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Abstract

Background—Genetic anticipation has been proposed to explain observed age differences at diagnosis of Crohn’s disease in affected parents and offspring.

Aims—To compare affected parent-child pairs with Crohn’s disease and ulcerative colitis with a control group of non-familial patients with inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) in order to quantify whether ascertainment bias could account for this effect.

Methods—137 affected parent-child pairs from 96 families and 214 patients with sporadic IBD were studied. Age at onset of symptoms and diagnosis were ascertained by interview and disease confirmed from clinical records.

Results—Of the 137 affected parent-child pairs, 50 had Crohn’s disease only, 51 had ulcerative colitis only, and in 36, one had Crohn’s disease and the other ulcerative colitis. The median age of parents at diagnosis was 17.5 years older, 16 years older, and 18 years older in the Crohn’s disease, ulcerative colitis, and mixed disease families respectively (p<0.001 in each case). These observed age differences were compatible with those predicted from the regression lines of years of birth against age at diagnosis for the non-familial IBD patients. No evidence was found for an effect of parental sex on age at diagnosis or disease extent in offspring.

Conclusions—There was no evidence of genetic anticipation or genomic imprinting of age at diagnosis in this sample of IBD families. Ascertainment bias is responsible for the age differences at diagnosis between affected parents and children.
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An important genetic component in the pathogenesis of inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) has been suggested from surveys of families, twins, and ethnic groups, and is supported by recent positive linkage results in affected sib pair populations. However, the exact susceptibility loci, patterns of inheritance, and degree of genetic heterogeneity remain unknown. Preliminary evidence supporting the existence of genetic anticipation in Crohn’s disease has provoked a great deal of interest because it is known that the biological mechanism behind other conditions showing anticipation is an expansion of trinucleotide repeat sequences within the responsible gene. Clearly, if genetic anticipation really happens in Crohn’s disease, a search for trinucleotide expansion between generations would be valuable in defining genes that are responsible for the condition.

It is certain that affected offspring have a significantly earlier age of disease onset than their affected parent, and may also have greater extent of disease. One report also provided tentative support for genomic imprinting in that affected children of affected Crohn’s disease fathers had more extensive disease than children of affected mothers. However, this finding is not universal.

Although these features are consistent with anticipation, the original and subsequent publications proposing this mechanism were limited by small sample size (59 and 57 parent-child pairs respectively), selective examination of Crohn’s kindreds, and incomplete analysis of the effects of bias. In particular, the major bias is probably the preferential ascertainment of parents with a later age at diagnosis. No reported study has yet compared the effects of ascertainment bias in affected parent-child pairs with a control group.

The aim of this study was to look for evidence of anticipation and imprinting in a large cohort of parent-child pairs affected with different types of IBD, controlling for the effects of ascertainment bias with a control group of patients with non-familial disease.

Patients and methods

Ninety-six families with a total of 137 affected parent-child pairs were identified by patient interview, postal survey of clinicians, search of hospital records, and membership of two UK national patient organisations. The 96 families studied comprised 31 affected with Crohn’s disease only, 39 with ulcerative colitis, and 26 mixed families where cases of Crohn’s disease and ulcerative colitis were present in the same family. In 56 families a parent and one child were affected, and in 35 a parent and two children had IBD, providing 56 and 70 parent-child pairs for analysis, respectively. Of the other five families, there were two in which three successive generations were affected, contributing five parent-child pairs to the

Abbreviations used in this paper: IBD, inflammatory bowel disease.
study; in two families both parents and a child were affected and in these instances, the spouse who was diagnosed first was used in the analysis; and in one family a sister and brother with Crohn’s disease had one and three children respectively providing four parent-child pairs for study. Thus a total of 137 parent-child pairs have been analysed within 96 families. Ninety-one of the families were white (five with a Jewish ethnic origin), four were Asian, and one Afro-Caribbean.

A further 214 patients (113 with Crohn’s disease and 101 with ulcerative colitis) with non-familial disease were recruited as a control group from the King’s College Hospital IBD register. Clinical details for each of these patients were obtained by personal interview, information acquired from their clinicians and/or hospital records, and reviewing radiological films and histological slides on which the diagnosis of Crohn’s disease and ulcerative colitis was based. From the interviews and clinical records were noted the order in which family members were diagnosed with IBD, their year of birth, and their age at onset of symptoms and at diagnosis.

The sites of bowel involvement by Crohn’s disease were classified as described by Bayless and colleagues:21 upper gastrointestinal tract (oesophagus, stomach, duodenum, and jejunum), ileum (includes caecum), right colon (ascending and transverse) and left colon (descending, sigmoid, and rectum), and perianal disease. The extent of disease was scored by the number of sites affected by Crohn’s disease. For ulcerative colitis, the extent of colitis was defined as distal (disease involving the rectum with or without sigmoid colon involvement), left colon (rectum, sigmoid, and descending colon) and extensive (involvement proximal to the splenic flexure).

**Statistics**

Comparisons between generations for age at onset of disease and at diagnosis were analysed by the Mann-Whitney test. The relation between age at diagnosis and year of birth was assessed by regression analysis and Pearson’s coefficient of correlation. A predicted age of diagnosis as determined by year of birth for affected parents and offspring was derived from regression plots of patients with either non-familial Crohn’s disease or ulcerative colitis. McNemar’s test for matched pairs with Yates’s continuity correction was applied to assess differences in maternal and paternal transmission of Crohn’s disease in the parent-child pair families with mixed disease.

**Results**

**CHILDREN ARE YOUNGER AT SYMPTOMATIC ONSET AND DIAGNOSIS THAN THEIR PARENTS**

Parents in the whole series had a median age at onset of symptoms 16 years older, and a median age at diagnosis 18 years older than their affected offspring. Table 1 summarises these differences for each of the three types of family studied. In 89% of the parent-child pairs, the parent was older than the child at the time when each was diagnosed, with an age difference of 10 or more years in 72%, 76.5%, and 64% of the Crohn’s disease, ulcerative colitis, and mixed disease pairs respectively.

