Bone mineral density is reduced in patients with Crohn’s disease but not in patients with ulcerative colitis: a population based study
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Abstract
Background—Patients with inflammatory bowel disease are at risk of developing metabolic bone disease.

Aims—To compare bone mineral density in patients with Crohn’s disease with patients with ulcerative colitis and healthy subjects, and to evaluate possible risk factors for bone loss in inflammatory bowel disease.

Patients—60 patients with Crohn’s disease, 60 with ulcerative colitis, and 60 healthy subjects were investigated. Each group consisted of 24 men and 36 women.

Methods—Lumbar spine, femoral neck, and total body bone mineral density were measured by dual x-ray absorptiometry (DXA), and Z scores were obtained by comparison with age and sex matched normal values.

Results—Mean Z scores were significantly lower in patients with Crohn’s disease compared with patients with ulcerative colitis and healthy subjects. Patients with ulcerative colitis had bone mineral densities similar to healthy subjects. Use of corticosteroids, body mass index (BMI), and sex were significant predictor variables for bone mineral density in Crohn’s disease. In ulcerative colitis only body mass index and sex were of significant importance. Disease localisation and small bowel resections had no influence on bone mineral density in patients with Crohn’s disease.

Conclusions—Patients with Crohn’s disease have reduced bone mineral density. Several factors are probably involved, but the reduction is associated with corticosteroid therapy. When studying skeletal effects of inflammatory bowel disease, patients with Crohn’s disease and those with ulcerative colitis should be evaluated separately.
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Previous studies have shown that patients with inflammatory bowel disease have reduced bone mineral density in both cortical and trabecular bone.1–3 The reason for this is not clearly understood, but it is thought to be multifactorial. Possible causes include use of corticosteroids, disturbances of calcium homeostasis with a background of malabsorption and vitamin D deficiency, sex hormone deficiency, smoking habits, and the inflammatory process itself by releasing cytokines interacting with bone metabolism. However, most published data show no difference in bone mineral density between patients with Crohn’s disease and those with ulcerative colitis.4–6 In most studies few patients were investigated, and patients with Crohn’s disease and ulcerative colitis were initially regarded as one group. We have performed a cross sectional population based study to compare bone mineral density of the lumbar spine, femoral neck, and total body skeleton in patients with Crohn’s disease, patients with ulcerative colitis and healthy subjects, in addition to studying possible risk factors for low bone mineral density.

Methods

Subjects
The catchment area for Aker hospital is a defined geographical part of the city of Oslo with 130 000 inhabitants, about 25% of the city’s population. All patients with Crohn’s disease or ulcerative colitis living in this area are seen in our department. Every known patient with Crohn’s disease in this population was invited by letter to participate in the present study. Three patients were excluded; one due to drug addiction and two because of psychiatric disorders. Altogether 98 patients were invited and 61 (36 women and 24 men) accepted. One of these patients was further excluded because of ethnic origin (Vietnamese). Ninety eight patients with ulcerative colitis who matched the patients with Crohn’s disease for age (±1 year) and sex, were also included. Colectomised patients were excluded. Seventy five of the patients with ulcerative colitis accepted and 60 consecutively matching patients were included. Seven of the women in the Crohn’s disease and ulcerative colitis groups were postmenopausal. The controls were comprised of 60 healthy subjects matched for sex and age (±1 year), who were randomly drawn from 385 normal, healthy persons comprising our Norwegian reference population for bone mass measurements.7 For the age-group 20–49 years, the normal reference persons were recruited from among hospital staff, medical students, and their friends. In the age group 50–79 years, they were recruited from among hospital staff, social centres for elderly people, a population...
TABLE I  Clinical features of healthy subjects (HS), patients with ulcerative colitis (UC), and those with Crohn's disease (CD)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (yr) (median (range))</th>
<th>HS</th>
<th>UC</th>
<th>CD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>36 (21–75)</td>
<td>38 (21–75)</td>
<td>36 (21–75)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (men/women)</td>
<td>24/36</td>
<td>24/36</td>
<td>24/36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postmenopausal</td>
<td>7/29</td>
<td>7/39</td>
<td>7/29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg) (mean (SD))*</td>
<td>71 (13)</td>
<td>75 (17)</td>
<td>68 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm) (mean (SD))†</td>
<td>174 (9)</td>
<td>172 (11)</td>
<td>171 (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²) (mean (SD))‡</td>
<td>23 (3-1)</td>
<td>25 (5-1)</td>
<td>23 (3-3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total steroid dose (g) (median (range))§</td>
<td>1-8 (0-3-37)</td>
<td>7-2 (1-0-51)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p=0.012 UC v CD, †p=0.044 HS v CD; ‡p=0.021 HS v UC and p=0.031 UC v CD; §p<0.05 received total dose of corticosteroids.

