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Abstract
By combining two of the morphological characteristics of gastric cancer, the degree of differentiation of the glandular tubules and the amount of mucus in the cytoplasm, the histological type of the gastric carcinoma was categorised into four groups. Group I: tubular differentiation = well; mucus in cytoplasm = poor; group II: tubular differentiation = well; mucus in cytoplasm = rich; group III: tubular differentiation = poor; mucus in cytoplasm = poor; group IV: tubular differentiation = poor; mucus in cytoplasm = rich. A study of the relation between the types of primary lesion and the mode of extension and recurrence of gastric carcinoma in 200 autopsy cases was then undertaken. In group I, the frequency and extent of haematogenous metastasis such as in the liver was high, while in group IV, that of lymph node metastasis, direct invasion into surrounding organ, and peritoneal dissemination were higher. In group III, which showed the intermediate mode of extension in nature to those of group I and IV, although the frequency and severity of the bone marrow metastasis was the highest. There were significant differences in the modes of development and the extent of infiltration in all groups.

Although gastric carcinoma is a malignant tumour originating from the same gastric glandular epithelium, its tissue morphology varies substantially with the individual patient and the location of the tumour in the area of the stomach — that is, whether in the pyloric, fundic, or cardiac glands. It also varies with the tissue environment of the primary and metastatised lesions in which the tumours grow and develop. As a result, there is a wide variation in the morphological future of gastric carcinoma, and there are many histological classifications of gastric carcinoma according to the criteria used. The currently used histological classification of gastric carcinoma is largely divided into two forms — that is, classification either by two histological types or by three histological types. The former classification was first introduced by Järvi et al., who proposed the metastatic intestinal epithelial origin of gastric carcinoma 1 (the so-called Laurén’s classification). This led to the theory of the two histological types, gastric and intestinal type carcinoma (differentiated and undifferentiated types), advocated by Mulligan,2 Morson,3 and more recently by Nakamura et al.4 The latter classification was used in The general rules for the study of gastric cancer in surgery and pathology, edited by the Japanese Research Society for Gastric Cancer (Jpn RSGC),4 and it is consistent with Nagayo’s classification by three histological types.5 The Japanese Research Society for Gastric Cancer divided the so-called common type into well differentiated tubular adenocarcinoma (tub1), moderately differentiated tubular adenocarcinoma (tub2) and poorly differentiated adenocarcinoma (por). These were classified further into papillary adenocarcinoma (pap), mucus adenocarcinoma (muc), and signet ring cell carcinoma (siug). In the final analysis, it appears that the difficulty of classifying tub2 in the three histological type classification by the two histological types led to the development of this complex classification by the Jpn RSGC.

When surgeons treat patients with gastric cancer, if the former classifications are used, the mode of extension after the operation, it is too difficult to select the suitable form of treatment because the modes of recurrence cannot be really identified before the actual recurrence, though these classifications are simple and useful to evaluate the preoperative extension of cancer, and to select a suitable operation procedure. On the other hand, if the latter classifications are used, it is too complicated to understand the extension of the cancer preoperatively.

The authors therefore carried out investigations in order to make a new histological classification to satisfy, even surgeons, for the requirements necessary in the treatment of patients with gastric cancer.

By using such a combination of the two histological features of gastric carcinoma, the authors attempted to classify gastric carcinoma into four groups that include undifferentiated carcinoma (ud) as well as the common type classified by the Jpn R S G C. If a discussion on the tissue origin of gastric carcinoma is set aside, this classification is basically a subtype of the two histological type classification.

