Variceal pressure is a strong predictor of variceal haemorrhage in patients with cirrhosis as well as in patients with non-cirrhotic portal hypertension
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Abstract

Background—Variceal pressure is a strong predictor for a first variceal bleed in patients with cirrhosis.

Aims—To evaluate whether variceal pressure is also a determinant of the risk of a first variceal bleed in patients with non-cirrhotic portal hypertension.

Methods—Variceal pressure was measured non-invasively in 25 patients with non-cirrhotic portal hypertension and large varices while receiving a stable therapeutic regimen. Factors predictive of bleeding were compared with those observed in 87 cirrhotics.

Results—The one year incidence of variceal bleeding was 32% (n=28) for the cirrhotic and 20% (n=5) for the non-cirrhotic patients. There was no difference in factors predicting the risk of bleeding between the groups, except for variceal pressure. For the same level of variceal pressure, the risk of variceal bleeding was lower in patients with non-cirrhotic portal hypertension. Multiple logistic regression analysis revealed the following variables as having a significant predictive power: variceal pressure (p=0.0001), red spots (p=0.004), and the time interval between the first observation of the varices and the moment of variceal pressure measurement (p=0.0046). For the non-cirrhotics the risk of bleeding increased with higher Child-Pugh score (p=0.0024); this was not the case for the cirrhotic patients (p=0.9521).

Conclusion—Variceal pressure is a major predictor of variceal bleeding in patients with cirrhosis as well as in patients with non-cirrhotic portal hypertension. The risk of bleeding in non-cirrhotics is less than in cirrhotics for the same level of variceal pressure. In patients with non-cirrhotic portal hypertension the risk of variceal bleeding increases more with advancing disease.
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Portal hypertension is a major complication of chronic liver disease. A clinical consequence, bleeding from ruptured oesophageal varices, is one of the major causes of death in portal hypertensive patients.1 It has been established that in alcoholic cirrhosis the wedged hepatic venous pressure (WHVP) is nearly identical to the portal vein pressure.2 A reduction of the hepatic venous pressure gradient (HVPG) to less than 12 mm Hg protects against an episode of bleeding in cirrhotic patients3 and it has been claimed that a reduction of HVPG is correlated with a lower risk of variceal rebleeding.4 As such this measurement has been propagated for use in the follow up of cirrhotic patients under pharmacotherapy in the primary and secondary prevention of variceal bleeding.5

Portal hypertension can, however, also result from non-cirrhotic causes. In most of these, the pathogenesis is related to an obliterative venopathy of the extrahepatic or intrahepatic portal vein.6 In the West, portal vein thrombosis is the most common cause of non-cirrhotic portal hypertension7; worldwide, hepatic schistosomiasis is one of the world’s most prevalent chronic liver diseases.8 9 Rupture of oesophageal varices is common in this group of disorders and may be the first clinical manifestation of the disease.10 11 In these conditions WHVP will underestimate portal pressure.12 13 In patients with non-cirrhotic portal hypertension, variceal pressure bears an excellent linear relation with portal pressure.14 Variceal pressure can be measured non-invasively with an endoscopic probe.15 16 The level of variceal pressure is a strong predictor for a first variceal bleed in patients with cirrhosis.17 In patients with non-cirrhotic portal hypertension, variceal pressure seems therefore an attractive parameter to assess the risk of variceal bleeding. Furthermore, the incidence and the risk factors of variceal bleeding in non-cirrhotic portal hypertensive patients have not been fully evaluated.

The aim of the present study was to evaluate the risk factors of variceal haemorrhage, together with the role of variceal pressure for the prediction of a first variceal bleed in patients with non-cirrhotic portal hypertension.

Patients and methods

The study population consisted of 112 patients with large varices: 87 cirrhotic patients previously reported20 and 25 consecutive patients with non-cirrhotic portal hypertension in whom variceal pressure was measured during their work up. The variceal pressure performed when patients were under a stable drug regimen (such as propranolol) for at least one

Abbreviations used in this paper: HVPG, hepatic venous pressure gradient; WHVP, wedged hepatic venous pressure.
### Table 1  Univariate analysis of different parameters at the time of inclusion, investigated for their role in the risk of variceal bleeding

