Response of the human intestine to high volume infusion

C Steadman, P Kerlin

Abstract
The motor patterns and luminal capacity of the human intestine should affect symptoms and resorption during pathological, massive small intestinal flow. Little is known of human intestinal motility in this situation. This study aimed at mimicking secretory diarrhoea (experimentally) in healthy volunteers by intrajejunial infusion of a non-absorbable iso-osmotic solution at 20 ml/min. During the infusion intra-luminal jejunal pressures and small intestinal transit times were measured. The infusion initially caused jejunal contractile activity similar to that of the fed state but this was replaced by discrete clusters of contractions (DCCs) after 29-1 (SEM) 8-2 minutes. DCCs each lasted 38 ((SEM) 0-8 seconds) and were associated with colicky abdominal discomfort. Later, after 1400-1800 ml had been infused, distal jejunal pressure waves fell to 10 mm Hg or less. Frequent fasting DCCs predicted earlier onset and more frequent DCCs during the infusion. Thus, the rate and volume of flow during simulated secretory diarrhoea determine the pattern of the small bowel pressure profile; eventually, a volume load is reached in which the small bowel acts as a poorly segmenting conduit resulting in very fast transit rates. (Gut 1994; 35: 641–645)

The motor patterns and luminal capacity of the human intestine should affect symptoms and resorption when massive small intestinal flow occurs in secretory diarrhoea. Little is known of human intestinal motility in this situation. Studies of volume-flow relations have shown that initially, as intraluminal flow increases, so does jejunal volume. When fluids enter at rates faster than 7 ml/min the small intestine seems to control its volume by disposing of fluid distally,1 probably at the expense of absorption.2 One mechanism for rapid intestinal transit may be the onset of specialised patterns of propulsive small intestinal motor activity. In animal models distinct patterns of jejunal contractile activity, such as clustered contractions occurring in a minute rhythm, are stimulated by loading the small intestine with both nutrient and non-nutrient substances.3,4 An alternative mechanism for rapid transit could be unimpeded flow of contents when the small intestine becomes distended and minimally compliant at high intraluminal volumes.

The colon compensates for high volume small intestinal flow by acting as a reservoir that retains secretions for resorption.5 Boluses of fluid greater than 250–500 ml instilled directly into the caecum cause diarrhoea but whether the capacity of the colon increases by receptive relaxation when the small intestine is distended is uncertain. Small intestinal motility could affect colonic absorptive and storage capacity in determining the onset of diarrhoea.

We aimed at mimicking secretory diarrhoea experimentally in healthy volunteers by intra-jejunal infusion. Our hypothesis was that because the human jejunum can limit its volume, it would respond to infusion with specialised patterns of phasic and tonic contraction induced by the large volumes of fluid entering its lumen. The response to the infusion was determined by measuring variations in jejunal intraluminal pressures (jejunal motility), small intestinal transit time, and the volumes that the intestine accommodated during the infusion.

Methods
SUBJECTS
Eight healthy male volunteers aged 18–31 years gave informed consent to participate in a protocol approved by the ethics and radiation safety committees of the Princess Alexandra Hospital. All subjects were free from any gastrointestinal symptoms and had normal physical examinations. The unpleasant nature of the studies meant that large numbers of volunteers could not be investigated.

EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN AND PROCEDURES
To reproduce the clinical circumstances of secretory diarrhoea, six of eight subjects drank three litres of the infusate during the evening of the day before the study day then fasted (last meal about 6:00 pm on the day before the study). Each of these subjects developed watery diarrhoea, which had settled before the infusion was started on the day of study between 9:00 and 10:00 am. Their responses were compared with those in two subjects who had no preparation other than a 12 hour fast. These subjects were studied without preparation as internal controls to see if preparation before the study significantly changed fasting contractile patterns or the response to the infusion (see analysis).

