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Abstract
Background—The role of bile in the genesis of oesophageal symptoms and disease is incompletely understood. A new method of ambulatory bile monitoring may help to define this role.
Aims—To establish the relationship between symptom events and acid and bile reflux episodes.
Patients—59 consecutive patients presenting for further investigation of gastro-oesophageal reflux disease.
Methods—All patients underwent combined ambulatory pH and bile monitoring. For each patient, a symptom index (SI) was calculated in relation to both acid reflux and bile reflux episodes.
Results—Patients were divided into those without (group 1, n=21) and those with (group 2, n=38) acid reflux. A total of 394 symptoms were identified in 59 patients. In group 1, there were fewer symptom events per patient (mean 4-1) than group 2 (mean 8-1). Twenty three per cent of symptom events were associated with acid reflux in group 1 and 41½% in group 2. Only 6% of symptom events in both groups were related to bile reflux. In group 1 both the acid and bile related SI score were low. In group 2 the bile related SI score was low, but the acid related SI score was high.
Conclusions—Symptoms are more often related to acid reflux than bile reflux. Bile reflux does not seem to be a major factor in producing oesophageal symptoms.
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While there is no doubt that gastro-oesophageal reflux of acid is responsible for the production of oesophagitis and its complications, the role of duodenal contents (specifically trypsin and bile acids) in oesophageal mucosal disease remains less certain. Both animal and human studies have suggested a role for bile in the pathogenesis of oesophagitis,1 2 Barrett's oesophagus,3 4 and oesophageal adenocarcinoma,5 but the difficulty so far has been in trying to quantify bile reflux in vivo. In the past various methods have been used but they all have their drawbacks. HIDA scintigraphy has been used, but this is a comparatively insensitive and unphysiological method for the measurement of gastro-oesophageal reflux.6 Aspiration studies have also been performed to determine the quantity of bile acids in oesophageal refluxate, but this is cumbersome, invasive, and as with scintigraphy it is unphysiological, measuring short time periods in static conditions. Ambulatory 24 hour pH monitoring has been used, the assumption being that an alkaline shift in pH >7 equates with the presence of duodenal contents. However, combined aspiration and pH monitoring studies have shown this not to be the case.7

Bilitec 2000, a fibreoptic spectrophotometric probe, was developed to overcome these problems.8 Bilirubin has a characteristic absorbance spectrum at 453 nm, and by continuous monitoring of the oesophageal refluxate, the presence of bilirubin in the refluxate can be determined on an ambulatory basis. In this way Bilitec 2000 uses bilirubin as a marker for the presence of duodenal contents. Extensively validated both in vitro and in vivo,8-11 it has been shown that gastro-oesophageal bile reflux can be monitored in a relatively patient friendly manner, and that in patients with normal gastro-intestinal anatomy, acid and bile reflux times correlate extremely well, and that there is no relationship between bile and alkaline reflux.12-14

The aim of the study was to analyse the symptom events in a group of patients with gastro-oesophageal reflux disease (GORD), with regards to whether they were associated with episodes of acid or bile reflux. The simplest form of symptom analysis, the symptom index (SI), was used, to identify whether bile reflux is as potent a cause of symptoms as acid reflux.

Methods

Patients
Fifty nine consecutive patients (36 female; mean age 46·6 years; range 23 to 76) referred to the Oesophageal Laboratory at Guy's Hospital for further investigation of GORD were included in this study. All patients were complaining of heartburn or acid regurgitation, or both. None had previously undergone gastric or oesophageal surgery. Seven patients had undergone previous open cholecystectomy. All patients had stopped acid suppression therapy (either 48 hours beforehand for those taking H2 receptor antagonists, or seven days beforehand for those using proton pump inhibitors).

Study design
Static manometry was carried out beforehand in all cases. The nasal mucosa was sprayed with lignocaine, and a solid state pressure
manometer (Gaeltec Ltd, Isle of Skye, UK) with six transducers, at 5 cm intervals and radially oriented at 90°, was introduced. Oesophageal manometry was then carried out using the station pull through technique to determine lower oesophageal sphincter (LOS) position and pressure, and to exclude patients with primary oesophageal motility disorders. A Berstein acid perfusion test was carried out.

