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Abstract

The proliferative activity of 16 tumour specimens from 13 patients with neuroendocrine tumours of the gastroenteropancreatic endocrine system was studied by DNA flow cytometry and immunohistology for the nuclear Ki67 proliferation antigen. Equivalent results were obtained with both methods, which showed the proliferative activity of gastroenteropancreatic neuroendocrine tumours to be heterogeneous. In four malignant small intestinal carcinoids and one extrabiliar carcinoid localised in the retroperitoneum the percentage (index) of proliferating tumour cells as measured by DNA flow cytometry ranged from 2-9 to 36-2% corresponding to low, moderate, or high proliferative activity. In four malignant pancreatic endocrine tumours and their metastases indices ranged from 8-7 to 18-3%, corresponding to low, moderate, or high proliferative activity. In four benign pancreatic endocrine tumours indices ranged from 4-3 to 7-7%, all corresponding to low proliferative activity. This heterogeneity of proliferative activity may in part explain the heterogeneous results reported of chemotherapy treatment. As chemotherapy of tumours is largely affected by favourable cell cycling kinetics, individual diagnostic investigations of the proliferative activity of these neuroendocrine tumours may be of value for identifying patients suitable for this treatment.

The biology of neuroendocrine tumours of the gastroenteropancreatic endocrine system is characterised by autonomous hormone synthesis and secretion that typically result in peculiar hormonal syndromes, and by autonomous growth. While several experimental and clinical studies have focused on the autonomous hormone production of these endocrine tumours, far less is known about their growth behaviour. Commensurate with our incomplete knowledge of the biology of these tumours, medical (non-surgical) treatment of gastroenteropancreatic neuroendocrine tumours is at present more effective in controlling the hormonal activity than the autonomous growth of the tumour. Previous attempts at antineoplastic chemotherapy met with mixed success, and each patient's response is considered unpredictable. More recent approaches with hormone treatment using the somatostatin analogue octreotide (SMS 201–995) have not yet provided convincing evidence that octreotide is effective in controlling tumour growth, and the results of treatment with interferon alfa are also controversial.

Since the growth of gastroenteropancreatic neuroendocrine tumours is not yet understood we studied the proliferative activity of benign and malignant gastroenteropancreatic neuroendocrine tumours using two different approaches.

Methods

A total of 16 tumour specimens (primary tumour and metastases) obtained from 13 patients with gastroenteropancreatic neuroendocrine tumours were investigated. The Table gives details of the patients. There were six men and seven women, median age of 47 years (range 31–63 years). All patients were treated by primary tumour resection. In addition, patients 6, 7, and 8 had hemihepatectomy or enucleation of many liver metastases, or both. No antiproliferative medical treatment was performed before surgery in any patient with metastatic tumours. All specimens used in this study were taken from the tumour margins of the fresh surgical specimen.

The diagnosis of neuroendocrine tumours was established on the basis of the typical histology in all cases and was confirmed by immunohistological study of the neuroendocrine cell markers chromogranin A and neuron-specific enolase. Hormonal activity was diagnosed in six cases when preoperative serum or urinary findings, immunohistological findings in the tumour tissue, and the clinical findings were in agreement. In one case (patient 9) hormonal activity remained questionable where a fivefold rise in serum glucagon was found once before operation but only a few tumour cells were glucagon immunoreactive, and the glucagonoma syndrome was absent. Six tumours were considered hormonally inactive, including a case (patient 5) in which tumour tissue was serotonin immunoreactive but urinary measurements of 5-hydroxyindole acetic acid were normal, and the carcinoid syndrome was absent.

Evaluation of the biological importance of the tumour was based on the presence or absence of metastases or gross infiltration of adjacent organs. Thus, a tumour was considered benign if no metastases were found at laparotomy or at pathological examination of the surgical specimen. By this criterion, eight tumours were malignant (four in the pancreas, four in the small intestine), and four benign (all in the pancreas), while the biological importance remained unclear in one extrabiliar neuroendocrine
tumour localised in the parapancreatic retroperitoneum (patient 5). In the latter case no visceral primary tumour was evident over five years of observation including three laparotomies.

In three patients (4, 6, and 7), both primary tumour and metastases were investigated. In two patients (2 and 8) only metastases were available for this study.

Proliferative activity was assessed first by DNA flow cytometry. With this method cellular DNA content can be analysed, and cells can be quantified as percentages within the phases G₀/G₁-, G₁-, G₂/M- (pre-synthesis), S- (DNA synthesis), and G₂/M- (premitosis and mitosis) of the cell cycle. DNA flow cytometry was performed as described in detail elsewhere. Cells were prepared from fresh frozen tumour tissue. DNA content-dependent fluorescence was measured by an ICP 22 cytometer (Phywe, Göttingen, Germany) at 365 nm excitation. Background debris was corrected, as previously reported, to improve the accuracy of the S-phase fraction. The coefficient of variation ranged from 1.8 to 5.2%.

