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Abstract

Background—Abnormal visceral mechano-sensory and vagal function may play a role in the development of functional gastrointestinal disorders.

Aims—To assess whether vagal efferent and afferent function is linked with small intestinal mechanosensory function.

Methods—In seven patients with functional dyspepsia, six patients with a history of Billroth I gastrectomy and/or vagotomy, and seven healthy controls, intestinal perception thresholds were tested by a randomised ramp distension procedure performed with a barostat device. On a separate day, an insulin hypoglycaemia test was performed to assess the plasma levels of pancreatic polypeptide (PP) in response to hypoglycaemia, as a test of efferent vagal function.

Results—First perception of intestinal balloon distension occurred at significantly lower pressures in patients with functional dyspepsia (median 19.3, range 14.7–25.3 mm Hg) compared with healthy controls (median 26.0, range 21.7–43.7 mm Hg, p<0.01). Sensory thresholds were significantly lower in patients after gastrectomy (median 12.2, range 8.0–14.7 mm Hg, p<0.05 versus all others). In healthy controls and patients with functional dyspepsia, insulin hypoglycaemia significantly (p<0.001) increased plasma PP levels. However, only two out of seven patients with functional dyspepsia had a more than twofold increase in PP values whereas all healthy controls had a more than twofold increase in PP levels after insulin hypoglycaemia (p<0.05). In contrast, there was no significant PP response in the gastrectomised patients (median 2%, range −10 to +23%). PP responses and visceral sensory thresholds were significantly correlated (r=0.65, p<0.002).

Conclusions—The diminished PP response after insulin hypoglycaemia indicates disturbed efferent vagal function in a subgroup of patients with functional dyspepsia. The data also suggest that the intact vagal nerve may exert an antinociceptive visceral effect.

(Gut 1998;42:501–506)
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Material and methods

PATIENTS AND CONTROLS

Seven patients with functional dyspepsia were recruited for participation in this study (three females, four males, median age 36.5, range 19–53 years). In all patients, symptoms (post-prandial fullness, early satiety, abdominal pain) occurred more than three times a week, and all patients experienced symptoms after a meal. Abdominal symptoms had been present for more than three years and treatment had yielded only temporary relief of symptoms. All patients had a normal physical examination and no alarm symptoms (for example, no weight loss). Laboratory testing (white and red blood count, sedimentation rate, fasting blood glucose level, liver function tests), abdominal
sonography, and upper gastrointestinal endoscopy were normal or negative. All patients over 45 years also had a colonoscopy performed. In addition, six patients with a history of Billroth I gastrectomy for duodenal ulcer disease were enrolled (two females, four males, median age 46.5, range 41–52 years). These patients were referred for upper endoscopy for various reasons (follow up surveillance more than 10 years after partial gastrectomy (n=3), routine upper endoscopy prior to initiation of anticoagulation therapy (n=2), and polypectomy for colonic polyps (n=1)). On specific questioning, all Billroth I patients reported meal related symptoms, but body weight was within the normal range. Charts and surgical reports of these patients were checked to ensure that they had undergone Billroth I gastrectomy with transection of vagal branches innervating the duodenum and the pancreas (n=3) or Billroth I gastrectomy plus truncal vagotomy (n=3). Surgical procedures were done at least three years prior to enrolment (range 3 to 15 years).

Furthermore, seven healthy subjects (four females, three males, mean age 34.6, range 22–56 years) without abdominal symptoms and without a history of previous gastrointestinal disorders were enrolled. These subjects were recruited by advertisement. Prior to enrolment they were screened in a standardised manner by means of the BDQ (Bowel Disease Questionnaire) for abdominal symptoms and had a complete physical examination. Only subjects without abdominal symptoms or evidence of organic disease were enrolled.

TUBE, BAROSTAT, AND DISTENSION PROCEDURE
Duodenal motility was recorded by standard pneumohydraulic perfusion manometry. Pressure recording ports were located 5 and 10 cm distal to the bag as well as 14, 16, and 18 cm proximal to the barostat bag (Hefty Baggies, Mobil Chemical, Pittsford, New York). This infinitely compliant bag was firmly tied with silk over two steel rings that were glued 8 cm proximal to the barostat bag (Hefty Baggies). The attached bag had a maximal capacity of 200 ml. In previous studies we have shown that this size of bag is most appropriate for small intestinal distension experiments (hence the maximal capacity is clearly higher than the maximal tolerated volume). One additional line was attached to the tube for the intraduodenal nutrient infusion. The infusion port was located 8 cm above the barostat bag.