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Bleeders (n=28)</th>
<th>Non-bleeders (n=59)</th>
<th>p Value</th>
<th>Bleeders (n=5)</th>
<th>Non-bleeders (n=20)</th>
<th>Risk of bleeding for all patients (n=112)</th>
<th>Difference between both groups</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>61 (11.3) (34–78)</td>
<td>58.1 (12.4) (24–76)</td>
<td>0.189</td>
<td>51.6 (11.7) (35–65)</td>
<td>43.5 (18.4) (18–75)</td>
<td>0.189</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>19 (67.9%)</td>
<td>39 (66.1%)</td>
<td>0.9522</td>
<td>1 (20%)</td>
<td>5 (25%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>9 (32.1%)</td>
<td>20 (33.9%)</td>
<td></td>
<td>4 (80%)</td>
<td>15 (75%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child-Pugh score (points)</td>
<td>8 (2.6) (5–13)</td>
<td>7.5 (2.4) (5–14)</td>
<td>0.181</td>
<td>7.8 (2.0) (6–10)</td>
<td>7.0 (2.5)</td>
<td>0.181</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prothrombin time (%)</td>
<td>57.8 (19.2)</td>
<td>58.7 (20.7)</td>
<td></td>
<td>66.6 (22.1)</td>
<td>70.4 (25.6)</td>
<td>0.181</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albumin (mg/dl)</td>
<td>3.46 (0.51)</td>
<td>3.66 (0.96)</td>
<td>0.4953</td>
<td>3.31 (0.43)</td>
<td>3.87 (0.53)</td>
<td>0.7497</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bilirubin (mg/dl)</td>
<td>2.75 (2.51)</td>
<td>2.47 (2.2)</td>
<td>0.025*</td>
<td>1.8 (1.9)</td>
<td>2.34 (4.55)</td>
<td>0.029*</td>
<td>0.2796</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIEC index (points)</td>
<td>(0.7–9.26)</td>
<td>(0.54–13.6)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(0.4–4.99)</td>
<td>(1.3–1.2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ascites</td>
<td>10 (35.7%)</td>
<td>14 (23.7%)</td>
<td>0.003*</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.1147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present</td>
<td>15 (53.6%)</td>
<td>18 (30.5%)</td>
<td>0.0001*</td>
<td>1 (20%)</td>
<td>5 (25%)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.2156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>13 (46.4%)</td>
<td>41 (69.5%)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 (20%)</td>
<td>3 (15%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red colour signs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present</td>
<td>7.8 (1.7) (3–10)</td>
<td>5.4 (2.1) (3–10)</td>
<td>0.0001*</td>
<td>7.6 (2.9) (4–10)</td>
<td>5.4 (2.4)</td>
<td>0.0001*</td>
<td>0.2156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>19 (67.9%)</td>
<td>16 (27.1%)</td>
<td>0.005*</td>
<td>3 (60%)</td>
<td>8 (40%)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.4157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIEC index (points)</td>
<td>37.3 (7.9)</td>
<td>28.7 (6.6)</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
<td>34.7 (5.3)</td>
<td>26.8 (6.3)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.4953</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variceal pressure (mm Hg)</td>
<td>17.7 (2.9)</td>
<td>15.8 (2.4)</td>
<td>0.0135*</td>
<td>20.1 (6.3)</td>
<td>15.5 (4.7)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0412</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Known time since varices (months)</td>
<td>10.5 (1–59)</td>
<td>23 (1–204)</td>
<td></td>
<td>46 (1–106)</td>
<td>48 (5–396)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.1274</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results expressed as mean (SD) (range).

*p<0.05.

A month, was taken as baseline. The medication regimen was kept unchanged during the whole study period and the patients were followed up for one year.

The cirrhotic group consisted of 58 men and 29 women with a mean age of 59 (12) years (range 24–78). Cirrhosis was alcoholic in origin in 32 patients, due to hepatitis C in 18, hepatitis B in 8, autoimmune hepatitis in three, and was cryptogenic in 12 patients. Nine patients suffered from primary biliary cirrhosis, three from primary sclerosing cholangitis, one had haemochromatosis, and one had Wilson’s disease.

In the non-cirrhotic group there were six men and 19 women with a mean age of 46 (17) years (range 18–78). The aetiology was portal vein thrombosis in 15 patients, incomplete septal cirrhosis in four, nodular regenerative hyperplasia in three, and hepatopetal portal sclerosis in three patients.

The severity of the liver disease was graded according to the Child-Pugh criteria: 34 (39%) cirrhotic and 17 (68%) non-cirrhotic patients belonged to Child’s class A, 37 (42%) cirrhotic and five (20%) non-cirrhotic patients to class B, and 16 (18%) cirrhotic and three (12%) non-cirrhotic patients to class C. Thirty three (38%) in the cirrhotic group and seven (28%) in the non-cirrhotic group had ascites. The interval between the endoscopic diagnosis of varices and the start of the study was 15 months (range 1–204) in the cirrhotic group and 47 months (range 1–396) in the non-cirrhotic group.

