Disturbed anal sphincter function following vaginal delivery
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Abstract
Background—Recently interest in idiopathic (neurogenic) faecal incontinence has swung from denervation of the external anal sphincter to the internal sphincter.
Aims—To evaluate the effects of vaginal delivery on the internal sphincter.
Subjects—1372 mothers were studied antenatally and 1202 were accepted into the study.
Methods—Sphincter pressures were measured antenatally, in the early postnatal period, and six to 10 weeks later in selected patients.
Results—755 of 1202 subjects assessed antenatally were primiparous women and 447 multiparous women. Some 320 previous spontaneous vaginal deliveries (SVD) (mean 59 mm Hg) and 67 previous forceps deliveries (mean 58 mm Hg) had lower resting pressures than 755 primiparous women (mean 66 mm Hg) (p<0.01). A total of 493 subjects were reassessed postnatally. There were 372 SVDs, 47 vacuum extractions, 20 forceps, and 54 caesarean deliveries. All vaginal deliveries but not caesarean sections dropped their resting anal pressures from antenatal values (p<0.001). Some 227 first SVDs had a much greater fall than 145 subsequent SVDs. In 162 subjects who had undergone their first vaginal delivery and who were followed up there was some recovery but the resting pressures were still lowered at six to 10 weeks post partum.
Conclusions—The first vaginal delivery causes a permanent lowering of resting anal pressures. The possible reasons for this are discussed.
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Bowel and bladder disorders are among the most common problems seen in clinical practice. Aetiological factors that might contribute to this spectrum of related disorders deserve close attention.

Injury to the striated muscle of the pelvic floor, particularly the external anal sphincter during vaginal delivery is well recognised and may be a consequence of direct injury to the muscle1 or due to a traction injury to the pubendal and sacral nerves that supply the pelvic floor.2-5 This denervation has been implicated in the aetiology of idiopathic faecal incontinence,6 urinary stress incontinence, and vaginal prolapse.7

Weakness of the internal anal sphincter is also a feature of idiopathic faecal incontinence and studies8 10 indicate the likelihood that there is denervation of the internal sphincter. A demonstrable effect of vaginal delivery on the autonomic system is therefore of importance. Freckner and Euler11 showed that the external sphincter contributed 15% to the resting pressure. In an earlier study in our hospital Myles12 noted a fall in resting pressures after vaginal delivery that was greater than 15%. These subjects are included in this report. Cali et al13 noted that resting pressures were lower in multiparous than nulliparous women and postulated that there was an injury to the autonomic nerves during parturition. Sultan et al14 using endorectal ultrasound observed a disruption of the internal sphincter or the external sphincter after vaginal delivery in 37% of subjects. Disruption of the internal sphincter was associated with a greater fall in resting pressure. Whether there is also an injury to the autonomic nerves in the pelvis during vaginal delivery remains an open question. This paper reports observations of changes in the anal resting pressure in a large group of women following parturition.

Methods

Subjects
A total of 1372 subjects attending the antenatal clinic at the Mater Mothers Hospital in Brisbane between February 1991 and May 1994 had sphincter pressures measured as part of a screening programme to monitor the effect of vaginal delivery on the pelvic floor. In 1202 subjects (Table I) adequate data were available and criteria for acceptance of the readings were met. Their ages ranged from 15 years to 42 years (mean 26). Some 755 were primiparous and 447 were multiparous women. Of the multiparous women, 67 had had at least one forceps delivery; 28 a previous vacuum delivery; 320 unassisted spontaneous vaginal deliveries (SVD), and 32 only a caesarean section.

