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**Summary**
The ability of *Helicobacter pylori* to survive in the varying acidity of the stomach is considered to be linked to its ability to maintain a tolerable pH in its periplasmic space by acid dependent activation of internal urease activity. Whereas survival of *H pylori* can occur between a periplasmic pH of 4.0 to 8.0, growth can only occur between a periplasmic pH of 6.0 to 8.0. When urease activity is only able to elevate periplasmic pH to between 4.0 and 6.0, the organisms will survive but not divide. In the absence of division, antibiotics such as clarithromycin and amoxicillin are ineffective. Proton pump inhibitors, by elevating gastric pH, would increase the population of dividing organisms and hence synergise with these antibiotics.

**Introduction**
Colonisation of the normal stomach has been achieved only by *Helicobacter* spp., such as *H pylori*, *H felis* or *H mustelae*. These are Gram negative, motile, aerobic, spiral shaped organisms that dwell on the gastric surface and within the gastric mucus. They are found more frequently in the antrum than in the fundus and often within antral glands. They grow in vitro between pH 6.0 and 8.5 and survive between pH 4.0 and 8.5 in the absence of urea.

**The gastric environment**
The pH of the gastric lumen in humans is quite variable. The median pH is 1.4, but this is severely skewed by a low volume, highly acidic secretion at night where there is no buffering by food. The pH can fall to below 1.0 with acid secretion in the absence of buffering by food. Even with proton pump inhibition there are rapid excursions of high acidity. The pH at the gastric surface is thought to be significantly higher than in the lumen. pH microelectrode experiments indicate that the surface pH may be close to neutral when luminal pH is as low as 2.0 but this gradient is not maintained when the pH falls below 2.0. Two concepts have been put forward to explain the higher pH at the gastric surface—namely the presence of a mucus barrier and HCO₃⁻ secretion. HCO₃⁻ secretion is able to neutralise 10% of maximal acid secretion. Thus the increase in pH to 6.0 from a medium pH of 2.0 would be feasible—that is, the secretion of 10 to 20 mM HCO₃⁻ would be able to neutralise 10 to 20 mM HCl but not 100 mM. The pH of the lumen of the fundic glands, the source of HCl, is much lower, as can be estimated from the uptake of the weak base¹⁴C aminopyrine by rabbit gastric glands in vitro. The pH on the antral surface where there is no acid secretion is likely to be higher than on the gastric fundic surface but relative measurements of these two regions have not been published. *Helicobacteria* therefore are exposed to two types of acidic stress: chronic and acute. The magnitude of this acid stress on the surface of the human stomach is not known.

**Microbial acid adaptation**
Bacteria have adapted remarkably to variations in the acidity of their environment. For Gram negative organisms, which possess two membranes enclosing a periplasmic space, it is the pH of this space that is important for their survival or growth. Those which are capable of tolerating only a pH range of 4.0 to 8.0 in the periplasmic space may be classified as neutralophiles, those which have adapted to a pH as low as 2.0 may be facultative or obligate acidophiles, whereas those that tolerate pH as high as 10 can be thought of as alkaloiphils. Those that can only survive but not grow in acid are acid resistant neutralophiles and finally, and particularly relevant to *Helicobacteria*, those that grow best at neutral pH but are able to survive and grow in acidity and to increase their periplasmic pH in the presence of acid by specialised mechanisms can be regarded as acid tolerant neutralophiles.

Different mechanisms have evolved but all are designed to maintain a tolerable electrochemical gradient of H⁺ across the inner membrane of these bacteria. This can be achieved by alterations in transmembrane potential so that true acidophils respond to acidity by the generation of an inward positive potential, thus reducing the effective gradient. True alkaloiphils increase their inner membrane potential in order to increase the effective electrochemical gradient of hydrogen ions. Acid tolerance can be achieved by the generation of neutralising buffers either inside the cell or in the periplasmic space. Many bacteria respond to acidity by changes in gene expression of specialised proteins. At this time there are few gene sequences known for true acidophils so it is difficult to compare these sequences with similar sequences available from the *H pylori* genome.

Infection and colonisation of the human stomach requires more than one mechanism of acid adaptation. The frequently highly acidic pH of gastric contents requires high acid resistance in transit to the site of colonisation on the gastric surface. Growth on the surface requires acid tolerance as the pH here may be higher than in the gastric lumen but is still acidic in nature. Finally, different mechanisms may have evolved in terms of a response to acute as compared with chronic acidification of the bacterial environment. An understanding
of these mechanisms of acid tolerance may lead directly to a *Helicobacteria* specific means of eradication of the organism.