NEW HISTOLOGICAL CLASSIFICATION OF GASTRIC CARCINOMA
A combination of the two histological features of gastric carcinoma — namely, (1) tubular differentiation (good or poor), and (2) mucus in the cytoplasm (rich or poor), was used as the index for the histological classification of gastric carcinoma. In other words, on the basis of (1) whether or not the differentiation of the malignant cells leads to a tubular formation, and (2) the functional status of cellular mucus productivity, gastric carcinoma was classified into the following four groups:
Differences in the mode of the extension of gastric cancer classified by histological type: new histological classification of gastric carcinoma

The tubular lesion showed classified by carcinoma histological types illustration of characteristics of morphological degree of carcinomatous tissue, amount of glandular the mucus. tubules and the alcian with differentiation (a) According in classified intracellular as the Japanese Research Society for Gastric Cancer of the carcinomatous group. (b) In high magnification, the carcinomatous lesion showed with arrow in Figure (a) the PAS and/or alcian blue positive deposit cannot be defined in the cytoplasm, so the authors classified this feature as group I. The tubular differentiation is well and the mucus in the cytoplasm is poor (alcian blue-PAS staining). (c) According to the histological classification of gastric carcinoma by the Japanese Research Society for Gastric Carcinoma, this histological feature of carcinomatous lesion (arrow) is also classified as moderately differentiated tubular adenocarcinoma (tub2) (alcian-blue double staining). (d) In high magnification of the carcinomatous lesion showed with arrow in (c) the PAS and/or alcian blue positive mucus is apparently visible in the cytoplasm, so the authors classified this feature as group IV: the tubular differentiation is poor and the mucus in the cytoplasm is rich (alcian blue-PAS double staining).

Figure 1: Schematic illustration of the four histological types of gastric carcinoma classified by the two morphological characteristics of the carcinomatous tissue, the degree of differentiation of the glandular tubules and the amount of intracellular mucus.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Tubular differentiation</th>
<th>Mucus in the cytoplasm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Well</td>
<td>Rich</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>Well</td>
<td>Poor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Rich</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Rich</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1 is a schematic illustration of the above histological classification. The degree of tubular differentiation is shown on the ordinate and the amount of mucus in the cytoplasm on the abscissa. The amount of mucus in the cytoplasm was determined by the intensity of staining and the localisation of mucus in the cytoplasm, as well as by microscopically observing the histological materials prepared by alcian blue PAS double staining. As a result, tub2 in the histological classification by the Jpn RSGC was mostly classified as group I, provided that mucus vacuoles were not present in the cytoplasm, and as group IV, provided that mucus vacuoles were present in the cytoplasm (Fig 2a, b, c, d).

The relationship between the new histological classification of gastric carcinoma and that of the Jpn RSGC is illustrated in Figure 3. Most of pap and part of tub, and tub2 were classified as group I, while most of muc was classified as group II. Both por and ud were classified as group III, while part of sign, muc and tub2 was classified as group IV.

Using this method, the author assessed the characteristics of the mode of development of gastric carcinoma in various histological types and the results are reported in this paper.

Methods

MATERIALS

The new histological classification of gastric carcinoma described above was applied to the primary and metastasised lesions of 200 patients with gastric carcinoma (144 after gastrectomy
LYMPH NODE METASTASIS

Severe
Metastases have spread to the distant lymph nodes such as the Virchow, auxiliary and/or inguinal lymph nodes, including other superficial lymph nodes outside the abdominal and thoracic cavities.

Moderate
Metastases have spread to the regional lymph nodes in the abdominal cavity, lymph nodes surrounding the aorta, and those in the thoracic cavity.

Slight to none
Metastases are confined to the regional lymph nodes in the abdominal cavity and have not extended to the lymph nodes surrounding the aorta. (Clinically, lymph node metastases are surgically resectable.)

DIRECT INVASION
Strictly speaking, to differentiate between direct invasion and peritoneal dissemination is impossible in many cases (particularly those in group IV). This section deals with the cases where the direct and continuous invasion of the primary lesion was obvious.

Severe
The intestinal and/or biliary tracts are totally obstructed by a direct tumour invasion (all of the patients are considered to have developed clinical symptoms of intestinal obstruction and/or obstructive jaundice).

Moderate
A direct invasion to other organs is evident, but marked clinical symptoms of intestinal and biliary obstruction are unlikely to have been manifested.