A multilumen catheter (outer diameter 5 mm) incorporating a 2 mm infusion lumen, six capillary infusion lumens for sidehole manometry, and an inflatable balloon and weight tip, was placed in the jejunum using a previously described technique.6 The catheter was advanced under fluoroscopic guidance until the infusion outlet was in the third part of the duodenum. Beginning 5 cm distal to the infusion site, roughly at the ligament of Trietz, were six side hole manometric recording sites spaced 10 cm apart. After intubation the volunteers rested in bed.
Intraluminal pressure changes were recorded using a low compliance pneumohydraulic capillary infusion system with on line pressure transducers. The analogue signals from the transducers were simultaneously recorded; those from the five most distal sites were electronically integrated to produce a motility index (area under the curve - mm Hg/min) that was displayed on the real time record for 500 ms every minute. Fasting contractile activity was recorded until two episodes of phase three activity of the fasting migrating motor complex (MMC) had occurred or 4.5 hours had passed. Pressure recordings were continuous throughout.

To measure small intestinal transit the infusion into the third part of the duodenum was begun with 7 g of lactulose (Duphar Company, Holland) diluted in 30 ml of water followed immediately by the infusate solution. Although the lactulose would have mixed with the infusate it was assumed that at least some of the lactulose bolus remained at the leading edge of the infused fluid as it moved in the aboral direction. Any influx of fluid into the intestine caused by the osmotic effects of the lactulose bolus was assumed to have added to the volume load. Before the lactulose was given two basal end expiratory breath hydrogen (H₂) samples were collected. Subsequent breath H₂ samples were taken every five minutes until the concentration increased more than 20 ppm, indicating arrival of the lactulose in the colon. Breath H₂ concentration was measured by gas chromatography using previously described methods. The infusion was started during phase two of the MMC. An infusion system produced a jejunal flow rate of 20 ml/min; this high flow rate was chosen to mimic the flow of secretions in the Zollinger-Ellison syndrome, in which secretory diarrhoea is caused by excessive secretion of the hormone gastrin. The infusion fluid was composed of polyethylene glycol 3350 (PEG) and electrolyte powder (Colonic Lavage Powder, Queensland Ethicals, Salisbury, Australia) dissolved in water in a concentration of 69-6 g/l (PEG 60 g/l; sodium chloride 1-46 g/l; sodium bicarbonate 1-68 g/l; sodium sulphate (anhydrous) 5-68 g/l). This iso-osmotic, colonic lavage solution was chosen for known safety and because it is not absorbed. The infusion rate was controlled using a drip chamber and graduated bags of infusate filled with standard measuring cylinders.

The infusion was continued until each volun-
tee became symptomatic from the infusion with significant abdominal distension, colicky abdominal pain, nausea or the irresistible urge to defecate.

**ANALYSIS**

Pressure variations were taken to represent intestinal contractile activity. Recordings were visually inspected for patterns of motility. The criteria for the phases of the fasting small intestinal MMC were minimal or no contractile activity for phase 1, irregular activity for phase 2, and an uninterrupted series of contractions of >3 minutes duration followed by quiescence for phase 3 (an activity front). Discrete clusters of contractions (DCCs) were defined as groups of three or more contractions >5 mm Hg in amplitude, lasting less than 120 seconds, preceded and followed by >20 seconds of inactivity. Propagation of DCCs was judged to be present if they appeared sequentially over three or more recording sites, or over two recording sites if the pattern of contractions was the same.

Where possible, symptomatology during the infusion was correlated with patterns of contractile activity and small intestinal transit. Motility indices for each minute were averaged over all recording sites during each definable pattern of contractile activity. Motility indices judged to be significantly affected by artifact were excluded from the analysis.

The results of the studies in the two patients who did not receive preparation were included in the analysis as in both, the pattern of response to the infusion was similar to those who had previous preparation. Statistical comparisons were made using Student’s t test for paired data and correlations tested using univariate linear regression.