TABLE 1 Antenatal anal pressure readings (1202 subjects)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Resting pressures (mm Hg)</th>
<th>Squeezes pressures (mm Hg)</th>
<th>Anal length (cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Previous caesarean sections only</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>64 (16)</td>
<td>74 (48)</td>
<td>3-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primiparous women</td>
<td>755</td>
<td>66 (19)</td>
<td>87 (46)</td>
<td>3-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous SVD</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>59 (16)*</td>
<td>78 (47)*</td>
<td>3-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous vacuum assisted delivery</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>62 (16)</td>
<td>78 (48)</td>
<td>3-20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous forceps assisted delivery</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>58 (18)*</td>
<td>62 (34)*</td>
<td>3-07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p<0.01 when compared with primiparous women (two tailed Mann-Whitney and unpaired t test). Data shown as mean (SD).
Four hundred and ninety three subjects were reassessed between one and five days after their delivery (see Tables II, III, IV). A total of 372 had a SVD without assistance. In 227 of these this was the first vaginal delivery. Some 145 had had a previous vaginal delivery. Forty seven of 493 subjects had vaginal delivery assisted using a vacuum extractor and 20 required forceps assistance. Four of the subjects in the vacuum extraction group previously had vaginal deliveries but none of the 20 forceps deliveries. Fifty four subjects underwent a caesarean section.

Two hundred and thirty two subjects who had undergone a vaginal delivery with or without assistance were reassessed six to 10 weeks later. They were selected because of a greater than 50% fall in their squeeze pressures or a 1 cm or greater fall in the level of their perineums when straining compared with antenatal values. This was part of the protocol for an extended study. One hundred and sixty two of these subjects (see Table V) had not previously undergone a vaginal delivery and were evaluated for recovery of their resting pressures.

**Statistical methods**

Results between groups were evaluated using both an unpaired two tailed t test and a two tailed Mann-Whitney test. Postnatal results were compared with antenatal results using the paired t test.

Ethical approval was obtained from the University of Queensland Ethics Committee and the Mater Mothers Hospital Ethics Committee.

**Results**

**Antenatal resting pressures (Table I)**

Three hundred and twenty subjects who had undergone previous SVDs and 67 who had undergone previous forceps deliveries had lower resting pressures than 755 subjects who were primigravida. Thirty two multigravida who had undergone only previous caesarean sections did not differ from 755 primigravida. Elective caesarean sections did not differ from caesarean sections performed after the patient had entered labour. Twenty eight who had undergone previous vacuum extractions fell in between and did not differ significantly from other groups.

There were no differences between 210, 80, and 30 subjects who had undergone respectively one, two, and more than two previous SVDs. One hundred and eighty seven subjects under 30 years of age who had undergone only previous SVDs were not different from 133 similar subjects 30 years and older. There were no differences between 84, 548, and 123 primiparous women aged less than 20 years, 20 to 29 years, and 30 or over. Increasing gestation did not change resting pressures.

**Early postnatal resting pressures (Table II)**

All the vaginally delivered groups, comprising 227 first SVDs, 145 subsequent SVDs, 47

---

Table II  Early postnatal anal resting pressures (493 subjects)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Antenatal (mm Hg)</th>
<th>Postnatal (mm Hg)</th>
<th>Fall (mm Hg)</th>
<th>Increase</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Caesarean sections</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>71 (23)</td>
<td>67 (20)</td>
<td>4 (26) (-2%)</td>
<td>14 (26%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First SVD</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>68 (19)</td>
<td>54 (19)*</td>
<td>6 (21) (15%)</td>
<td>113 (50%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subsequent SVD</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>59 (15)</td>
<td>54 (19)*</td>
<td>6 (21) (15%)</td>
<td>47 (32%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacuum assisted delivery</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>70 (19)</td>
<td>50 (19)*</td>
<td>20 (25) (24%)</td>
<td>30 (64%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forceps assisted delivery</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>70 (16)</td>
<td>49 (20)*</td>
<td>21 (18) (31%)</td>
<td>14 (70%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p<0.001 when comparing antenatal with postnatal pressures using the paired t test. tp<0.01 when comparing the fall in resting pressures of the various types of vaginal delivery with caesarean deliveries.