After manometry, a dual channel antimony pH electrode (2-1 mm diameter) and a fiberoptic bile probe (3 mm diameter) (both Synectics Medical, Stockholm, Sweden) were strapped together using 12-5 mm Micropore tape (3M Medical-Surgical Division, St Paul, MN), taking care not to obstruct the gap in the bile probe tip or the pH sensors. Before and after each study, the pH probes were calibrated in buffer solutions of pH 7 and pH 1, and the bile probe calibrated in water. The proximal pH sensor was strapped next to the bile probe and these placed 5 cm above the upper border of the manometrically determined LOS, with the distal pH sensor 15 cm distal to this, in the gastric body. We have found a dual channel pH probe with the bile probe next to the proximal pH sensor much easier to insert than a single channel pH probe, which presents quite a large end when combined with a bile probe.

Both probes were attached to data collecting devices worn on a belt on the patients’ waist. Patients were given a diary sheet to record the time and content of their meals, time of going to bed and getting up, and their symptoms. They were also asked to press a marker button to record their symptom events. Patients were asked to avoid acidic foods that may interfere with pH monitoring and dark coloured foods that interfere with bilirubin absorbance (a list was provided), and were consequently told the foodstuffs they could eat. They were encouraged to carry out their normal daily activities, and to return the following day for the probes to be removed. Both bile and acid reflux data were then downloaded onto a computer for analysis.

The 59 patients were divided into two groups: group 1, those without significant acid reflux (n=21 (36%), 17 female), and group 2, those with significant acid reflux (n=38 (64%), 19 male (Table I)).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Acid reflux (%)</th>
<th>Bile reflux (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group 1 (n=21)</td>
<td>0-8 (0-2-1-4)</td>
<td>0-1 (0-1-7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(No significant acid reflux)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 2 (n=38)</td>
<td>7-7 (4-7-15-4)</td>
<td>13-25 (5-3-26-5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Significant acid reflux)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values expressed as median (interquartile range).

defined as a drop in intraoesophageal pH <4, and bile reflux as bilirubin absorbance >0-14. Significant gastro-oesophageal reflux was said to have occurred if the DeMeester score was greater than 14-7. Each symptom event was analysed: acid reflux was said to be associated with the symptom event if the pH dropped below 4 for more than 10 seconds in the two minutes either side of the symptom event, and bile reflux was said to have occurred if the bilirubin absorbance was greater than 0-14 for more than 10 seconds in the two minutes either side of the symptom event.

For each patient the SI was calculated for bile reflux:

$$SI = \frac{\text{number of symptoms with bilirubin absorbance } > 0-14}{\text{total number of symptoms}} \times 100$$

and the SI for acid reflux was calculated:

$$SI = \frac{\text{number of symptoms with } pH < 4}{\text{total number of symptoms}} \times 100$$

This percentage was then converted to a SI score in the following manner: 0%=0, 1-24%=1, 25-49%=2, 50-74%=3, 75-99%=4, 100%=5.

**Statistical analysis**

Values are expressed as mean (SD) for parametric data and median (interquartile range) for non-parametric data. The Mann-Whitney U test was used to establish differences between two sets of non-parametric data, Student’s t test for parametric data, and correlations were established using the Spearman rank test. A p value <0.05 was considered significant.

**Results**

**pH and bile reflux data**

For the group 1 patients, the median (interquartile range) total time pH <4 was 0-8% (0-2-1-4%) and bilirubin absorbance >0-14 was 0-1% (0%-1-7%). The median (interquartile range) DeMeester score was 3-8 (1-4-5-3). For the group 2 patients, the median (interquartile range) total time pH <4 was 7-7% (4-7%-15-4%) and bilirubin absorbance >0-14 was 13-25% (5-3%-26-5%). The median (interquartile range) DeMeester score was 33-5 (18-7-61-2). The correlation between total time pH <4 and total time bilirubin absorbance >0-14 for all patients was extremely good: r=0-55, p<0-001.

