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Abstract

Background—Butyrate, a short chain fatty acid produced by bacterial fermentation, is a major fuel source for the colonocyte. In vitro work has shown that ulcerative colitis may be characterised by a metabolic defect in colonocyte butyrate oxidation.

Aims—To investigate the rate of metabolism of rectally administered butyrate in patients with quiescent colitis.

Methods—[1-13C]-butyrate enemas were administered to 11 patients with long standing quiescent ulcerative colitis and to 10 control patients. The rate of production of 13CO2 in exhaled breath over four hours was measured by isotope ratio mass spectrometry combined with indirect calorimetry in order to measure CO2 production. This allowed calculation of the patients’ resting energy expenditure and respiratory quotient.

Results—Over a four hour period, 325 (SEM 21) μmol 13CO2, was recovered in breath samples from the colitis group compared with 322 (17) μmol from the control group (NS). The respiratory quotient of the colitic group was significantly lower than that of the control group.

Conclusion—There was no difference in the rate of metabolism of butyrate between the two groups. It is unlikely that there is a primary metabolic defect of butyrate metabolism in patients with quiescent ulcerative colitis.
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Short chain fatty acids (SCFAs) are produced in the human large bowel by fermentation of non-starch polysaccharides (dietary fibre) by the colonic bacteria.1 Acetate, propionate, and butyrate are the major SCFAs produced by this process and much interest has focused on the importance of butyrate in cell metabolism. Butyrate is the major fuel source for the colonic epithelium (colonocyte)2 and is necessary for salt and water absorption by the colonic mucosa.3 A lack of butyrate can result in inflammation, as seen in diversion colitis. This has been satisfactorily treated in one study by increasing luminal butyrate levels via enema administration.4

Ulcerative colitis is a mucosal disease of the large bowel predominantly affecting the left colon. This part of the large bowel has a greater dependence on butyrate as a fuel source than the right colon.5 Colonocytes harvested from patients with fulminant ulcerative colitis show an impaired ability to oxidise butyrate6 which gave rise to the hypothesis that colitis was characterised by an energy deficiency, leading to cell death and chronic inflammation. An animal model, resembling the inflammation seen in colitis, has been produced by blocking mitochondrial β oxidation of butyrate.7 Chapman et al confirmed this finding using mucosal biopsy specimens from patients with quiescent colitis.8 Furthermore, metabolic abnormalities have been found in the terminal ileum of patients with colitis, suggesting that a failure of butyrate metabolism may be a systemic defect.9 Inflammation only occurs in the large bowel mucosa as it is the only site where butyrate is a major fuel source. Others, however, have been unable to reproduce these results, despite using similar techniques.10 11

In spite of these conflicting findings, groups have administered SCFA enemas to patients with colitis,12–14 in the hope that exogenous SCFA solutions may reduce the inflammation and symptoms. Early results have been promising, as assessed by decreased mucosal inflammation using endoscopic, histological, and clinical criteria, although large double blind trials have not been performed.15

It has been suggested that butyrate enemas may alleviate the symptoms of colitis by restoring luminal levels of butyrate to normal; supraphysiological concentrations may also be able to overcome the partial metabolic block of butyrate metabolism by mass action. However, to date there is no evidence that colonic lumen concentrations of SCFAs in patients with quiescent colitis are lower than in a normal person.

The aim of this study was to investigate whether butyrate metabolism is impaired in patients with quiescent colitis. The rate of metabolism of [1-13C]-butyrate enemas, administered to patients with quiescent colitis, was determined by measuring the rate of production of 13CO2 in exhaled breath samples over a four hour time period, and compared with control subjects with no mucosal diseases. In order to compare the rate of production of 13CO2 in the two groups, it was imperative that the patient’s resting energy expenditure (REE)

Abbreviations used in this paper: BMI, body mass index; REE, resting energy expenditure; RQ, respiratory quotient; SCFA, short chain fatty acids; VCO2, carbon dioxide production.
was measured concurrently. Differences in the REE between subjects could have a major effect on the rate of metabolism of [1-13C]-butyrate and are an important denominator in any comparison between groups.

Materials and methods

Patients

Eleven adult patients (mean age 48.8 (3.5) years) with quiescent colitis, as assessed by their symptoms and rectal biopsy, and 10 control patients (mean age 59.8 (4.1) years) with no history of inflammatory bowel disease, were recruited from a gastroenterology clinic.

Written consent was obtained from all participants and the study was approved by the University Hospital Ethics Committee.

Protocol

Three hours prior to investigation a phosphate enema was administered to evacuate the rectum of faeces. A standard light meal (approximately 1674 kJ; percentage energy: protein 20%, fat 25%, carbohydrate 55%) was consumed one hour prior to the start of metabolic measurements.