Measurements of variceal pressure were performed at endoscopy, using a pressure sensitive gauge attached to the distal end of an endoscope which is applied over an oesophageal varix. The recording of variceal pressure was considered satisfactory when fine venous fluctuations at a stable level, superimposed on the respiratory cycle, were recognised in the absence of pronounced luminal contraction, and when free oesophageal pressure did not exceed 5 mm Hg. Pressures were calculated from the mean of the upper and lower level of the fluctuations. Variceal pressure was considered as the difference between the capsule pressure and the free oesophageal luminal pressure. The mean of at least five satisfactory measurement periods was used to define variceal pressure.

After variceal pressure measurement, the size of the varix was estimated during gentle insufflation of the oesophagus and in the absence of peristaltic waves, by comparing the varix with the internal and external size of the pressure gauge (5 mm and 7.5 mm, respectively). The maximal diameter of the varices was recorded and the red colour signs were noted.

Table 1 presents other parameters investigated for their value in the prediction of a first bleeding; the NIEC classification was calculated as previously published.21

The endpoint of the study was the presence or absence of a variceal bleed within one year. Bleeding was defined as any episode of variceal bleeding evidenced by haematemesis or melena during follow up. Whenever bleeding occurred, patients were admitted to the hospital and the source of bleeding was confirmed by endoscopy. Variceal bleeding was diagnosed if there was an active bleeding varix or blood clots on the varices, or if no other cause of bleeding could be found. Death was considered to be related to variceal bleeding if it occurred within six weeks after the onset of bleeding.
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Variceal bleeding occurred within one year in 28 patients (32%) with cirrhosis and in five patients (20%) with non-cirrhotic portal hypertension. The one year mortality was 16% (n=14) in the cirrhotic group; 36% (n=5) died from a variceal bleeding. In the non-cirrhotics the one year mortality was 8% (n=2); only one patient died from variceal bleeding.

The simple analysis revealed that variables predictive of the first variceal bleed in the whole study group were the level of variceal pressure, the variceal size (p=0.0001), the presence of red spots (p=0.0005), the NIEC score (p=0.0001), the presence of ascites (p=0.003), and the time interval between diagnosis of the varices and the start of the study (p=0.0135). The effect of these variables on the risk of bleeding did not differ between the cirrhotic and non-cirrhotic patients, except for the variceal pressure level (p=0.00412). For the same level of variceal pressure the risk of bleeding was lower in patients with non-cirrhotic portal hypertension than in patients with cirrhosis (see fig 1).

With the results obtained from the simple analysis, a multiple logistic regression model was created which revealed that after correction for age and sex, variceal pressure (p=0.0001), red spots (p=0.004), the time interval between first observation of varices and the moment of variceal pressure measurement (p=0.0046), and the Child-Pugh score were independent predictors of the risk of a first variceal bleeding in both groups.

For the non-cirrhotics the risk of bleeding increased with higher Child-Pugh score (p=0.0084); this was not the case for the cirrhotic patients (p=0.9521).

Discussion

In general, a variceal haemorrhage is better tol-

Patients who have not previously bled from their varices, without the risk of precipitating variceal haemorrhage. With the latter technique we showed in cirrhotics that variceal pressure is a major determinant in the development of a variceal bleed.

The present study shows that the close relation which exists between the pressure in the varices and imminent variceal bleeding in cirrhotics also exists in non-cirrhotic patients. However, for the same level of variceal pressure the risk of bleeding is lower in non-cirrhotic patients with portal hypertension than in cirrhotics, which further confirms that besides haemodynamic parameters, other factors, such as the liver function capacity, may play a role in the development of variceal haemorrhage. In the present study the liver function, as assessed by the Child-Pugh score was significantly related to the risk of bleeding in both groups. In non-cirrhotic patients, however, the risk of variceal bleeding was more pronounced in the case of decompensation than in cirrhotic patients. This might be explained by the fact that when patients with non-cirrhotic portal hypertension finally develop tense ascites and liver function disturbances, they are in a more advanced end stage of their disease. This was reflected in our series by the fact that some of these patients subsequently had to undergo a liver transplantation despite the histological absence of established cirrhosis.

In conclusion, in contrast to HVPG, variceal pressure is a major parameter for prediction of
Variceal haemorrhage in non-cirrhotic portal hypertension

a first variceal bleed for all patients with oesophageal varices independent of the aetiology of portal hypertension. The present study revealed that non-cirrhotics bled at a higher level of variceal pressure than cirrhotics; but the risk of variceal bleeding increases more in non-cirrhotic patients with advancing disease, especially when ascites becomes an important symptom. This study further confirms that variceal pressure measurements are important as a diagnostic method in the follow up of all patients with portal hypertension.

Variceal pressure is a strong predictor of variceal haemorrhage in patients with cirrhosis as well as in patients with non-cirrhotic portal hypertension
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