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Abstract

Background/Aims—Nodular regenerative hyperplasia of the liver is a histological lesion usually associated with systemic diseases, haematological malignancies, or drugs. Its prognosis depends on portal hypertension, which usually is well tolerated and requires medical management only.

Patients—Three unrelated families, in which two sibling adult male patients presented with nodular regenerative hyperplasia of the liver, were studied.

Methods—Complete clinical charts and liver biopsy specimens were available for all patients. In addition, explanted livers were available for examination for the two transplanted patients.

Results—There was no evidence of any of the various clinical situations known to be associated with nodular regenerative hyperplasia of the liver. Portal hypertension was severe, requiring surgical treatment in two cases. Renal lesions were present in three patients. In two patients, progressive evolution to liver atrophy and hepatic failure, associated with renal failure, led to combined liver and renal transplantation.

Conclusions—This report describes the existence of familial cases of nodular regenerative hyperplasia of the liver, occurring without underlying or associated systemic disease, characterised by a poor clinical course and often associated with progressive renal failure.
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Nodular regenerative hyperplasia of the liver (NRHL) is defined by the occurrence of nodules of regenerative hepatocytes, diffusely distributed all over the hepatic parenchyma, in the absence of fibrosis.1–3 The clinical expression of this histological lesion is variable. The prognosis depends on the existence and severity of portal hypertension, which occurs in about 50% of cases, either as a presenting symptom or as a late complication.4 In most instances, NRHL is diagnosed in association with systemic diseases, such as connective tissue diseases, autoimmune disorders, or haematological malignancies.5–10 Some cases of NRHL have been attributed to toxic liver injury, after treatment with azathioprine or as part of the toxic oil syndrome.9,11–15 In most of these situations, no definite relation between the liver regenerative lesions and the associated clinical context has been established. However, it is usually assumed that the development of NRHL is secondary to microcirculatory disturbances, related either to lesions of small intrahepatic venous or arterial vessels, or to primary alterations of the sinusoidal wall.16

We here report the occurrence of liver lesions typical of NRHL in several members of three unrelated families. Besides their highly unusual familial occurrence, these cases of NRHL are also characterised by: (a) the absence of associated systemic disease or exposure to potentially toxic agents; (b) poor clinical progress, related to severe portal hypertension, leading to orthotopic liver transplantation in two patients; and (c) the existence of vascular alterations involving other organs, such as the kidney.

Materials and methods

The present report is based on six patients, belonging to three unrelated families, for whom the diagnosis of NRHL was made between 1987 and 1993.

For all patients, complete clinical charts were available. Liver biopsy specimens were obtained from all patients. In addition, explanted livers were available for examination for the two transplanted patients. Sections from formalin fixed paraffin embedded liver tissue were stained with haematoxylin and fuchsin, chromotrope, Perls’ iron stain, and Masson’s reticulin.

Results

CASE REPORTS

Table 1 gives the salient clinical findings for each patient, and table 2 gives the liver function tests and results of computed tomography and endoscopic examination. No patient presented with a history of alcohol abuse, chronic hepatic disease, or blood transfusions. There was no sign of autoimmune or connective tissue disease. The patients were not taking any drugs at time of admission. Hepatitis B surface antigen and anti-HBs and anti-HBc antibodies were absent. Hepatitis C virus serology was negative. Anti-nuclear, anti-mitochondrial, anti-smooth muscle, and anti-endoplasmic reticulum antibodies were not detectable. Serum concentrations of ferritin, copper, caeruloplasmin, α1 antitrypsin, and α fetoprotein were within the normal limits. Serum protein electrophoresis and immuno-electrophoresis were normal. Rheumatoid factor was not detectable. For all patients, there was no abnormality in the coagulation cascade.

Abbreviation used in this paper: NRHL, nodular regenerative hyperplasia of the liver.
Table 1  Clinical findings in the patients of the study group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Age*</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Associated manifestations</th>
<th>Circumstances of the diagnosis</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Complications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Upper gastrointestinal bleeding</td>
<td>Sclerotherapy, mesenterico-caval shunt</td>
<td>Portal hypertension, encephalopathy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Renal failure</td>
<td>Asymptomatic oesophageal varices</td>
<td>Propranolol, mesenterico-caval shunt</td>
<td>Portal hypertension, ascites, encephalopathy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Renal failure</td>
<td>Asymptomatic cholestasis and elevated amnontransferase levels</td>
<td>Propranolol, Portal hypertension</td>
<td>Portal hypertension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Renal failure</td>
<td>Elevated amnontransferase levels</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Leucocytobiosis</td>
<td>Propranolol, Sclerotherapy</td>
<td>Portal hypertension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Upper gastrointestinal bleeding</td>
<td>Propranolol</td>
<td>Portal hypertension</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*At time of the diagnosis.