The median time interval between diagnosis of the parent and child was 12 years (range 1–34) for the Crohn’s disease families, 7.5 years (range 1–25) for the ulcerative colitis families, and 17 years (range 1–34) for the mixed disease families. A time span of five or more years separated the diagnosis of the two generations in 71% of the parent-child pairs, and in 56% this was more than 10 years.

**APPARENT ANTICIPATION CAN BE EXPLAINED BY ASCERTAINMENT BIAS**

Figure 1 represents the effect of ascertainment bias in the inverse correlation between age at diagnosis and calendar year of birth. When studied in 1908, anybody born in 1930 must be aged 68 or less and have been diagnosed under this age. The dramatic decline in age at diagnosis is therefore dictated by subtraction.
and not by subtle year of birth effects. The magnitude of the effects of ascertainment bias were quantitated for the affected parent-child pairs by reference to the mean regression lines for the patients with non-familial disease. This clearly showed that the major determinant of difference in age at diagnosis between parents and offspring was their respective years of birth rather than their familial history of disease. No differences were noted between the gradients or the degrees of correlation of the regression plots for the familial and non-familial patients with either Crohn’s disease or ulcerative colitis. Furthermore, the predicted age of diagnosis differences between the affected parent-child pairs were actually greater, although not significantly so, than the actual differences observed (see table 1).

**TABLE 3** Differences between maternal and paternal transmission of Crohn’s disease in the mixed disease families

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mixed disease families (n=36)</th>
<th>All parents</th>
<th>Affected fathers</th>
<th>Affected mothers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parent with UC, child with CD</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent with UC, child with UC</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Odds ratio (95% confidence limits)</td>
<td>2.6 (1.2–6.0)</td>
<td>4.3 (1.2–23.7)</td>
<td>1.86 (0.7–5.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p Value</td>
<td>0.0124</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion

This is the largest study investigating age differences at diagnosis between affected parent-child pairs with inflammatory bowel disease. It is also the first study to examine families with both Crohn’s disease and ulcerative colitis, and to use a control group of patients with non-familial disease. The major finding, that the median age at diagnosis for parents is significantly older than their children by 17.5, 16, and 18 years for the Crohn’s disease, ulcerative colitis, and mixed disease families, is in agreement with all previous studies which have examined the ages of successive generations affected with IBD.9 19 22 25 This has been suggested as evidence in favour of genetic anticipation in recent publications. However, this suggestion takes no account of the inherent bias within the parent-child study design. Ascertainment (patients in different generations are not interviewed at the same age, resulting in a greater chance of finding a later age of onset in the older generation), selection (less recruitment of patients with an earlier age of onset and reduced fertility), and lead time (time interval between onset of symptoms and diagnosis is shorter in the later generations affected), are all possible important observational biases. When compared with patients with non-familial disease, it is clear that the major determinant of difference in age at diagnosis between parents and offspring was their respective years of birth rather than their familial history of disease. In fact, the predicted age differences were actually greater than those observed.

An intriguing possibility to account for the age difference at diagnosis between the parents and children is that an environmental cohort effect has occurred during this century. It can be argued that the parents with IBD in the present study were born between the years 1911 and 1956, whereas their affected children were born during the period 1937–1984. A causal environmental factor introduced, or increasing its effect, towards the middle years of the twentieth century, would first affect many of the parents in early adult life whereas most of the children would be exposed from infancy. In this circumstance parents born early in the century would tend to develop IBD at a later stage in their life than their children. This possible explanation of the age difference at diagnosis between affected parents and children seems unlikely as the differences observed can be entirely accounted for by ascertainment bias.

Additional evidence against anticipation was provided by the observation that there were no significant differences between disease extent in either the Crohn’s disease or ulcerative colitis parent-child pairs. We also found no effects of parental sex on disease extent or age at diagnosis, suggesting that genomic imprinting is unlikely to be of major importance in those families with Crohn’s disease or ulcerative colitis only. The small but significant sex difference in parental transmission of Crohn’s disease in the families with mixed disease, needs to be interpreted with some caution as only 36 of these families were included in the analysis and
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The confidence limits for the effects of parental sex were correspondingly wide.

Certain theoretical considerations would also suggest that anticipation is unlikely to be of major mechanistic importance in the aetiology of IBD. It is known that the molecular basis for anticipation is the inheritance of nucleotide triplet repeats in the disease gene that progressively expand in number in successive generations, and to date, the only known clinical examples occur in monogenic neurological disorders such as Friedreich’s ataxia and Huntington’s disease. It is clear that Crohn’s disease and ulcerative colitis have important environmental influences, and do not follow simple Mendelian modes of inheritance; genome scans in affected sib pair populations suggest polygenic involvement. It is difficult to reconcile this knowledge with our present molecular understanding of anticipation.

In summary, the present study has confirmed that there is a tendency for children to be younger than their parents at the time of diagnosis in families with IBD affecting successive generations. Our evidence suggests that ascertainment bias is the reason for this phenomenon and not genetic anticipation.

We thank the families for their participation, the assistance of their clinicians in the collection of data, Yueming Li for help with the statistical analysis, and the support of the National Association for Colitis and Crohn’s Disease and the Crohn’s Disease in Childhood Research Association.

Why children with inflammatory bowel disease are diagnosed at a younger age than their affected parent

J C W Lee, S Bridger, C McGregor, A J S Macpherson and J E Lennard Jones

Gut 1999 44: 808-811
doi: 10.1136/gut.44.6.808