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Abstract
Background—Steatorrhoea is associated with increased faecal loss of calcium and magnesium. Medium chain C8-C10 triglycerides (MCTs) improve fat absorption in patients with small bowel resections but the effects on intestinal absorption of divalent cations are not clear.

Aim—to assess the effect of dietary replacement of long chain triglycerides (LCTs) with MCTs on calcium and magnesium absorption in patients with small bowel resections.

Patients—Nineteen adult patients with a remaining small intestine averaging 171 cm (range 50–300).

Methods—In a crossover design, patients were randomised to two high fat diets (10 MJ/day, 50% as fat) for four days each separated by one day of washout. Diets were prepared in duplicate and were based on either LCT (LCT period) or equal quantities of LCT and MCT (L/MCT period). Metabolic balances were calculated during the last three days of each period.

Results—Mean stool volume increased significantly with the L/MCT diet and was 336 ml more than that with the LCT diet (95% confidence interval of mean difference, 26–649 ml). There was no significant change in the net absorption of calcium and magnesium between the two diets. On average, percentage calcium absorption was 8.6% with the LCT diet and 12.5% with the L/MCT diet. Mean percentage magnesium absorption was 5.4% with the LCT diet and 2.9% with the L/MCT diet.

Conclusions—Dietary replacement of 50% long chain triglycerides with medium chain triglycerides in small bowel resected patients increased faecal volume significantly. No changes in the intestinal net absorption of calcium and magnesium were demonstrated.

(Gut 2000;46:819–823)
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Patients with resections of the small bowel and malabsorption face several complications including the risk of skeletal demineralisation.

The pathogenesis of bone disease is not fully understood but reduced absorption of vitamin D, dietary calcium, and magnesium are known to be important factors and may result in negative mineral balances with ensuing loss of bone mineral.

Malabsorption of fat may have particular implications for mineral absorption as previous studies have shown that a high excretion of fat is correlated with increased faecal losses of calcium and magnesium.

This is generally thought to result from the precipitation of calcium and magnesium with fatty acids to form poorly absorbable soap complexes in the intestinal lumen.

In rodent studies it has been shown that the bioavailability of calcium from these insoluble soaps becomes less with increased chain length and with decreasing degree of unsaturation of the fatty acids.

Medium chain triglycerides (MCTs) (triglycerides of C8 and C10 fatty acids) have been shown to greatly reduce fat excretion in a variety of malabsorptive states, including the short bowel syndrome. Consequently, if there is a positive correlation between absorption of fat and divalent cations, a concomitant improvement in calcium and magnesium absorption would therefore be expected from dietary replacement of long chain triglycerides (LCTs) with MCTs. However, studies of the effect of MCTs on mineral absorption in adults with fat malabsorption are few and contradictory.

Some have reported enhanced calcium absorption whereas others have reported no effect on calcium and magnesium absorption of MCT substitution.

In addition to the possible beneficial effect of MCTs on the bioavailability of divalent cations, a hypothesis has been put forward that medium length triglycerides enhance calcium absorption because the calcium-fatty acid molecule can diffuse more easily through the paracellular spaces, especially the tight junction, than the free calcium ion.

An effect of MCTs on the cellular mechanisms has been supported by experimental evidence in an animal model. Thus Devlin and colleagues demonstrated increased expression of messenger RNA encoding for the vitamin D dependent calcium binding protein calbindin D which plays a key role in transcellular active calcium transport.

Abbreviations used in this paper: MCT, medium chain triglycerides; LCT, long chain triglycerides; L/MCT, 50% long chain triglycerides and 50% medium chain triglycerides; BMI, body mass index; BMD, bone mineral density; Z score, standard deviation score for bone mineral density (age, sex matched); T score, standard deviation score for bone mineral density (sex matched); CI, confidence interval.
The effect of dietary replacement of 50% LCTs with MCTs on fat absorption in patients with steatorrhoea caused by small bowel resection has recently been investigated.23 Absorption of fat was significantly improved and we report findings on the effects of MCT substitution on calcium and magnesium absorption in these patients.

**Methods**

**PATIENTS**

Patients with a history of small bowel resection and a faecal energy loss in the range 2000–6000 kJ/day (approximately 500–1500 kcal/day) on their habitual diet were considered as study participants. Patients who had undergone surgery within 12 months and those with inflammatory bowel disease showing signs of active inflammation were excluded. Twenty four patients were included in the study. Five fat diets were prepared for analysis. The LCT diet was based on ordinary dietary fat whereas half of the remaining small intestine in all 19 patients was resected. Six of these patients presented with a jejunostomy (<200 cm jejunum remnant), three patients with an ileostomy with ileal resections of 50, 50, and 85 cm, respectively. The length of the remaining small intestine in all 19 patients was on average 171 (50) cm (range 50–300).

