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Abstract

Background—Crohn’s disease is a chronic inflammatory disease of the alimentary tract. Azathioprine is an effective agent in the management of chronic active Crohn’s disease leading to long term remission of disease activity. Such treatment leads to limited efficacy or side effects in a small subset of patients.

Aims—To compare efficacy and side effects of treatment with azathioprine plus corticosteroids versus mycophenolate mofetil (MMF) plus corticosteroids in patients with chronic active Crohn’s disease.

Methods—Seventy patients with chronic active Crohn’s disease (Crohn’s disease activity index (CDAI) greater than 150) were randomised for treatment with azathioprine/cortisone or MMF/cortisone. Corticosteroid dosage was tapered according to a standard protocol. Disease activity was monitored by clinical scores after one, two, three, and six months.

Results—Treatment of patients with moderately active (CDAI 150–300) Crohn’s disease with MMF/cortisone led to a significant reduction in clinical activity scores comparable to treatment with azathioprine/cortisone. Treatment of patients with highly active Crohn’s disease (CDAI greater than 300) with MMF/cortisone caused significant suppression of clinical activity earlier than azathioprine/cortisone treatment. Treatment with MMF/cortisone was associated with few adverse effects.

Conclusion—Treatment of chronic active Crohn’s disease with MMF plus cortisone appears to be effective and well tolerated and should be considered in patients allergic to azathioprine or in whom azathioprine has failed.
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Crohn’s disease is a chronic inflammatory disease of the gastrointestinal tract of unknown origin. It is characterised by a discontinuous transmural, granulomatous inflammation leading to intestinal fibrosis, strictures, and ileus. There is growing evidence that Crohn’s disease is associated with a dysregulated intestinal immune response of CD4+ T helper 1 type lymphocytes that produce high amounts of proinflammatory cytokines such as interferon (IFN) γ and tumour necrosis factor (TNF). Although treatment with corticosteroids is highly successful at decreasing symptoms in active disease, subgroups of patients treated with these agents continue to have complications of the disease and many patients suffer from steroid toxicity. Azathioprine combined with corticosteroids has been shown to cause earlier responses compared with monotherapy with corticosteroids and may allow a reduction in steroid dose. Treatment with azathioprine has been shown to be effective in treating active Crohn’s disease (36–76% response rates at 1.5–3 mg/kg/day) and in maintaining long term remission of disease activity. However, a recent meta-analysis showed that azathioprine therapy has an 8.9% incidence of adverse effects with a pooled odds ratio of 5.26 for development of adverse effects severe enough to require withdrawal of the drug. Similarly, Present et al reported adverse effects such as significant infection (7.4%), pancreatitis (3.3%), neoplasm (3.1%), bone marrow suppression (2.0%), allergy (2%), and drug hepatitis (0.3%) in some azathioprine treated patients. Based on these observations, alternative treatment options may be desirable for subgroups of patients with Crohn’s disease.

Mycophenolate mofetil (MMF) is an ester produg of mycophenolic acid that inhibits inosine monophosphate dehydrogenase and potentially suppresses lymphocyte proliferation. It reduces production of IFN-γ (but not TNF) by T cells more potently than does methotrexate. MMF has been successfully used in organ transplantation to prevent graft rejection. Furthermore, various clinical studies have shown its efficacy in suppressing autoimmune and chronic inflammatory disorders, such as rheumatoid arthritis, pemphigus vulgaris, and psoriasis. Here, we show that combined treatment with MMF plus cortisone appears to be effective and well tolerated in active Crohn’s disease.

Methods

A randomised prospective study was conducted at the I. Department of Medicine of the University of Mainz between November 1996 and November 1997.

Abbreviations used in this paper: CDAI, Crohn’s disease activity index; MMF, mycophenolate mofetil; IFN, interferon; TNF, tumour necrosis factor.
Eligible patients had chronic active Crohn's disease for at least one year and had a minimum of three acute flares within the previous three years. The Crohn's disease activity index (CDAI) was higher than 150 points in all patients. Patients with CDAI values below 150 were considered to have inactive disease and were not eligible. Before inclusion patients underwent endoscopic (colonoscopy) and radiological (barium contrast studies) evaluation; patients included in the study showed active mucosal inflammation. Patients without active mucosal inflammation were excluded. Further exclusion criteria were pregnancy, elevated creatinine, history of cancer, liver cirrhosis, chronic active hepatitis, and previous treatment with azathioprine or MMF.