The distensions were performed with the ISOBAR 3 device (G & J Electronics, Ontario, Canada). The barostat was connected to the bag via two separate lines. One tube (inner diameter 1.6 mm) was used to monitor the pressure inside the bag while the other (diameter 2.8 mm) was used to inflate or deflate the bag. The maximum speed of the air pump was set to 25 ml/s. Each distension procedure consisted of up to 25 steps (including the interposed pressure steps with lower pressures) and each pressure step lasted 15 seconds. The pressure level began at 5 mm Hg and increased in increments of 2 mm Hg up to a maximum pressure of 45 mm Hg (at this pressure a safety loop was activated that prevented higher pressures for safety reasons). In random order, up to five steps with pressures 50% below the preceding step were interposed into the distension procedure. Thus for the volunteers it was unpredictable when a given pressure was reached. Immediately before starting the distensions, the volunteers and patients were informed that a distension procedure was to be performed and instructed to indicate the perceived intensity of the distension after a beep on a keypad. The buttons on the keypad were labelled 0 (no sensation), 1 (perception of the distension), and 2 (pain: terminate the distension). The subjects were instructed to press the appropriate button immediately after the beep that occurred when a given pressure level was reached; subjects were kept unaware of the pressure levels.

PROTOCOL
Following approval of the research protocol by the local ethics committee and informed consent, the subjects swallowed a six lumen oroejunal tube after an overnight fast. Under fluoroscopic guidance, the tube with the attached bag was placed in the small intestine 30 cm distal to the pylorus or—in patients with gastrectomy—30 cm distal to the anastomosis.

Thereafter, intestinal motility was recorded until a propagating phase III complex was observed or a maximum of 150 minutes of recording were completed. Thirty and 60 minutes after the duodenal phase III, the distension was performed with 2 mm Hg pressure increments. In subjects without a phase III within 120 minutes after the start of motility recording, the intestinal distension procedure was performed and repeated after another 30 minutes. Thereafter, a liquid enteral feeding solution (Fresubin, Fresenius AG, Bad Homburg, Germany) with 55% of energy as carbohydrates, 30% as fat, and 15% as protein (osmolarity 300 mosmol/l, energy density 0.006 MJ/ml) was infused into the small intestine 10 cm orad to the distending bag at a rate of 2.5 ml/min. Distensions were not performed after the start of the nutrient infusion.

INSULIN HYPOGLYCAEMIA
On a separate day, all subjects underwent a standardised insulin hypoglycaemia test. Recombinant human insulin was given intravenously at a dose of 0.2 IU per kg body weight and blood glucose measured at five minute intervals. Blood samples were taken before administration of insulin and at 15 minute intervals for up to 60 minutes. When blood glucose dropped below 1.93 mol/l (35 mg/dl), glucose (10% w/w) was given intravenously to maintain blood glucose levels between 80 and 120 mg/dl for at least 120 minutes. Blood samples were immediately processed and plasma samples frozen at −28°C. Plasma pancreatic polypeptide levels were determined with a commercially available radioimmunoassay (Euro-Diagnostica AB, Malmö, Sweden). The
fasting normal pancreatic polypeptide plasma level for this assay is less than 100 pg/ml.

DATA ANALYSIS

Sensory thresholds
Mean pressure values during first perception and maximal tolerated pressure were determined for each individual subject. For this purpose, the pressures of the ramps that were associated with the first report of perception or pain were identified. Values for the first and second measurement were compared and the correlation coefficients determined.

Intestinal motility
After removal of artefacts (simultaneously occurring pressure activities with an up stroke of more than 100 mm Hg/s), contractions above 5 mm Hg were identified and used for further calculations. The last 90 minutes before nutrient infusion, excluding the time segments with duodenal distension, were used to assess interdigestive motility. For assessment of the motor response to nutrients, the mean motility index (log[1+(f × Amp)]) of all channels for the 60 minutes before and during nutrient infusion was quantitated. Data analysis was done separately for each channel and averaged for recording sites oral and aboral to the barostat bag. Furthermore, mean values for the intestinal motility index (MI) for the two manometric ports oral and aboral next to the bag were calculated for five minute time periods before and during duodenal distension and an intestinal index of response was calculated (the distension index) by subtracting the MI of the five minute period prior to balloon distension by the MI of the five minute time segment starting with the beginning of the distension.

Small intestinal compliance
The bag volume was corrected for compressibility according to Boyle’s law (P1V1 = P2V2). Duodenal compliance was calculated as the ratio dV/dP. For this purpose the volume of the distending bag was assessed at each pressure step, the pressure volume curve determined, and the slope calculated. Compliance is expressed as ml/mm Hg.

Pancreatic polypeptide
For plasma PP, the delta between the baseline value before administration of insulin and the highest PP value within 60 minutes after administration of insulin was determined. Based on measurements in healthy controls, an increase in PP of more than 200% was considered normal.