Subjects were rested supine for 15 minutes in a temperature controlled environment (23°C), prior to measurement of baseline resting energy expenditure (REE), respiratory quotient (RQ), and carbon dioxide production (VCO2) using a ventilated hood indirect calorimetry system which has been validated extensively.16

For the first eight minutes, subjects were allowed to become accustomed to the hood, then baseline values were determined over the next 12 minutes. At the end of this 20 minute period, a baseline sample of expired breath was collected using a closed system and analysed for background 13CO2 enrichment. The collection of expired gas was achieved by the subject exhaling, at the end of a normal inspiratory breath, into a urinary catheter bag which had previously been evacuated. The non-return valve on the bag prevented subsequent dilution of the expired gas with atmospheric air. A Vacutainer needle was inserted through a resealing sampling port and after mixing of the collected gas by gently massaging the bag, triplicate samples were collected into 12 ml glass evacuated tubes with rubber septa (Exetainers, Europa Scientific Ltd). The catheter bag was then opened and the contents expelled. It was flushed twice with room air before being evacuated and resealed in readiness for the next sample collection.

Once baseline values had been obtained, a 100 ml [1-13C]-butyrate enema, containing 1.25 mmol [1-13C]-sodium butyrate (Cambrian Gases, Croydon, UK) and 3.75 mmol unlabelled sodium butyrate in 10 mmol sodium chloride solution (pH 7.4) was administered. REE, RQ, and VCO2 were measured continuously for the first hour and then for 20 minutes in every 30 minutes for the next three hours. Collections of expired air were made at 15 minute intervals for the first hour and at 30 minute intervals thereafter. Patients were asked to remain still and were not permitted to fall asleep while the 20 minute indirect calorimetry recordings were being made.

13CO2 enrichment in the expired breath samples was analysed using an isotope ratio mass spectrometer (20-20 analyser, Europa Scientific Ltd, Crewe, Cheshire, UK). 13CO2 enrichment (atom per cent) and VCO2 measurements were used to calculate 13CO2 label production rates in µmol/min.

Results

Patient characteristics

Table 1 summarises patient characteristics. The colitis group tended to be younger than the control group, although they were similar in weight and body mass index (BMI). Histopathological assessment of all the rectal biopsy specimens in the colitic group confirmed quiescent colitis and none had local or systemic symptoms of acute disease. These patients were, however, taking a 5-aminosalicylic acid type drug, whereas control patients were taking no medication.

Table 1 Patient characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Colitis group</th>
<th>Control group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (y)</td>
<td>48.8 (3.6)</td>
<td>59.9 (4.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass (kg)</td>
<td>76.3 (4.5)</td>
<td>79.4 (3.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>25.4 (1.1)</td>
<td>26.9 (0.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male:female ratio</td>
<td>5:6</td>
<td>8:2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results expressed as mean (SEM). BMI, body mass index.
In the control group, the mean REE was stable over the four hours of measurement (baseline 4.94 (0.28), end 5.13 (0.44) kJ/min). By contrast, the REE in the colitic group was higher at baseline (5.78 (0.4) kJ/min), but then declined over the next four hours. The REE was expressed per kg body weight to permit comparison between subjects (fig 1) and was approximately 20% higher in the colitic group than the control group at baseline and for the first hour, decreasing to approximately 10% for the rest of the experiment. This difference was significant (p<0.05, group ANOVA).

It is known that REE decreases as age increases; as the colitis group was younger than the control group, this may explain the higher REE in the colitis group. Indeed, a significant relation was seen between age and REE for both groups ($r=0.69$) but this disappeared when age was considered as a covariant using repeated measure analysis.

**RESPIRATORY QUOTIENT**

Mean RQ was calculated by dividing carbon dioxide production by oxygen consumption at each given time point. The RQ of the control group was significantly greater than that of the colitis group at all time points (p=0.002, ANOVA; fig 2).

**13CO2 PRODUCTION**

Prior to administration of the [1-13C]-butyrate enema the abundance of 13CO2 in the expired breath was 1.0836 (0.00033) atom per cent in the colitis group and 1.0842 (0.00025) atom per cent in the control group (NS). The quantity of 13CO2 expired during the experiment was similar between the two groups (p=0.92; table 2) and over the 240 minutes, approximately a quarter of the 1.25 mmol of administered butyrate was recovered in the breath samples of both groups.

There was no significant difference between the two groups when the rate of 13CO2 production was standardised for body weight and metabolic rate (p=0.78 and p=0.88, respectively). However, there was a time × group interaction with regard to the 13CO2 production and it seemed that the control group initially oxidised butyrate faster than the colitic group. Both groups reached similar peak levels of 13CO2 production although rate of oxidation in the control group declined faster than in the colitic group (p<0.05, ANOVA). A similar pattern was seen between the two groups when the rate of production of 13CO2 was standardised for body weight, BMI, and REE (p<0.05 and p=0.01, respectively; fig 3).

