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Abstract
The γ interferon (γ-IFN) concentration and the adenosine deaminase (ADA) activity were evaluated in 30 patients with tuberculous peritonitis, 21 patients with ascites due to a malignant disorder, and 41 patients with cirrhosis. The γ-IFN concentrations were significantly higher (p<0.0001) in tuberculous peritonitis patients (mean: 6·70 U/ml) than in the malignant (mean: 3·10 U/ml) and cirrhotic (mean: 3·08 U/ml) groups. Use of a cut off value of ≥3·2 U/ml gave the assay a sensitivity of 93% (25 of 27), a specificity of 98% (54 of 55), positive (P+) and negative (P−) predictive values of 96% and a test accuracy of 96%. The ADA activity was significantly higher (p<0.0001) in patients with tuberculosis peritonitis group (mean: 101.84 U/l) than in the control groups (cirrhosis (mean: 13·49 U/l) and malignancy (mean: 19·35 U/l)). A cut off value of >30 U/l gave the ADA test a sensitivity of 93% (26 of 28), a specificity of 96% (51 of 53), a (P+) value of 93%, a (P−) value of 96%, and a test accuracy of 95%. There was a significant correlation (r=0·72) between ADA activity and γ-IFN values in patients with tuberculous peritonitis. These results show that a high concentration of γ-IFN in ascitic fluid is as valuable as the ADA activity in the diagnosis of tuberculous peritonitis. Both are rapid non-invasive diagnostic tests for tuberculous peritonitis.
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Tuberculous peritonitis is an endemic disease among socioeconomically disadvantaged communities in both developing and developed countries. 1–6 With Mycobacterium tuberculosis now emerging as a major pathogen in HIV positive patients, the impact of AIDS and HIV infection on tuberculosis (TB) is of great concern, especially in the heterosexual black population of South Africa in whom TB is endemic and AIDS is an increasingly growing problem. 7 This is likely to have significant implications for the diagnosis and management of TB in this population group. The diagnosis of abdominal TB is made difficult because of the paucity of M tuberculosis in peritoneal fluid. 3 Therefore, new techniques which are rapid and less invasive, but which maintain high sensitivities, specificities, and positive/negative predictive values need to be evaluated to aid in the diagnosis of tuberculous peritonitis. A recent major advance in the diagnosis of tuberculous peritonitis is the determination of adenosine deaminase (ADA) activity in the ascitic fluid. 8–13 γ interferon (γ-IFN), secreted by antigen-triggered CD4+ lymphocytes, is a key lymphokine that activates macrophages, increasing their bactericidal activity against M tuberculosis . 14 High γ-IFN concentrations have been reported in patients with tuberculous pleural effusion 15 and tuberculous peritonitis. 16 We prospectively measured the γ-IFN concentrations in patients with tuberculous peritonitis as an alternative rapid and non-invasive test for diagnosing tuberculous peritonitis.

Patients and methods
Peritoneoscopy was performed on 92 consecutive patients with ascites. There were three patient groups; 30 patients with tuberculous peritonitis (29 blacks and 1 Indian; age range: 13–69 years, mean: 37 years); 21 patients with ascites due to malignant disorders (20 blacks and 1 Indian; age range 24–78 years, mean: 52 years), and 41 blacks with cirrhosis (age range: 19–76 years, mean: 47 years). Routine peritoneal withdrawal of 50 ml of ascitic fluid from all patients was used to culture M tuberculosis on Lowenstein-Jensen media. Ascitic fluid ADA activity was determined spectrophotometrically. 17 A portion of the sedimented deposit of an additional one litre of ascitic fluid obtained from all patients was used to culture the tubercle bacillus. The remainder of the sediment was resuspended in 5 ml of sterile phosphate buffered saline (PBS), pH 7·2 and assayed for ascitic γ-IFN concentrations using a commercially available radioimmunoassay (RIA) kit (IMRX Interferon-gamma RIA, Centocor, Malvern, PA).

Statistical analysis was done using the Statistical Analysis System (SAS), release 6·03 edition, 1988 (SAS Institute Inc, Cary NC, USA). One way, non-parametric analysis of variance (Kruskal-Wallis) was used to determine differences between patients with TB and control groups. The degree of association between quantitative parameters was calculated by the Spearman coefficient correlation.

Results
Tuberculous peritonitis was diagnosed in 30 patients. The tubercle bacillus was cultured...
from the sedimented deposit of one litre of ascitic fluid in 14 of 27 (52%) patients. In three patients culture was not done. Acid fast bacillus (AFB) was seen on histology (Ziehl-Neelsen Stain) of the peritoneal biopsy specimen in 19 of 30 (63%) patients, while histology and culture were positive in eight of 30 (26%) patients. In five patients in whom culture and histology were negative for AFB, caseating granulomas were seen at histology of the peritoneal biopsy tissue. Biopsy specimens obtained from the peritoneum showed granulomas in 27 of 30 (90%) TB patients. The sedimented deposit of one litre of ascitic fluid of all 92 patients was used to culture Mycobacterium tuberculosis. The bacterium was not cultured from routine peritoneal taps of 50 ml of ascitic fluid obtained from all patients. Microscopy for AFB was negative in all patients. Two patients were also seropositive for HIV. The diagnosis in the cirrhotic and malignancy groups were confirmed by peritoneoscopy and histology.

