Symptomatic gastro-oesophageal reflux, abnormal oesophageal acid exposure, and mucosal acid sensitivity are three separate, though related, aspects of gastro-oesophageal reflux disease

P J Howard, L Maher, A Pryde, R C Heading

Abstract

The Bernstein test has been used as a test of oesophageal acid sensitivity for over 30 years but its clinical value has been challenged by the advent of ambulatory pH monitoring. Furthermore, the relation between mucosal acid sensitivity, symptomatic reflux, and abnormal oesophageal acid exposure time is unclear. This study examined the relation between these three parameters in patients referred for pH monitoring with unexplained chest pain or heartburn. Fifty consecutive patients were studied – nine with non-cardiac chest pain and 41 with a history of heartburn. Symptomatic reflux was defined as a ≥50% temporal association between pain episodes and reflux events (pH <4) during pH monitoring. A positive acid perfusion test (in which the patient’s usual symptoms were evoked by acid, though not saline) had a 100% sensitivity, 73% specificity, and 81% accuracy for the detection of symptomatic reflux. All 10 patients with symptomatic reflux during pH monitoring had evidence of mucosal acid sensitivity. A negative acid perfusion test made symptomatic reflux unlikely. However, symptomatic reflux or a positive acid perfusion test, or both, were found in some patients with a normal oesophageal acid exposure time during pH monitoring. Mucosal acid sensitivity, abnormal oesophageal acid exposure time, and symptomatic reflux should be regarded as separate, though related aspects of reflux disease. The Bernstein test is simple, safe, and easily performed. A positive test helps to identify an oesophageal cause of symptoms, particularly in patients in whom other aspects of ‘gastro-oesophageal reflux disease’ are absent, or who do not have symptoms during pH monitoring.

It is now recognised that so-called gastro-oesophageal reflux disease arises when gastric refluxate causes symptoms or oesophageal mucosal damage, or both. Current diagnostic tests evaluate four aspects of reflux disease – the amount of gastro-oesophageal reflux, reflux symptoms, mucosal acid sensitivity, and the presence of mucosal damage. There are now many publications reporting the sensitivities and specificities of the various tests for the diagnosis of reflux disease. Nevertheless, many investigators have recognised that varying definitions of reflux disease and the lack of any absolute standard against which the diagnostic tests may be compared render these sensitivity and specificity calculations of doubtful value. In fact, no test available at present can be expected to serve as a gold standard for oesophageal reflux disease, because the disease itself is not a single entity. There has been longstanding recognition that not all patients with oesophagitis have reflux symptoms and not all patients with reflux symptoms have oesophagitis. Consequently, there should be no surprise or disappointment when carefully performed tests of different facets of reflux disease fail to produce agreement. For example, a negative acid perfusion test in a patient with oesophagitis should not be viewed as a false negative result – it is no more than a demonstration that acid sensitivity is absent in that patient. Symptomatic gastro-oesophageal reflux, mucosal acid sensitivity, and abnormal oesophageal exposure to acid are all distinct aspects of gastro-oesophageal reflux disease. The aim of this study was to examine the relations between them in patients referred for investigation of non-cardiac chest pain or heartburn.

Methods

Fifty consecutive patients referred with either non-cardiac chest pain (four men and five women; mean (SD) age 43.2 (12.9) years) or suspected gastro-oesophageal reflux (26 men and 15 women; mean (SD) age 43.2 (11.3) years) were studied. They had all undergone endoscopy before referral. Of the 41 patients referred because of suspected reflux symptoms, two had a Barrett’s oesophagus and six had oesophagitis. All nine patients with non-cardiac chest pain had a normal endoscopy appearance. Myocardial
ischaemia was excluded by exercise cardiography and coronary arteriography, as appropriate.

**ACID PERFUSION TEST**

The acid perfusion test was performed after routine oesophageal manometry. Physiological saline was infused into the oesophagus 7 cm from the lower oesophageal sphincter via an Arndorfer catheter at a rate of 6 ml/minute for seven minutes. If no symptoms were produced, the infusion rate was increased to 14 ml/minute for a further eight minutes by infusing saline at 8 ml/minute through a second port within the proximal oesophagus at approximately 22 cm from the lower sphincter. Next, the infusion was changed without the patient’s knowledge to 0·1 N HCl at 6 ml/minute for seven minutes, and at 14 ml/minute for a further eight minutes if no symptoms were evoked. The test was regarded as positive if infusion of acid, but not saline, reproduced the patient’s usual chest pain, discomfort, or heartburn. Electrocardiography was performed if symptoms were produced either with saline or acid, or at the completion of the acid infusion, if symptoms were not elicited.

**TWO HUNDRED THREE HOUR PH MONITORING**

Twenty three hour pH monitoring was performed using a combined glass pH electrode with an internal reference electrode (Radiometer, Copenhagen) which was calibrated against two buffers at pH 4 and 7 before each study. The pH probe was passed through the nose and positioned 3 cm above the upper border of the manometrically defined lower oesophageal sphincter. Output from the electrode was recorded onto a battery driven (microchip) recorder.

