Selection of hepatitis B surface “escape” mutants during passive immune prophylaxis following liver transplantation: potential impact of genetic changes on polymerase protein function


Abstract
Case report—A patient is described who developed hepatitis B virus (HBV) reinfection five months following liver transplantation. Failure of hepatitis B immunoglobulin prophylaxis was associated with the emergence of mutations. HBV gene sequencing identified nucleotide substitutions associated with amino acid changes, one within the major hydrophilic region (MHR) of the HBV surface antigen at amino acid position 144 and one outside the MHR. Because of the overlapping reading frames of surface and polymerase genes, the latter surface antigen change was associated with an amino acid change in the polymerase protein. The patient developed significant allograft hepatitis and was treated with lamivudine (3TC) 100 mg daily. Rapid decline of serum HBV DNA was observed with loss of HBV e antigen and HBV surface antigen from serum. There was normalisation of liver biochemistry, and liver immunohistochemistry showed a reduction in HBV core and disappearance of HBs antigen staining.

Conclusion—Surface antigen encoding gene mutations associated with HB Ig escape may be associated with alteration of the polymerase protein. The polymerase changes may affect sensitivity to antiviral treatment. Selection pressure on one HBV reading frame (for example, HB Ig pressure on HBsAg, or nucleoside analogue pressure on polymerase protein) may alter the gene product of the overlapping frame. Such interactions are relevant to strategies employing passive immune prophylaxis and antiviral treatment.
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Liver transplantation is now an established treatment for end stage liver disease, and patients with hepatitis B virus (HBV) induced cirrhosis account for a substantial proportion of those referred for liver transplantation. Recurrence of HBV in the graft has a significant impact on both graft and patient survival. To minimise this risk, hepatitis B immunoglobulin (HB Ig) is administered from the time of transplantation. These preparations contain high titres of antibody to the HBV surface antigen (HBsAg). Without prophylaxis, recurrent infection is observed in more than 80% of transplanted patients.1 Despite sustained HB Ig prophylaxis, many develop recurrence, with accelerated liver disease. HB Ig prophylaxis is most likely to be effective for patients with low levels of viral replication at the time of transplantation.2

HBsAg contains a highly antigenic segment known as the major hydrophilic region (MHR) from amino acids 100–169. The MHR consists of a complex and interleaved set of continuous and discontinuous epitopes defined by disulphide bridging. The structure has been recently suggested by phage epitope mapping studies.3 The “a determinant” is part of the MHR, and classically includes amino acids 124–147 of the HBsAg. The MHR is the principal binding site of anti-HBs following natural infection, after immunisation, and during HB Ig prophylaxis, although areas up and downstream of this may also be important in neutralisation. Mutations may result in HBsAg amino acid substitutions, and are observed in the context of vaccination against HBV4 and during HB Ig prophylaxis after liver transplantation.5 It has been proposed (though has not been formally proven) that viral “escape” occurs, and significant viral replication may be observed despite high titres of neutralising antibody. The subject of S gene variation has been recently reviewed.7

Useful antiviral strategies for the treatment of established graft infection have not been reported. Interferon seems ineffective. Adenine arabinoside monophosphate,8 and ganciclovir9 may reduce serum HBV DNA during treat-
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ment, but these agents are unsuitable for long term use, and relapse occurs when treatment is stopped. Lamivudine has been used in this setting,\textsuperscript{10–12} and seems to be well tolerated. Prompt reduction in serum HBV DNA was observed for all treated patients with small numbers of patients becoming serum HBsAg negative.\textsuperscript{13, 14}

We report a patient who developed graft reinfection despite HBIg prophylaxis after liver transplantation. Sequencing of the HBV genome encoding the surface and polymerase proteins was performed. Changes in the HBsAg probably explain failure of HBIg prophylaxis, and associated changes in the viral polymerase may have determined sensitivity to antiviral treatment.

**Materials and methods**

**HEPATITIS B SEROLOGY**

HBsAg was quantitated using the Amerilite enhanced luminescent immunoassay calibrated against the International Standard (supplied by the National Institute for Biological Standards and Control). HBV DNA was quantified by a liquid hybridisation assay (Genostics, Abbott Laboratories, Chicago, USA) and by a quantitative polymerase chain reaction (PCR; Amplicor HBV Monitor, Roche Molecular Systems, Somerville, New Jersey, USA).