For each patient, a standard deviation score (Z score) for bone mineral density (BMD) at the hip and spine was determined by the Norland XR-36 DXA densitometer (Norland Corporation, Fort Atkinson, Wisconsin, USA) (table 1). The reference material for females consisted of 793 normal women who participated in the Copenhagen female study and the remaining small intestine in patients with the colon in continuity was 143 (78) cm (range 50–250). None of the patients had a colostomy. Nine patients had been colecotomised in addition to the small bowel resections. Six patients had small bowel resections with part of or the whole colon in continuity. Of these patients, three had the colon including the ileocecal valve in continuity; three patients presented with an ileocecal resection; and four had a right sided hemicolectomy. As judged from the surgical records, mean length of the residual small intestine in patients with the colon in continuity was 143 (78) cm (range 50–250). None of the patients had a colostomy. Nine patients had been colecotomised in addition to the small bowel resections. Six of these patients presented with a jejunostomy (<200 cm jejunum remnant), three patients with an ileostomy with ileal resections of 50, 50, and 85 cm, respectively. The length of the remaining small intestine in all 19 patients was on average 171 (50) cm (range 50–300).

**Table 1** Measurements of bone mineral density by dual energy x ray absorptiometry in 19 patients with small bowel resections. Values expressed as Z and T scores

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean (SD)</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>95% CI of the mean</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hip</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z score</td>
<td>−0.70 (1.15)*</td>
<td>−2.08; 2.37</td>
<td>−1.25; −0.15</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T score</td>
<td>−1.62 (1.19)</td>
<td>−3.54; 1.54</td>
<td>−2.19; −1.06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z score</td>
<td>−0.47 (1.38)</td>
<td>−2.61; 2.00</td>
<td>−1.13; 0.19</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T score</td>
<td>−1.11 (1.22)</td>
<td>−3.06; 1.01</td>
<td>−1.69; −0.53</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Significantly lower Z score in patients compared with the reference material, p<0.05, Student’s t test. CI, confidence interval.

**Table 2** Measurements of bone mineral density by dual energy x ray absorptiometry in 19 patients with small bowel resections. Values expressed as Z and T scores

For each patient, a standard deviation score (Z score) for bone mineral density (BMD) at the hip and spine was determined by the Norland XR-36 DXA densitometer (Norland Corporation, Fort Atkinson, Wisconsin, USA) (table 1). The reference material for females consisted of 793 normal women who participated in the Copenhagen female study and the normal values for men were supplied by Norland. In addition, T scores were calculated according to the formula: T score=((measured BMD)−(mean maximal BMD of sex matched controls))/ (standard deviation of maximal BMD of sex matched controls). According to the criteria of the WHO,26 osteopenia and osteoporosis are defined as 1 SD and 2.5 SD below the maximal BMD of sex matched controls, respectively. The mean Z score of the hip was significantly reduced compared with the reference material (p<0.05) but the mean spinal Z score was not significantly reduced (p=0.14).

Four patients (26.3 %) had osteoporosis and nine more patients (52.6%) presented with osteopenia.

Six patients received long term parenteral nutrition or fluids supplying water, carbohydrates, amino acids, and electrolytes. Thus four patients without a colon received a mean volume of parenteral nutrition of 2.5 (1.6) litre/day (range 0.9–4.5), and two patients with a preserved colon received 2.2 (0.5) litre/day (range 1.9–2.6) of parenteral nutrition. The mean intravenous cation supplementation in patients who received parenteral nutrition was 4.2 (3.5) mmol calcium/day (range 0–7.7) and 6.4 (3.6) mmol magnesium/day (range 0–10). Of the remaining patients, 10 had peroral calcium supplementation of 13–39 mmol/day and five patients received magnesium supplementation perorally containing 15–30 mmol/day. Five patients received 1200 IU vitamin D intravenously once a week. Four patients had 100 000 IU of vitamin D/month intramuscularly, and eight patients received vitamin D 400–1400 IU/day orally. Calcium, magnesium, and vitamin D supplementation was unchanged during the study.

**STUDY PROTOCOL**

The study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee for Medical Research in Copenhagen, Denmark, and the study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki of 1975, as revised in 1983. Informed consent was obtained from all patients before inclusion.