Ambulatory pH monitoring was performed on an outpatient basis after stopping all medication for at least 48 hours. No restrictions were placed on patients’ activities, eating, drinking, or smoking habits. Each patient was provided with a digital watch and a diary card on which to record the time of eating, drinking, and changes of posture and the time of onset of their symptoms. Symptoms were defined as reflux related if their onset was reported during, or up to five minutes after, a reflux episode. (A reflux episode was defined as the time during which pH fell below 4 until it rose again above pH 4).

The pH recordings were analysed by an Apple II computer which measured the distal oesophageal acid exposure times, expressed as a percentage of recording time. A total acid exposure time of ≥7% recording time is taken as abnormal in our laboratory. Our normal range is based upon pH monitoring in 34 healthy control subjects, performed under identical conditions to those of the present study.13

**DATA ANALYSIS**

**Ambulatory pH recordings**

A symptom-reflux association was defined as the percentage of pain (or heartburn) episodes that occurred during, or up to five minutes after, a reflux event. ‘Symptomatic gastro-oesophageal reflux’ was taken as a 50%, or greater, association between pain episodes and reflux events.

**Comparisons between acid perfusion test, symptomatic reflux, and abnormal acid exposure time**

Ambulatory pH monitoring was used to measure distal oesophageal acid exposure time and to identify patients with symptomatic reflux. The acid perfusion test defined patients with oesophageal acid sensitivity. The sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative predictive values, and accuracy of each of these parameters were calculated, taking each of the other two as arbitrary gold standards. For example, the sensitivity and specificity of oesophageal acid sensitivity (positive acid perfusion test) as a test for symptomatic reflux and abnormal acid exposure time were calculated. Since sensitivity and specificity are related to disease prevalence, however, the positive and negative likelihood ratios were also calculated. The positive and negative likelihood ratios are estimates of the discriminatory power of a test and are independent of disease prevalence.16,17 Hence, the higher the positive likelihood ratio the greater the odds in favour of disease if the test is positive. Conversely, the greater the negative likelihood ratio the greater the odds against disease if the test is negative. In order to clarify the interrelations between acid sensitivity, symptomatic reflux, and abnormal acid exposure time, Venn diagrams were used to express the data for two threshold values of symptom-reflux association - 50% (used to define symptomatic reflux in this paper) and 25% as suggested by Hewson et al.15

**Results**

**REPORTING OF SYMPTOMS**

Two of the 50 patients reported their symptoms as being constantly present during pH monitoring and one patient failed to record the timing of symptoms. Therefore, symptomatic reflux could only be assessed in 47 patients (nine with non-cardiac chest pain and 38 with suspected reflux).

The patients’ usual pain or heartburn was reported by six of the nine patients with non-cardiac chest pain and 26 of 38 patients who presented with possible reflux symptoms during ambulatory pH monitoring. The median number of reported pain episodes in both the patients with non-cardiac chest pain and suspected reflux was 2 with ranges of 0 to 20 and 0 to
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Relation between a positive acid perfusion test (APT), abnormal acid exposure time (AET), and symptomatic reflux (defined as a symptom-reflux association of >25% and <50%). Not all the patients experienced pain during pH monitoring. Considering the left-hand Venn diagram, 2 of 5 patients with +ve AET alone, 5 of 7 with abnormal reflux alone, and both those with abnormal AET and +ve APT did not experience pain. In the right-hand Venn diagram, the corresponding numbers of patients without pain during the pH study were 2 of 7, 5 of 8, and 2 of 3.

INTER-RELATIONS BETWEEN ACID SENSITIVITY, SYMPTOMATIC REFLUX, AND ABNORMAL OESOPHAGEAL ACID EXPOSURE TIME

The overall results in the 50 patients are shown in Table I. The inter-relations between symptom-reflux association, acid exposure time, and a positive acid perfusion test are shown in the Figure (n=47). Table II shows the sensitivities, specificities, positive predictive value, negative predictive value, and likelihood ratios calculated for each of the three parameters using the other two as gold standards, based upon the data in Table I.

The inter-relations between the three parameters are perhaps best understood by reference to the Figure. Using our definition of symptomatic reflux (symptom-reflux association >50%), it can be seen that all 10 patients with symptomatic reflux had an acid sensitive oesophagus and five of these had an abnormal acid exposure time. Ten of 20 patients with a positive acid perfusion test, however, did not have symptomatic reflux on pH monitoring. However, of these 10 positive patients, four did not experience symptoms during pH monitoring. Hence, while symptomatic reflux occurred only in those with acid sensitivity, acid sensitivity was not specific for symptomatic reflux.

