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Abstract
Gut immunology encompasses the need for local cellular immunity and prevention of systemic immune reactions to dietary antigens. The relation between these factors and nutritional state or the presence of luminal nutrients in the enterally fed and parenterally fed is poorly defined. Most studies suggest that acquisition of lymphoid characteristics is independent of luminal nutrition and its responsiveness is related more to bacterial challenge. Protein malnutrition may impair immune responsiveness by moderating the generalised inflammatory response, rather than through reduced T cell function and IgA synthesis. Predisposition to the development of gut hypersensitivity can be induced in animals by long-term feeding with elemental diets. The efficient absorption of these diets reduces the caecal microflora burden and together with changed gastric acid secretion and small bowel motility, may affect the composition of the gut flora. Changed luminal nutrition, enhanced tissue damage and inappropriately increased mucosal T cell function may thus be related. The clinical effectiveness, however, of elemental diets in treatment of unresponsive coeliac disease is reassuring. To investigate intestinal immunity in humans, an approach based on whole gut lavage has been developed. Data from this noninvasive human technique will prove to be a useful means of assessing the effects of nutritional rehabilitation on mucosal immunity.

(Gut 1994; Supplement 1: S10–S12)

The key immune functions of the gut are the generation of secretory IgA antibody and of local cellular immunity (the second normally in response to certain infections) together with specific down regulation of systemic immunity to antigens presented through the gut (oral tolerance). Important in the maintenance of these functions are antigen handling and presentation; immunoregulatory factors in the microenvironment of the gut; and the presence of cells and molecules expressing specific and non-specific immune responses.

These concepts have been derived from work in experimental animals. Clinical studies of human intestinal immunity have, until recently, been greatly restricted by problems of access to intestinal secretions and mucosal biopsies, but new techniques are now being devised to overcome these problems.

Important questions to be answered with respect to enteral nutrition and gut immunity include: (a) are immune cells of the gut mucosa normal in numbers and properties when luminal nutrition is absent, or is presented in unusual physiochemical form? (b) how does the nutrition state in general affect gut immunity? Does provision of luminal nutrition in a normal or unusual form change the function of immune cells? This could be either useful or detrimental; (c) we already know that immune reactions in the gut can cause tissue damage, affect epithelial cell kinetics, and lead to malabsorption (hypersensitivity). Are these responses affected in any way by the provision of enteral nutrition?

The answers to these questions cannot yet be provided for humans, but the results of some of our animal experiments may be relevant, and can certainly act as a focus for discussion.

Luminal nutrition and immune cells of the gut mucosa
In the 1970s I described a simple method for producing antigen free segments of small intestine or colon in rodents.1 Fragments of fetal small intestine were implanted under the kidney capsules of syngeneic adult recipients. In the third week after implantation these developed characteristics of adult rodent intestine.2,3 Peyer’s patches, lamina propria, and the intraepithelial sites were populated by lymphocytes, although fewer in number than in normally sited gut and without germinal centres. Cell traffic studies showed normal migration of T and B immunoblasts and the retention of these cells in the tissues; their maturation and differentiation within these grafts was normal.4 We also showed that secretion of IgA occurred into the lumen of antigen free grafts.5

Thus, neither food nor intestinal bacterial flora is necessary to permit the gut to acquire its innate lymphoid constituents. Furthermore, in experiments with allografts of intestine we showed that inflammatory cells, and T cells capable of mounting a delayed type hypersensitivity (DTH) reaction, were recruited into tissues of these grafts.6 Experiments in animals with an expanded population of mucosal mast cells (induced by a gut parasite) showed that mast cell infiltration of grafts could also occur.7

This work, and a wealth of other data, highlights that lymphoid tissues are intrinsic constituents of the gut mucosa. Related studies also show that the intestinal bacterial flora is much more important than dietary factors in expanding the size of the gut associated lymphoid population.
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Immune functions of the gut and nutritional state

The microenvironment of the gut is critical in relation to induction of specific immune responses at the first encounter with fed antigen. Important factors include dose of antigen, its physicochemical properties, the route of administration, and other signals received by reacting cells when antigen is presented. On the other hand expression of the immune response occurs in a previously immunised host; the nature and effects of this expression will vary greatly depending on the cells and immunoglobulin classes participating, the site of the reaction, and the non-specific cells and molecules that are recruited to the site of the reaction. It is important to emphasise that expression of immunity may be entirely harmless, may confer protection for example by toxin neutralisation or bacterial killing, or may be adverse (allergy or hypersensitivity).