**Molecular mechanisms of acid adaptation of *Helicobacteria***

**STRUCTURAL ASPECTS OF MEMBRANE PROTEINS**

The outer membrane of Gram negative organisms is a phospholipid bilayer containing a variety of proteins, some membrane spanning, some associated with only one face of the bilayer as well as lipopolysaccharides. The outer membrane spanning proteins such as porins are able to mediate the flux of various small molecules across the outer membrane. There are several porins in *Helicobacteria* as defined by cloning and genomic analysis. A means of acid protection would be to alter the isoelectric point of these proteins as a means of reducing the transport of protons across the bilayer. As a group, these *Helicobacteria* porins and some inner membrane proteins have an isoelectric point significantly more alkaline than that of bacteria rarely exposed to acid. Thus these proteins are more positively charged in acid than those of neutralophiles such as *Escherichia coli*, thereby retarding flux of protons into the periplasmic space. An example of this is the *F* subunit of the F0F1 ATP synthase. This inner membrane proteolipid is responsible for the proton flow across the membrane enabling ATP synthesis. There is a loss of four carboxylic amino acids in the sequence of the *H pylori* proteolipid compared with that of *E coli* or *B subtilis*.

This increase in isoelectric point suggests that both the outer and inner membrane of the organism can be exposed to high acidity, and that the relative increase in numbers of positively charged amino acids or decrease in the number of carboxylic acids in the membrane proteins provides a degree of resistance to acid present on the outer surface and perhaps occasionally on the outer face of the inner membrane of *H pylori*. The most likely use of this adaptive mechanism is acid resistance when the organism is exposed directly to luminal acidity. Kinetic retardation of proton flux can only provide transient protection and other mechanisms must be present to allow both long term survival and growth in the gastric environment. Thus, the finding that *H pylori* is killed within a few minutes by pH < 4.0 in vitro in the absence of urea provides evidence for the presence of other mechanisms enabling acid tolerance.

**ATP SYNTHESIS AND MEDIUM pH**

The survival of aerobic bacteria depends largely on their ability to synthesise ATP, probably by hydronium flux inward across the F0F1 ATPase driven by an inwardly oriented electrochemical gradient of H+O−. The gradient is the sum of the pH gradient and the potential difference, the proton motive force (PMF):

\[
\text{PMF (in mV)} = \Delta \mu_e = -RT/nF \ln[H^+]_{\text{out}}/\ [H^+]_{\text{in}} + \Delta \psi = -61.5 \Delta \phi + PD
\]

In a neutralophile such as *E coli*, in a medium of pH 7.0, the cytoplasmic pH is about 7.5 to 7.8 and the transmembrane potential is about −160 mV, to give an electrochemical gradient of about −200 mV. Measurement of the membrane potential of *H pylori* in the absence of urea at pH 7.0 gave a value of −131 mV and an internal pH of 8.4. This higher internal pH is consistent with the lower proton permeability of the membranes of this organism. The proton motive force measured for *H pylori* is therefore about −220 mV.

This bacterium is able to maintain a membrane potential between pH 4.0 and 8.5. If the pH was outside these limits there was a relatively rapid and irreversible loss of the membrane potential, which correlated well with the measured survival of the organism at different pH values in the absence of urea. The addition of urea restored the membrane potential within about one minute at pH 3.5, prior to measured medium alkalinisation. Addition of urea at neutral pH in the absence of buffer resulted in medium alkalinisation to a pH > 8.5 and loss of membrane potential. Hence urease activity is a two edged sword for *H pylori*, enabling survival in acid, preventing survival in the absence of acid.

**REGULATION OF PERIPLASMIC pH IN ACID**

As pointed out above, simply retarding H+ penetration across the periplasmic space or cytoplasm of *H pylori* would not ensure its survival in the stomach, let alone growth. A remarkable feature of this organism is its synthesis of a neutral pH optimum urease at high concentrations. Indeed 15% of the protein synthesis is devoted to the production of ure A or ure B, the peptides that constitute, along with Nt5−, the active urease enzyme.

The reaction catalysed by urease is:

\[
\text{CO(NH}_2)\text{}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{NH}_3 + \text{HCOONH}_2 \rightarrow \text{NH}_4 + \text{CO}_2
\]

*H pylori* has a prokaryotic carbonic anhydrase in its genomic sequence, hence the eventual effect of urease activity; at a pH less than the pK of NH3 (9.5) and greater than 4.8 (the effective pK of bicarbonate due to the volatility of CO2), is:

\[
\text{CO(NH}_2)\text{}_2 + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{H}^+ \rightarrow 2\text{NH}_4^+ + \text{HCO}_3^-
\]

which therefore is able to alkalinise the environment of the urease.