Eight of 16 patients with abnormal acid exposures had neither acid sensitivity nor symptomatic reflux. (However, five of these eight patients had no pain during ambulatory pH monitoring.) Therefore, all the patients with symptomatic reflux had acid sensitivity and 50% had abnormal acid exposure. Conversely, 50% of those with a positive acid perfusion test had symptomatic reflux (62% considering only those with pain during pH monitoring). Half of the patients with abnormal acid exposure had neither acid sensitivity nor symptomatic reflux. (The corresponding figure was 33% of patients who experienced pain during pH monitoring.) When the data were analysed using a lower threshold to define symptomatic reflux, as suggested by Hewson et al., the same overall pattern emerged. The number of patients with symptomatic reflux increased from 10 to 17, as expected. Thirteen of 20 patients with acid sensitivity had symptomatic reflux on pH monitoring (13 of 16 considering only those with pain during pH monitoring). With a symptom-reflux association of >25%, 44% of patients with an abnormal acid exposure time had neither reflux related symptoms nor acid sensitivity (33% after excluding those without pain during pH monitoring).

Table II expresses the relations between symptom-reflux association, acid exposure time, and acid perfusion test numerically. Oesophageal acid sensitivity (positive acid perfusion test) is predictive of symptomatic reflux (sensitivity 100%, specificity 75%, and accuracy of 81%). It is also a good discriminatory test for symptomatic reflux (positive likelihood ratio 5-67). Abnormal acid exposure did not, however, identify patients with either oesophageal acid sensitivity or symptomatic reflux.

### Discussion

Gastro-oesophageal reflux disease encompasses a spectrum of disorders in which gastric refluxate causes symptoms or damage to the oesophageal mucosa, or both. Although the term gastro-oesophageal reflux disease has been variously used to describe reflux oesophagitis, reflux-related symptoms, abnormal oesophageal acid exposure, and mucosal acid sensitivity, it is becoming clear that these four aspects of reflux
disease should be regarded as separate, though related entities.

The classic symptoms of gastro-oesophageal reflux are heartburn and acid regurgitation. Patients with reflux disease do not invariably present with typical symptoms, however, and a confident clinical diagnosis is not always possible on the basis of the history alone. Palmer found that only 4-1% of 413 patients with oesophagitis complained of classic reflux symptoms while an additional 14.3% had subxiphoid pain, 18.1% had dysphagia, and 9.4% had dyspepsia. Hence, less than half the patients with oesophagitis had symptoms possibly attributable to reflux. We have previously shown that only 60% of patients with oesophagitis had heartburn, regurgitation, or retrosternal chest pain as major presenting complaints. Similar difficulties in diagnosing reflux disease on the basis of the history were encountered by Wienbeck and Berges. In the present study, 84% of patients with classic reflux symptoms who had an endoscopically normal oesophagus at the time of referral for ambulatory pH studies. Conversely, the proportion of patients thought to have reflux symptoms who have endoscopic evidence of oesophagitis varies from 40% to 80% in published series.

The relation between reflux symptoms and abnormal acid exposure also raises further difficulties. We and others have recently shown that reflux symptoms can occur in some patients with normal oesophageal acid exposure times.

We therefore examined the relation between mucosal acid sensitivity, as determined by the acid perfusion test, and both distal oesophageal acid exposure time and symptomatic reflux during ambulatory pH monitoring in patients referred for ambulatory pH studies. Of course, this group is probably not representative of reflux patients as a whole. Nevertheless, patients requiring pH monitoring for the diagnosis of their symptoms constitute a clinically important group. We defined 'symptomatic reflux' as a 50%, or greater, association between the patient's usual symptoms of chest pain or heartburn and reflux events. Since there is some disagreement as to threshold value for this temporal association we also used a threshold symptom – reflux association of 25% as recommended by Hewson et al. The present study confirms that abnormal acid exposure has a low predictive value for both oesophageal acid sensitivity and symptomatic reflux during pH monitoring, as we defined it. All the patients with symptomatic reflux, however, had evidence of mucosal acid sensitivity during acid perfusion when we used a symptom-reflux association of 50%. (However, four of 17 patients with a symptom-reflux association of >25% did not have acid sensitivity.) Therefore, in this group of patients, symptomatic reflux can be explained on the basis of mucosal sensitivity to acid using a threshold symptom-reflux association of 50%, though not of 25%. Conversely, a negative acid perfusion test makes symptomatic reflux (symptom-reflux association >50%) unlikely as no patient with a negative test had symptomatic reflux during pH monitoring. There were, however, patients with acid sensitivity who did not have symptomatic reflux on subsequent pH monitoring, even though acid infusion reproduced their usual symptoms. This confirms our previous studies and those of Janiused et al. A possible explanation is that these patients have an 'irritable' oesophagus which can respond to different stimuli by producing the same pattern of symptoms. Experimental support for the concept of the irritable oesophagus comes from the work of Peters and others who showed that during combined ambulatory pH and pressure recordings some patients experienced identical symptoms during episodes of either dysmotility, gastro-oesophageal reflux, or both. Heartburn may also occur during belching even when it is not associated with reflux – presumably as a result of acute gaseous distension of the oesophagus.

In conclusion, the acid perfusion test is safe, simple to perform, and does not require expensive equipment. After 30 years of clinical use, it remains a useful test in the diagnosis of gastro-oesophageal reflux disease. Its limitations are that it requires expert endoscopic skills and that reflux and dysmotility are not the only causes of oesophagitis.
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