
<th>Recurrence (n)</th>
<th>Recurrence (n)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance treatment with placebo</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily use/consumption of: Cigarettes</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coffee</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs*</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Past history of: Previous ulcer attacks</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haemorrhage</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stressful life events</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endoscopy showing: Large ulcer (&gt;70 mm$^2$)</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scarring deformation of the antrum</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scarring of the pylorus</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deep ulcer with raised edges</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antral ulcer</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Stopped before initial ulcer treatment; ¶Fisher’s exact test (2-sided).

### Table IV

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>'Risk factors'</th>
<th>Present (n)</th>
<th>Absent (n)</th>
<th>p*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance treatment with placebo</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily use/consumption of: Cigarettes</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0.324</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coffee</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.369</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0.0508</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs*</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous ulcer attacks</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haemorrhage</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.637</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stressful life events</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endoscopy showing: Large ulcer (&gt;70 mm$^2$)</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.663</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scarring deformation of the antrum</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scarring of the pylorus</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.0529</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deep ulcer with raised edges</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antral ulcer</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.112</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion

The main feature of this study was the double blind administration of both initial ulcer treatment and maintenance treatment with a randomisation plan extending from initiation of treatment to one year after healing. Initial ulcer treatment was given with one of two active drugs, sucralfate or ranitidine, which were shown to be equally effective in healing ulcers; after healing, maintenance treatment with either sucralfate or placebo was given.

In presenting the results of this study we have concentrated on the symptom recurrence rate since we adopted a policy of performing off-schedule, diagnostic endoscopies for all symptoms, however trivial, that might have
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indicated ulcer recurrence; a routine endoscopy was performed only at the end of the 12 month maintenance period. This allowed us to define a hard end point for the determination of the recurrence rate; in contrast, the recurrence rate determined by regular, routine endoscopy would have been dependent on the interval between endoscopic examinations. Our approach also had the advantage of using a clinically realistic end point for the detection of ulcer recurrence; despite this, the overall recurrence rate in this study was, in fact, comparable to that reported in previous studies, without the need for regular follow up endoscopies.

Maintenance treatment with 2 g sucralfate per day in this study produced a lower rate of symptom recurrence than placebo. In a lifetable analysis differences between sucralfate and placebo were significant, both over the first six months and over the entire study period of 12 months. The rates of symptom recurrences observed with sucralfate in placebo were 13% and 34% after six months and 34% and 55% after 12 months, respectively. In contrast, the rate of asymptomatic recurrences at 12 months was similar in the two treatment groups (9% and 10%, respectively).

The symptom recurrence rate with placebo at one year (55%) was higher in this study than in previous studies. In 12 studies, in which patients had been treated with placebo, the symptom recurrence rate at one year was 47% (104 recurrences in 221 patients). In eight studies, in which patients had been followed up off treatment, the one year symptom recurrence rate was 39% (231 recurrences in 586 patients). In contrast to symptom recurrences, the proportion of patients having asymptomatic recurrences was lower in our study (17% of all recurrences) than in 13 published studies in which 77% (31%) of 245 recurrences were asymptomatic.

This difference may be explained in part by our off schedule endoscopies, which were performed even if only mild and atypical symptoms were present.

In all previous studies comparing sucralfate with placebo there was at least a trend in favour of sucralfate but a significant difference was reported by only one group of investigators and that only in studies lasting for six months. Asymptomatic recurrences were lower in our study (17% of all recurrences) than in 13 published studies in which 77% (31%) of 245 recurrences were asymptomatic.

Compared with histamine H2 receptor antagonists, sucralfate has the theoretical advantage that it does not favour colonisation of the stomach with Helicobacter pylori; it could be argued that long standing colonisation favours gastric cancer, particularly in patients with gastric ulcers and atrophic gastritis. Since maintenance treatment with either sucralfate or antsecretory agents reduces the recurrence rate of gastric ulcer, it would be of interest to perform maintenance trials of several years' duration, to study the social impact of such treatment, and to assess whether the theoretical advantages of sucralfate are clinically relevant.
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