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Abstract

Background—Meals disrupt the interdigestive pattern of small bowel motor activity and convert it into the postprandial pattern. Previous studies have shown that duration of postprandial motor activity depends on the caloric value of a meal, but results from two recent human studies suggested that there is a caloric ceiling, above which an additional increase in the caloric load fails to prolong the postprandial period further. Aim—To investigate the hypothesis of a caloric ceiling by studying daytime motor activity of the human small bowel in response to five solid meals, covering a wide range of calories.

Methods—Eight healthy male volunteers underwent five separate, ambulatory small bowel manometry studies and had a total of 80 meals. For lunch, volunteers ate between one and five portions of a solid meal (220, 440, 660, 880, or 1100 kcal). Ten hours later and 30 minutes before they went to bed, they ate either two or four portions of the same meal (440 kcal or 880 kcal). Recordings were analysed visually for phase III of the migrating motor complex and a validated computer program calculated incidence and amplitude of contractions.

Results—Apart from two versus three portions (440 kcal vs 660 kcal), postprandial motor activity was significantly prolonged by each 220 kcal increase in the caloric load of the lunch (168 (SEM 14), 305 (22), 298 (23), 368 (36), and 398 (38) min). Mean incidence of contractions was significantly different only between the two extremes tested: 220 kcal and 1100 kcal (2-9 (0-3) v 4-5 (0-6) min−1). Amplitude of contractions did not depend on meal size. Daytime and night time postprandial activity were not significantly different. This was true for duration of fed activity, as well as mean incidence and amplitude of contractions during the postprandial period.

Conclusion—Caloric value of a meal regulates duration of the fed activity in the human small bowel over a wide range of calories, and—for caloric loads up to 1100 kcal—there is no maximum duration of postprandial motor activity. Furthermore the postprandial small bowel motor activity is very similar between daytime and night time.

(Gut 1997; 40: 614–618)
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Two distinct motor patterns have been described in the canine and the human small bowel. Mainly for technical reasons, over the past two decades research has strongly focused on the interdigestive pattern, but recent advances in recording techniques and data analysis now allow the study of postprandial contractile activity. Motor activity of the small bowel can now be recorded in ambulatory subjects for prolonged periods, a prerequisite for detailed analysis of total postprandial motility, and computer programs have been validated that analyse pressure recordings objectively and rapidly.1,2 There are very few studies on postprandial motility, and almost all of these have been performed in strictly controlled animal physiology laboratories. In dogs, Schang et al and de Wever et al have shown that duration of postprandial motor activity depends on the caloric value of a meal.3,4 In humans, Ouyang et al and our group have shown the same phenomenon for liquid and solid meals,5,6 whereas Soffer and Adrian were recently unable to show a longer postprandial pattern after ingestion of higher caloric loads.7 The discrepancies between the three human studies5-7 could be reconciled by postulating a caloric ceiling above which an additional increase in the caloric load does not further prolong duration of postprandial motor activity. We therefore investigated daytime motor activity of the human small bowel in response to five solid meals, covering a wide range of calories. As volunteers had the same meals for lunch and as a late night dinner, we were also able to compare daytime and night time contractile activity. A previous study from our group showed that the postprandial interval is shorter during sleep, suggesting that activity of the central nervous system regulates not only interdigestive but also postprandial motility of the small bowel.

Using 24 hour ambulatory manometry for data acquisition and a validated computer program for data analysis, we measured duration of the postprandial motor pattern, and also calculated incidence and amplitude of contractions over the total postprandial period. These data on postprandial motor motility of the normal human small bowel could serve as a basis for further research on intestinal disorders.8,9

Methods

SUBJECTS

Eight male healthy volunteers (mean age 26; range 19–38 years) participated in this study.
after written informed consent was obtained. None of them had a history of gastrointestinal disease or intra-abdominal surgery other than appendectomy. No volunteer was on intercurrent medication or complained about abdominal symptoms. Volunteers were recruited from the general population by word of mouth, and no volunteer was a member of staff. All volunteers had previously participated in similar research studies.

### EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN

Within four weeks, subjects underwent five separate ambulatory manometry studies in a randomised order as described previously. On each of the five study days, after an overnight fast, a fine nasojejunal catheter with an outer diameter of 2.5 mm was positioned fluoroscopically, 30 cm distal to the Ligament of Treitz. Three strain gauge pressure sensors were incorporated 3, 15, and 45 cm from the tip of the catheter (Gaeltec, Dunvegan, Isle of Skye, UK). A lightweight portable solid state data logger (Cavendish Automation, St Neots, Beds, UK) was used for data acquisition at a sampling frequency of 2 Hz. Once the catheter was in position, usually around midday, volunteers were allowed to leave the unit and they returned at the end of an experiment the next day. All volunteers kept a diary during the five studies. Sixty minutes after the recording was started, usually around 100 pm, volunteers ate between one and five portions of a palatable solid meal (tagliatelle with vegetables, Sainsbury, London). Each portion had 220 kcal, with 10% protein, 57% carbohydrates, and 33% fat. Volunteers drank 500 ml mineral water (Caledonian mineral water, Sainsbury, London) with their lunch. Most of the volunteers chose to eat their lunch in our unit. Ten hours after lunch and 30 minutes before they went to bed, volunteers had a late dinner. This consisted of either two or four portions of the same pasta meal. Volunteers also drank 500 ml Caledonian mineral water with their late meal. During the study, volunteers were not allowed food or drinks other than the two meals, which were provided by us. Table I shows the allocation of the different meals within the five studies. The study was approved by the local ethics committee of Tower Hamlets District Health Authority.

### DATA HANDLING AND ANALYSIS

Pressure data were downloaded from the data logger to an IBM compatible PC. Data from the middle sensor, placed at the Ligament of Treitz, were analysed. Visually we measured the period between start of ingestion of a meal and the appearance of the next phase III of the migrating motor complex. A phase III was ignored, if it occurred within 20 minutes after the start of the ingestion of a meal, as conversion of interdigestive to postprandial motor pattern is not necessarily an immediate process. A validated computer program calculated incidence and amplitude of contractions, as described recently. These calculations were done both for the total period between start of ingestion and the appearance of the next phase III and for consecutive 30 minute periods. Data are given either as individual data points or as mean (SEM). Data were compared
using the Wilcoxon signed rank test. P values <0.05 were considered significant.

Results
All volunteers completed the five studies, but two volunteers were unable to eat five portions (1100 kcal) for lunch. A phase III was seen in all experiments after lunch and dinner.

DURATION OF POSTPRANDIAL MOTILITY

Daytime
Apart from two versus three portions (440 kcal v 660 kcal), postprandial motor activity was significantly prolonged by each 220 kcal increase in the caloric load (Fig 1). The two volunteers who were unable to eat a 1100 kcal meal for lunch were those within the group of volunteers who had the longest fed activity with the 880 kcal meal.

Night time
Postprandial motor activity after feeding persisted significantly longer after ingestion of four portions of the pasta meal (880 kcal) than after two portions (440 kcal). Duration of fed activity after dinner was not affected by the caloric value of the lunch ingested 10 hours earlier (Table II).

INCIDENCE OF CONTRACTIONS

Daytime
Mean incidence of contractions was significantly lower after the 220 kcal than after the 1100 kcal meal. The differences between all other meals for lunch were small and not significant (Table II). Figure 3 shows the mean incidence of contractions in consecutive 30 minute periods.

Night time
Mean incidence of contractions was not significantly different between the 440 kcal and the 880 kcal meals (Table II). Mean incidence of contractions in consecutive 30 minute periods gradually decreased over time (Fig 4).

AMPLITUDE OF CONTRACTIONS

Daytime versus night time
Incidence of contractions was not significantly different between daytime and night time meals. This was true for mean incidence of contractions during the total postprandial period and for consecutive 30 minute periods.