Theoretically there are many ways in which nutrition could affect gut immune state either as part of a general effect of nutritional state on the host, or in relation to the provision of certain nutrients in the microenvironment of the epithelial and subepithelium tissues. There are many possible ways in which nutrition might operate, for example on non-specific immunity; on the magnitude of the appropriate IgA response, or the induction of a potentially harmful immature response entailing IgM; on the induction of oral tolerance; in producing deficiency or inappropriately up regulating T cell functions. Nutritional state will affect the capacity of lymphoid cells to generate cytokine signals and will also influence the capacity of epithelial, lymphoid, and other cell types to respond to such signals.

I have no data on the effects of nutritional state on antibody responses although there are several papers in published works, mainly on work in animals, suggesting that IgA deficiency is produced by protein malnutrition. A series of experiments, however, which we carried out in protein malnourished mice, mainly studying T cell immunity and tolerance, are of interest and illustrate the complexity of the situation and the need to use ingenuity and take plenty of time to follow through the necessary experiments.

The thymus dependent (T dependent) limb of the immune response in protein malnourished mice was examined by using several tests of T cell function, both in vivo within the intact animal, and after removal of lymphocytes from the protein deprived host. The capacity to provide help for IgG antibody responses and the DTH response to antigen were diminished after short term (three weeks) deprivation. Both these responses, however, were normal in mice maintained on a protein insufficient diet for 1 weeks. The depressed DTH responses of protein deprived mice were a result, at least in part, of a failure to mount the inflammatory phase of the response. Finally, using a graft host reaction (GVHR) as an index of T lymphocyte function, we found that spleen cells from malnourished donors could induce the splenic and intestinal changes associated with a GVHR in unirradiated F1, animals. Overall, these results suggested that T cell function is not irreversibly damaged by protein deprivation. We proposed that cell mediated immune responses are influenced indirectly by the restrictive environment, which interferes with cell migration, and by the impaired capacity of protein deprived animals to mount non-specific inflammatory reactions.

We then examined the effects of protein deprivation on the induction of oral tolerance for systemic antibody and DTH responses to the protein antigen ovalbumin. Mice were fed 4 or 24% protein diets from weaning, given a single feed of ovalbumin or saline two weeks later, then the presence of tolerance assessed by comparing responses of ovalbumin and saline pread animals to immunisation with ovalbumin in adjuvant. There were disparate effects on the humoral and cell mediated limbs of oral tolerance. Tolerance for serum antibody responses was more profound in protein deprived animals than in controls. Conversely, tolerance for DTH responses was impaired in protein deprived mice. Our results supported the hypothesis that protein deprivation selectively depletes the population of suppressor T cells responsible for the fine control of DTH tolerance.

Impaired induction of oral tolerance for DTH responses to ovalbumin could also be explained by changes in the gut epithelium, with failure of the processing of fed antigen. This was studied with serum, collected one hour after feeding, which should contain tolerogenic, 'gut processed' antigen. Suppression of DTH was transferred with one hour serum from normal, protein sufficient mice, and also with serum from deprived mice, showing that their capacity for intestinal antigen processing was normal. Furthermore, the quantity of absorbed antigen in the serum one hour after feeding was similar in both protein deprived and normal groups.

Adverse effects of elemental diet in infected mice

We became interested in elemental diets and intestinal immunity when we first noted the beneficial effect of elemental diet treatment in Crohn's disease. A series of experiments were performed in which mice were reared on an elemental diet (Vivonex standard), rendered solid by its incorporation in agar. A range of tests were used to examine humoral, cell mediated, and protective immunity. Interestingly, by comparison with mice fed on a normal diet the animals reared on an elemental diet were definitely less aggressive, they were lighter, their spleens and thymuses were smaller, and their peripheral white blood cell counts were lower. We noted that their livers were pale with histological examination showing a fatty infiltrate (presumably, because the constituents of the diet were not fully appropriate for nutrition of mice). Serum antibody responses to a protein antigen were impaired but cell mediated immunity, measured by the capacity to reject an allograft and mount delayed type hypersensitivity reactions of
the skin, were normal. Studies of the gross anatomy of the mice clearly showed a tiny caecum in elemental diet mice when compared with normal diet animals, showing that bacterial flora and bacterial metabolism were probably substantially changed by this dietary manipulation.

