Leiomyosarcoma of the small intestine presenting as fever of unknown origin
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Abstract
We report a patient with a jejunal leiomyosarcoma who presented with fever of unknown origin. Resection of the tumour resulted in resolution of his symptoms.

Jejunal leiomyosarcoma is a rare tumour consisting of smooth muscle cells. Patients with this tumour generally present with vague symptoms such as abdominal pain, chronic anaemia, or diarrhoea and because of this and the low sensitivity of most diagnostic studies – for example, enteroscopy, computed tomography, and echography – jejunal leiomyosarcoma is notoriously difficult to diagnose at an early stage. Histologically, differential diagnosis between leiomyoma and leiomyosarcoma is not clear cut, as it is based on a number of differentiating features with a wide overlap. The tumour typically spreads by direct invasion and haematogenously to the liver, lungs, and bone. The only proved treatment is resection, with a five year survival rate of about 45–60%.

Case report
A 59 year old man was referred to this hospital because of fever of unknown origin. His symptoms had begun three months earlier with episodes of rigor and a temperature up to 40.5°C, followed by general fatigue. In addition he complained of anorexia and weight loss of 4 kg in the previous six months.

The patient was a furniture salesman and had smoked a pack of cigarettes a day for 20 years. He drank moderate amounts of alcohol and coffee. Clinical examination showed a man in good general condition with a normal rectal temperature, no thyroid enlargement, no lymphadenopathy, and a normal thorax and abdominal examination.

Blood cultures during bouts of fever were repeatedly negative. Blood analysis was normal, except for a low iron concentration (with normal iron binding capacity) and an erythrocyte sedimentation rate of 25 mm after one hour. Thyroid tests and tumour markers were normal. Stool examination showed a trace of blood on one occasion.

Gastroscopy, colonoscopy, and barium enema examinations were normal, as were a small intestine contrast study and an upper abdominal ultrasound scan. Sinus and thorax x ray, prostate echography, and spinal fluid examination were negative.

A further blood analysis three weeks later showed a severe microcytic anaemia, while the patient admitted some episodes of melaena, but no haematemesis or haematuria.

A computed tomogram of the abdomen showed a large (8×5.5 cm) mass in the right iliac fossa that seemed to be in contact with the small intestine. There was no sign of retroperitoneal lymph node enlargement, and the mass showed central necrosis.

A laparotomy was performed, with resection of a necrotic small intestinal tumour at a distance of 100 cm from the ileocaecal valve, on the antimesenteric aspect. The tumour was adherent to the urinary bladder and the sigmoid. There were no signs of liver or peritoneal cavity metastases. Postoperative progress was normal and the patient showed no recurrence of the fever or tumour in the 12 months follow up.

The macroscopic examination showed a nodular, fleshy white-gray mass with a diameter of 6.5 cm and a central cyst formation that contained brown sterile fluid. Microscopic tumour examination showed well differentiated smooth muscle cells with slightly pleomorphic, hyperchromatic nuclei and abundant eosinophilic cytoplasm (Fig 1). The number of mitoses was 3 to 5 per 10 high power field (Fig 2). There was invasion of the mucosa as well as the serosa with local perforation and signs of localised peritonitis. The edges of the resected small bowel fragment consisted of normal intestinal tissue. The resected lymph nodes were normal.

Discussion
A leiomyosarcoma of the jejenum presenting with bouts of spiking fever has not been described recently in the published reports. The tumour usually presents with melaena, because of ulceration, or with abdominal cramps, signs of subacute obstruction, or volvulus. Chronic diarrhoea or, rarely, spontaneous perforations also occur. In our patient central necrosis with localised peritonitis as a consequence of a small perforation probably caused the presenting symptom – fever. In the course of the diagnostic investigations, the patient showed signs of melaena and microcytic anaemia.