Table 2  Laboratory data and imaging findings in the patients of the study group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case 1</th>
<th>Case 2</th>
<th>Case 3</th>
<th>Case 4</th>
<th>Case 5</th>
<th>Case 6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Laboratory findings</td>
<td>(Dg/pres)</td>
<td>(Dg/OLT)</td>
<td>(Dg/OLT)</td>
<td>(Dg/pres)</td>
<td>(Dg/pres)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum albumin (g/l)</td>
<td>39/37</td>
<td>36/30</td>
<td>38/37</td>
<td>48/44</td>
<td>44/40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prothrombin (% of normal)</td>
<td>88/64</td>
<td>89/66</td>
<td>100/80</td>
<td>100/100</td>
<td>64/72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum bilirubin (mmol/l)</td>
<td>5/1.5</td>
<td>4/1.5</td>
<td>3/1.5</td>
<td>3/1.5</td>
<td>3/1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum creatinine (µmol/l)</td>
<td>79/83</td>
<td>81/85</td>
<td>78/77</td>
<td>78/77</td>
<td>68/72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatinine clearance (ml/min)</td>
<td>28/26</td>
<td>15/21</td>
<td>16/14</td>
<td>20/49</td>
<td>22/24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatomegaly</td>
<td>NA/NA</td>
<td>NA/NA</td>
<td>NA/NA</td>
<td>NA/NA</td>
<td>NA/NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Splenomegaly</td>
<td>NA/NA</td>
<td>NA/NA</td>
<td>NA/NA</td>
<td>NA/NA</td>
<td>NA/NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT and endoscopic findings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver appearance</td>
<td>Hepatomegaly/</td>
<td>Atrophy/</td>
<td>Normal/</td>
<td>Hepatomegaly/</td>
<td>Hepatomegaly/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portal systemic communications</td>
<td>Yes/no</td>
<td>Yes/yes</td>
<td>No/yes</td>
<td>No/no</td>
<td>Yes/yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oesophageal varices</td>
<td>Yes/no</td>
<td>Yes/no</td>
<td>Yes/no</td>
<td>Yes/no</td>
<td>Yes/yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NA, not available; Dg, at the time of the diagnosis; OLT, when referred for orthotopic liver transplant; pres, at the present time; xN, x upper normal value; CT, computed tomography.

We summarise below the clinical histories of each patient.

**Family 1**

In this family, two brothers presented with NRHL.

**Patient 1**—The patient was admitted to our hospital in October 1987, at the age of 40 years, for haematemesis. Upper gastrointestinal endoscopy confirmed the source of bleeding as oesophageal varices. The bleeding was not controlled by conservative measures and oesophageal transection was performed. On physical examination, there was a hepatomegaly and a splenomegaly. Abdominal ultrasonography confirmed the existence of hepatosplenomegaly and showed an enlarged splenic vein. Portal and hepatic vein patency was assessed by ultrasonographic pulsed Doppler. A transjugular hepatic biopsy specimen was taken for histological examination. Two other percutaneous hepatic biopsies were performed in 1987 and 1988. Between 1988 and 1989, injection sclerotherapy was needed to achieve complete eradication of oesophageal varices.

The patient was admitted again in September 1990 for a recurrence of oesophageal variceal bleeding. A decision to treat surgically was taken. A lateral mesenterico-caval shunt was performed. At surgery, a portal vein thrombosis was discovered and confirmed by peroperative ultrasonography. Macroscopically, the liver was atrophic and presented a markedly irregular surface. Because of a thrombotic obstruction of the first shunt, a new shunt was inserted in March 1991.

From 1991 to 1997, there was no further gastrointestinal bleeding. In 1997, at the age of 57, the patient presented with an episode of hepatic encephalopathy. A full blood count showed pancytopenia. The prothrombin index was 64%. There was no recurrence of oesophageal varices. Abdominal ultrasonography showed no ascites and no sign of portal hypertension. A third hepatic biopsy was performed. At the date of submission of the manuscript, the patient is alive with recurrent episodes of hepatic encephalopathy.