**Discussion**

This study has shown that patients with quiescent colitis exhibit no defect in the quantity or the peak rate of production of 13CO2 obtained by oxidation of a [1-13C]-butyrate enema. In vitro studies have suggested a 50% reduction in the rate of metabolism of butyrate by human colonic epithelial cells and mucosal biopsy tissue from patients with colitis compared with normal patients. The order of magnitude of the effect seen in vivo is much smaller than in vitro, suggesting that it is highly unlikely that patients with quiescent ulcerative colitis have a primary metabolic defect in the $\beta$ oxidation of butyrate.

These results are similar to those obtained by Den Hond and colleagues who instilled 13C-butyrate enemas into patients with active and quiescent ulcerative colitis. However, in
their study the REE of the patients undergoing investigation was not controlled for, and so was assumed to be the same. This study found that patients with quiescent ulcerative colitis had a significant greater REE/kg body weight than the control group (fig 1). This difference may be explained as REE declines by about 2% per decade and our control group were older than the colitis group. Alternatively, it may be a result of different body composition. The colitic subjects, of which a large proportion were female, had a slightly smaller BMI than the controls. As women have a higher fat content than men for the same BMI, it is likely that the fat content (as a percentage of body weight) was similar between the two groups; thus there would be little difference in standardising REE to kg body weight or fat free mass. It is unlikely that this difference is a consequence of mucosal inflammation as the patients studied had quiescent colitis based on clinical, endoscopic, and histological criteria. Interestingly the Den Hond group made no allowance for this, despite the ages of their patient groups being significantly different. In this study, patient differences in REE were controlled for when the rate of butyrate oxidation was calculated; results were expressed in µmol/min 13CO2 produced per kJ energy expenditure.

This study has shown a significant, albeit small, difference in the profile of rate of production of 13CO2. The colitic group showed a 15–30 minute delay in the time taken to reach maximal 13CO2 production and then a slower rate of decline than in the control group. This difference should be interpreted with caution as the group × time interaction as a determinant of [1-13C]-butyrate metabolism was not a predefined primary end point. However, this observation may reflect a difference in the rate of absorption of butyrate from the colonic wall, an altered rate of oxidation within the cell, or metabolism at a distant site such as the liver. Our experimental design does not distinguish between these possibilities. The difference is not explained by altered energy expenditures or by the differences observed in respiratory quotients.

Evidence suggests that there is no difference in the rate of rectal absorption of butyrate from dialysis bags in patients with colitis. However, it may be misleading to extrapolate from this data to suggest that the rate of butyrate absorption into colonic cells is normal, especially as the colonic mucus barrier is thinner and of a different consistency, in patients with colitis. Structural and motility differences between colitis patients and controls may affect the available surface area and mixing of luminal contents, so altering the kinetics of butyrate absorption and subsequent metabolism. Butyrate is probably transported into the colonic cell by an active transport mechanism; however, nothing is known about variations in the colitic colono-cyte. It is interesting that recent evidence has suggested that there is an inverse correlation between colonic permeability and butyrate oxidation to carbon dioxide and it may be speculated that active butyrate transp

port is impaired as mucosal inflammation and permeability increase.

Alternatively, this time lag in the overall metabolism of butyrate administered to the left colon may be because colonoocytes in patients with quiescent colitis need to induce enzyme systems. However, it has recently been shown that there is no defect in the enzyme systems of the β oxidation pathway in the colitic colono-cyte and it is difficult to envisage an enzymatic defect which can be overcome within 15–30 minutes.

The other major finding of this study is that the RQ of patients with colitis was significantly lower than that of the control group. Clearly some of this difference may have been due to differences in the composition of the sandwiches consumed one hour before the study commenced. There were no obvious differences in the reported size or composition of this meal, but no formal measurements were made. Furthermore, the effect of this meal will have been waning towards the end of the monitoring period, revealing probable effects of the antecedent diet on the postabsorptive RQ. Thus, the RQ data would be consistent with the combination of the previous meal and the antecedent diet of the colitis group having a higher fat and a lower carbohydrate content than the control group. This may be due to the subjects in the colitis group selecting a low carbohydrate and possibly a low fibre diet in order to avoid provoking abdominal pain or exacerbating their colitis. Alternatively, and intriguingly, it may be that a low fibre diet predisposes patients to the development of colitis and affects relapse rates.

There are no convincing data that patients with mild or quiescent colitis have an altered colonic bacterial population compared with normal patients, nor that there is any difference in luminal concentrations of SCFAs. However, there are formidable methodological problems in ascertaining bacterial populations within the colon and in determining SCFA concentrations at the level of the colono-cyte. It is possible to increase the faecal concentration of butyrate by consuming oral corn starch or acarbose. The low fibre diet that patients with colitis consume may lead to altered colonic bacterial populations and lower production of SCFAs, leading to a failure of homoeostasis between the bacteria and the colono-cyte, resulting in inflammation. In conclusion, this study has shown that patients with quiescent ulcerative colitis have no major impairment of butyrate oxidation, even when allowing for potential metabolic differences between groups. However, there was a difference in the time course of utilisation of a butyrate load. It was also found that patients with quiescent colitis have a different RQ to that of control patients, implying that they consume a different diet; however, further studies are needed to clarify this point.
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