We found, in published reports, references to leiomyosarcomas or leiomyomas of other organs presenting with fever – surgical removal of an uterine myoma, a leiomyosarcoma of the lung with fever, cough, and dyspnoea; a renal leiomyosarcoma with signs of pyelonephritis; and a leiomyosarcoma of the spleen presenting with fever. In the gastrointestinal tract there were reports of a large bowel leiomyosarcoma, a stomach leiomyosarcoma that caused fever and anaemia, and an oesophageal leiomyosarcoma that presented with fever, cough, pyrosis, and dysphagia.

The diagnosis of small intestinal leiomyo-
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sarcoma is a difficult one, so only rarely in this kind of tumour diagnosed in an early stage.

The most sensitive examination is reported to be a small bowel enteroclysis, which commonly shows an extraluminal mass with a crater like defect. The extraluminal growth is a possible explanation for the absence of any sign on our small intestinal x ray examination. Even with hindsight, we could not find any mucosal defect or sign of extrinsic compression on x rays, notwithstanding the description of a small mucosal ulceration in the histological report. An abdominal computed tomogram is useful for detecting large tumour masses, as in our case, but it may also miss smaller lesions. An abdominal ultrasound examination may also show large lesions, if specifically sought, but echographic examination of the small intestine is often negative because of artefacts caused by air in the intestine.

Selective visceral angiography has been described as a very sensitive way of detecting leiomyosarcomas of the small bowel: the neoplasms are highly vascular which facilitates recognition. Scintigraphy with Technetium labelled red blood cells is used to detect lesions in which active (even small) haemorrhage occurs. One report describes its use in lesions that were not bleeding actively: the tumour showed hyper-vascularity. In our case we did not perform these studies as the presenting symptom was fever and not bowel loss.

The only proved treatment for leiomyosarcomas of the jejunum is wide excision of the tumour with all areas of invasion. There are no conclusive reports that adjuvant radiotherapy or chemotherapy is helpful.

The five year survival after en bloc resection shows only slight variation between most series: 50%, 40-50%, 20-50%, and 57%.

The spread of small intestinal leiomyosarcomas is primarily by direct invasion, as well as by the haemogenous route. Most metastases occur in lungs, liver, and bone. Only a few cases of lymphatic spread have been reported. In our case, the routinely resected lymph nodes did not show any sign of tumour, nor did we find any indication of metastases. The cutting edges were free.

The anatomopathological differential diagnosis between leiomyoma and leiomyosarcoma is not clear cut: it is based on several criteria which all show some overlap. A large histological review studied the indicators of malignancy based on postoperative behaviour, and found the number of mitoses to be the most useful discriminating feature: all tumours with ≥5 mitoses/10 HPF behaved aggressively and should be diagnosed as leiomyosarcoma. On the other hand, however, 40% of these tumours had fewer than 5 units/10 HPF. In our patient the number of mitoses/10 HPF was 3-5 (Fig 2). As the review series was based on the highest number of mitoses in 5-10 HPFs examined we could consider our case as borderline on this criterion alone. Tumour necrosis was reported to be closely related to invasive potential. So even a tumour with <5 mitoses/10 HPF should be considered potentially malignant in cases with extensive necrosis: 31 out of 33 cases of tumour necrosis in this series were leiomyosarcomas.

Further minor parameters studied were tumour size, cellularity, and cellular atypia. In general leiomyosarcomas were larger than leiomyomas and all small intestinal tumours larger than 4 cm were malignant in this study. Appreciable cellular atypia was not common in the review, and when present, was always associated with malignancy. Prominent cellularity was more frequent in sarcomas, but there was a considerable overlap.

In our patient, the tumour size of 6-5 cm, as well as the noticeable cellularity and necrosis, were arguments for malignancy, whereas the high degree of differentiation, with only minor cellular atypias, points in the opposite direction.

From weighing the different major and minor anatomopathological criteria, we decided we had to consider this tumour as malignant. The longterm follow up of this patient should give the answer to this difficult question.
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