**PCR AND SEQUENCE ANALYSIS**

Three serum samples were analysed: pretransplant, during prophylaxis, and after recurrence of infection.

DNA was extracted from 50 µl of serum using QIAamp spin columns and amplified by PCR with nested primers flanking the S gene. The 5' primers were: S1: 5'CCTGCTGGTGGCTCACGGTCC; S6C: 5'GCAGCAACACGGAAATTCCGAGGACTGGGGACTG. The 3' primers were: S2: 5'ATACTTTGCCATCAATAGG; S7D: 5'GACACCCAAGCTTTGTTAAGGGTTTAAATGTAACC.

The entire sequence of the S gene was obtained by direct sequencing of the PCR products with Sequenase PCR product sequencing kit (Amersham, UK) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The sequence of the surface gene prior to transplantation was further examined by cloning the PCR products into plasmid pRK5 and sequencing 11 individual clones.

**Case history and results**

A 65 year old white man had established HBV associated cirrhosis with ascites refractory to diuretic treatment. Exposure to HBV may have been at the time of blood transfusion in 1968. Initial haematology and biochemistry suggested the presence of end stage liver disease with thrombocytopenia (platelets 68 × 10^9/l), hyponatraemia (130 mmol/l), hypoalbuminaemia (19 g/l), and coagulopathy (prothrombin time 22/15 seconds), and elevated serum levels of bilirubin (71 µmol/l), alkaline phosphatase (571 U/l), and aspartate aminotransferase (AST; 128 U/l). HBsAg was detected at a level of 256 IU/l, HbeAg negative and anti-HBe positive. HBV DNA was undetectable by the Genostics assay. Serology for delta virus and hepatitis C virus was negative.

Liver biopsy confirmed the presence of cirrhosis and immunostains for HBsAg and HbcAg were positive. Liver transplantation was performed without complication. Immunosuppression included cyclosporin, azathioprine, and corticosteroids. Biopsy confirmed the presence of acute rejection one week post-liver transplantation which required high dose corticosteroids. The rejection resolved and the patient was discharged on day 10 post-liver transplantation.

HBIg (10 000 units; Bioproducts Laborato-ries, Elstree, UK) was administered during the anhepatic phase of the transplant operation. Subsequently, HBIg (5000 units) was given on each of the first three postoperative days. Thereafter, serum anti-HBs was measured monthly, and further HBIg was given when the titre declined below 100 mIU/ml. At the time of discharge, anti-HBs titre was 323 mIU/ml. Figure 1 shows subsequent HBV serology, serum AST, and HBV DNA. For five months after liver transplantation, serum was HBsAg negative and anti-HBs titre was maintained at

![Figure 1](http://gut.bmj.com/ on October 1, 2017 - Published by group.bmj.com)
greater than 100 mIU/ml. Retrospective examination of sequential sera with the more sensitive Amplicor assay, however, confirmed persistently low levels of viral replication during the first five months despite serum HBsAg and Genostics HBV DNA negativity.

Unfortunately, HBsAg then appeared in serum despite the presence of anti-HBs. HBeAg and HBV DNA (Genostics assay) also reappeared. According to protocol, HBIg prophylaxis was therefore abandoned. Liver function tests were normal before HBV relapse, and became deranged at the time of reappearance of serum HBsAg. Liver biopsy 10 months after liver transplantation confirmed graft reinfection, with chronic hepatitis, moderate peribiliary inflammatory activity, and mild spotty perisplenic inflammation. There was widespread nuclear and cytoplasmic immunostaining for HBeAg (fig 2A) and focal cytoplasmic immunoreactivity for HBsAg.

Sequential sera were examined to confirm the hypothesis that HBIg failure was associated with HBsAg “escape” mutation. The surface gene sequence pretransplant corresponded to subtype adw, except for a mixture of T and G at nucleotide 630 (fig 3). This was further examined by cloning the PCR products into plasmid pRK5 and sequencing 11 individual clones; eight were T630 and three G630. The sequence during HBIg prophylaxis was entirely T630. The sequence after HBIg escape and HBsAg recurrence following liver transplantation was homogenous for G630, and also had a change from A to C at nucleotide 431 (A431C).

A431C resulted in a replacement of aspartic acid (D) by alanine (A) at amino acid position 144 within the MHR (surface D144A). Nucleotide change T630G resulted in switch from serine to arginine at amino acid position 210 (surface S210R) outside the MHR. This latter mutation was associated with a change in the polymerase protein (polymerase S372A).