**Patient 2**—This 59 year old white man was referred to our department in December 1992 because of the incidental endoscopic diagnosis of oesophageal varices. A treatment combining propranolol admistration and injection sclerotherapy was begun. A percutaneous hepatic biopsy specimen was taken for histological examination. In 1995, at the age of 62, the patient progressively developed ascites and hepatic encephalopathy. Physical examination disclosed splenomegaly. There was no jaundice. A full blood count showed pancytopenia. The prothrombin index was 66%. Abdominal ultrasonography with pulsed Doppler confirmed the existence of ascites and splenomegaly and showed an atrophic liver. There was evidence of large collateral venous channels within the abdomen. The coeliac and superior mesenteric angiograms showed a tortuous splenic vein with retrograde flow down the superior mesenteric vein communicating...
with the inferior vena cava. Portal and hepatic veins were patent. Upper gastrointestinal endoscopy confirmed eradication of oesophageal varices.

In addition to symptoms related to hepatocellular insufficiency and portal hypertension, the patient also showed signs of altered renal function. Serum creatinine levels were increased to 168 mmol/l (normal range 55–120). Creatinine clearance was 59 ml/min. Haematuria and proteinuria were present. Examination of a renal biopsy specimen showed severe nephroangiosclerosis of vascular origin.

Further clinical progress was poor, with recurrent episodes of tense ascites and encephalopathy and progressive degradation of renal function leading to renal insufficiency. A decision to carry out combined liver and renal transplantation was taken in December 1995. The combined transplantation was performed in January 1996. The recovery was uneventful. At the date of submission of the manuscript, the patient is alive, without symptoms of hepatic or renal disease.

**Family 2**

Two brothers of this family presented with NRHL. Both were HLA A1B7. Their mother died at the age of 56 from hepatic failure of unknown cause.

**Patient 3**—This 40 year old white man was referred in October 1987 for diagnostic evaluation after the incidental discovery of abnormal liver tests. A first surgical hepatic biopsy specimen was taken for histological examination, during treatment of an inguinal hernia. Upper gastrointestinal endoscopy showed oesophageal varices, which were treated by injection sclerotherapy. A percutaneous hepatic biopsy specimen was taken for histological examination. In addition to abnormal liver tests and signs of portal hypertension, the patient also exhibited haematuria and proteinuria.

From 1988 to 1996, regular follow up showed the persistence of abnormal liver tests and a progressive alteration of renal function. No further clinical complications occurred. In March 1996, upper gastrointestinal endoscopy and abdominal ultrasonography showed aggravation of portal hypertension. A decision to treat surgically was taken and a lateral mesenterico-caval shunt was performed in September 1996. At this time, a second surgical hepatic biopsy specimen was taken for histological examination. Further clinical progress was poor. The patient had chronic oedema and suffered several episodes of hepatic encephalopathy. Renal insufficiency developed. A renal needle biopsy specimen showed non-specific lesions of nephroangiosclerosis. A decision to carry out combined liver and kidney transplantation was taken in March 1997. The transplantation was performed in December 1997. At surgery, the mesenterico-caval shunt was found to be permeable, without any evidence of thrombosis. After transplantation, the recovery was uneventful. At the date of submission of the manuscript, the patient is alive without evidence of hepatic or renal disease.

**Patient 4**—This 38 year old white man was seen in April 1990 for diagnostic evaluation of an isolated increase in serum aminotransferase levels. Upper gastrointestinal endoscopy showed no evidence of oesophageal varices. Abdominal ultrasonography showed hepatomegaly. A percutaneous liver biopsy was performed. Regular follow up between 1990 and 1996 diagnosed no further clinical or biological abnormalities.

In September 1996, renal tests were found to be abnormal. Serum creatinine level was increased to 135 mmol/l (normal range 55–120). Haematuria and proteinuria were present. In September 1997, the serum creatinine level was further increased to 220 mmol/l, and creatinine clearance was 45 ml/min. A renal needle biopsy specimen was obtained and showed nephroangiosclerosis. At the date of submission of the manuscript, the patient is alive.

**Family 3**

In this family, two brothers presented with NRHL.

**Patient 5**—The patient was first admitted in July 1992, at the age of 28, for leucocytopenia. Upper gastrointestinal endoscopy showed oesophageal varices. Physical examination disclosed hepatomegaly and splenomegaly. Abdominal ultrasonography confirmed the existence of hepatosplenomegaly and showed an enlarged splenic vein. Portal and hepatic vein patency was documented by ultrasonographic pulsed Doppler. A percutaneous hepatic biopsy specimen was taken for histological examination. Propranolol, 160 mg a day, was begun.