Slight to none
There is almost no gross evidence of a direct tumour invasion.

HAEMATOUS LIVER METASTASIS

Severe
The metastases have grown practically all over the lobes and seem to have been followed by hepatic insufficiency (H₃ according to the classification by the Jpn RSGC. Nearly all the hepatic tissues have been replaced by cancerous tissue.)

Moderate
Gross metastatic lesions are visible, but are unlikely to have caused an impairment of the hepatic function (H₁ or H₀ according to the classification by the Jpn RSGC).

Slight to none
There is no gross evidence of metastasis. If present, it is detected by a histological examination (H₄ or H₀ and H₁ according to the classification by the Jpn RSGC).

and 56 without gastrectomy) autopsied within six hours after death at the Department of Pathology, Tokyo Metropolitan Komagome Hospital over the 15 year period from 1975 to 1989. Both the primary and metastatic lesions were observed grossly in detail for the purpose of assessing the metastasis and the extent of the cancer. Specimens of the primary and metastatic lesions were prepared for histological analysis by means of haematoxylin and eosin staining and alcian blue PAS double staining, followed by a microscopic examination. The histological types were determined based on the predominant morphological findings of a primary lesion. This was done semiquantitatively, using sections in which the tumour was well represented and in which there was agreement between the observers.

In the analysis of 56 cases without gastrectomy, the predominant histological type was assessed as above for primary gastric cancer but using those areas showing no autolytic changes.

Of the various organs to which gastric cancer metastasised, the following five areas of metastasis were mainly analysed to find the incidence and severity of metastasis according to the histological type: (1) haematogenous liver metastasis, (2) lymph node metastasis, (3) direct invasion, (4) peritoneal dissemination, and (5) metastasis to the bone marrow. The severity of metastasis was evaluated according to the following three grades:
**PERITONEAL DISSEMINATION**

**Severe**
As a result of severe dissemination, the visceral and parietal peritoneum form a mass covered with a thick plaster like fibrous cancer tissue.

**Moderate**
Gross peritoneal dissemination is visible, but it has not diffused all over the intestinal wall and the peritoneum. Although disseminated metastatic nodes are present, no clinical symptoms of intestinal and biliary stenosis are unlikely to have been manifested.

**Slight to none**
There is no gross evidence of peritoneal dissemination. If present, it is detected by a histological examination.

**METASTASIS TO THE BONE MARROW**

**Severe**
Numerous gross metastatic lesions are seen, replacing nearly all of the bone marrow.

**Moderate**
Gross metastatic lesions are limited to several areas of the bone marrow.

**Slight to none**
There is no gross evidence of metastatic lesions. If present, they are detected by a histological examination.

---

**RESULTS**

Differences in the group

**Figure 5:** Mode of development and recurrence in 80 autopsy cases, histologically classified in group I: the tubular differentiation is well and the mucus in the cytoplasm is poor.

**Liver metastasis**

**Lymph node metastasis**

**Direct invasion**

**Peritoneal dissemination**

**Metastasis to bone marrow**

(*) = Post gastrectomy case

---

**GROUP I (Fig 5)**

Of the 80 cases in group I, 42 (52.5%) had undergone a gastrectomy (indicated by an asterisk (*) in the Figure), while the remaining 38 (47.5%) had not undergone a gastrectomy.

**Liver metastasis**
Moderate to severe haematogenous liver metastases were observed in 56 cases (70.0%), of which 30 (37.5%) had severe metastases.

**Lymph node metastasis**
Because the subjects were all autopsy cases, the incidence of severe lymph node metastases was naturally high. Moderate to severe metastases were observed in 52 cases (65.0%), of which 28 (35.0%) had severe metastases. In 28 (35.0%), lymph node metastasis was found to some extent or not detected. Upon a gross examination, it was found that the metastatic nodes in this group were larger and more configurated than those observed in the other groups.