For this study flow cytometric data on tumour cells in the S- (DNA synthesis) and the G₂/M- (mitosis) phases were added together to give a 'proliferative index.' On the basis of previous experience with many other tumours, indices were classified into three categories corresponding to low (index <9.5%), moderate (9.5-15%), and high proliferative activity (>15%).

In a second approach, the expression of the proliferation antigen Ki67 was studied. This nuclear antigen is present in cells only within the late G₁-, S-, G₂-, and M- phases of the cell cycle but not in resting cells. Immuno-histochemistry was performed on cryostat sections of fresh frozen tumour tissue by using the monoclonal antibody Ki67 (Dianova, Hamburg, Germany) in an indirect streptavidin biotin-peroxidase method.

Ki67 immunoreactivity was evaluated under light microscopy at 400-fold magnification by two independent observers (AvH, BS). The immunoreactivity was graded semiquantiavtatively on the basis of a score between 1+ and 3+: 1+ = low (Fig 1), 2+ = moderate (Fig 2), and 3+ = a high proportion (Fig 3) of Ki67 immunoreactive tumour cells.

Proliferative activity was correlated with the clinical course in seven patients with malignant gastroenteropancreatic neuroendocrine tumours and in one patient with a non-resectable extra- visceral tumour. Postoperative follow up ranged from 12 to 28 months. All four patients with benign pancreatic endocrine tumours were probably cured by local resection. Patient 2 was lost to follow up.

**Results**

Individual results are presented in the Table.

**DNA FLOW CYTOMETRY**

In four small intestinal carcinoids (patients 1-4) the proliferative indices had a wide range. In one duodenal carcinoid (patient 1) the proliferative index was 36.2%, corresponding to high proliferative activity. One ileum carcinoid metastatic to the mesentery (patient 2) had an index of 11.4%, corresponding to moderate proliferative activity. Two other ileum carcinoids (patients 3 and 4), however, had indices of 8.8% and 2.9%, respectively, as did the liver metastasis of one (patient 4, index 6.8%), all reflecting low prolif-

---

**Figure 1:** Ki67 immunohistology (score 1+): scattered immunoreactive tumour cells (case 5, extraviseral neuroendocrine tumour).
immmunohistology the results were diverse. In patient 1 many tumour cell nuclei were immuno-reactive (score 3+), while in patients 3 and 4 only a few tumour cells were positive (score 1+). The extravisceral serotonin immunoreactive neuroendocrine tumour (patient 5) had few positive cells (score 1+). Three out of four pancreatic endocrine tumours with metastases (patients 6–8) had a moderate number of immunoreactive cells (score 2+), while the fourth (patient 9) had only a few positive cells (score 1+). Three other pancreatic endocrine tumours (patients 10–12) had few immunoreactive tumour cell nuclei (score 1+).

CORRELATION OF RESULTS FROM BOTH METHODS
Comparing the results obtained with the two methods, individual Ki67 immunohistological scores are in accord with the flow cytometric data (Fig 4). There was little overlap of results for tumours with borderline low to moderate proliferative activity. But lesions with moderate and high flow cytometric proliferative indices were clearly differentiated from tumours with low proliferative activity (Fig 4).

CORRELATION OF PROLIFERATIVE ACTIVITY AND CLINICAL COURSE
High proliferative activity (two patients) correlated in one patient (No 1) with a short postoperative course of 11 months until death from the progressing tumour. The other patient (No 6) was alive 28 months after surgery but with progression of liver metastases.

Moderate proliferative activity (three patients) correlated in two patients with 12 months (No 9) and 25 months (No 8) of postoperative survival until they died of their disease. One patient (No 7) was alive after 27 months of follow up, but with progression of tumour disease.

Low proliferative activity (three patients) correlated in one patient (No 4) with a 13 year

**Figure 2:** Ki67 immunohistology (score 2+): several immunoreactive tumour cells (case 6, liver metastasis of pancreatic endocrine tumour).

**Figure 3:** Ki67 immunohistology (score 3+): many immunoreactive tumour cells (case 1, duodenal carcinoid).

**Figure 4:** Correlation of individual DNA flow cytometric and Ki67 scoring results.
course before she was operated on. During this long period, virtually no local progression was observed, but liver metastases and carcinoid syndrome finally developed. She was alive 28 months after surgery. Similarly, slow tumor growth was monitored for 60 months in patient 5, who was alive 25 months after a second partial tumour resection (this tissue was studied). One patient (No 3) was alive after 17 months.