**Randomisation**

Seventy patients with CDAI scores greater than 300 (n=35) or less than 300 (n=35) were assigned by random numbers, in a 1:1 ratio, to receive 2.5 mg/kg azathioprine plus 50 mg prednisolone or 15 mg/kg MMF plus 50 mg prednisolone orally. It should be noted, however, that neither patients nor the investigators were blinded to the randomisation sequence and the patients knew which medication they were on.

**Prednisolone Treatment**

Corticosteroid dosage was decreased each week according to the following regimen: 50 mg, 40 mg, 30 mg, 25 mg, 20 mg, 15 mg, 10 mg, and 5 mg per day. Prednisolone 5 mg was then given as maintenance therapy during the six month observation period. Patients whose condition worsened had their prednisolone dosage increased to a dose of 40 mg per day. The tapering regimen described above was then started again.

**Follow Up**

Patients were seen one, two, three, and six months after randomisation. At each visit, the CDAI score was determined. Patients collected the diary data for calculation of the CDAI in the week prior to their clinic visit. Serum concentrations of aminotransferases, alkaline phosphatase, red and white blood cells, lipase, amylase, and creatinine were also determined.

**Statistical Analysis**

The confirmatory hypothesis of different CDAI profiles under azathioprine versus MMF treatment was tested via pairwise two sample Wilcoxon tests.
Wilcoxon tests where each test was based on the difference in CDAI (score at one time point score minus the subsequent time point score).

To account for multiplicity, the Bonferroni/Holm procedure with a multiple significance level of 5% was applied. Graphical presentation was performed by Box/Whisker plots and data description is based on median and mean changes in CDAI. As the underlying differences were not too skewed, 95% confidence intervals for the mean differences are provided to illustrate the order of possible clinical relevance in CDAI changes.

Exploratory analysis was based on group separations (initial CDAI less/greater than 300) to obtain information on different CDAI profiles among these subgroups (statistical power greater than 70%). Due to the exploratory character the corresponding results were not adjusted for multiplicity. Finally, in the azathioprine treated group of patients with highly active Crohn’s disease (CDAI more than 300) the group treated with MMF had a significantly greater decrease in the CDAI score during the first month of treatment (fig 4; table 2). This was associated with a greater percentage of patients entering remission in the MMF group (fig 5). Between two and six months the CDAI scores in MMF treated patients remained stable at a comparable level for both subgroups (p=0.7012 for Wilk’s lambda). In contrast, in the azathioprine treated group of patients with highly active Crohn’s disease the scores dropping to approximately those of patients with inactive disease (CDAI less than 150) after one month of treatment (fig 3). In these patients the mean scores between the two patient groups were not statistically different over the entire six month observation period. In contrast, in patients with highly active Crohn’s disease (CDAI more than 300) the group treated with MMF had a significantly greater decrease in the CDAI score during the first month of treatment (fig 4). This was associated with a greater percentage of patients entering remission in the MMF group (fig 5). Between two and six months the CDAI scores in MMF treated patients remained stable at a comparable level for both subgroups (p=0.7012 for Wilk’s lambda). In contrast, in the azathioprine treated group of patients with highly active Crohn’s disease (CDAI more than 300) the group treated with MMF had a significantly greater decrease in the CDAI score during the first month of treatment (fig 4). This was associated with a greater percentage of patients entering remission in the MMF group (fig 5). Between two and six months the CDAI scores in MMF treated patients remained stable at a comparable level for both subgroups (p=0.7012 for Wilk’s lambda). In contrast, in the azathioprine treated group of patients with highly active Crohn’s disease (CDAI more than 300) the group treated with MMF had a significantly greater decrease in the CDAI score during the first month of treatment (fig 4). This was associated with a greater percentage of patients entering remission in the MMF group (fig 5). Between two and six months the CDAI scores in MMF treated patients remained stable at a comparable level for both subgroups (p=0.7012 for Wilk’s lambda). In contrast, in the azathioprine treated group of patients with highly active Crohn’s disease (CDAI more than 300) the group treated with MMF had a significantly greater decrease in the CDAI score during the first month of treatment (fig 4). This was associated with a greater percentage of patients entering remission in the MMF group (fig 5). Between two and six months the CDAI scores in MMF treated patients remained stable at a comparable level for both subgroups (p=0.7012 for Wilk’s lambda). In contrast, in the azathioprine treated group of patients with highly active Crohn’s disease (CDAI more than 300) the group treated with MMF had a significantly greater decrease in the CDAI score during the first month of treatment (fig 4). This was associated with a greater percentage of patients entering remission in the MMF group (fig 5). Between two and six months the CDAI scores in MMF treated patients remained stable at a comparable level for both subgroups (p=0.7012 for Wilk’s lambda). In contrast, in the azathioprine treated group of patients with highly active Crohn’s disease (CDAI more than 300) the group treated with MMF had a significantly greater decrease in the CDAI score during the first month of treatment (fig 4). This was associated with a greater percentage of patients entering remission in the MMF group (fig 5). Between two and six months the CDAI scores in MMF treated patients remained stable at a comparable level for both subgroups (p=0.7012 for Wilk’s lambda). In contrast, in the azathioprine treated group of patients with highly active Crohn’s disease (CDAI more than 300) the group treated with MMF had a significantly greater decrease in the CDAI score during the first month of treatment (fig 4). This was associated with a greater percentage of patients entering remission in the MMF group (fig 5). Between two and six months the CDAI scores in MMF treated patients remained stable at a comparable level for both subgroups (p=0.7012 for Wilk’s lambda). In contrast, in the azathioprine treated group of patients with highly active Crohn’s disease (CDAI more than 300) the group treated with MMF had a significantly greater decrease in the CDAI score during the first month of treatment (fig 4). This was associated with a greater percentage of patients entering remission in the MMF group (fig 5). Between two and six months the CDAI scores in MMF treated patients remained stable at a comparable level for both subgroups (p=0.7012 for Wilk’s lambda). In contrast, in the azathioprine treated group of patients with highly active Crohn’s disease (CDAI more than 300) the group treated with MMF had a significantly greater decrease in the CDAI score during the first month of treatment (fig 4). This was associated with a greater percentage of patients entering remission in the MMF group (fig 5). Between two and six months the CDAI scores in MMF treated patients remained stable at a comparable level for both subgroups (p=0.7012 for Wilk’s lambda). In contrast, in the azathioprine treated group of patients with highly active Crohn’s disease (CDAI more than 300) the group treated with MMF had a significantly greater decrease in the CDAI score during the first month of treatment (fig 4). This was associated with a greater percentage of patients entering remission in the MMF group (fig 5).
disease, four patients had to undergo surgery due to strictures and ileus, compared with two patients in the MMF group.