AREA UNDER THE CURVE (MOTILITY INDEX)
As amplitude of contractions was uniform with all meals, mean area under the curve (AUC) closely reflected changes in the incidence of
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Figure 4: Mean incidence and amplitude of contractions in consecutive 30 minute periods after ingestion of two or four portions of a pasta meal (440 kcal or 880 kcal) during night time. Two portions were eaten three times (10 hours after the 220 kcal, 660 kcal, and 1100 kcal meals for lunch), four portions were eaten twice (10 hours after the 440 kcal and the 880 kcal meals or lunch).

contractions. Thus AUC was significantly higher after the 1100 kcal than after the 220 kcal meal for lunch, but not significantly different between any other meals (Table II). Mean AUC in consecutive 30 minute periods gradually decreased over time (data not shown).

Discussion

Both human and canine studies have shown that duration of postprandial pattern in the small bowel depends on the caloric value of the meal ingested.3-7 When we designed the present study, our hypothesis was that there is a maximum duration of the postprandial pattern in the human small bowel, which cannot be prolonged by further increasing the caloric load of a meal. This hypothesis was based on the findings of two recent studies with humans. Ouyang et al, in a controlled laboratory study, found a longer postprandial pattern when they increased the caloric load from 150 kcal to 300 kcal, whereas 600 kcal failed to prolong the duration of the postprandial pattern further.3 In addition, Soffer and Adrian were unable to show a longer duration of fed activity after an 800 kcal meal compared with a 400 kcal meal.1

In the last study, volunteers were ambulatory, although they reported to the research centre for meals. The recording equipment used by Soffer and Adrian was identical to ours.

However, the present study, covering a wide range of calories, does not provide evidence for such a caloric ceiling. Instead, we found a significantly longer postprandial interval with almost every 220 kcal increase in the caloric load of a meal. Thus, at least for the physiological caloric range, there is no maximum duration of the postprandial interval in the human small bowel. Actually, it would be difficult to test meals with an even higher caloric value, as in our study already two of the young, healthy, male volunteers were unable to cope with the 1100 kcal meal.

Possibly, the longer postprandial pattern after high caloric meals reflects differences in gastric emptying rather than intrinsic effects within the small bowel. Due to the ambulatory nature of our study, we could not measure gastric emptying, but a 1100 kcal meal will empty from the stomach far more slowly than a 220 kcal meal.13 Gastric emptying, in turn, has been shown to affect duration of postprandial motility.14-16 This is consistent with preliminary results from another study from our group. Addition of guar gum to nutrient meals, which is known to increase chyme viscosity and to slow gastric emptying,17-18 considerably increased duration of postprandial motility in the human small bowel.19

The effect of caloric load on incidence of contractions was far less pronounced. Incidence of contractions was only significantly different between the two extremes tested: 220 kcal and 1100 kcal. Thus, only drastic increases in the caloric value of a meal led to a significant increase in contractile activity. This is probably why Ouyang et al and our own group have previously been unable to show the same phenomenon with smaller differences in the caloric load of the meals compared.3,4 But our finding is consistent with a report from Stacher et al.20 For the purpose of our work, however, that study is difficult to interpret because Stacher et al compared a 1000 kJ/24% fat meal with a 4200 kJ/55% fat meal. A previous study from our group, however, suggested that chemical composition of liquid meals does not affect postprandial motility.5

It is also interesting to note that incidence of contractions after a meal gradually decreased over time. This was particularly obvious for the late night meals. This finding is consistent with a report from Ouyang et al, who found a progressive decrease in the motility index over the postprandial period.5 It seems that enteric receptors will be stimulated to a greater degree...
Immediately after ingestion of a meal, thereby augmenting reflex peristaltic activity, than towards the end of the postprandial pattern, when particulate material will be more or less emptied from the small bowel. Am J Physiol 1990; 258: G610-5.
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