A history of fractures was obtained. Body weight and height were measured without shoes and with light indoor clothing, and the body mass index (BMI) was calculated as weight/height² (kg/m²). Table I shows further demographic and clinical data.

The diagnosis of inflammatory bowel disease was based on endoscopic, radiological, and histological examinations. Eleven (18%) of the patients with ulcerative colitis had proctitis, 13 (22%) proctosigmoiditis, eight (13%) left sided colitis, and 28 (47%) subtotal or total colitis. Among the patients with Crohn’s disease, 11 (18%) had the disease located in the large bowel, 16 (27%) in the small bowel, and 33 patients (55%) had involvement of both small and large bowel. Small bowel resection had been performed at least once in 27 patients with Crohn’s disease (45%). The length of small bowel resected varied from 10 to 170 cm, median 60 cm. The median duration of inflammatory bowel disease in the Crohn’s disease group was 10 (range 1–38) years and in the ulcerative colitis group seven (range 0–32) years (p<0.05). Thirty four of the patients with Crohn’s disease (57%) were smokers, compared with 17 (28%) of the patients with ulcerative colitis (p<0.01). Forty three (72%) of the patients with Crohn’s disease and 28 (47%) of the patients with ulcerative colitis had received systemic corticosteroids (p<0.01; Table I).

A table that shows the clinical features of healthy subjects, patients with ulcerative colitis, and those with Crohn’s disease is presented. The table includes information on age, sex, postmenopausal status, weight, height, BMI, total steroid dose, and various other clinical features. The data is presented for healthy subjects (HS), patients with ulcerative colitis (UC), and those with Crohn’s disease (CD). The table shows significant differences in weight, height, BMI, and steroid dose between the groups. The results indicate that patients with Crohn’s disease had a higher weight and BMI compared to healthy subjects and patients with ulcerative colitis. The total steroid dose was also significantly higher in patients with Crohn’s disease.

Bone density measurement
Bone mineral density of lumbar L2-L4 vertebrae in the anteroposterior projection, femoral neck, and total body skeleton were determined by dual x ray absorptiometry (DXA; Lunar DPX-1, Madison, USA). As bone mass is sex and age specific, the individual values were converted to z scores, indicating the number of standard deviations from the normal sex specific and age specific mean value derived from the Norwegian reference population. This approach permits the pooling of the results from each group studied. The coefficients of variation (CV%) for DXA in our hands were 1-0% for the lumbar spine (L2-L4), 2-5% for the femoral neck, and 0-7% for the total body.

Statistical analysis
Statistical differences were evaluated by Student’s t test and χ² or analysis of variance (ANOVA) as appropriate. Pearson’s correlation coefficients were calculated for the continuous variables. To evaluate whether the significant differences found between the three groups were present when correcting for background variables, an analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) was performed with background variables as covariates. According to previous knowledge about possible risk factors, the following background variables were chosen: use of corticosteroids, smoking habits, sex, BMI, and duration of illness. To find predictor variables for the bone mineral density deficiencies, regression analyses were carried out with the above mentioned covariates as independent variables. For the ANCOVA and regression analyses, the assumptions of the models were checked by the use of jack-knife residuals, Cook’s d and Mallow’s C_p. For the ANCOVA the assumption of parallel slopes was checked. p Values<0.05 were considered to be significant.

Results
Bone mineral density in patients with Crohn’s disease were significantly reduced at all
measured sites compared with patients with ulcerative colitis and healthy subjects (Table II). Figure 1 shows the individual Z scores in the three groups for the L2-L4 vertebrae. Figure 2 shows these for the femoral neck and Fig 3 for the total body. A significant difference in Z scores was found between patients with Crohn’s disease and patients with ulcerative colitis (lumbar spine (p=0.018), femoral neck (p=0.004), total body skeleton (p=0.014)), and between patients with Crohn’s disease and healthy subjects (lumbar spine (p=0.028), femoral neck (p=0.012), total body skeleton (p=0.001)). No significant differences were found between patients with ulcerative colitis and healthy subjects. Z scores of total body skeleton were significantly correlated with femoral neck and lumbar spine Z scores in all three groups (r=0.63-0.73, p=0.001).