Investigation into the localisation of the urease of the organism rapidly showed that significant urease activity was found outside the organism either in solution or bound to the cell surface. A prevailing hypothesis suggests that surface urease activity reduces the acidity of the microenvironment of the organism below the mucus layer of the gastric mucosa. This microenvironment could in principle be located external to the organism or in the periplasmic space but as surface urease was designated as the responsible urease compartment, it was neutralisation of the external microenvironment that was given most attention.

Figure 1 shows the measurement of the pH optimum of urease of *H pylori* after 24 hour culture. Free urease or urease bound to the surface shows a pH optimum between 7.5 and 8.0 as has been described previously. However, at a pH of 4.5 or below there is little if any demonstrable urease activity. Hence, either the
environment of the organism never reaches below a pH of 4.5, or external urease cannot be protective even against this low acidity.

Conversely, if intact bacteria are assayed for urease activity after 24 hour culture, little urease activity is observed at pH > 6.5, suggesting that there is little urease external to or on the surface of the organism. At pH < 6.5, there is a notable increase in urease activity (about 10-fold) reaching maximum at pH 5.5 and remaining steady until pH 3.0, after which the activity starts to fall off. A straightforward interpretation of these data is that internal urease is activated at a pH < 6.5 and cytoplasmic pH remains steady, accounting for the constancy of urease activity until a medium pH of 3.0.13 With older cultures with a large quantity of external urease, surface urease might also play a role in maintaining a tolerable environment.

Internal urease accounts for the major fraction of urease activity found in H pylori. If total urease activity is measured in a bacterial homogenate of a 24 hour culture, and internal and external urease are compared, 95% of the urease is found inside and not on the surface or outside.13 This is also quite consistent with the immunolocalisation of urease, where the vast majority of the protein is found in the cytoplasm.5 9 10

The inner membrane potential of H pylori at fixed medium pH between 3.0 and 6.0 rose to −105 mV with the addition of 5 mM urea, showing that internal urease activity was responsible, as no external urease activity would be present at a pH of < 4.5. Similarly, it could be calculated that periplasmic pH was elevated to pH 6.2 by internal urease activity. Hence internal urease is responsible for acid protection of H pylori. Addition of urea also enabled protein synthesis at a fixed medium pH of 3.0 to 6.0 where normally no protein synthesis is found. Hence internal urease activity is responsible for allowing growth of H pylori in the gastric environment.13 The absence of internal urease activity at a pH > 6.5 prevents alkalinisation in the absence of acid.

RESPONSE TO ELEVATED pH
H pylori survive between pH 4.0 and 8.2.14 The addition of urea enables survival at pH levels down to 2.0. However at neutral pH with little buffering, the addition of urea elevates medium pH to 8.5 or greater, with a resultant irreversible loss of membrane potential and death.6 Hence external urease is toxic at neutral pH. This latter effect may explain the absence of H pylori infection in pernicious anaemia.14

If external urease were essential for the organism’s viability in the stomach, survival would presumably depend on secretion of the enzyme. If bacteria are grown in labelled methionine for four to 24 hours, a large variety of proteins are labelled. In the medium, there is little labelled protein seen until 16 hours and then the labelling pattern of the protein in the medium is largely identical to that found in the bacteria. From this, it can be concluded that the major means of externalisation of the urease is by bacterial lysis (fig 2).13 15 This has been called altruistic cell death, implying that external urease serves a purpose for survival of the bacteria.11 But as this urease is inactive at pH 4.5 and the bacteria can survive this acidity quite readily without urea being present in the medium, altruism seems an inappropriate description.

In summary, internal urease is active at a gastric pH of < 6.5 and enables survival and growth at pH between perhaps 2.0 and 6.0 depending on the gastric urea concentration in the environment of the organism. Since the Kₘ of urease is about 1 mM, urea concentrations for effective internal enzyme activity in the absence of concentrative mechanisms would
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Figure 3 Comparison of the pH range over which \(H\) pylori survives to the pH range at which it grows or synthesises protein in the absence of urea. On the figure we indicate the range for which antibiotic sensitivity is expected and illustrate the theoretical effect of proton pump inhibition (PPI) on the population distribution of \(H\) pylori between dividing and non-dividing organisms. A, amoxycillin; C, clarithromycin.

have to be at least 0.1 mM and probably closer to the \(K_m\). External urease does not function as a gastroprotective mechanism but impedes survival elsewhere in the gut, perhaps reducing the immune response that would occur if intact antigens were presented in large quantities to the Peyer’s patches of the intestine.