**Symptom and manometry data**

The various symptoms the patients complained of are listed in Table II. It can be seen that all patients complained of heartburn, and that belching and regurgitation were the next most frequent symptoms. Epigastric pain, dysphagia, and chest pain were less frequently complained of. However, the distribution of the symptoms between the two groups was comparable, the only difference being the greater frequency of chest pain in group 2 (those with significant acid reflux).
There was no difference in the frequency of a positive Bernstein test between the two groups, with 14 patients (66%) in group 1 and 26 patients (68%) in group 2 complaining of heartburn during intragastric acid perfusion. There was a significant difference in the lower oesophageal sphincter pressure (LOSP) between the groups, the mean (SD) LOSP being 9.19 (4.7) mm Hg in group 1 and 5.54 (3.35) mm Hg in group 2 (p<0.001, Student's t test).

Symptom analysis
A total of 394 symptom events were identified in 59 patients (range 1–24 per patient) (Table III). Each event was defined by the time period in which it occurred (meal, postprandial, interprandial upright or supine) and by whether it was related to an episode of acid reflux, bile reflux, both acid and bile reflux, or neither.

The majority of symptom events occurred in the upright interprandial (173 events, 44%) and the postprandial (165 events, 42%) periods, with 42 events (11%) occurring in the supine period and only 14 events (3%) occurring in the meal period.

With regards to the type of reflux associated with the symptom event, the greatest number of events was associated with neither acid nor bile reflux (178 events, 45%). A total of 147 events (37%) were associated with acid reflux alone, 45 events (11%) were associated with both acid and bile reflux, and only 24 events (7%) were associated with bile reflux alone.

In group 1, 86 symptom events (22%) occurred in 21 patients (36%), an average of 4.1 symptom events per patient. In group 2, 308 symptom events (78%) occurred in 38 patients (64%), an average of 8.1 symptom events per patient. Hence, symptoms were twice as frequent in those with significant acid reflux. When considering group 1 and group 2 separately, in each case the symptom events were distributed in a similar fashion between the time periods (the majority occurring in the postprandial and upright interprandial periods). However, there was a difference in the type of reflux associated with the symptom event: in group 1 (those without significant acid reflux), the majority of symptom events were associated with neither acid nor bile reflux (61 events, 71%), with 20 events (23%) associated with acid reflux and only five events (6%) associated with bile reflux (Fig 1).

In group 2 however (those with significant acid reflux), 127 symptom events (41%) were associated with acid reflux, 45 symptom events (15%) with both acid and bile reflux, only 19 symptom events (6%) were associated with bile reflux alone and 117 symptom events (38%) with neither acid nor bile reflux (Fig 2). Hence in group 2 there was a much higher proportion of symptoms associated with acid reflux than group 1, and in group 1 a much larger proportion were associated with neither acid nor bile reflux than in group 2, although in both groups there was a similarly small proportion of symptoms associated with bile reflux alone.

Symptom index
The symptom index was calculated for each patient, for both bile reflux and acid reflux. In group 1 (Fig 3), with regards to acid reflux, the SI score was 0 for 13 patients, 2 for five patients, 3 for two patients, and only one patient had an SI of 5. A similar picture is seen for bile reflux,

TABLE II Occurrence of different symptoms among patients in group 1 and group 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symptom</th>
<th>Group 1</th>
<th>Group 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Heartburn</td>
<td>21 (100)</td>
<td>38 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belching</td>
<td>15 (71)</td>
<td>27 (71)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regurgitation</td>
<td>11 (52)</td>
<td>23 (60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dysphagia</td>
<td>7 (33)</td>
<td>15 (30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epigastric pain</td>
<td>7 (33)</td>
<td>11 (29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chest pain</td>
<td>1 (5)</td>
<td>9 (24)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figures in parentheses are percentages.