Data shown as mean (SD).
forces of vacuum extractions, and 20 forceps deliveries immediately following vaginal delivery. The group comprising 54 women who underwent caesarean sections showed no change in their resting pressures. There was no difference in the change in resting pressure when comparing 15 elective caesarean sections, 33 caesarean sections performed during the first stage of labour, and six caesarean sections performed during the second stage of labour. First vaginal deliveries whether spontaneous or assisted sustained a greater fall in resting pressures than caesarean deliveries and subsequent vaginal deliveries. In first unassisted vaginal deliveries the fall in resting pressures was double that following subsequent vaginal deliveries. Forceps and vacuum assisted deliveries fell more than first unassisted vaginal deliveries.

While the overall resting pressures fell after vaginal deliveries there was considerable variation in each group. In 32% to 70% of vaginal deliveries resting pressures fell by greater than 20% of their antenatal values. With the exception of forceps deliveries, between 11% and 17% of vaginal deliveries increased their resting pressures by more than 20% immediately post partum. Caesarean deliveries showed equal changes in both directions.

**Early postnatal squeeze pressures (Table III)**

We confirmed large falls in squeeze pressures after all forms of vaginal delivery but not after caesarean sections. First vaginal deliveries fell 12% more than subsequent deliveries. Forceps fell 31% more than first deliveries and 23% more than vacuum assisted deliveries.

**Follow up resting pressures (Table V)**

In the 162 subjects undergoing their first vaginal delivery tested six to 10 weeks post partum the squeeze pressures that fell from 105 mm Hg to 44-5 mm Hg early post partum, recovered partially to 78-6 mm Hg at the follow up assessment.

**Follow up manometric anal length (Table V)**

Manometric anal length fell from 3-15 cm antenatally to 2-95 cm early postnatally in this selected group of first vaginal deliveries. By follow up the length had returned to antenatal values measuring 3-18 cm.

**Discussion**

Several authors2 35 have centred attention on injuries and denervation of the external anal sphincter following vaginal delivery emphasising the implications of this for the later development of faecal incontinence.6 7 More recently interest has swung to a deficient internal anal sphincter as an important contributor to faecal incontinence where an associated autonomic neuropathy has been demonstrated.9 14-15 In addition, disturbance of the autonomic nervous system as well as of spinal reflexes through the sacral cord have been demonstrated in patients suffering bowel and bladder disorders.15 19 20 The association of autonomic and somatic denervation in faecal incontinence points to a common aetiology. Resting pressure drops 50% on sympathetic blockade.21 Cali et al13 have suggested that an autonomic denervation contributes to a fall in resting anal pressures following vaginal delivery. Sultán et al1 have shown occult disruption of the internal anal sphincter in 35% of vaginal deliveries and linked this to a fall in resting pressures. The question of a concomitant injury to the autonomic nerves in the pelvis remains open.
Our study has confirmed that in addition to a fall in squeeze pressure following vaginal delivery there is also a fall in the resting anal pressure. This fall in resting pressures was most noticeable in patients undergoing their first vaginal delivery and was minimal in subsequent vaginal deliveries. Assisted vaginal deliveries whether by vacuum extraction or forceps resulted in a greater fall in resting pressures and the number who dropped their pressures was greater.

Our findings may be explained by the finding of Sultan et al\(^1\) that direct injuries to the sphincters occurred predominantly during first deliveries, especially forceps deliveries. They found that the sphincter defect with its lowered resting pressure persisted at six weeks and six months. Their ultrasound study showed that injuries occurred to both internal and external anal sphincters. In our study we detected a shortening of anal length but this was more noticeable in multiparous women. While there was an overall fall in resting pressures, 11% to 17% of vaginal deliveries increased their pressures by over 20%, suggesting a variable and more complex response to parturition.

