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Abstract
The insertion of percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy has been well documented. The possible benefits for patient nutrition and nursing practice have, however, not been assessed. We report a study of enteral feeding by percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy in 30 patients, the majority with a persistent vegetative state. All patients had previously been fed through a nasogastric tube using manual administration and a dietitian assessed protein calorie intake. Based upon (body mass index (weight/height²), midarm circumference and triceps skinfold thickness, 20 (67%) were malnourished, with 10 patients having a body mass index <17 (severe malnutrition); attributed to high rates of both tube displacement and feed regurgitation. Patients were observed over six to 12 months after percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy insertion combined with overnight continuous pump feeding. All patients attained a body mass index >17, and 17 (56%) of the total number achieved the normal range with no change in protein-calorie intake (pre: 2110 kcal, post: 1880 kcal). Complications of percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy in the study group included perforations (one), tube site infection (two) and displacement (two); all without serious sequelae. As part of an integrated approach percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy proved a safe and efficient method of enteral feeding and justifies wider consideration in the United Kingdom.

In the United Kingdom the most common method of maintaining nutritional intake in patients unable to swallow is through a nasogastric tube using one of the commercially available fully supplemented enteral feeds. While preferable to parenteral feeding a number of well documented complications are associated with nasogastric feeding. The most common of these is the accidental displacement with the associated risk of pulmonary aspiration. Other problems include inhibition of oral feeding, tube blockage, nasopharyngeal sepsis and oesophageal erosion. The adverse effects of an indwelling nasogastric tube on the morale of patient and relatives is frequently overlooked.

An alternative method of delivering enteral feeds in these patients is through a gastrostomy tube. Operative gastrostomy remains the most commonly used technique in this country although the procedure is associated with significant morbidity and occasional mortality. In 1980 Gauderer et al. described a technique for inserting a gastrostomy tube percutaneously using an endoscope approach. The advantages of this procedure in comparison to operative insertion are that it requires only local anaesthesia, takes only 15–20 minutes to insert and can be performed at the patient’s bedside if required. Several studies have demonstrated the safety of this technique.

The aim of the present study was to assess the nutritional benefits of this means of food delivery as compared (in a non-randomised fashion) to a nasogastric approach, in 30 patients from a single institution. In addition we have documented the success rate and complications of percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy insertion in the first 100 cases carried out by this unit.

Methods

PATIENTS

Thirty consecutive patients referred for gastrostomy feeding from a single institution, aged between 19 and 76 years were included in the detailed assessment. All had a neurological deficit, of which 19 were attributable to traumatic head injury, four had multiple sclerosis, while four had become anoxic during a surgical procedure, and three had had a cerebrovascular accident.

None of these 30 patients were found to have a contraindication to percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy insertion (Table I). All patients had been nasogastrically fed using bolus administration during the daytime for periods ranging from two weeks to five years.

Before tube insertion, anthropometric measurements were obtained by a dietitian (CW) and the patients previous feeding regimen was recorded. Height and weight were measured, and expressed as the body mass index, weight (kg)/height (m²), (W/H²). The resultant figure allowed classification of patients into those with a normal body weight (score 20-24.9) and those with significant undernutrition (score below 20), in which an excess mortality is predicted. Body composition and nutritional status were estimated by measuring midarm circumference and triceps skinfold thickness using Holtain skinfold callipers and an inelastic tape measure on the non-dominant arm. The results are expressed as percentiles as described by Bishop et al. The triceps were chosen as the most...
suitable site for measuring the skinfold thickness as it is easily accessible for patients with poor mobility and/or paralysis, it is also least affected by peripheral oedema should it be present.

Subsequent alterations to the feeding regimen during the follow up year were recorded along with the reasons for the change.

The technical aspects of gastrostomy tube insertion have been previously well documented. In all cases a 9Fr Freka (Fresenius) tube was used. Particular emphasis was placed upon minimising the exposure to risk of complications. Patients were carefully screened for contraindications to percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy insertion (Table 1). The position of the tube was assessed by initial transillumination and finger compression of the abdominal wall. The final distance between the inner and outer flanges of the tube were adjusted to allow 1–2 cm of expansion which might be predicted with improved nutrition: thus reducing the risk of abdominal wall compression/necrosis.

The gastrostomy feeding tube was not used after insertion for a minimum of six hours. In the absence of pain, pyrexia, tachycardia or hypotension, 500 ml water was given at a rate of 85 ml/hour for the following six hours. Feeding recommenced 12 hours post tube insertion, at a rate of 45 ml per hour for a further 12 hours using the patients previously established nasogastric feeding regimen. If the feed was well tolerated during these first 12 hours then the rate was increased to meet the patients full nutritional requirements.

Anthropometric tests were monitored after one month, three months, six months, and one year.

### Results

The anthropometric measurements showed 67% (20) of patients to have a body mass index below the ‘desirable range’ of 20, at the time of percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy placement, and of these, 50% (10) were lower than 17. After one month 13% (four) of the total were below 17, but still only 30% (nine) were above 20. At six months 52% had attained normal weight and only 8% remained below the score of 17. After a year all patients had achieved weights to bring their body mass index above 17 and 56% were within or above the normal range. The mean body mass index increased from 19:05 at the start of the study to 21:23 one year later (Fig 1).