The ecological niche of \(H\) pylori

From the above, survival of \(H\) pylori in the presence of urea under the low buffering conditions of the gastric mucosa is confined to a pH between about 2.0 and 6.5. The exact pH limits are defined by the prevailing urea concentration and urease activity: growth or protein synthesis is over a narrower range of pH—namely between pH 6.0 and 8.0. Hence for effective colonisation, the periplasmic pH must be kept within those boundaries. It has been shown that 5 mM urea is able to elevate periplasmic pH to close to 6.2 over a pH range of 3.0 to 6.0. At 1 mM urea, which is a reasonable concentration for gastric juice, the internal urease activity should be able to elevate periplasmic pH also within this range of gastric pH. Hence \(H\) pylori would inhabit only those regions of the gastric mucosal surface that remain largely within this pH range. In general, fundic pH in the region of acid secretion would often exceed these limitations and population of this region would be sparse. Antral pH may well be within this range, given the absence of acid secretion and the presence of \(HCO_3^-\) secretion in this area. Therefore a larger number of organisms are expected in this region of the stomach, as has generally been found.

The organism is motile and therefore has a random ability to move between different regions of the stomach. There is no published evidence that there is either positive or negative pH driven chemotaxis of Helicobacteria. If the gastric pH is changed, as would happen with treatment with proton pump inhibitors, it would be expected that the intragastric population would tend to redistribute on the gastric surface driven by the ability of the bacteria to colonise the gastric surface where the pH is between 3.0 and 6.0. Treatment with such drugs on once a day therapy elevates intraluminal pH from an average of 1.4 to an average of about 3.5. However, only 70% of acid secretory capacity is lost at steady state inhibition. The fundic surface would therefore remain acidic, although less so, and the antral surface may reach close to neutrality under these conditions.

Hence with proton pump inhibition, the antrum would tend to lose organisms as the immediate pH at least in some regions would reach a pH of 6.5 or greater, and the fundus would tend to acquire organisms where the surface pH would exceed 3.0. This redistribution of \(H\) pylori has indeed been found in individuals treated with proton pump inhibitors. This analysis also permits the conclusion that in humans, fundic surface pH is usually below 3.0 and antral surface pH generally above 3.0.

Strategies for eradication

Currently there are two types of treatment recommended for eradication. One uses a combination of antisecretory therapy in the form of ranitidine in combination with bismuth subcitrate (RBC), a formulation claimed to generate a more soluble form of bismuth as well as two antibiotics and may be regarded as quadruple therapy. The mechanism of action of bismuth is not known. As a divalent cation it may act as a surrogate for other divalent cations interfering with transition metal import or export via the three known P type ATPases or substitute for a divalent cation in an essential enzyme.

The other type of therapy recommends a proton pump inhibitor, to be used once or twice a day in combination with two antibiotics, selected from amoxycillin, clarithromycin or metronidazole. The reason for the synergism between proton pump inhibitors and either amoxycillin or clarithromycin is not experimentally established, but a rationale can be proposed based on the acid dependent biology of the organism discussed earlier. Both the above therapies are effective after seven days of dosing. Controlled clinical trials give eradication rates of between 80 and 90%.

The survival of \(H\) pylori occurs between pH 4.0 and 8.0, whereas protein synthesis occurs between pH 6.0 and 8.0. Hence between pH 4.0 and 6.0, \(H\) pylori does not divide. As both clarithromycin and amoxycillin require growth, those bacteria present in the non-dividing state will be resistant to these antibiotics. If non-dividing \(H\) pylori is present on the gastric surface during the time of exposure to either clarithromycin or amoxycillin, either topically or systemically, these organisms will not be eradicated. Elevation of surface pH by the administration of a proton pump inhibitor will move at least some of these organisms from a non-dividing into a growth or dividing state, allowing bactericidal or bacteriostatic effects of these antibiotics. If the pH in antral regions increases to close to neutrality, these organisms
will be killed by the proton pump inhibitor alone. Hence the synergism observed is likely to be due to a pH elevation dependent increase in the antibiotic sensitive population. Metronidazole, a DNA targeted antibiotic, does not depend on cell division for its activity, therefore synergism between proton pump inhibitors and metronidazole is not observed. Figure 3 illustrates these principles.

The use of these antibiotics is likely to become more limited as resistance develops. Furthermore, if eradication is to be extended to the infected population at large there is a risk of generating resistance in other pathogens. Hence monotherapy is desirable with a compound that is specific for *H pylori*. The logical approach is to evaluate the acid resistance mechanisms and target any one of the systems that are essential for life in an acidic environment.
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