For all numeric variables, mean values (SEM) or median values if appropriate were calculated.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Non-parametric tests were used to compare sensory thresholds, PP responses to insulin hypoglycaemia, motor responses to intestinal balloon distension in the different populations. Fisher’s exact test was used to compare frequencies in different groups. In addition, Spearman rank correlations were utilised to assess the association between PP responses to insulin hypoglycaemia and sensory thresholds. All p values calculated were two tailed; an α level of 5% was considered significant. Statistical analyses were performed using the Statistical Analysis System.

Results

BASAL PLASMA LEVELS OF PANCREATIC POLYPEPTIDE AND RESPONSES TO HYPOGLYCAEMIA

Figure 1 depicts mean PP values before and after insulin administration. Before insulin administration, all PP values were within the normal range and significantly (p<0.01) increased in patients with functional dyspepsia compared with controls (median delta above baseline 19.8–195.5, and 162.6 pg/ml, range 34.3–256.6) after insulin administration. The integrated PP response after insulin administration (area under the curve) was significantly (p<0.01) smaller in patients with functional dyspepsia compared with controls while basal PP levels were not significantly different (patients 19.3, range 14.7–25.3 pg/ml; controls 15.7, range 2.8–47.9 pg/ml, p>0.6). Between 15 and 45 minutes after administration of insulin, peaks of PP occurred. Pancreatic polypeptide values increased by more than 200% in all healthy subjects while five out of seven patients with functional dyspepsia had a PP response to insulin hypoglycaemia that was below the 200% threshold (p<0.05).

Pancreatic polypeptide levels in patients after gastrectomy were not significantly altered during insulin hypoglycaemia (p>0.6) and thus the peak response was significantly smaller compared with healthy controls (p<0.001) and patients with functional dyspepsia (p<0.001). Basal values and values during insulin hypoglycaemia were not different for gastrectomised patients with and without truncal vagotomy (data not shown).
SENSORY THRESHOLD FOR FIRST PERCEPTION AND MAXIMAL TOLERATED DISTENSION

Perception of intestinal balloon distension occurred at significantly lower pressures in patients with functional dyspepsia compared with healthy controls (p<0.02). Similarly, pressure thresholds for maximal tolerated distension were significantly (p<0.05) lower in patients with functional dyspepsia compared with healthy controls. However, thresholds for first perception, but not the maximal tolerated pressure, were even lower (p<0.05, fig 2) in patients after Billroth I gastrectomy compared with patients with functional dyspepsia. Pressure thresholds for the two consecutive measurements (first perception or the maximal tolerated distension) were not different (all p>0.5) and the consecutive two measurements significantly were correlated (first perception, r=0.93, p<0.01; maximal tolerated distension, r=0.91, p<0.01). Age was not associated with sensory thresholds (p>0.5). Sensory thresholds were not different for gastrectomised patients with or without documented truncal vagotomy and small bowel compliance was not different among these groups (data not shown).

INTESTINAL MOTILITY

Interdigestive motility

All controls, four patients with functional dyspepsia, and three patients after Billroth I gastrectomy had at least one propagated phase III before the start of the duodenal nutrient infusion.

Nutrient stimulated motility

Infusion of nutrients induced a typical postprandial motility pattern with irregular motor activity and absence of duodenal phase III complexes in all patients and healthy controls. Nutrient infusion only slightly increased the intestinal motility index (table 1). However, no significant differences between patients and healthy controls with regard to the duodenal motility index before and during nutrient infusion or the response to intraduodenal nutrients were found. Similarly, the intestinal motor responses in subjects with a normal or impaired response of PP to hypoglycaemia were not significantly different.

Motor response to intestinal balloon distension

During distension, in six out of seven healthy controls the motor activity aborad to the distending bag decreased during distension (p<0.05 versus before distension). Only in one patient with functional dyspepsia did a decrease in the motor activity occur during distension (fig 3). In contrast, three patients after Billroth I gastrectomy had an increase in the motor activity, two subjects a decrease, and one patient did not show any response to distension. Oral to the distending bag motor activity tended to increase in healthy controls (p=0.09); patients with functional dyspepsia and gastrectomised patients did not show any significant change of duodenal motor activity (all p>0.4).

RESPONSE OF PANCREATIC POLYPEPTIDE AND SENSORY THRESHOLDS

Figure 4 depicts the association between the highest (peak) plasma PP level after administration of insulin and sensory thresholds in healthy controls, patients with functional dyspepsia, and patients after Billroth I gastrectomy. The peak PP level in response to insulin hypoglycaemia was significantly correlated with the pressure at first perception and the maximal tolerated pressure (r=0.65, p<0.002; and r=0.53, p<0.02). Analysing patients (functional dyspepsia and Billroth I gastrectomy) and controls separately, the association between the pressure at first perception and the peak PP level yielded significance for patients (r=0.67, p<0.02) but not controls (r=−0.28, p>0.5).