The extension and location of the inflammatory process had no significant influence on bone mineral density in patients with Crohn’s disease nor patients with ulcerative colitis. Furthermore, there were no correlations between disease duration and bone mineral density in either group. In patients with Crohn’s disease small bowel resections had no influence on bone mineral density.

Treatment with corticosteroids was associated with significantly reduced bone mineral density in patients with Crohn’s disease but this pattern was not found in patients with ulcerative colitis (Table III). Furthermore, the total lifetime corticosteroid dose correlated significantly with lumbar spine (r=-0.313, p=0.018) and total body skeleton (r=-0.421, p=0.0008) bone mineral density Z score in Crohn’s disease (Fig 4), but not in patients with ulcerative colitis. Bone mineral density in patients with Crohn’s disease not treated with corticosteroids was similar to that found in patients with ulcerative colitis and healthy subjects.

Significantly more patients with Crohn’s disease than patients with ulcerative colitis were smokers (p=0.01), but there were no differences in bone mineral density between smokers and non-smokers within the two groups.

In the two patient groups studied, men had lower Z scores than women. There were significant differences in body weight (p<0.001) and height (p<0.001) between the sexes, but no differences in body mass index. The number of male and female patients who had received corticosteroids was similar in both groups, but the mean total dose received by men was higher than in women (15 g v 9 g in Crohn’s disease and 9 g v 3 g in ulcerative colitis; NS). For all other variables examined, there was no significant difference between the sexes in patients with Crohn’s disease and

Table II  Bone mineral density (BMD) measurements (g/cm²), mean (CI), in healthy subjects (HS), patients with ulcerative colitis (UC) and those with Crohn’s disease (CD)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>HS (n=60)</th>
<th>UC (n=60)</th>
<th>CD (n=60)</th>
<th>p Value</th>
<th>CD v HS and UC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lumbar spine</td>
<td>1.23 (1.18 to 1.27)</td>
<td>1.22 (1.18 to 1.26)</td>
<td>1.14 (1.10 to 1.19)</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Femoral neck</td>
<td>0.98 (0.94 to 1.03)</td>
<td>1.00 (0.96 to 1.03)</td>
<td>0.91 (0.87 to 0.96)</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total body</td>
<td>1.19 (1.16 to 1.22)</td>
<td>1.19 (1.16 to 1.21)</td>
<td>1.13 (1.10 to 1.15)</td>
<td>&lt;0.005</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1: Bone mineral density (BMD) of the lumbar spine (L2-L4) in healthy subjects (HS), patients with ulcerative colitis (UC), and those with Crohn’s disease (CD). The BMD measurements are given as Z scores. ● Females, ○ males.
patients with ulcerative colitis. In the healthy subjects group, there were no differences in $Z$ scores between men and women.

Comparison between male patients with Crohn’s disease and male patients with ulcerative colitis disclosed differences in $Z$ scores.

Figure 2: Bone mineral density (BMD) of the femoral neck in healthy subjects (HS), patients with ulcerative colitis (UC) and those with Crohn’s disease (CD). The BMD measurements are given as $Z$ scores. ● Females, ○ males.

Figure 3: Bone mineral density (BMD) of the total body skeleton in healthy subjects (HS), patients with ulcerative colitis (UC) and those with Crohn’s disease (CD). The BMD measurements are given as $Z$ scores. ● Females, ○ males.
Table III  Bone mineral density (BMD) expressed as Z scores (mean (95% CD)) in patients with ulcerative colitis (UC) and Crohn’s disease (CD) with and without corticosteroid treatment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Steroids+ (n=28)</th>
<th>Steroids- (n=32)</th>
<th>p Values for CD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lumbar spine</td>
<td>-0.29 (-0.18 to -0.77)</td>
<td>0.06 (-0.44 to 0.32)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Femoral neck</td>
<td>-0.16 (-0.34 to 0.66)</td>
<td>0.09 (-0.27 to 0.45)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total body</td>
<td>-0.07 (-0.54 to 0.40)</td>
<td>-0.27 (-0.69 to 0.14)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4: Correlation between bone mineral density (BMD) of the total body skeleton in patients with Crohn’s disease and the total lifetime dose of corticosteroids, (r=−0.421, p<0.001). The BMD measurements are given as Z scores.

(lumbar spine: -0.65 v -0.15 (p=0.085), femoral neck: -1.25 v -0.25 (p=0.007), total body skeleton: -1.19 v -0.46 (p=0.034)).

