Effect of moderate exercise on bowel habit
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Abstract

Ten healthy volunteers (six men and four women, aged 22–41 years) were studied in a crossover trial. The study was divided into three one-week periods. During each period the subjects either ran on a treadmill, cycled on a bicycle ergometer, or rested in a chair for 1 hour every day. The exercise was performed at two thirds predicted maximum heart rate (equivalent to 50% \( \text{Vo}_{\text{max}} \)). The sequences were rotated; no studies were performed in the perimenstrual period. Transit was measured by the method of measuring the excretion of a single dose of radio-opaque markers; all stools were collected, weighed, and x-rayed after the ingestion of radio-opaque markers. Dietary fibre and fluid intake were measured on the fourth day of each test period by 24 hour record. Lifestyle was otherwise unchanged. Transit time was dramatically accelerated by moderate exercise (both jogging and cycling); however, stool weight, defecation frequency, dietary fibre intake, and fluid intake did not change significantly. Whole gut transit changed from 51.2 hours (95% confidence intervals 41.9–60.5) at rest to 36.6 hours (31.6 to 39.2) when running and 34.0 hours (28.8 to 39.2) when jogging. Riding and running both differed significantly from resting (\( p<0.01 \)); the difference between riding and running was not significant.

Considerable anecdotal experience suggests that submaximal exercise accelerates whole gut transit. Roald Dahl summarised what every general practitioner knows instinctively:

An early morning stroll
Is good for people on the whole.
It makes your appetite improve,
It also helps your bowels to move. 1

There is also the syndrome familiar to most runners (but not cyclists, canoeists, or swimmers) and often known as “runners’ trots”; this, however, usually occurs in the context of greater exertion than that carried out by the average jogger and may be mediated by different mechanisms. 2–4

Few studies have attempted to define whether exercise has any measurable effect on bowel habit. This investigation assessed the effect of moderate exercise (jogging and cycling) on whole gut transit, stool weight, and defecation frequency. Dietary fibre and fluid intake were also measured, since it has been argued that any effects of exercise are indirect and mediated primarily by changes in these two components.

Subjects and methods

Ten healthy subjects, six men and four women aged 22–41 years, volunteered for the study (Table 1). The body mass index (Quetelet index, kg/m\(^2\)) was 18.9–24.9. None of the subjects engaged in regular competitive running or cycling, although the majority were recreational cyclists, occasional joggers, or members of aerobics gyms. All were healthy, with no cardiorespiratory or gastrointestinal symptoms; bowel habit was, subjectively, not greatly irregular in any one.

The basic design of the study was a crossover trial, with each subject acting as his or her own control. The study was divided into three one-week periods. During each week the subjects either ran on a treadmill, or cycled on a bicycle ergometer, or rested in a chair for 1 hour every day. The exercise was performed at two thirds predicted maximum heart rate (equivalent to 50% \( \text{Vo}_{\text{max}} \)). Heart rate was measured at 5, 10, 15, 20, 30, 40, and 50 minutes, and the speed of the treadmill or load on the ergometer adjusted at each time to maintain the heart rate at the required level.

The sample was too small to randomise sequences of activity. Instead, sequences were allocated to subjects in rotation, in an attempt to eliminate any knock-on effect of one or other period. Two subjects each carried out Rest-Ride-Run, Rest-Run-Ride, Ride-Run-Ride, and Run-Ride-Rest, while one each did Ride-Run-Rest and Run-Rest-Ride.

No studies were performed in the perimenstrual period since many women report altered bowel habit at this stage of their cycle, although some reports claim that there is no pronounced variation during the menstrual cycle. In consequence the study weeks were not always consecutive. In addition, some subjects could not participate at particular times for various reasons and so also had their weeks delayed.

Whole gut transit was measured by the MTT-S method of Cummings et al. The exercise or rest started on a Monday afternoon. Fifty radio-opaque plastic markers were swallowed late that evening (four to eight hours after the first episode of exercise), and all stools passed subsequently were timed, collected, weighed, and x-rayed until all markers had been passed. In every case the exercise or rest and stool collection continued for

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Body mass index (kg/m(^2))</th>
<th>Occupation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>General practitioner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>Librarian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>Surgeon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>23.2</td>
<td>Schoolmaster</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>24.1</td>
<td>Urologist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>Dietician</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>Physiotherapist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>Anaesthetist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>Physician</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>24.9</td>
<td>Haematologist</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
at least one day after the last marker was passed.

Dietary fibre and fluid intake were measured on the fourth day of each test period by a 24 hour record. These data were analysed using the MRC Food Tables. Apart from the exercise or rest, lifestyle was unchanged; there were no other restrictions placed on the subjects, except that they did not exercise (sport, games, jogging, cycling, swimming, gym, etc) any walking was only that which was unavoidable at work or at home) during the study periods and the two days before each period.

The study was approved by the Human Ethics Committee of the University of the Witwatersrand.

Results

Transit time was dramatically accelerated by the moderate exercise performed by the subjects (Table II, Figure). Remarkably, the other parameters of bowel function, stool weight, and defecation frequency (Table III), were not significantly affected. Dietary fibre consumption and fluid intake did not vary significantly either (Table IV), possibly because the level of exercise was very mild. All the subjects were able to talk all the time without any dyspnoea throughout both exercise periods.

Discussion

The intervention of this study was moderate exercise (or rest) for one hour per day for one week at a time. Laboratory exercise on a treadmill or bicycle ergometer was chosen because of the enormous number of uncontrollable variables in ‘free’ exercise - that is, jogging or road cycling. The workload could be precisely adjusted and continuously monitored and was accurately reproducible. A cycling arm was included to provide equivalent cardiovascular stress to the visceral circulation, without the possible mechanical effects of jiggling the abdominal contents while running. The cross-over design made it possible for each subject to be his or her own control. The sequences were rotated to eliminate any knock-on effect and to reduce the effect of temporal variation of bowel habit.