TABLE III Relation between the type of reflux and symptom events, and the time period in which symptom events occurred

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Acid reflux</th>
<th>Bile reflux</th>
<th>Both acid and bile</th>
<th>Neither</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Postprandial</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meal</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upright interprandial</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supine</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>147 (37)</td>
<td>24 (60)</td>
<td>45 (11)</td>
<td>178 (45)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figures in parentheses are percentages.
with 18 patients having an SI score of 0. In other words, there was generally a very poor correlation between symptoms and reflux episodes of either acid or bile in group 1. In group 2 on the other hand (Fig 4), 27 patients (71%) had an acid related SI of 50% or greater (that is, a SI score of 3, 4 or 5), whereas 32 patients (84%) had a bile related SI of less than 50% (that is, a SI score of 0, 1 or 2). In other words, it seems that in patients with significant acid reflux, symptoms are strongly related to acid reflux in most patients, but are poorly related to bile reflux in a greater majority.

Discussion

The relationship between acid reflux episodes and symptoms has long been established. Several methods of symptom analysis have been described in an effort to relate symptoms to episodes of acid reflux. The SI, defined as the percentage of reflux related symptom episodes, is the simplest form of symptom analysis. The drawback of this method is that it fails to take into account the total number of reflux episodes, with the possibility that a high SI may be the result of a random association between reflux and symptoms. The symptom sensitivity index, defined as the percentage of symptom associated reflux episodes, was introduced to try to overcome this drawback, but it fails to take into account the number of symptom episodes, thus limiting its value. Other techniques of symptom analysis have also been developed with the aim of improving the way in which symptoms and reflux can be related, but these have become increasingly complicated. It was therefore felt that, for the purpose of merely comparing the relative contributions of acid and bile in the genesis of symptoms, the SI was an appropriate method of symptom analysis.

One of the difficulties in trying to relate bile reflux episodes to symptom events can be seen by looking at the segment of a 24 hour combined acid and bile reflux tracing in Figure 5. Acid reflux episodes are easily recognised – definite, relatively fast, sharp downward deflections in pH from around pH 6 or 7 to pH 4 and below, often closely coinciding with a symptom event. Bile reflux episodes, however, are less well demarcated, and usually there is a smooth, gradual increase in bilirubin absorbance to above the threshold value of 0.14, which often lasts many minutes, rather than a sharp upward deflection. The other difficulty lies in the fact that an acid reflux episode from pH 7 to pH 1 represents, on a pH scale of 0–9, a change of 66%, whereas a bile reflux episode from, for instance, 0.12 to 0.2, on a scale of 0–1.0, represents a change of only 8%. In other words bile reflux is said to occur in the presence of only a small increase in bilirubin absorbance. One of the reasons why bile reflux is not represented by a sharp deflection probably lies in the method of refluxate sampling. When measuring pH, an antimony pH probe detects changes in the hydrogen ion concentration of the fluid directly surrounding the outer surface of the probe. Oesophageal clearance of the refluxate should therefore clear the outer surface of the pH probe with relative speed. This is compared with the bile probe, which relies on the oesophageal refluxate moving into the 2 mm gap within the bile probe tip, between the end of the optical fibres and the white Teflon probe head (Fig 6). Bearing in mind that, with associated mucus and particulate matter, oesophageal refluxate may be more viscous than pure water, it is probable that meniscal forces play a part in the movement of fluid into and out of the probe gap. Hence it is probable not only that a bile reflux episode may take longer to register than acid reflux episode, but also that oesophageal clearance of bile from the probe tip gap will take longer. All this would presumably result in a slower increase in bilirubin absorbance during an episode of bile reflux in comparison with the sharp changes in pH observed during an acid reflux episode. This is the reason why, although the optimum time window for symptom analysis of 24 hour pH monitoring is considered to be the two minutes preceding the symptom event, in this study we used a time window of two minutes either side of the symptom event. It should be noted, however, that despite this larger time window, symptom episodes were still much more frequently associated with acid reflux than with bile reflux.