Similar differences were also found between female patients with Crohn’s disease and female patients with ulcerative colitis (lumbar spine: -0.23 v 0.28 (p=0.087), femoral neck: -0.41 v 0.37 (p=0.013), total body skeleton: -0.62 v 0.02 (p=0.014)). Seven women in both groups were postmenopausal and there was no difference in bone mineral density at any of the measured sites between premenopausal and postmenopausal women among either patients with Crohn’s disease or patients with ulcerative colitis.

Twenty seven per cent (32/120) of our patients with inflammatory bowel disease have had at least one fracture. All radiological examinations were performed before the study inclusion and only in patients with clinical symptoms. Lateral radiographs of the lumbar and dorsal spine were used to ascertain vertebral deformity. Table IV shows the numbers and locations of the fractures. There were no differences between Crohn’s disease and ulcerative colitis patients. In both groups the total body Z score was significantly lower in patients who had sustained fractures compared with those who had not (-1.47 v -0.62 (p=0.006) in Crohn’s disease and -0.73 v 0.03 (p=0.026) in ulcerative colitis). The differences were not significant at the lumbar spine or the femoral neck level. Furthermore in patients with Crohn’s disease, there was a significant difference in cumulative dose of corticosteroids between patients with and without previous fractures (14 g v 6 g) (p=0.009). A significant difference was not found in patients with ulcerative colitis (4 g v 2 g). Two patients with Crohn’s disease (one man aged 26 years and a woman aged 66 years) had typically osteoporotic vertebral crush fractures. Both had low bone mineral density and had received total lifetime corticosteroid doses of 51 and 20 g respectively.

With bone mineral density as the dependent variable, the three groups were compared by ANCOVA. Sex, age, body mass index, and body weight were chosen as independent variables. For Z score at the lumbar spine, sex was the only significant predictor variable (p<0.01; females had increased Z score). At the femoral neck sex (p=0.001) and body mass index (p=0.02) were significant. For total body bone mineral density sex (p=0.001) and body mass index (p=0.01) were of significance (increasing Z score follows increasing body mass index). When comparing the two patient groups the following variables were used: use of corticosteroids, smoking habits, sex, body weight, body mass index, duration of disease, and intestinal resections. After adjusting for all of the variables listed there were still significant differences in bone density Z scores between patients with Crohn’s disease and patients with ulcerative colitis; lumbar spine (p=0.025), femoral neck (p=0.014), and total body (p=0.030). Within the Crohn’s disease group, body mass index and the use of corticosteroids were the major factors predicting bone density, with increasing dose of steroids giving reduced bone density Z scores (Fig 4). Within the ulcerative colitis group body mass index was the only variable of significant importance, (p<0.01).

Discussion
In this cross sectional population based study, we found that patients with Crohn’s disease had significantly reduced bone mineral density compared with patients with ulcerative colitis and healthy subjects at all measured sites. There were no differences in bone mineral density between the last two groups. Use of corticosteroids was associated with low bone mineral density in Crohn’s disease but not in ulcerative colitis. Several previous studies have
shown that patients with inflammatory bowel disease have reduced bone mineral density.

Only one study showed a difference between patients with Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis, and concluded that low bone mineral density is a feature in Crohn's disease and not in ulcerative colitis at the time of diagnosis. Our data also confirmed this pattern in patients with longstanding inflammatory bowel disease.

Although patients with inflammatory bowel disease are at risk of developing osteoporosis, a great variation in the prevalence of low bone mineral density has previously been found. One possible explanation for this could be the selection of patients. We assume that some other studies have included more severe cases of inflammatory bowel disease. This could also explain the fact that those studies have failed to show a difference in bone mineral density between patients with Crohn's disease and those with ulcerative colitis. In our study 60% of the patients with Crohn's disease in our catchment area were included, and were compared with a randomly selected age and sex matched group of patients with ulcerative colitis from the same population. We therefore anticipate that our results are representative for the bone mineral density status in patients with inflammatory bowel disease.