Heart rate is a good measure of relative workload. There is a consistent linear relation between heart rate and oxygen consumption (VO$_2$). The use of heart rate permits ready comparison as well as moment to moment adjustments of workload and cardiovascular stress. The unfit will obviously do less work for the same heart rate, but their exercise will provide comparable cardiovascular stress to that generated by their fitter colleagues.

Bowel habit, or whole gut transit, is a far from ‘regular’ phenomenon. Its considerable normal variability has been well documented. In an attempt to minimise this effect sequences were rotated. It is unlikely that all 10 subjects would have simultaneously spontaneously accelerated their transit time as they moved to the exercise arms of the study.

The best method of determining transit is undoubtedly the MTT-C technique of Cummins and colleagues - continuous feeding of markers, and continuous stool collection, over a period of weeks. It is also the most inconvenient for the subjects. In this study the MTT-S method was used instead, which depends on measuring the excretion of a single dose of markers. There is a good correlation with MTT-C, and the multiple stool collections aid accuracy.

An unresolved question is the independent effect of dietary fibre. Bingham and Cummings recently suggested that all of the alleged effects of exercise can be explained by alterations in ingested fibre. In a dietetically rigidly con-
trolled study (including constant fibre intake), where the subjects lived in the Dunn Clinical Nutrition Centre, they could show no consistent effect of exercise. The exercise used, however, was uncontrolled, inconsistent, and variable. Also, despite a constant diet (determined before starting the exercise), and subsequent daily energy expenditure for six to nine weeks great enough to increase maximum aerobic capacity by nearly 50%, their subjects' weight did not alter appreciably.

The present subjects were free living. On the fourth day of every period (after they had accustomed themselves to the exercise or rest) they kept a 24 hour record of all food eaten. This method is not ideal, but the repetitions provided for a certain degree of consistency, a relative if not absolute assessment.

The additional energy expenditure of the exercise was less than 2 MJ/day (4-500 kcal/day), and although total energy and carbohydrate consumption increased concomitantly, the increases in dietary fibre (which were not in any case significant) were not biologically significant either - they were far too small to accelerate transit as much as in fact occurred.

Mild to moderate exercise has slight effects on gastric emptying (first noted by Beaumont in 1825) and small bowel transit. Nevertheless, these changes are minimal compared with the disproportionate contribution of the colon to total transit - between 75% and 90%.

Most clinicians are aware of the costive effects of inactivity and hospitalisation or bed rest. The obvious is the familiar but unexplained phenomenon variously called 'runners' trots' or even the 'dumping syndrome.' This has been much discussed, although it is often confused with the extreme effects of exhaustion and mesenteric ischaemia. These urgent evacuations probably do not have much to do with the effect noted in this study, since no subject passed a stool during or shortly after the hour of exercise, and none had urgent evacuations at any stage. In addition, the study protocols were carried out under relatively non-stressful conditions, which were not really analogous to the psychological pressures of a race. Furthermore, competitive runners train and race at well over 75% V0 max, while this study was conducted at a level closer to 50%, when the reduction of visceral blood flow is very much less (see below).

The lack of change in defecation frequency is less puzzling than may appear because in the mid-range of transit and stool weight (35-60 hours, and 150-200 g/day) there is little correlation between defecation frequency and transit time. It is also not surprising that daily faecal excretion did not change, since dietary fibre, which is the main determinant of stool bulk through the stimulation of bacterial growth, did not change either. In other words, exercise had an independent effect on transit.

The mechanism of the acceleration of transit by moderate exercise is unclear, although there are perhaps four main possibilities - that it is through a reduction in visceral blood flow, hormonally mediated, neurogenic, or simply mechanical. Visceral blood flow falls with exercise. At levels of exertion close to maximum the bowel may only receive 20% of its resting flow, though at 50% V0 max (as in this study) gut blood flow is maintained at 70% of normal. It is hard to imagine that reducing an organ's blood flow should improve its function; indeed, the effects are possibly even deleterious.

...Many hormone concentrations alter during exercise, including vasoactive intestinal polypeptide, secretin, pancreatic polypeptide and somatostatin, gastrin, glucagon, catecholamines, motilin, prostaglandins, and endorphins. Proof that these hormonal substances alter gut function during exercise is lacking, but many of the changes are provocative.

Neurogenic effects are possible, though difficult to study; little work has been done on this aspect.

Simple mechanics may also be involved; the 'jiggling' of jogging can hardly be without effect. [There is no need to invoke sophisticated hormonal explanations. ... An upright posture, jiggling of the colonic contents and the effect of gravity may all move stool into the rectum and stimulate defecation.] This cannot, however, account for the clear effect of cycling, where the torso is stationary on an exercise bicycle.

The results of this study may perhaps give a clue to the basis of the relative protection against large bowel cancer conferred by life time exercise, although this is no more than speculation at present.

Moderate exercise, both cycling and jogging, considerably accelerates whole gut transit, an effect which seems to be largely unrelated to alterations in dietary fibre and fluid intake. The mechanisms remain unclear, and we are really little further than Puch in 1794, who considered that exercise 'helps to throw down wind from the bowels... It also serves... as an evacuant, and a diversion by which artifices the humours are put into conditions of flying off without the danger of bringing on spasms (Puch J. Treatise of the Science of Muscular Action, quoted by Sullivan').

Dr K W Heaton, University of Bristol, provided much advice in the early stages of this project. Dr P Sareli, Department of Cardiology, Baragwanath Hospital, and Professor G Rogers, Department of Physiology, University of the Witwatersrand, kindly permitted use of the ergometers and treadmills in their departments.
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