**Direct invasion**
Moderate to severe direct invasion was observed in 50 cases (62.5%), of which 22 (27.5%) had a severe invasion. Direct invasion was found to some extent or not detected in remaining 30 (37.5%).

---

**OTHER METASTASIS**

Although metastatic lesions in other organs, including the lung, ovary, etc, were also examined histologically, the results are omitted from this paper.
Peritoneal dissemination
In 54 (67.5%), dissemination was found to some extent or not detected. Moderate to severe peritoneal dissemination was observed in only 26 cases (32.5%), of which 10 (12.5%) had severe dissemination.

Metastasis to the bone marrow
Moderate to severe bone marrow metastases were observed in six cases (7.5%), of which four (50%) had severe metastases. In remaining 74 (92.5%) bone marrow metastasis was found to some extent or not detected.

GROUP II
As only seven cases (3.5%) were classified as group II, these were not analysed in the present study. As soon as enough cases are found, however, the findings will be analysed.

GROUP III (Fig 6)
Forty cases (20.0%) were classified as group III, of which 20 (50%) had undergone a gastrectomy and remaining 20 (50%) had not.

Liver metastasis
Moderate to severe haematogenous liver metastases were observed in 20 cases (50.0%), of which four (10.0%) had severe metastases. Liver metastasis was found to some extent or not detected in the remaining 20 (50.0%).

Lymph node metastasis
Moderate to severe metastases were observed in 32 cases (80.0%), of which 14 (35.0%) had severe metastases. In the remaining eight (20.0%) lymph node metastasis was found to some extent or not detected.

Direct invasion
Moderate to severe direct invasion was observed in 32 cases (80.0%), of which 20 (50.0%) had a severe invasion. A direct invasion was found to some extent or not detected in eight (20.0%).

Peritoneal dissemination
Moderate to severe peritoneal dissemination was observed in 20 cases (50.0%), of which 18 (38.9%) had severe dissemination. Peritoneal dissemination was found to some extent or not detected at all in the remaining 20 (50.0%).

Metastasis to the bone marrow
Moderate to severe bone marrow metastases were observed in 19 cases (47.5%), of which 12 (30.0%) had severe metastases. In the remaining 21 (52.5%) bone marrow metastasis was found to some extent or not detected.

GROUP IV (Fig 7)
Seventy three cases (36.5%) were classified as group IV, of which 53 (72.6%) had undergone a gastrectomy and 20 (27.4%) had not.

Liver metastasis
Moderate haematogenous liver metastases were observed in 10 cases (13.7%), but no cases of severe metastases were observed. In the remaining 63 (86.3%) liver metastasis was found to some extent or not detected.

Lymph node metastasis
Moderate to severe metastases were observed in 64 cases (87.7%), of which 50 (68.5%) had severe metastases. Only in the remaining nine (12.3%) lymph node metastasis was found to some extent or not detected.

As compared with group I, the metastatic nodes in this group were small and confluent, accompanied by peritoneal dissemination.

Direct invasion
Moderate to severe direct invasion was observed in 70 cases (90.3%), of which 58 (79.4%) had a severe invasion. In the remaining three (4.1%), a direct invasion was found to some extent or not detected.
**Peritoneal dissemination**
Moderate to severe peritoneal dissemination was observed in 68 cases (93.1%), of which 63 (86.3%) had severe dissemination. In the remaining five (6.9%), peritoneal dissemination was found to some extent or not detected.

**Metastasis to the bone marrow**
Bone marrow metastasis was found to some extent or not detected in 55 (75.3%). Moderate to severe bone marrow metastases were observed in 18 cases (24.7%), of which 14 (19.2%) had severe metastases.

---

**Liver metastasis**
The H-test analysis indicated significant differences in the incidence and severity of liver metastasis among the three histological groups (p<0.01). The groups in the order of the highest incidence and severity were I, III, and IV.

