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Abstract
This study assessed the presentation, treatment, and prognosis of primary gastric lymphoma in general hospital practice and its relation to infection with *Helicobacter pylori*. The number of patients that would on the current recommendations have been suitable for *H pylori* eradication therapy was also examined. All lymphomas were graded according to a standard classification of gut lymphoma into high and low grade disease. Forty five patients (mean age 65 years) were identified. The overall five year survival was 40% with a trend in favour of an improved prognosis for low grade and stage I disease. *H pylori* was present in 80%. Only one of 18 patients with a low grade mucosa associated lymphoid tissue tumour had mucosal disease alone, which responded to omeprazole and amoxycillin. All other patients had bulk disease. These patients were treated by surgery, chemotherapy or radiotherapy or a combination of these treatments. In district hospital practice, most cases of primary gastric lymphoma have bulk disease at presentation. Even in patients with low grade gastric lymphoma on histological examination, many on the current evidence would not be suitable for anti-*H pylori* therapy alone.
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Primary gastric lymphoma accounts for between 1–5% of all gastric malignancies.1 Even when confounding factors such as the decreased incidence of gastric carcinoma are taken into account the incidence of primary gastric lymphoma seems to be increasing.2–4 Survival has been shown to depend on the histological grade at presentation.5–8 Patients with low grade disease with low depth of infiltration and radical resectability of the gastric lymphoma have been shown to have the best prognosis.7–8 In a prospective study Ruskoné-Foumestraux et al9 showed that the combination of surgery and chemotherapy in patients with high grade disease was associated with an improved survival compared with patients treated with chemotherapy alone.

Recent interest in the relation between *Helicobacter pylori* and gastric carcinoma10 has prompted an analysis of the presence of this organism in patients with gastric lymphoma. Wotherspoon *et al*11 found a 92% incidence of *H pylori* in 110 patients with gastric lymphoma, an order similar to that found in patients with gastric carcinoma but much higher than in the general population. Many primary gastric lymphomas are now recognised to be B cell lymphomas of mucosa associated lymphoid tissue (MALT). While MALT is not normally found in the gastric mucosa, it may develop after chronic inflammation such as is seen with *H pylori* infection. It has been proposed that MALT, acquired in response to infection provides a background for the development of both gastric carcinoma and lymphoma.11–15 In five of six patients with low grade primary gastric lymphoma, *H pylori* eradication therapy has been shown to lead to a regression of the lymphoma.13

Clinical experience in routine general hospital practice suggests that very few cases of primary gastric lymphoma, even in patients with low grade disease, present at such an early stage as those seen in a tertiary referral centre.15 This study aimed to assess whether there were two patterns of presentation for primary gastric lymphoma, the ‘gastritis’ type described by Wotherspoon *et al*13 and the ‘tumour’ type. We also assessed the presentation and importance of the stage and grade of these tumours at diagnosis, the bulk of the lesions, the prognosis, how the patients were treated (by radiotherapy or chemotherapy with or without surgery), and the proportion in which *H pylori* was seen.

Methods
For the period 1973–1992 all cases of primary gastric lymphoma were identified from the Nottinghamshire Lymphoma Registry and matched with the histopathology records for all patients in Nottinghamshire (University, General, and City Hospitals in Nottingham and King’s Mill Hospital, Sutton in Ashfield). All case notes were retrieved and details recorded of patient age, sex, presenting symptoms, date of diagnosis, size and type of tumour, treatment, and where relevant date of death. Results of computed tomography, bone marrow, and immunoglobulin results were also recorded. All histology specimens, either from endoscopic biopsies or surgically resected specimens were reviewed by two histopathologists (D J and F D) who were unaware of the original diagnoses.

Diagnosis of primary gastric lymphoma
The diagnosis of primary gastric lymphoma was based on the criteria described by Isaacs and11–18 In all patients the disease was predominantly localised to the stomach with
Presenting symptoms of primary gastric lymphoma \((n=45)\). Most patients had epigastric pain

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symptom</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Epigastric pain</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight loss</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anorexia</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vomiting</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melaena</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haematemesis</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anaemia (tiredness, breathlessness)</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Backache</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nausea</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Involvement of draining gastric lymph nodes in some cases, thus also fitting the criteria of Dawson et al.\(^{19}\). Tumours were classified as being of MALT origin only when the characteristic lymphoepithelial changes, polymorphic cellular content, ‘centrocye like cells’, and reactive germinal centres were seen in addition to the presence of B cell morphology and B cell markers on immunocytochemistry. MALT tumours were classified into low and high grade disease depending on the proportion of large, blast type cells present (high grade >20%).\(^{20}\) When the characteristic features of MALT tumours were absent, samples were labelled as being B cell lymphomas when B cell morphology and markers were present.