In a separate series of studies experiments were carried out on the effects of elemental diet on mucosal architecture of mice by using conventional histological tests and a microdissection and stathmokinetic technique for measuring epithelial cell kinetics and tissue architecture. In uninfected mice, there were no differences in tissue architecture or in intraepithelial lymphocyte counts, when elemental diet and normal diet mice were compared. In a colony of mice with longterm infection with Giardia muris, however, there was a significantly greater increase in crypt length and metaphase accumulation in association with Giardia infection in elemental diet mice, than in Giardia infected normal diet mice when compared with normal diet controls.

Even more striking effects were seen in elemental diet mice infected with the nematode Nippostrongylus brasiliensis, with significantly reduced villus length and correspondingly raised crypt length and metaphase accumulation rate in mice on the seventh day of infection. Furthermore, there was evidence of composite immunodeficiency, reflected in an inability to clear worms from the gut in mice fed elemental diet, whereas worm counts dropped to near zero on the ninth day after infection in normal diet mice.

The reasons why a liquid or elemental diet might exacerbate tissue damage in the presence of an immune response to parasites are many. The stimulus for gastric acid will be changed, there are certainly profound changes in motility that would change the nature of the flora; the distribution of the gut flora along the length of the gut and in cross-section is probably different in the absence of solid food matter; whether or not removal of normal food antigens is relevant is uncertain. It is conceivable that liquid or elemental diet actually enhances the metabolism of parasites and increases their virulence. All of these explanations would relate to a mechanism of increased mucosal damage by either increased parasite numbers, antigen quantity or virulence.

It is, however, equally possible that there is a change in immunoregulation at gut level in relation to unusual dietary constituents and that normal mucosal, or protein, or thymus-dependent, or thymus-independent lymphocytes in the small intestine of the mouse. Evidence of a direct effect on the intestinal mucosa, of either increased or decreased cellularity as a result of elemental diet and the presence or absorption of enteropathogenic agents, is provided by the study of intestinal humoral immunity. DTH responses are impaired in mice on an elemental diet, and have been shown to be related to gut permeability. Allergens, allergoids, and intestinal permeability are discussed in the section on enteropathogenic agents. The gut permeability may also enable the absorption of agents that are normally present only in the gut lumen; thus, a self/heterologous relationship may develop in the gut of mice on elemental diet, with an increase in enteropathogenic agents. The evidence for such a relationship has been obtained in studies using the method of intestinal lavage. The effect of elemental diet is to increase the concentration of bacterial flora in the gut of mice on elemental diet, and the presence of increased numbers of enteropathogenic agents may explain some of the effects obtained in mice on elemental diet.

Newly developed technique for clinical investigation of gut mucosal immunity

Research in nutrition is often carried out at the extremes of life, or in volunteers. In these situations invasive techniques for clinical investigation are often impossible or unethical. We have recently developed a method for obtaining intestinal perfusates by the use of whole gut lavage that overcomes this problem.16

Gut lavage is already widely used for bowel cleansing before barium enema, colonoscopy or colorectal surgery. In this procedure, patients drink several litres of isotonic non-absorbable fluid; this cleanses the gastrointestinal tract of all solid faecal material within two to three hours, after which virtually clear fluid, essentially a whole gut perfusate, is passed through the rectum. The fluid is processed by filtration and addition of a series of protease inhibitors. Aliquots of processed fluid are then stored at −70°C for later analyses, for example by enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA).

We are using gut lavage tests to measure gastrointestinal protein and blood losses in inflammatory bowel disease and to investigate factors that regulate intestinal antibody biosynthesis and specific antibody production. Immuno-regulatory and effector cytokines can also be detected in gut lavage fluid. This technique is commended as an excellent new approach to the clinical investigation of gut mucosal immunity, which can be applied in many ways and would be ideal for research on nutritional rehabilitation and mucosal immunity.
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