**Results**

**CONTRACTILE ACTIVITY**

All volunteers completed the protocol. The mean (SEM) infusion rate was 20-2 (0-3 ml/min) for 69–100 minutes (mean 80-8). Each of the six volunteers who had bowel preparation before study had two activity fronts (phase 3 of MMC) before the infusion. The other two had only one activity front during 260 and 278 minutes of fasting study. All phase 3 activity fronts had frequencies >10/min showing that the catheter position was stable in the jejunum.

In six subjects the start of the infusion caused increased irregular contractile activity that was similar to a fed pattern. In three subjects, phase 3 like bursts of contractions occurred almost immediately after the infusion was commenced and preceded the irregular activity.

In the six who displayed the irregular pattern, repetitive DCC activity followed. DCCs began mean (SEM) 29.1 (8-2) min after the start of the infusion (Fig 1). They were most commonly seen in the recordings from distal jejunal sites but were also recorded in the proximal jejunum, which tended to retain the irregular fed like pattern. DCCs did not occur exclusively as periods of irregular activity sometimes recurred.

**Figure 1:** Manometric recording showing the rapid onset of irregular contractile activity that was the initial response to high volume jejunal infusion; later it was replaced, particularly in the distal jejunum, by repetitive discrete clustered contractions (DCCs).
The remaining two subjects developed repetitive DCC activity almost immediately after the infusion was commenced and DCCs persisted throughout the infusion.

Individual DCCs had a mean (SEM) duration of 38 (0.8) seconds, appeared simultaneously over multiple sites or were rapidly propagated at 60–120 cm/min (but as rapidly as 300 cm/min) over distances up to 40 cm (Fig 2). Sometimes DCCs seemed to occur distally before proximally but no clear retrograde propagation was seen. DCCs were more frequent during the infusion than in phase 2 of the fasting MMC (mean (SEM) DCC/minute during infusion 0.57 (0.11) v 0.24 (0.06) during phase 2; p<0.001). The rate of fasting DCCs correlated with the rate of intrafusional DCCs (r²=0.89; p<0.001, Fig 3). Those with many fasting DCCs also tended to show repetitive DCCs sooner after the infusion was started (r²=0.48; p=0.055). Analysis of mean motility indices showed that contractile activity was greatest during phase 3 of the fasting MMC. The mean motility index during the irregular contractile activity early in the infusion was greater than that during fasting phase 2 activity (p<0.05).

In three subjects, including the two who had not been prepared before the study, the infusion was stopped because of symptoms during DCC activity. These subjects had the shortest periods of study (69–76 minutes).

The third feature of the sequential jejunal response occurred in the five other subjects who showed a variable decrease in the number and amplitude of contractions until, in the three or four most distal recording sites, pressure waves fell to approximately 10 mm Hg or less (Fig 2). Declining pressure fluctuations occurred after approximately 1400 to 1800 ml of fluid had been infused.

**CONTRACTILE ACTIVITY AND TRANSIT**

All subjects had a rise in breath H₂. This occurred during either irregular contractile activity or DCCs. The mean (SEM) small intestinal transit time was 35 (4) minutes – that is, average of 700 ml infused. Small intestinal transit times did not correlate with the onset of DCC or any other specialised pattern of contractions that might have been propelling contents to the colon. Small intestinal transit times were similar among the group. Colonic transit times (estimated by subtracting small intestinal transit time from the time of onset of the irresistible urge to defecate) were also closely grouped (Fig 4).

**SYMPTOMS**

The infusion caused symptomatic, readily apparent abdominal distension in all subjects. The onset of DCCs was associated with the onset of colicky abdominal discomfort but individual DCCs did not occur at exactly the same time as bouts of colic. One subject had a brief episode of colicky pain and DCCs then became asymptomatic. He had a longer small intestinal transit time (60 minutes) and time to defecation (130 minutes) than other subjects and was the most distended at the end of the study (2000 ml infused).

Two subjects became pale and nauseated with symptoms suggesting upper intestinal stasis but they had small intestinal transit times of 25 and 35 minutes and both retained the urge to defecate. Vomiting terminated the study in one of them and obscured intestinal contractile events until a phase 3 activity front traversed all recording sites.