Primary gastric lymphoma was staged according to the Ann Arbor classification (stage I, confined to the stomach; stage II, with local lymph node spread). The presence or absence of ‘B’ symptoms (which includes weight loss), was also recorded. Patients with secondary involvement of the stomach by either Hodgkin’s disease or non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma were excluded.

**Immunocytochemistry**

The tumours were classified as being of either B or T cell origin using the following immunocytochemical stains:

*Pan-leucocyte common antigen* (Dako LCA, 2B11, PD7, an antigen common to leucocytes).

**B cell markers**: CD20 (Dako L26) and CD45R.

**T cell markers**: CD45RO (UCHL 1), CD43 (Dako CD43) and CD3 (Dako polyclonal).

**Helicobacter pylori**

Ten of 45 patients were excluded from an assessment of *H pylori* status because of ulcerated tumours with little or no mucosal tissue present in eight and two patients had been treated with a bismuth compound (which can suppress the growth of *H pylori*\(^{22}\)) immediately before the diagnosis of primary gastric lymphoma was established. Of the remaining 35 patients, *H pylori* was present in 28 (80%).

**Histopathology**

All of the tumours were of B cell origin. Twenty five were stage I (IIEA: 12, IEB: 13), and 20 were stage II (IIEA: 5, IEB: 15) disease. There were 16 low grade and 17 high grade confirmed B cell MALT lymphomas. Of the remaining 12, 10 were of high grade B cell origin and two were low grade B cell tumours. Most of the B cell tumours (11 of 12) were ulcerated lesions with no epithelial or only very occasional epithelial glands, ‘centrocye like cells’ or reactive germinal centres making it impossible to make an assessment of MALT status although many may have been MALT tumours. Because of the small numbers involved for tumour type, they have been combined for the analysis. Only one of 18 patients with low grade primary gastric lymphoma had mucosal disease alone. All except this patient had bulk disease with mainly exophytic and ulcerated gastric lesions with serosal spread most commonly in the gastric body and antrum.

**Treatment**

Four patients received no treatment; two died before surgical intervention and two elderly patients were considered too frail for therapy. The patient with mucosal disease was initially thought to have a *H pylori* associated gastritis and was treated with anti-*H pylori* eradication therapy consisting of omeprazole 40 mg once daily for one month together with amoxicillin 500 mg four times daily for two weeks. The diagnosis of low grade B cell primary gastric lymphoma was confirmed by immunocytochemistry. Two follow up endoscopies with multiple gastric biopsies showed no evidence of primary gastric lymphoma and he has remained well for two years since the original diagnosis.

Nineteen patients had surgery, which in most was a partial gastrectomy. There were lymphoma with infiltration of stomach. Four other cases were excluded because no material was available for histopathological assessment in three and insufficient material to make a diagnosis in one leaving 45 patients for analysis. The mean (SD) age of the group was 65 (11) years and the range 25–84. The male: female ratio was 1:5:1.

**Presenting symptoms** (Table)

These were similar to gastric cancer. The predominant symptom was that of epigastric pain.
There was a non-significant trend for improved survival in both patients with stage I disease (p<0.1, Fig 3) and those who had received surgery as part of their treatment compared with those treated medically (Fig 4).

Discussion
This study examined the presentation of primary gastric lymphoma in district general hospital practice. It is probably representative of that seen by many gastroenterologists compared with that seen in specialist tertiary referral centres. At diagnosis, most cases had bulk disease with ulcerating tumours invading through the serosa. Only one patient with low grade primary gastric lymphoma had a 'gastritis' type histology. The infiltration of the stomach may have been greater than could be appreciated from the endoscopic biopsies and computed tomography, but endoscopic ultrasound, which may be of benefit in assessing depth of invasion, was not available.

It is possible that early MALT tumours are being missed because of their non-specific 'gastritis' type appearance at endoscopy. We believe, however, that it is unlikely that we have missed significant progressive disease as none of the patients had a previous histological diagnosis of 'gastritis'. None the less, for patients with unexplained symptoms in the presence of gastritis, biopsy specimens should be taken. We do not know if some patients presenting in the earlier part of this study, when immunocytochemistry was not available, may have been misclassified as carcinoma.

Hussell et al. have shown that the proliferation of low grade B cell primary gastric lymphoma is dependent upon specific activation of T cells by H. pylori together with the release of cytokines that drive B cell activation and proliferation. In five of six cases of low grade primary gastric lymphoma, Wotherspoon et al. saw regression of the lymphoma after successful eradication of H. pylori. These findings prompted the recommendation that patients with low grade disease should receive H. pylori eradication therapy as a first line treatment with prolonged follow up rather than the conventional surgery, chemotherapy or radiotherapy.