Table 1  Small intestinal motility index in the different study groups before and during intraduodenal nutrient infusion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Controls</th>
<th>Functional dyspepsia</th>
<th>Billroth I gastrectomy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Before infusion</td>
<td>6.66 (0.1)</td>
<td>5.99 (0.4)</td>
<td>6.33 (0.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>During infusion</td>
<td>6.75 (0.2)</td>
<td>6.02 (0.3)</td>
<td>6.51 (0.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results are expressed as mean (SEM) values across all recording sites.
Visceral sensory function and vagal nerve dysfunction in functional dyspepsia

Discussion
Several studies have shown lowered sensory thresholds in at least a proportion of patients with otherwise chronic unexplained dyspepsia (referred to as non-ulcer or functional dyspepsia) and irritable bowel syndrome (IBS). Thus, altered mechanosensory function may play a key role in the pathophysiology of functional gastrointestinal disorders. However, very little is known about the mechanisms that modulate sensory function. While past studies have focused on the role of spinal nerves mediating visceral afferents from the gut, it has recently been recognised that vagal afferent fibres may be important in the modulation of nociception. Vagal efferent dysfunction has also been documented in some patients with functional dyspepsia or IBS. Camilleri and coworkers found impaired vagal function as assessed by a modified sham feeding test. Although these investigators also found lowered intestinal thresholds in subjects with dyspepsia and normal small bowel transit, they did not evaluate the association between sensory thresholds and pancreatic polypeptide responses, and they did not use a barostat with an infinitely compliant balloon in the experiment. We found that small intestinal visceral sensory thresholds in patients with functional dyspepsia were significantly lower compared with healthy controls, consistent with previous results. Interestingly, in patients with a partial gastrectomy, sensory thresholds were even lower compared with patients with functional dyspepsia. Furthermore, the response of pancreatic polypeptide blood levels to hypoglycaemia was attenuated in a subgroup of patients with dyspepsia and in all patients with a gastrectomy. This suggests that there is impaired vagal function in a subgroup of patients with functional dyspepsia. The pancreatic polypeptide response to hypoglycaemia correlated significantly with the sensory threshold, supporting a role for impaired vagal function in the development of visceral hyperalgesia.

Insulin hypoglycaemia primarily tests vagal efferent function. In patients with partial gastrectomy the attenuated pancreatic polypeptide response following partial gastrectomy is almost certainly due to dissection of vagal fibres. There was no difference in sensory thresholds and pancreatic polypeptide responses to hypoglycaemia in gastrectomised subjects with or without a documented truncal vagotomy. Indeed, in animal studies a partial gastrectomy alone has been shown to abolish the pancreatic polypeptide response to electric stimulation of the truncal vagus, indicating vagal transection. It is estimated that 80% of the vagal fibres are afferent, conducting signals from the gut to the central nervous system. If the interruption of vagal afferents directly decreases the transmission of visceral mechanosensory information, then this should lead to increased sensory thresholds. However, the opposite was observed: sensory thresholds were lowered in subjects with transected vagal fibres or impaired vagal function as indicated by an attenuated response of the pancreatic polypeptide levels to hypoglycaemia. In line with these findings, previous studies on the effects of proximal selective or truncal vagotomy in patients with functional dyspepsia have failed to show improvement of symptoms after vagotomy; indeed, some patients with functional dyspepsia experienced deterioration in symptoms after vagotomy. Thus, the vagal nerve may not directly mediate visceral mechanosensory information. The observation of an association between disturbed vagal function and lowered sensory thresholds, however, suggests that the vagus does modulate mechanosensory function of the upper gut. The “normal” vagal nerve seems to exert an antinociceptive effect on upper gut mechanosensory function. This antinociceptive effect of the vagal...
nerves is eliminated by interruption of vagal fibres and as a consequence visceral hyperalgesia occurs. In turn this also suggests that in humans visceral sensory function is most likely mediated by spinal pathways and vagal afferents may directly inhibit the perception of (spinal) mechanosensory information. Indeed, electrical stimulation of vagal afferents decreased the responses of spinothalamic tract neurones to noxious stimulation in rats.34

There were no differences among the study populations in relation to the small intestinal motility index before and during nutrient infusion, in contrast to previous studies which showed antroduodenal motor disturbances in patients with functional gastrointestinal disorders.35 However, in the present study a liquid meal was infused directly into the duodenum and this may account for the difference.

In summary, the present study has shown altered intestinal visceral sensory function in patients with functional dyspepsia and a Billroth I gastrectomy. Furthermore, a subgroup of patients with functional dyspepsia and all patients with a Billroth I gastrectomy had diminished responses of pancreatic polypeptide to insulin hypoglycaemia, indicating impaired vagal function. As impaired vagal function and lowered visceral sensory thresholds were clearly associated, our data suggest that in humans vagal afferents inhibit visceral sensory information that is transmitted via spinal pathways.
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