Computed tomography in predicting gall stone solubility: a prospective trial
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Abstract
This prospective study was undertaken to evaluate the correlation between densitometric values of gall stones assessed by computed tomography and the success rate of litholytic therapy in 28 patients eligible for oral treatment. A densitometric study of the stones was performed in all patients before treatment. A cut off point of 60 Hounsfield units (HU) was chosen to divide the subjects into two groups – group 1, 14 patients with low density stones (<60 HU) and group 2, 14 patients with high density stones (>60 HU). All patients were treated with ursodeoxycholic acid (8–10 mg/kg/day) for 12 months and followed up by ultrasound. In group 1, dissolution was complete in 50% of the patients and partial in a further 20%. In group 2 patients, complete dissolution was not observed but 33% showed partial dissolution. The number of patients with total dissolution at 12 months was significantly higher in group 1 compared with group 2 (p<0.02). These results suggest that computed tomography can be used to select patients with a better likelihood of successful stone dissolution after bile acid therapy.

Ursodeoxycholic acid (UDCA) oral dissolution therapy has been shown to lead to complete dissolution of gall stones in about 20–30% of cases. The best results have been obtained in studies where the patients whose stones were most amenable to dissolution (small stones, floating stones) were carefully selected. But a truly satisfactory method of selection has never been achieved to date, as shown by the high number of patients with undissolved stones after therapy.

Of the factors that prevent stone dissolution, a high calcium content seems to be one of the most important. Plain abdominal x ray, performed to select patients with radiolucent stones, is negative in up to 20% of pigmented ones. Furthermore, many radiolucent cholesterol stones contain high calcium concentrations that can interfere with partial or complete dissolution. It has recently been shown that computed tomography can show the chemical composition of gall stones. In vitro studies have demonstrated that stones with low attenuation coefficients (evaluated by computed tomogram) are more likely to be dissolved by UDCA than those with higher values.

However, there are no prospective in vivo studies published that assess stone density evaluation before treatment in predicting stone solubility. This study, which is the first prospective clinical investigation, aimed to assess whether computed tomographic densitometric study of the stones can predict their solubility in patients undergoing oral bile acid dissolution therapy.

Patients and methods
Twenty eight patients (21 women, seven men, mean age 46·8 years, range 20–70) with symptomatic gall stones (maximum diameter <15 mm) took part in the study. Diagnosis was made on the basis of the clinical findings and on positive results of an ultrasound assessment of the liver and biliary tract. In all subjects, plain abdominal x ray showed no calcification of gall stones and oral cholecystography showed a functioning gall bladder.

The patients underwent computed tomography (Philips Tomoscan 350). One to three slices (3mm thick) were performed on the gall bladder after focusing on the stone by ultrasound and marking the patients’ skin appropriately as they lay on the computed tomography table.

The entire gall bladder was scanned if the stones were not identified. The density of the stones was determined by the attenuation coefficient expressed in Hounsfield units (HU); the highest value was taken into account in the case of dishomogeneity within the stone. If no stone could be identified, the density was considered to be the same as the bile. In the case of multiple stones, the highest value was taken into consideration. To minimise the partial volume effect, we used the high precision technique, thin slices and the pixel matrix method (considering the highest HU value found in the box of pixels).

On the basis of the densitometric values, patients were divided into two groups: group 1, 14 subjects with an attenuation coefficient below 60 HU (according to Rajagopal et al) and group 2, 14 subjects with levels above 60 HU. The Table shows the characteristics of the two groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE</th>
<th>Characteristics of patients at baseline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients (no)</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (F/M) (no)</td>
<td>21/7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean age (yrs)</td>
<td>46·8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI kg/m²</td>
<td>25·7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O Stones &lt;5 mm (no)</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O Stones &gt;5 mm (no)</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single stone (no)</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple stones (no)</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HU: (median)</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(range)</td>
<td>3–40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drop out (no)</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final data available (no)</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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All patients were treated with UDCA (8–10 mg/kg/day) and were followed up for 12 months. A clinical, biochemical, and ultrasound assessment was performed in all patients at six and 12 months of therapy. The ultrasonographer was unaware of the densitometric values of the stones. Complete dissolution was defined as a negative report from two consecutive ultrasound examinations performed two weeks apart. A decrease of at least 50% of the diameter or the number of stones, or both, was considered partial dissolution.

Statistical evaluation of the results was performed by means of the $\chi^2$ test.

Discussion

In recent years, many authors have shown in vitro and in vivo that the densitometric evaluation of stones by computed tomography can determine the amount of cholesterol and calcium with satisfactory accuracy.\(^a\)\(^b\)\(^c\) An inverse correlation has been documented between stone density (expressed in HU) and cholesterol content,\(^1\)\(^2\)\(^3\)\(^4\)\(^5\)\(^6\) while a weaker direct relation has been noted for calcium concentrations.\(^4\)\(^6\) Hickman et al\(^7\) showed that stones with a low attenuation coefficient (<50 HU) show complete dissolution in 50% of cases when perfused with a chenodeoxycholic solution for three weeks; no dissolution was noticed in stones with a higher density (>50 HU).

Walters et al\(^8\) reported that patients affected by gallstones with attenuation coefficients below 100 HU had complete dissolution in a significantly higher percentage (50%) than subjects not previously submitted to computed tomographic densitometric evaluation (14%). Our prospective investigation has shown similar results by lowering the cut-off point: patients with low density (<60 HU) stones showed significantly more complete dissolution after 12 months' treatment with UDCA (50%) than patients with high density (>60 HU) stones (9%). As regards patients with partial dissolution, it is noteworthy that three of four in group 2 showed a reduction in stone size in the first six months but no improvement with continued therapy. This suggests that complete dissolution could not be achieved. The fourth case had two stones in the gall bladder, with an attenuation coefficient of less than 20 HU in one and of 84 HU in the other. After 12 months the low density stone had disappeared while the other was unchanged, supporting the hypothesis that computed tomography is a useful tool in selecting stones with a high likelihood of dissolution.

Different cut-off points have been proposed to single out subjects whose stones have a high cholesterol content and are therefore more suitable for dissolution therapy. The use of different instruments, non-standardised methods, and different criteria for classifying stones explains the reported differences in the densitometric values capable of identifying cholesterol stones. This study used a threshold proposed by Rajagopal et al, and the same computed tomographic scanner. These authors showed in vivo that stones with HU <60 contained only small amounts of calcium and were rich in cholesterol.\(^9\) Our previous experience suggests that about 50% of patients who satisfy the traditional criteria for undergoing oral bile acid dissolution therapy have densitometric values above 60 HU,\(^9\) in agreement with Janowitz who
found that computed tomographic evaluation shows calcification in 54-8% of apparently radiolucent stones. This could account for the low percentages of total dissolution in the published reports for UDCA treatment. 

On this basis, it seems that computed tomography of stones may be included in the algorithm of investigations to reduce further the number of patients who will probably not benefit from oral bile acid therapy and should therefore be given other, more suitable alternative treatments.

In conclusion, computed tomography seems to be a useful and cost effective method for further selecting patients with stones most likely to dissolve with bile acids therapy. If these data are confirmed by larger studies, this technique will become mandatory before treatment.
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