The patients included in the study by Wotherspoon et al. probably represented very early disease, as the endoscopic appearances were those of 'gastritis' with biopsies showing lymphoepithelial destruction with the monoclonyality of tumour cells confirmed by polymerase chain reaction. At present, no information is available as to whether it is only early MALT tumours that respond to H. pylori eradication therapy but in our series only one of 18 patients with low grade primary gastric lymphoma fitted that category. Our case of regression of lymphoma with omeprazole and amoxicillin agrees with the findings of Wotherspoon et al. but it seems that patients only infrequently present with such disease. We do not know whether anti-H. pylori therapy may result in tumour regression in patients with low grade bulk disease, but it is less likely
to benefit patients with high grade disease, where cell proliferation might be expected to continue in the absence of an antigenic stimulus.

In those patients eligible for an assessment of $H. pylori$ status, 28 of 35 (80%) were positive. Although we do not have data on the incidence of $H. pylori$ in an aged matched population to this group, it is likely to be similar to that quoted in other studies (<60%).

Immunological evidence of previous $H. pylori$ infection has recently been shown to be higher in patients with gastric lymphoma than matched controls.

Treatment of primary gastric lymphoma as in this study, may be medical, surgical or a combination of the two. Increasing interest in chemotherapy as a treatment for gastric lymphoma, based on the premise that the disease is histologically similar to nodal non-Hodgkins lymphoma has led to the suggestion, that for low grade lymphomas, chemotherapy alone may be an effective alternative treatment to surgery. Blaquez et al. found cyclophosphamide to be a well tolerated monochemotherapy with good outcome. In some cases, anti-$H. pylori$ treatment may replace chemotherapy.

Chemotherapy or radiotherapy alone without surgery, or both, may improve the survival of patients with primary gastric lymphoma but patient numbers have been small. For those treated surgically, Radaszkiewicz et al. showed that postoperative radiotherapy or chemotherapy, or both, significantly improved survival although this was not confirmed by Cogliatti et al. in a small group of patients. In patients with high grade lymphoma, Salles et al. showed that surgical resection before the administration of chemotherapy did not influence response rate or survival. However, Ruskone-Foumestraux et al. in a study of 91 patients with gastrointestinal lymphoma, two thirds of which were primary gastric lymphomas, showed that the combination of radical surgery and chemotherapy was associated with a significantly improved outcome in comparison with those patients treated with chemotherapy alone.

The small numbers in each histological category in this study, prevent us from assessing the benefits of one treatment over another. The trend in favour of surgery probably reflects differences between the two groups of patients in age and disease stage but does agree with the results of Radaszkiewicz’s and Ruskone-Foumestraux’s studies.

Some studies have suggested that patients with low grade disease have a better prognosis but in this series, cause specific survival (identifying patients dying from their disease rather than unrelated causes) was no different in high and low grade tumours. Although there was insufficient evidence histologically to confirm a MALT origin for 12 of our lymphoma cases, it is probable that many of these B cell tumours may have arisen from MALT and we therefore believe we are justified in combining the two groups for the analysis.

This retrospective series of patients with primary gastric lymphoma in Nottinghamshire over a 20 year period has shown that most cases presented with advanced ulcerating tumours with only one patient having a ‘gastritis’ type lesion. This pattern probably reflects district general hospital practice rather than that seen at tertiary centres. Our study has identified a need for further data on larger numbers of gastric lymphomas. The United Kingdom lymphoma group/British National Lymphoma Investigation are currently embarking on two studies that could achieve these objectives (Souhami and Leyland).

$H. pylori$ is present in most primary gastric lymphomas and may be cofactor in the pathogenesis. Patients with low grade ‘gastritis’ type primary gastric lymphoma may be treated by $H. pylori$ eradication therapy and regular follow up, a simple therapeutic strategy that has been shown to lead to tumour regression. Most patients on the evidence currently available could not be treated by this strategy alone and at present, should be treated using currently accepted protocols.

![Figure 3](image-url)  
*Figure 3: Cause specific survival in patients with primary gastric lymphoma according to stage of disease (NS).*

![Figure 4](image-url)  
*Figure 4: Survival in primary gastric lymphoma in patients treated with surgery (with or without additional chemotherapy or radiotherapy, or both) compared with those that received medical treatment alone. There were three postoperative deaths. The trend in favour of surgery probably represents selection bias and the patients were not well matched for age or stage of disease.*
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