The sensitivities, specificities, positive (P+) and negative (P−) predictive values, and the overall diagnostic accuracy figures at the different cut off values for ADA and γ-IFN are shown in the Table.

The ADA activity was determined in 81 and 92 patients. Individual ascitic ADA values in the different groups are shown in Figure 1A. ADA activity was significantly higher in the TB group (range: 0.8–318·50 U/l; mean: 101·84 U/l) than in the control groups (cirrhosis (range: 4.1–29·3 U/l; mean: 13·49 U/l) and malignancies (range: 5·3–70·02 U/l; mean: 19·35 U/l)) (p<0·0001). There was no significant difference between the cirrhotic and malignant groups (p<0·0001).

The γ-IFN concentrations were determined in 82 of 92 patients. Individual ascitic γ-IFN values in the different groups are shown in Figure 1B. The cirrhotic (range: 3·014–3·184 U/ml; mean: 3·087 U/ml) and malignant (range: 3·053–3·563 U/ml; mean: 3·104 U/ml) groups had significantly (p<0·0001) lower γ-IFN values than the TB group (range: 3·079–25·704 U/ml; mean 6·70 U/ml). The difference in the γ-IFN concentrations between the cirrhotic and the malignancy groups was not significant (p<0·0001) and the two were combined to form the control group (mean: 3·0955±0·052 U/ml).

There was a significant (p<0·0001) correlation (r=0·72) between ADA activity and γ-IFN values in the tuberculous peritonitis group only (Figure 2).

**Discussion**

The characteristics of tuberculous peritonitis have been well documented in South Africa. The technique of peritoneoscopy is well established and it is used as the gold standard in diagnosing tuberculous peritonitis. Peritoneoscopy and peritoneal biopsies will allow a presumptive diagnosis of TB in 90% of cases. In areas in which tuberculous peritonitis is endemic, including our own, peritoneoscopy is more useful than either culture (52%) or histology (63%) in diagnosing tuberculous peritonitis. However, the technique requires trained staff, is invasive, and is not without risk.

In most studies, the frequency of a positive culture for *M. tuberculosis* from small volumes of ascitic fluid has been less than 20%. In this study, the bacterium was not cultured from 50 ml of ascitic fluid obtained from all TB patients. Menzies et al reported a 66%
success rate (these authors did not indicate the volume of ascitic fluid used). When larger volumes of ascitic fluid (one litre concentrated either by centrifugation or sedimentation) were used, improved culture rates of 63% (five of eight) and 83% (39 of 47) have been reported. Concentrating one litre of ascitic fluid by sedimentation improved the culture rate to 52% (14 of 27) in this study. Irrespective of the volume of ascitic fluid used, culture of ascitic fluid takes three to eight weeks, which limits its diagnostic usefulness. Thus, some other investigation is almost always necessary. The sensitivity (93%) and specificity (96%) of the ADA activity in our study confirms our previous observations and those made by other researchers. There were two false negative ADA results. One was a patient with HIV and TB and the other a patient whose diagnosis was established only on the basis of a positive culture of M tuberculosis.

ADA activity has been reported to be significantly lower in patients with AIDS related tuberculous peritonitis and in those patients in whom the ascitic protein concentration is low. The latter patient had a total ascitic protein concentration of 42 g/l (normal: 60–80 g/l). The two false positive ADA results occurred in patients with ascites due to malignancies, an observation that has been reported by others. Ribera et al recently reported high γ-IFN concentrations in 16 patients with tuberculous peritonitis. In their hands the test had a sensitivity and specificity of 100%. The sensitivity and specificity of the γ-IFN test in our study may be influenced by the fact that tuberculous peritonitis is endemic in our environment and, perhaps, the manner in which the sample was prepared for the assay. Unlike Ribera et al, we observed a significant correlation between the ADA activity and γ-IFN values in patients with tuberculous peritonitis.

The γ-IFN values in the two TB patients who were also seropositive for HIV (3 U/ml), were closer to the cut off level, but high enough to differentiate between TB and non-TB. The ADA activity was <30 U/l in only one HIV positive patient (0.8 U/l). Ribera et al made similar observations in four patients who were HIV positive. γ-IFN was negative in one of two patients with ascites secondary to a malignancy in whom the ADA activity was falsely positive. Ribera et al made similar observations in patients with tuberculous pleural effusions secondary to lymphomas. TB is one of the AIDS related opportunistic infections that responds well to treatment, therefore early diagnosis is imperative especially in high risk groups and in areas endemic for TB and AIDS. Under such circumstances the γ-IFN values may prove valuable.

This study shows the significance and value of ascitic γ-IFN as a definitive diagnostic test for tuberculous peritonitis and also reaffirms the diagnostic value of the ascitic ADA with its high sensitivity and specificity. Both the ADA and the γ-IFN are simple and rapid non-invasive tests. However, the γ-IFN test is twice as expensive as the ADA test ($10 v $5). With greater experience, both tests could potentially supersede invasive procedures for the diagnosis of tuberculous peritonitis, even in developed countries. The value of the ADA and γ-IFN in AIDS patients with tuberculous peritonitis needs further evaluation.
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