Despite the fact that in group 1 29% and in group 2 62% of symptoms are related to a bile...
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or an acid reflux episode, or both, it should be recognised that the remainder are not, and an explanation for this should be sought. On many occasions, a reflux episode can be identified just outside the four minute time window, and it is possible that this is due to poor symptom recognition or inadequate registration of the event by the patient. Gastric, biliary or even cardiac disorders may well be responsible for some of the symptoms. It is interesting to see that similar proportions of each group had a positive Bernstein test. This may well reflect the comparatively poor sensitivity and specificity of this test in the detection of gastro-oesophageal reflux. In a study of patients with non-cardiac chest pain, Rokkas et al. found that only 67% of patients with a positive Bernstein test had abnormal gastro-oesophageal reflux, so it is possible that some of our patients in group 1 had a motility disorder that would have required ambulatory motility studies to detect.

There is a difference of opinion in the medical literature over the most appropriate protocol for the use of Bilitec 2000 in the measurement of oesophageal bile reflux. In particular, it has been disputed whether it is better to allow the patient to have an unrestricted diet, and then to exclude the meal and postprandial periods from the analysis, or whether to specify a diet that avoids interference with bilirubin absorbance and to include the whole 24 hour time period in the analysis. When 24 hour pH data are normally analysed, the whole 24 hours is included, and the results of this study of symptom analysis lend weight to the argument that this should be the case when analysing bile reflux data as well: 46% of all symptoms occurred in the meal and postprandial periods, and it is often these periods when the patients complain most bitterly of their symptoms. We believe, therefore, that patients should be instructed to have a diet that avoids interference with bilirubin absorbance so that the whole 24 hour period can be included in the analysis. As long as patients keep a good record of the foodstuffs they eat, any artefactual rise in bilirubin absorbance can be identified and excluded from the analysis.

Figure 5: A 12 hour segment of a 24 hour combined pH and bile monitoring (oesophageal pH above, bilirubin absorbance below) in a patient with gastro-oesophageal reflux. Note how acid reflux episodes are represented by sharp, easily identified falls in pH whereas bile reflux, defined as a bilirubin absorbance >0.14, occurs as slower, less discrete rises in absorbance.

Figure 6: Photograph of an antimony pH probe next to the larger Bilitec bile probe. Note the 2 mm gap between the white Teflon head and the end of the optical fibres in which the refluxate is sampled.
The good correlation between acid reflux and bile reflux reflects the results that have been published elsewhere using Bilitec 2000. It is interesting to observe that despite this good correlation, the number of symptoms related to acid reflux is much greater than the number related to bile reflux. This is so not only in group 1 but also in group 2. One of the areas in which it was felt that bile reflux may play a part was in those patients who were symptomatic and yet had normal pH studies. In this series of patients with normal pH studies (group 1), only two of 21 patients had bile reflux, and yet in neither of these was there a strong SI with respect to bile reflux. It seems that not only is bile reflux in the absence of acid reflux a rare event in patients with an intact stomach, but that the bile itself is not often directly related to symptom events in these patients. A parallel can be drawn here with a study by Sears et al.13 who looked at acid and bile reflux in patients who had undergone previous partial gastrectomy. They observed that 10 of 13 patients had abnormal amounts of duodenogastro-oesophageal reflux and three of these 10 patients also had excessive gastro-oesophageal acid reflux. It was only these three patients who had oesophagitis on endoscopy, and in these patients 97% of the symptoms were acid related. They concluded that although excessive amounts of duodenogastric reflux are common in partial gastrectomy patients, oesophagitis is found in those with concomitant acid reflux, and that most of the symptoms are acid rather than bile related.

In summary, although the physical characteristics of bile detection using the Bilitec 2000 system do not result in discrete reflux episodes such as are seen with acid reflux, bile itself does not seem to contribute to the symptomatology of oesophageal disease in the same way that acid does. It may be, of course, that the nature of oesophageal bile reflux is different from acid reflux, and the results of Bilitec are reflecting this. It should be emphasised that this study is concerned with symptoms, and does not attempt to draw any conclusions with regards to the role of bile in the pathogenesis of oesophageal mucosal damage. What seems to be certain, however, is that although there is a good correlation between the percentage times for oesophageal bile and acid reflux within people, bile and acid reflux does not always occur simultaneously, and the vast majority of symptom events are associated with acid reflux episodes.
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