From 1992 to 1998, there was no gastrointestinal bleeding. Thrombosis of the left portal vein was diagnosed in February 1998.

**Patient 6**—This 33 year old white man was referred in October 1993 for haematemesis. Upper gastrointestinal endoscopy confirmed the source of bleeding as oesophageal varices. The bleeding was controlled by conservative measures. On physical examination, there was a hepatomegaly and a splenomegaly, confirmed by abdominal ultrasonography. Portal and hepatic vein patency was documented by ultrasonographic pulsed Doppler. A percutaneous hepatic biopsy specimen was taken for histological examination. Between 1993 and 1994, injection sclerotherapy was needed to achieve complete eradication of oesophageal varices. Propranolol, 160 mg a day, was begun.

The patient was admitted again in October 1996 for a recurrence of oesophageal variceal bleeding.

From 1996 to 1998, there was no further gastrointestinal bleeding despite the persistence of oesophageal varices.

**PATHOLOGICAL FINDINGS**

In all cases, examination of liver biopsy specimens showed diagnostic features of nodular regenerative hyperplasia. The overall architecture of the liver was distorted by the presence of small nodules of hepatocytes
directly merging into the adjacent liver parenchyma (fig 1).

Within hepatic nodules, hepatocytes were usually swollen and enlarged. They were organised into plates of irregular thickness, separated by well differentiated sinusoid vessels. The periphery of the nodules was outlined by compressed and sometimes atrophic hepatocytic plates, best visualised by using reticulin stains (fig 2). No fibrous capsule was detectable. The nodules were separated by dilated sinusoid vessels. On biopsy specimens, no occlusive vascular lesion was observed. No abnormality in portal vessels and centrilobular veins was detected.

When successive biopsy specimens were available from the same patient (cases 2 and 3), no significant modification of the histological appearance was observed.

In the two transplanted patients (cases 1 and 3), explanted livers were available for examination. In patient 1, the liver (1040 g) was atrophic and markedly cholestatic. Cut sections had a distinctive nodular appearance. At histological examination, the diagnosis of NRHL was confirmed. The overall parenchyma was distorted by small hepatocytic nodules, separated by compressed and atrophic liver plates. Within regenerative nodules, the presence of large hepatocytes with voluminous and nucleolated nuclei was noted. Additional features included severe cholestasis with numerous intracanalicular biliary thrombi and slight haemosiderosis. Despite careful examination, no vascular occlusion was detected. No abnormality of portal vessels or centrilobular veins was observed.

The explanted liver of patient 3 weighed 1520 g. Cut sections had a distinctive nodular appearance. Large haemorrhagic foci were disseminated within the parenchyma. At histological examination, the diagnosis of NRHL was confirmed. Small regenerative hepatocytic nodules were diffusely distributed within the overall parenchyma. Mild cholestasis was common. In some nodules, hepatocytes were considerably enlarged and had large irregular heavily nucleolated nuclei, reminiscent of those observed in large cell dysplasia (fig 3). In the peripheral regions of the liver, additional architectural abnormalities were observed. A proportion of portal tracts was enlarged by non-inflammatory periportal fibrosis, associated with mild neoductular proliferation. In some instances, bridging fibrosis between adjacent portal tracts could be observed (fig 4). In the subcapsular region, bridging fibrosis resulted in the formation of thick fibrous bands separating small regenerative nodules. Careful examination of large hepatic vessels showed the existence of two organised thrombotic occlusions, localized within large intrahepatic branches of the portal vein. In addition, numerous dilated venous and lymphatic vessels, sometimes lined by a thickened and fibrotic wall, were present in the large perihilar portal tracts.
Nodular regenerative hyperplasia of the liver

Discussion

We report the occurrence in three unrelated families of a syndrome associating nodular regenerative hyperplasia of the liver and severe portal hypertension. Clinical progress was usually poor and justified combined liver and renal transplantation in two patients.

To the best of our knowledge, the familial occurrence of NRHL has not been reported so far. However, three previous reports have documented familial cases of hepatoporal sclerosis[17,18] and incomplete septal cirrhosis,[19] which are usually considered to be closely related to NRHL and may be part of the same spectrum of liver lesions.