**Discussion**

There is limited information on the motility of the human small intestine in secretory diarrhea. Our results support the hypothesis that the intestinal response to high volume infusion is a reproducible sequence. The main purpose of the small intestine is salvage of luminal secretions but as the capacity and absorptive reserve of the intestine are exceeded, mechanisms for the dis-
posal of fluid as diarrhoea come into play.17 We saw early intrafusional contractile patterns that were similar to postprandial activity (fed state pattern) and they interrupted the fasting MMC. The phase 3 like bursts that preceded irregular activity in these subjects could have occurred coincidentally or been part of the induced response.

Merging with the fed state pattern were DCCs that were the second event in the sequence we saw. There was a direct correlation between the rate of fasting jejunal DCCs and the higher rate during infusion and the two subjects with frequent fasting DCCs had the most rapid onset of repetitive DCCs. Thus, the frequency of fasting DCCs seemed to be a marker for greater jejunal sensitivity to distension. DCCs, initially seen infrequently in the human jejunum during late phase 2 of the interdigestive MMC,18,19 were seen frequently in humans in response to eating when partial small bowel obstruction was causing intestinal distension.20 In animal models of diarrhoea propagated DCCs have been induced by loading the jejunum with fluid and overfeeding with grain.21 When DCCs occurred regularly at 1–2 minute intervals they were called ‘minute rhythm’ and were thought to be distally propulsive as they are in the normal ileum.22–24 In contrast, DCCs in the jejunum seem to be a non-specific response to distension caused by obstruction or intraluminal loading and they are probably not propulsive in many cases.

That DCCs are non-specific, and possibly not pathological, is supported by previous findings in chronic diarrhoeal illness25 and other circumstances.26–28 Luminal flow (rather than DCCs) was the main determinant of small intestinal transit in this study as transit times were similar among subjects regardless of contractile patterns. The significance of propagated and non-propagated DCCs is, however, probably different with respect to transit. Despite these findings a lower threshold for DCCs may affect the response to secretion and point to a greater likelihood of symptomatic sensitivity to intestinal distension as occurred in this study. In irritable bowel syndrome frequent jejunal DCCs occur with similar colicky abdominal pain.29,30

Reduced distal jejunal pressure fluctuation followed DCCs after infusion of 1400 ml (or more), presumably because oral intestinal distension decreased aboral muscle tone resulting in a more capacious intestinal lumen and a Bainbridge reflex response characterised by reduced phasic contractile activity distally.31 Reduced pressure fluctuations, however, could also have resulted from the small intestine being stretched to the point of mechanical disadvantage impairing contraction and causing the lumen to behave as an open system. There was no evidence of obstruction as diarrhoea occurred promptly in all subjects. Either way, it seemed that eventually the small bowel became a poorly segmenting conduit facilitating rapid distal flow under the impetus of proximal jejunal peristalsis (mimicking a situation when secretion exceeds 7 ml/min).1 In overfed animals, jejunal motor quiescence was typical of periods when diarrhoea was most severe.12

Human jejunal motor quiescence has also been seen under other circumstances. Infusion of glycochenodeoxycholic acid at lower rates (about 5 ml/min)32 caused motor quiescence. Whether relaxation is regulated or occurs because of intestinal muscle fatigue is unclear as in animals purged with castor oil or magnesium sulphate, the small intestine became floppy and subsequent in vitro experiments showed that small intestinal muscle strips were rapidly fatigued by repetitive stimulation.33 It does not seem probable that relaxation could have permitted the distal small intestine to become a reservoir because in previous studies at steady infusion rates, jejunal volumes were approximately 10 times greater than ideal volumes for any given rate.1

This study is relevant to the pathophysiology of secretory diarrhoea where secretions cause high intestinal flow. Those who are prone to the early onset of diarrhoea may have maladaptive intestinal motility responses such that fed state contractile activity is replaced by DCCs or motor quiescence at comparably low intraluminal volumes.
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