Midarm circumference and triceps skinfold thickness measurements were made in 29 patients at the start of the study, 23 at three months and 18 after one year. Weight was monitored for all patients as it is not subject to the large inter-observer error that is found with midarm circumference and triceps skinfold thickness measurements. Patients moved to rehabilitation centres in their home towns could still be accurately monitored for weight where they could not for midarm circumference and triceps skinfold thickness.

On baseline assessment of midarm circumference 30% (nine) of patients, all men, were below the 5th percentile with only one patient attaining the 50th percentile. By three months, 17% were still below the 5th percentile but 22% were now on the 50th. On the final assessment, after one year, only 11% remained below the 5th percentile (Fig 2). Baseline triceps skinfold thickness measurements were compared with standard tables and expressed as a percentile. None of the male patients fell below the 5th percentile, whilst three women did, two of whom increased into the ‘desirable range’ within the first three months. Before the percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy tube was inserted 35% of patients had a triceps skinfold thickness on the 25th percentile, 24% on the 50th and 7% on the 75th. After three months this had changed to 21% on the 25th, 35% on the 50th and 7% on the 75th percentile. By the end of the year, one patient had achieved the 95th percentile while another was on the 90th, 35% were on the 50th percentile and 35% were on the 75th, no patient was below the 10th (Fig 2).

The mean daily energy content of the patients feed before insertion of the gastrostomy tube was 2110 kcal. This was adjusted to 1880 kcal during the first six months of the study in response to improvement in nutritional status and to avoid excess weight gain in immobile patients.

Complications were monitored in the 30 study patients, they included peritonitis (one case), tube site infection (two cases) and displacement (two cases) in a total of four patients. This had no significant effect on nutritional status in the long term. The success of percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy insertion and associated complications were assessed in a larger series comprising the first 100 patients from this unit (Table II). Tube insertion was accomplished in all these 100 consecutive cases although in three patients a second attempt was required. The observed complications are documented for the whole group in Table III. There were no deaths directly related to tube insertion. One patient developed pulmonary aspiration of the feed 30 hours after tube insertion and subsequently died, a complication that could not be directly attributed to the procedure of tube insertion.
tube displacement or tube occlusion which resulted in periods without enteral nutrition, hence, patients were not in fact receiving their prescribed energy intake. The length of time over which nasogastric tube feeding is discontinued for such reasons is frequently underestimated.\(^1\)

A further important factor to take into consideration with nasogastric feeding is the danger of aspiration.\(^2\) Many of these patients are sufficiently mobile to partially remove the nasogastric tube and thereby facilitate pulmonary aspiration of the feed. This is particularly relevant at night when it may pass unheeded resulting in major pulmonary complications. This important risk mitigates against continuous overnight nasogastric feeding. The alternative of daytime feeding also has important limitations with respect to decreased patient mobility, particularly important when this interferes with rehabilitation programmes. There are also important cosmetic aspects for both the patients and relatives.

In contrast percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy tube feeding has a markedly lower risk of pulmonary aspiration and overnight feeding is therefore a feasible option freeing the patient and nursing staff during the daytime to participate more fully in the intensive rehabilitation regimes.\(^3\)

This method of feeding allowed all the patients to gain weight, some to the extent that they became a problem to lift and so had to have their energy intake reduced in order to decrease weight. The results of the body mass index reflect this in that some patients intentionally remained below 20 with the view that they are easier to handle with a lower body weight.

The ease of insertion of percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy tubes is emphasised by the high success rate accomplished in the larger series of 100 patients. Complications were few in all 100 patients and there was no directly associated morbidity. Strict guidelines for tube management should be produced for nursing staff and carers to prevent tube site infection and displacement, the most frequently encountered complication. This is particularly important during the first two weeks post-insertion when the tube tract is still forming and risks of intraperitoneal leakage and sepsis persist. A daily sterile cleaning regimen with change of dressing should suffice. Handling of the tube, particularly close to the point of insertion should be minimised. In agitated patients an abdominal ‘tubigrip’ dressing was used to protect the site, with the attachment to the feeding pump positioned on the flank, thereby reducing the risk of random arm movements displacing the tube.

This study has confirmed the considerable available evidence supporting both the ease and safety of inserting a gastrostomy tube by the endoscopic approach. For the first time the nutritional benefits of this method of feeding have been documented as compared with the nasogastric approach, albeit in an uncontrolled assessment. In the United States of America this is a currently widely used means of enteral feeding, and from the evidence presented here we would strongly support a much wider application in the United Kingdom.

\textbf{Table II} Number and diagnosis of the first 100 patients referred for percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy placement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patients (n)</th>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>Neurological condition, including 2 with Huntington’s chorea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Cystic Fibrosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Cancer of the oesophagus</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textbf{Discussion}

This study has highlighted the major problems that may occur with maintaining enteral nutrition, particularly in patients with severe neurological deficit. Despite a carefully supervised regime of nasogastric feeding (with individual assessment of protein and energy intake) 67% of the 30 patients had evidence of impaired nutrition. By the end of the study period the large majority of patients had gained weight and reduced their caloric intake. In the majority of patients there was a period of months between the head injury and the onset of this study and it is therefore unlikely that the immediate post injury catabolic state is responsible for poor results seen with nasogastric feeding. The most obvious explanation for the initial malnutrition was a high incidence of accidental nasogastric

\textbf{Figure 2: Changes in midarm circumference percentiles and triceps skinfold thickness percentiles at baseline, after three months and at the end of the study.}

\textbf{Table III Complications of percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy tubes in the first 100 patients}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complications</th>
<th>Patients (n)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tube site infection</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tube displacement</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peritonitis</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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