The adverse effect of corticosteroids on bone is well known, although the mechanism is not fully understood. Both cortical and trabecular sites are affected. However, assessments of trabecular bone density show greater percentage loss. Rapid bone loss occurs initially with rates approaching 5%-20% in the first year, and increased rates persist for as long as corticosteroid treatment is given. Previous longitudinal studies in inflammatory bowel disease have failed to show any correlation between the rate of bone loss and the use of corticosteroids. In our study there was inverse correlation between total dose of corticosteroids and bone mineral density in Crohn's disease but not in ulcerative colitis. However, the calculated total dose of corticosteroids was significantly higher in the Crohn's disease group. It might be speculated whether a certain threshold dose of corticosteroids has to be exceeded before damage to the skeleton occurs, but this is controversial. Previous reports indicate that even low doses of corticosteroids may have a deleterious effect on bone. However, the use of corticosteroids and total lifetime dosage is an expression of disease activity and severity, which could explain the finding that corticosteroids were associated with reduced bone mineral density in patients with Crohn's disease and not in patients with ulcerative colitis. Crohn's disease is generally regarded to be a more severe disease than ulcerative colitis, needing more corticosteroids for the control of disease. In patients with Crohn's disease who had received corticosteroids, total body bone mass, which comprised 80% cortical and 20% trabecular bone, showed a significant reduction. This was not found in lumbar spine bone mineral density, which mainly represents trabecular bone. This suggests that factors other than corticosteroids might be of importance for the reduction in bone mass. After adjusting for all recorded variables that may play a part in bone loss, there were still significant differences in bone density Z scores between patients with Crohn's disease and patients with ulcerative colitis, indicating that factors related to the diagnosis are of importance.

Several mechanisms are probably involved in the negative impact of inflammatory bowel disease on bone mass. Generation of inflammatory mediators might cause an imbalance in the bone remodelling cycle. In vitro studies have shown that several cytokines (interleukin-1, interleukin-6, and tumour necrosis factor) act on both bone resorbing and bone forming cells. Increased levels of markers for osteoclast activity in patients with inflammatory bowel disease have been found, and this excessive activity could be due to cytokines released from the diseased intestine.

The malabsorption which can accompany inflammatory bowel disease has been suggested to be an important determinant of bone loss. This theory was not supported by our findings as patients with Crohn's disease with colonic disease had bone mineral density similar to those with involvement of the small bowel. Furthermore, there were no differences between patients with Crohn's disease with or without small bowel resection. In addition, no correlations between the length of small bowel resected and bone mineral disease were found. Also in the ulcerative colitis group there was no influence of disease location and extension on bone mineral disease.

Body mass index can be used as an indicator of the nutritional status, and has been shown to be significantly correlated with bone mineral density. The lower body mass index found in patients with Crohn's disease might reflect the differences in bone mineral disease found in patients with ulcerative colitis and Crohn's disease in our study. In the ANCOVA, body mass index was a major predictor for bone mineral density in all three groups studied.

In our study female patients had higher Z scores than male patients in both inflammatory bowel disease groups. Although not significant, there was a difference in the total corticosteroid dose used between the sexes. This could be of importance as there is some evidence that men receiving glucocorticoids lose bone more rapidly than women. Differences in all other variables recorded were negligible. In a previous study, the use of corticosteroids was significantly associated with diminished bone mineral density in female patients and not in male patients with inflammatory bowel disease, and the authors suggested that female patients were probably more sensitive to corticosteroids.

Sex hormone deficiency is an important pathogenetic factor contributing to low bone mineral density and hormone replacement therapy also prevents bone loss in patients with inflammatory bowel disease. In our study only seven of the female patients in each group...
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were postmenopausal. This probably explains the fact that menstrual status had no significant influence on bone mineral density in our patients.

As reduced bone mineral density is a well documented risk factor for fractures, most studies have concentrated on such measurements. However, fractures are the major clinical end point of interest to the patients. One quarter of our patients with inflammatory bowel disease reported at least one fracture, which seems to be a high prevalence rate.

Although the patients with Crohn's disease had reduced bone mineral density, the number of fractures were not different between the patient groups. However, the patients were relatively young, and prolonged disease and age related loss of bone mass could have a deleterious effect on the skeleton and further increase the fracture risk. If the difference in femoral neck bone mineral density of nearly one standard deviation persists during aging, it represents a 2-6-fold increased relative risk of hip fracture in patients with Crohn's disease.

In summary, in a population based study we found that bone mineral density in a large proportion of patients with Crohn's disease, particularly in those who had used corticosteroids. This finding has therapeutic implications, and screening with bone mineral density measurement in this group of patients seems worthwhile. The use of corticosteroids is probably a major aetiologcal factor for bone loss in Crohn's disease although the total lifetime corticosteroid dose might reflect the activity and severity of the illness as well. As the bone mass in patients with ulcerative colitis was not different from that of healthy subjects, patients with Crohn's disease or ulcerative colitis should not be considered as a homogenous group when skeletal effects of inflammatory bowel disease are studied.
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