Discussion

The growth of gastroenteropancreatic neuroendocrine tumours is as yet largely unstudied. Articles in textbooks and other reviews emphasise that slow growth and low mitotic rate are typical. In contrast to these empirical descriptions, we found the proliferative activity of the tumours, as determined by two different methods, to be heterogeneous. Although the number of tumours we studied was limited, some trends may be recognised with respect to the tumour's hormonal activity and proliferation. They do, however, require comment.

Comparing gastroenteropancreatic neuroendocrine tumours on the basis of the presence or absence of hormone activity showed that five of six small intestinal carcinoid and pancreatic endocrine tumours with no hormone activity had greater proliferative activity than tumours with hormone activity. We also observed, however, an extravisceral carcinoid tumour without hormone activity that had low proliferative activity, similar to a pancreatic endocrine tumour without hormone activity studied previously. Thus, although gastroenteropancreatic neuroendocrine tumours without hormone activity (corresponding to loss of endocrine cell differentiation) tend to have higher proliferative activity than tumours with hormone activity (reflecting persistence of functional differentiation), the individual growth behaviour escapes generalisation.

Comparing these neuroendocrine tumours on the basis of their growth behaviour showed that seven of eight malignant tumours had greater proliferative activity than all five benign tumours. The limitations, however, of this are shown by our further observation of an ileal carcinoid tumour with multiple metastases but a very low proliferative index. Thus, although malignant tumours tend to have higher proliferative activity than benign tumours, no generalisations can be made on the growth behaviour of the individual tumour.

Liver metastases had either enhanced (two cases) or similar (one case) proliferative activity as their respective primary gastroenteropancreatic neuroendocrine tumour. This may signify a tendency to enhanced proliferative activity in metastases, as has been reported for other tumours.

Proliferative activity as determined by our approach in vitro may be expected to indicate the growth behaviour of the tumour in vivo. Indeed, a correlation of our results in vitro with the clinical course was established for several patients. But the predictive value of proliferative activity for the rate of tumour growth is limited, as growth only results if the rate of cell proliferation exceeds the rate of spontaneous tumour cell death. Moreover, spontaneous variation of proliferative activity may occur as time goes on. Apart from extrapolation of tumour growth rate, information on proliferative activity of individual tumours may have more important implications for the treatment of the patient. Since the biology of tumour growth is a major factor affecting the response to chemotherapy, the heterogeneous proliferative activity of these tumours found in this study may explain, at least in part, the heterogeneous response to chemotherapy of gastroenteropancreatic neuroendocrine tumours. Among the cytostatic drugs used, streptozotocin, 5-fluorouracil, and anticholinesterases are currently recommended. As these drugs act mainly (but not exclusively) on cells in the S-phase of the cell cycle, a small percentage of tumour cells within the S-phase means a limited number of target cells on which the drug can act. Thus, the investigation of proliferative activity might be a useful tool for identifying patients whose tumours are suitable for antineoplastic drug treatment.

At first sight, DNA flow cytometry seems to be a more reliable diagnostic test than Ki67 immunohistochemistry for determining proliferative tumour activity by providing numerical data. But, as the essential accuracy of flow cytometric S-phase fraction measurements is dependent on individual laboratory procedures and a low coefficient of variation (<5%), the interpretation of the numerical data obtained should be considered critically. If DNA flow cytometry is not available, immunohistochemistry for the Ki67 defined nuclear proliferation antigen may be an alternative. In our experience subjective scoring of Ki67 immunohistochemistry achieved results comparable with those obtained with DNA flow cytometry by differentiating tumours with low, moderate, and high proliferative activity.

In view of the natural heterogeneity in the growth kinetics of gastroenteropancreatic neuroendocrine tumours, as shown by adequate techniques, the diagnostic value of estimating the proliferative activity of the individual tumour for a rational therapeutic concept should be investigated prospectively.
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**Note added in proof:**

Since this paper was accepted we have investigated a further 10 gastroenteropancreatic neuroendocrine tumour specimens. The additional data confirm that the individual proliferative activity of these endocrine tumours is heterogeneous.
Proliferative activity of neuroendocrine tumours of the gastroenteropancreatic endocrine system: DNA flow cytometric and immunohistological investigations.

A von Herbay, B Sieg, G Schürmann, W J Hofmann, M Betzler and H F Otto

Gut 1991 32: 949-953
doi: 10.1136/gut.32.8.949

Updated information and services can be found at:
http://gut.bmj.com/content/32/8/949

Email alerting service

These include:
Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article. Sign up in the box at the top right corner of the online article.

Topic Collections

Articles on similar topics can be found in the following collections

Pancreas and biliary tract (1949)

Notes

To request permissions go to:
http://group.bmj.com/group/rights-licensing/permissions

To order reprints go to:
http://journals.bmj.com/cgi/reprintform

To subscribe to BMJ go to:
http://group.bmj.com/subscribe/