Echinococcosis of the liver

EDITOR—We read with interest Dr Morris’s leading article on echinococcosis of the liver (Gut 1994; 35: 1517–8). We were surprised to read that percutaneous aspiration entailed a high risk of fluid leakage and anaphylaxis. Published reports do not support this view.

In Filicié’s report1 on five patients successfully treated with percutaneous aspiration and 95% alcohol injection, no complications were noted.

Two series were reported by Khuroo et al.2 The first3 involved 12 patients with 21 cysts, no anaphylaxis was reported, one patient had reversible urticaria and two antibiotic responsive cyst infection. The second study4 of 33 cysts was prospective and randomised comparing albendazole and percutaneous drainage in a combination of both. Twenty two aspirated cysts showed significant size reduction and change in echopattern compared with two in the albendazole group. Maximum size reduction was achieved in cysts treated with albendazole and percutaneous drainage. No patient suffered anaphylaxis, two had urticaria, two cyst infections, three developed fever, and one had biliary rupture.

We have reported our experience5 with percutaneous drainage of 14 cysts. To decrease the risk of peritoneal spillage, cysts were approached under ultrasound guidance using thin normal saline and parenchyma and initially decompressed by fine (20 gauge) needle aspiration. Pigtail (8.3 French) catheters were subsequently used for 20% saline lavage and 95% alcohol injection. All cysts showed significant shrinkage during a mean follow up of 9-6 months. A single episode of reversible anaphylaxis was seen and average hospital stay was 48 hours.

We acknowledge the limitations of current studies, mainly the small patient numbers and lack of longterm follow up. We believe, however, that the technique is a valuable cost effective addition to treatment. It should be undertaken by experienced hands with intensive monitoring and is particularly attractive in high operative risk patients and those with recurrent disease after surgery.


Just as Marts is to Spencer, so St Mark’s is to polyposis. The condition may have remained the same but only recently has the term familial adenomatous polyposis (FAP) become common currency. It has evolved through stages variously as familial polyposis coli, familial multiple polyposis, multiple intestinal polyposis, familial adenomatosis coli, familial polyposis coli—and just about every other combination of the terms—until arriving at its latest form. Indeed, in the way that terminological difficulties are now resolved, a meeting of 30 of the great and good in colonic polyps from 11 countries met at Leeds Castle in June 1985 to resolve the nomenclature. Those Euro-sceptics among the gastroenterological community might be distressed to hear that the horizon of polyposis groups has now extended from a castle in Kent to Euro-FAP, which is supported financially by the European Union.

It is obviously worthy that such experts in a comparatively rare condition should come together to share their experiences, data and, above all, their patient bases. It must be recognised, however, that all of the fundamental work in setting up registries, which has proved so fruitful a foundation for research, have come from the effort of three people who worked at St Mark’s Hospital: Lockhart-Mummery, Dukes, and (at that time) Dukes’s junior laboratory technician—Bussey. The tribute that this present volume pay to Bussey is clearly justified by his enormous and meticulous contribution, especially in establishing the St Mark’s Polyposis Register (in 1924 or 1925, there is some uncertainty) in which the data for Bussey is made abundantly clear in the preface and generous foreword. The other name that towers throughout this book is that of Gardner, who sadly died some six years ago at the very time that molecular biology was coming in on the gene defect in Gardner’s syndrome.

The interesting suggestion is made here that, as a mark of respect and acknowledgment of their contributions, familial adenomatous polyposis might be renamed Bussey-Gardner polyposis. It would certainly be a fitting memorial but I just wonder how easy it would be to eradicate the familial and polyposis from the title of the condition and, of course, although the edges of Gardner’s syndrome have become somewhat blurred in recent years, it does stand as a fairly established entity. As St Mark’s Hospital closes its doors and moves to new pastures within Northwick Park Hospital elsewhere in London, I would have thought there was a good case to be made for renaming the condition St Mark’s syndrome.

This is a superb book, which has been lovingly edited by three of the senior surgical staff at St Mark’s Hospital. Their affection for those involved in the history of this condition, as well as their current enthusiasm for their subject, permeates through every page and surely none can challenge the credentials or the authority of any of the people involved with this monograph. The history, pathology, genetic, and clinical evaluation of patients are covered in separate chapters. All are readable, and the chapter on genetics is particularly clear. As with all the very best of British surgical teaching, anecdote abounds. Indeed, the potential and benefit of such an approach for teaching are not restricted to surgeons: there is an exceptionally witty parody of Lewis Carroll’s Father William as applied to polyposis and was penned by Cuthbert Dukes. I think this is good enough to share with Gut’s readers.

‘You are old, Father William,’ the young surgeon said, ‘and your colon from polyps is free. Yet most of your sibling are known to be dead—a really bad family tree.’

‘In my youth’, Father William replied with a grin, ‘I was told that a gene had mutated, that all who carried this dominant gene to polyps and cancer were fated.’

‘I sought for advice from a surgical friend, who sighed and said, ‘Without a doubt—Your only escape from an untimely end is to have your intestine right out.’

‘It seemed rather bad luck—I was then but nineteen—‘So I went and consulted a quack, who took a farm hold on my dominant gene and promptly mutated it back.’

‘This,’ the surgeon, ‘is something quite new, and before we can ascribe any merit We must see if the claims of the fellow are true, and observe what your children inherit!’

If this book has a fault, it is that the last 60 odd pages are devoted to ‘other polyposis syndromes’ Inevitably, this does not have the depth of the earlier chapters on FAP, and mentions some of the cancer family syndromes, which are, of course, strictly ‘non-polyposis cancer syndromes’. I think this departure does perhaps illustrate the difficulties of not knowing quite where to stop in the search to be comprehensive.

I cannot believe there is a single practising gastroenterologist who would not benefit from studying this book.
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