**Lymph node metastasis**
There were also significant differences in the incidence and severity of lymph node metastasis among the histological groups (p<0.05). As autopsy patients usually have highly advanced cancer (terminal cancer), the incidence of lymph node metastasis was naturally high. The groups in the order of the highest incidence and severity of lymph node metastasis were IV, III, and I.

**Direct invasion**
There were also significant differences in the incidence and severity of direct invasion among the histological groups (p<0.01). The groups in the order of the highest incidence and severity were IV, III, and I.

**Peritoneal dissemination**
There were significant differences in the incidence and severity of peritoneal dissemination among the histological groups (p<0.01). The groups in the order of the highest incidence and severity were IV, III, and I.

**Metastasis to the bone marrow**
There were significant differences in the incidence and severity of bone marrow metastasis among the histological groups (p<0.01). The groups in the order of the highest incidence and severity were III, IV, and I.

The statistical significance of the differences among the histological groups was further analysed using Wilcoxon's two sample test (t test). There were significant differences between group I and III (p<0.01) and group I and IV (p<0.05). No significant difference was observed, however, between groups III and IV (p>0.10).
Discussion

HISTOLOGICAL CLASSIFICATION OF GaSTRIC CARCINOMA ACCORDING TO THE TUBULAR DIFFERENTIATION AND MUCUS PRODUCTION

Histologically, the criteria for the histological classification of tumours has been based on the morphological characteristics of (1) the organ where carcinoma originated and (2) the histogenesis, or (3) the degree and the characteristics of the atypical cells.

The histological classification of gastric carcinoma such as Laurén’s classification and Nakamura’s classification in Japan is based on the findings of the proposed histogenesis. On the other hand, the classification by the Jpn RSOC or Ohta (Ohta’s classification) uses the degree and features of the atypical cells.

The histological classification examined in this paper uses a combination of the two characteristics of gastric cancer, the structural feature of tubular differentiation, and the functional status of mucus production.

The use of tubular differentiation as an index of the structure of cancer tissue is unlikely to raise any questions. The use of the mucus content in the cytoplasm as an index of the functional characteristics of gastric cancer cells, however, may be debatable. Because signet ring cell carcinoma proliferates in a disorganised fashion and does not form glandular tubules, the formed mucus cannot be drained, this causes mucus to accumulate in the cytoplasm. According to Nagaya, an electron microscopic study of signet ring cells appearing small and containing a relatively limited amounts of mucus as seen under a light-microscopic examination (type I according to his classification) revealed mucus secretion, the presence of abundant organelles in the cells, and well developed microvilli on the surface of the cells.

It is therefore too short sighted to merely conclude that the accumulation of mucus in signet ring cell adenocarcinoma is attributable to the absence of tubular differentiation that hampers the drainage of the mucus. For this reason, we chose the amount of mucus in the cytoplasm as an index of the functional status of gastric cancer and used it in combination with the structural characteristic of tubular differentiation for the histological classification of gastric carcinoma. This method must be assessed further in a greater number of patients.

MODE OF DEVELOPMENT OF GaSTRIC CARCINOMA ACCORDING TO THE HISTOLOGICAL TYPE

To date, a number of studies have been conducted on the mode of development of gastric carcinoma in autopsy cases. Basically, the results of the present study are not entirely different from those of other studies. Nevertheless, there has been gradual progress in various methods of treatment in cancer therapy and, as a result, the clinical course of gastric carcinoma up to the time of death – that is, the mode of development and recurrence has changed in a subtle way.

Furthermore, it is apparent from the results of the statistical analysis of the current study, that the classification of primary lesions by the new histological classification of gastric carcinoma is useful in predicting the conditions of the metastases observed during an autopsy. This means that the method in question has a high rate of predictability for the mode of development and recurrence of gastric carcinoma if applied to the classification of the histological features of a stomach biopsy and resected specimens.

Furthermore, the use of the new histological classification seems to be helpful in determining the site of examination, the necessary precautions, and the guidelines for treatment during the follow up period. Thus far, the authors have not compared this classification with other classification methods, but a comparative study will be conducted in the future.
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