Treatment with both azathioprine/corticosterone and MMF/cortisone appeared to be well tolerated. Among all patients treated with azathioprine, seven showed adverse events such as nausea (n=4), transient cholestasis (n=2), and anemia (n=1) compared with two patients in the MMF treated group who developed drug exanthema and vomiting, respectively.

Discussion

We found that MMF combined with prednisolone seems to be an effective and well tolerated treatment for patients with active Crohn's disease. Thus, in patients with moderately active Crohn's disease (CDAI 150–300) MMF treatment was as effective as azathioprine treatment (both in combination with steroids) at reducing CDAI scores to levels seen in clinical remission (CDAI less than 150). Furthermore, in patients with highly active disease (CDAI greater than 300) treatment with MMF plus prednisolone led to an earlier reduction in the CDAI score which contrasted with the relatively slow onset of therapeutic effects obtained with the antimetabolite azathioprine in this study and in previous studies.10–23 As low dose steroid treatment was maintained over the six month observation period in both groups in this study, we propose to determine the requirement for concomitant steroid therapy for MMF effects in consecutive trials. Finally, we observed that treatment of Crohn's disease with MMF appears to be well tolerated in our group of patients. In this regard, surprisingly, no cases of diarrhoea occurred on MMF treatment, in contrast to previous studies using MMF for treatment of kidney transplant recipients.11–15 This was possibly due to the lower dosage of the drug that was used in this study (1.5 versus 2–3 g/day) or due to the lack of other additional immunosuppressive drugs such as antilymphyocyte globulin or cyclosporin A.

Effective drug therapy to induce and maintain clinical remission in patients with Crohn's disease is currently a major clinical problem. Maintenance therapy with aminosalicylates, prednisolone, budesonide, methotrexate, and azathioprine has been previously tested.3 20–24 Furthermore, recombinant interleukin 10 and antibodies to TNF have been recently suggested to be a therapeutic alternative for patients with moderate to severe disease activity.25 26 In the present study, we found that treatment with methotrexate plus prednisolone appeared to be effective in inducing remission in patients with active Crohn's disease, whereas a recent preliminary study in eight patients showed that MMF had limited efficacy in maintaining remission. No severe adverse effects were noted. Thus, in our group of patients, immunosuppression with MMF/cortisone was well tolerated in active Crohn's disease. Such treatment may therefore be considered in patients allergic to azathioprine or who have failed to respond to azathioprine. We propose that the therapeutic efficacy of MMF in chronic active Crohn's disease should be confirmed by prospective randomised double blind studies.
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