In our cases, the initial diagnosis of NRHL was made on liver biopsy specimens and, in two cases, were later confirmed by examination of explanted livers after orthopic transplantation. The histological picture was usually typical, characterised by diffuse involvement of the liver parenchyma by small nodules composed of regenerative hepatocytes, with no or minimal fibrosis. In one patient, examination of the explanted liver after orthopic liver transplantation confirmed the diffuse distribution of the lesions and their homogeneous appearance.

In another patient, the explanted liver showed a more heterogeneous picture reminiscent of that of incomplete septal cirrhosis.[20-22] Our observation further illustrates the close relations that probably exist between NRHL and incomplete septal cirrhosis.[22,23]

Interestingly, cytological features like those observed in large cell dysplasia were observed in two of our patients. The occurrence of such dysplastic features has been previously reported in other cases of NRHL or related histological lesions, such as incomplete septal cirrhosis.[23] In certain series of NRHL, the incidence of dysplasia amounted to 40% of cases.[24] Its exact significance is unknown but it is likely to be related to the increased proliferative activity of hepatocytes constituting the regenerative nodules.

Portal hypertension and its complications dominated the clinical course of our patients. While portal hypertension is a usual consequence of NRHL, its development is far from constant. An incidental finding of NRHL is possible in patients without clinical evidence of liver disease.[25-26] Moreover, the development of portal hypertension was particularly severe in our patients. It was the presenting symptom in two of them, resulting in repeated episodes of bleeding from oesophageal varices in one, and requiring surgical treatment in two, respectively three and nine years after the initial diagnosis. In addition, in two of our patients, symptoms of rapidly progressing liver failure led to the decision for a liver transplantation after respectively four and 10 years of follow up. The severity of the clinical course experienced by several of our patients is highly unusual in NRHL, which, in most cases, is well tolerated and requires medical treatment only.[27] So far, liver transplantation has been reported in only four patients with histologically proven NRHL.[24,27-29]

In these cases, as in our patients, recovery was uneventful and no recurrence of the disease observed. We avoided azathioprine administration, as this immunosuppressive drug has been incriminated in the pathogenesis of NRHL occurring after kidney transplantation.[30]

Our patients showed no evidence of the various underlying diseases known to be associated with NRHL.[4,10] There were no symptoms suggestive of systemic disease or haematological malignancies. There was no evidence of exposure to toxic agents that could potentially be responsible for the development of NRHL, such as azathioprine. So far, the apparent de novo occurrence of NRHL, without underlying or associated disease, has been the exception and only a few cases have been reported in the literature.[31]

The causes of NRHL in our patients remain to be established. The familial occurrence of our cases raises the possibility of a congenital disorder. The existence of NRHL in children is rare, but possible, and some of the cases reported in the literature have been found in association with various congenital disorders.[32]

In our cases, an early onset of the disease with a late diagnosis favoured by the existence of symptoms related to portal hypertension is possible. This is suggested by the morphological appearance of the liver lesions. The existence of liver atrophy in three cases and the association with lesions of incomplete septal cirrhosis in another are in keeping with a late stage of evolution. However, unidentified environmental factors cannot be definitely excluded. For instance, experimental reports have shown that NRHL-like lesions may be observed after lead poisoning[33] or selenium exposure.[34]

NHRL is currently thought to be secondary to microcirculatory disturbances within the liver parenchyma, inducing successive episodes of atrophy followed by compensatory regeneration.[35] Several lines of evidence suggest that vascular lesions may actually be involved in the pathogenesis of the lesions observed in our patients. As in several previous...
cases of NRHL,

thrombotic occlusions of the portal vein or its large branches were found in three of our patients. It is not possible to exclude the existence of liver vascular lesions in the other patients, as the focal nature of their distribution may result in their misdiagnosis. In addition, several of our patients presented with lesions of vascular origin involving other organs. One patient had myocardial and Raynaud’s phenomenon. Three others had progressive renal failure, related to nephroangiosclerosis of vascular origin. In two of these patients, renal transplantation was required. The association between NRHL and renal failure has been previously noted,

and reinforces the concept that some cases of NRHL may be part of a multorgan involvement of the microcirculation.

In conclusion, this report points out the existence of familial cases of NRHL, occurring without underlying or associated systemic disease, characterised by a poor clinical course leading to severe portal hypertension and progressive hepatocellular insufficiency requiring liver transplantation, and often associated with progressive renal failure requiring kidney transplantation.

We are grateful to Mrs M P Jacquier (INSERM U45, Lyon, France) for her help in preparing the text of the figures and to Dr T Bizollon (Hotel-Dieu, Lyon, France) for helpful discussions about NRHL.
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