Coli et al\(^13\) used a radial eight port water filled catheter. They found that multiparous women had lower resting pressures than nulliparous women. As there was no asymmetry they considered that this was probably caused by injury to the sympathetic nervous system rather than to disruption of the internal sphincter. This conclusion was disputed by Sultan and Kamm\(^2\) who had found occult injuries to the internal sphincter using ultrasound in 35% of primiparous deliveries. In our series of 1202 subjects tested antenatally there was a small but highly significant difference in resting pressures between women who had undergone previous vaginal delivery and those who had not. This was not related to the number of vaginal deliveries nor to increasing age. This suggests that the first vaginal delivery has permanent consequences for the internal sphincter.

Measurements of anal length are more subject to interobserver error than other manometric assessments and may vary from day to day in the same patient.\(^23\) We observed a small but significant fall of 0-2 cm in anal length following vaginal delivery with a full recovery at six to 10 weeks follow up. Unlike the fall in resting pressures this was significant in multiparous but not in primiparous women. We did not find a reduction in manometric anal length when comparing primigravida with parous women in the subjects seen antenatally. These findings must be considered in the light of the finding by Sultan et al\(^1\) of a subclinical rupture of the internal or external anal sphincters, or both, in a proportion (37%) of subjects having vaginal deliveries. We did not have facilities to perform ultrasonography on our subjects. We suggest that an associated injury to the autonomic system during vaginal delivery might explain some of the findings and would contribute to our understanding of the spectrum of disorders affecting the hindgut and urinary system in women.

In support of this hypothesis we note that Carlstedt et al\(^24\) showed that stimulation of the presacral sympathetic nerves resulted in both contraction and relaxation of the internal anal sphincter and this seemed to be dependent on the nature of the electrical stimulus used. When the sympathetic supply along the peri-arterial lumbar colonic nerves was stimulated contraction only occurred. Epidural anaesthesia when used to block the sympathetic discharge lowered the resting pressure in the anal canal. They concluded that there were either inhibitory motor fibres in the presacral nerves or that stimulation of affrent fibres in the presacral nerves might inhibit internal sphincter action by activating spinal reflexes. Durdey et al\(^\)10 found that the resting pressures in patients whose innervation to the internal sphincter had been transected during restorative proctocolectomy fell to the same value as that in subjects with neurogenic faecal incontinence. Bouvier et al\(^25\) showed that autonomic reflexes mediated via affrent pathways originating in the bladder region, and passing with the sympathetic system both inhibited and stimulated the sphincter. They concluded that the reflexes occurred both at the lumbar spinal level and at the inferior mesenteric ganglion. They consider that these reflexes participate in regulating urinary bladder and internal anal sphincter activity. It is quite possible, therefore, that the response of the internal anal sphincter to an injury to affrent or efferent presacral nerves, or both, during vaginal delivery would have a variable effect on resting pressures and manometric anal canal length.

Meagher et al\(^26\) have demonstrated autonomic nerve fibres passing from the presacral plexus across the retrorectal space to the rectum from S1 to S4. Some branches were large. Rectal prolapse both internal and external is frequently found in subjects with disordered defecation and with changes in the internal and external sphincters. This association invites the hypothesis that during the second stage of delivery the fetal head can exert traction on the rectum and that this can be avulsed from its attachments to the sacrum. The presacral nerves are avulsed injuring the presacral plexus. The lateral ligaments are stretched. Speakman et al\(^27\) found that surgical division of the lateral ligaments during surgery for rectal prolapse was associated with postoperative constipation. In patients with a significant fall in resting pressure following vaginal delivery when compared with antenatal values. Our findings are not fully explained by direct injury to the sphincter muscles. Lowered resting pressure antenatally in women who have born children vaginally indicate that the effect is not transitory. The question as to whether direct injury to the internal sphincter is the only cause of the fall in resting pressure is unresolved. Differing patterns of injury to the autonomic nerves offer a plausible explanation for the occurrence of efferent and inhibitory motor nerves in the autonomic system. This neurongenic faecal incontinence as well as many bowel and bladder disorders in women. It also explains their frequent association. At this
stage such a theory is still conjectural and convincing evidence is lacking.
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