To treat graft reinfection, lamivudine (100 mg/day) was commenced 12 months after liver transplantation (supplied for compassionate use by GlaxoWellcome). Prompt suppression of viral replication was observed; HBV DNA

![Figure 2](image-url) (A) Hepatitis B core (HBc) staining of liver biopsy taken 10 months post-liver transplantation, following the development of allograft reinfection. Portal tract contains a moderately dense infiltrate of lymphocytes. There is widespread nuclear and cytoplasmic immunostaining for HBeAg (immunoperoxidase stain). (B) Biopsy 16 months post-liver transplantation and after four months of lamivudine therapy (100 mg daily). Immunostaining for HBcAg is now restricted to the nuclei of occasional hepatocytes (cAg). Little inflammatory activity is present.

![Figure 3](image-url) (A) The HBV genome encodes four proteins (core (C), surface (S), polymerase (P), and X proteins). The overlapping reading frame nature of the HBV genome allows a mutation within the genome encoding S and P proteins potentially to alter the amino acid sequence of the S and P proteins. Sequence data show nucleotide and amino acid sequences of the hepatitis B surface gene (nucleotides 423–438 and 621–636) and the corresponding sequence of HBV DNA polymerase: (B) before liver transplantation and (C) after “viral escape” from hepatitis B immunoglobulin prophylaxis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nucleotide sequence</th>
<th>Amino acid sequence of S</th>
<th>Amino acid sequence of P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Before transplant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>423</td>
<td>A C T A C G G A T G G A A T</td>
<td>P T D144 G N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>431</td>
<td>T C G T G A G T / G C C T T T</td>
<td>I V S / R 210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>438</td>
<td>621</td>
<td>630</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>630</td>
<td>636</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After transplant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>423</td>
<td>A C T A C G G E T G G A A T</td>
<td>P T A144 G N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>431</td>
<td>T C G T G A G E C C T T T</td>
<td>I V R210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>438</td>
<td>621</td>
<td>630</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>630</td>
<td>636</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 The nucleotides are numbered from the start of the S gene.
2 The amino acids (aa) are numbered from the start of each protein.
became undetectable by the Genostics assay, then undetectable by the Amplicor assay (detection threshold 400 genomic copies/ml). This was associated with HBsAg and HBsAg clearance from serum. Liver function tests returned to normal. Liver biopsy 16 months after liver transplantation showed less severe periportal and perisepal inflammation. Immunoreactivity for HbcAg was considerably extensive and mainly confined to hepatocyte nuclei (fig 2B). No immunoreactivity for HBsAg was seen.

Discussion

We report a case of recurrent HBV infection after liver transplantation, occurring despite HBlg prophylaxis. Although there was apparent success of HBlg prophylaxis given during the first five post-transplant months, the application of sensitive qualitative and quantitative PCR assays confirmed persistent viral infection. The subsequent appearance of HBsAg (despite high serum levels of antiHBs) at the time of recurrent hepatitis was consistent with the emergence of a viral escape mutant. Escape mutations are well described in the literature in association with the use of hepatitis B immunoglobulins. Following liver transplantation, but have not been identified in hepatitis B infected patients that have been transplanted without HBlg prophylaxis. In vitro expression showed face D144A), which is within the neutralising epitope cluster. In vitro expression showed the serum. In contrast, in the non-immunosuppressed, lamivudine reduces viral titre by 3–4log10, before the titre plateaus, and HBsAg clearance is rarely observed. In other words, use of HBlg and HBsAg in selected cases of HBV with surface antigen that has reduced affinity for anti-HBs. The accompanying change in the polymerase protein may be associated with diminished “fitness” compared with the original wild type species. Such polymerase changes may affect the sensitivity and resistance to nucleoside analogues.

This is the first paper to report mutation in the surface gene leading to an alteration in the polymerase gene and its polymerase product in the setting of hepatitis B reinfection following liver transplantation. In view of increasingly complex strategies now being employed to prevent liver graft reinfection, including the use of combination HBlg and lamivudine prior to and following liver transplantation, it will be important to understand the interactions between HBlg and HBsAg, with associated changes in the S and P genes. The effect of polymerase mutations on clinical course following liver transplantation and the response to antiviral drugs will need to be determined in future studies.
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