Two high fat diets (LCT and L/MCT) were designed from food tables to provide identical energy equivalents of 20:24:56% carbohydrate: protein: fat with a total energy content obtained from dietary records. Duplicate diets were prepared for analysis. The LCT diet was based on ordinary dietary fat whereas half of the LCT (weight ratio) was replaced by MCT in the L/MCT diet by the use of MCT containing margarine (Van den Bergh Foods, Rotterdam, Netherlands), MCT oil, Triglydal (Laboratorie Sodietal, France), and an MCT oil emulsion, Liquigen (Nutricia/Scientific Hospital Supplies, Liverpool, UK).

Patients were admitted to the department for nine days. The diets were given for four days each in a randomised crossover design separated by a one day washout period. In each of the four day periods, faeces were collected for the last three days and urine for the last day. No transit marker was used for stool collection as the transit time in this particular group of patients had been measured within one year.
before the study and was found to be very short (mean 5.7 (SD 8.0) hours. Aliquots of diet and stools were collected on ice and immediately frozen at −20°C. Patients were allowed an unrestricted but recorded intake of no energy beverages; medications and parenteral supplements were kept constant. Mineral content of no energy beverages was obtained from dietary records.

ANALYSIS

Absorption of LCT, L/MCT, and total fat were based on the assumption that fat was ingested as the triglycerides but excreted as free fatty acids (that is, 1 mol of glycerol was added to every 3 mol of dietary fatty acids). Analysis was performed on homogenised and freeze dried aliquots of 24 hour pooled dietary and faecal samples. Fatty acids were determined by combined gas-liquid chromatography and mass spectrometry as previously described.

The concentration of calcium and magnesium in aliquots of diet and stool homogenates was measured by atomic absorption spectrophotometry (Model 3100, Perkin Elmer, Connecticut, USA). The method of sample preparation differed between calcium and magnesium analyses. Thus dry ashed samples of homogenised diet and faeces were analysed for calcium after dilution with lanthanum oxide/strontium chloride solution. Measurements of calcium in aliquots of diet and stool homogenates expressed in absolute values and as a percentage of peroral intake, varied widely among patients during both dietary periods (fig 1). However, absorption of calcium and magnesium from peroral intake was not significantly different from intake because of differences in the nutrient composition of the two diets (table 2). Magnesium and calcium absorption, expressed as absolute values and as a percentage of peroral intake, varied widely among patients during both dietary periods (fig 1).

Absorption of fat as a percentage of intake was significantly improved in patients on the L/MCT diet. On average fat absorption increased from 36% with the LCT diet to 56% with the L/MCT diet (p=0.0003) even though fat intake was significantly higher in the L/MCT test period. Patients ingested identical amounts (weight) of food and drinks (weight) in the two study periods. Eleven of 19 patients experienced increased diarrhoea with the L/MCT diet and on average stool volume increased by 336 ml (p=0.04). The overall balances of fluids were equal between the two study periods; the larger stool volume with the L/MCT diet was counterbalanced by a tendency towards a lower urinary volume (p=0.06).

CALCIUM AND MAGNESIUM ABSORPTION

Calcium intake was similar in both test periods but there was a slight but significant difference in intakes of magnesium because of differences in the nutrient composition of the two diets (table 2). Magnesium and calcium absorption, expressed as absolute values and as a percentage of peroral intake, varied widely among patients during both dietary periods (fig 1). Absorption of calcium and magnesium was independent of the quality of dietary fat as there was no significant difference in absorption between the LCT and L/MCT diets.

Table 2  Results of the metabolic balances in 19 patients with small bowel resection randomised and crossed over between the LCT and L/MCT diets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>LCT period</th>
<th>L/MCT period</th>
<th>Diff. L/MCT-LCT</th>
<th>95% CI of mean diff.</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fat (g/day)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diet</td>
<td>119 (21)</td>
<td>142 (27)*</td>
<td>24 (21)</td>
<td>14;34</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faeces</td>
<td>77 (30)</td>
<td>64 (36)*</td>
<td>−14 (25)</td>
<td>−26;−1</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absorption (%)</td>
<td>36 (18)</td>
<td>56 (21)*</td>
<td>20 (19)</td>
<td>11;29</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume (kg/day)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per oral</td>
<td>4165 (1019)</td>
<td>4085 (904)</td>
<td>−80 (306)</td>
<td>−228;67</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PE</td>
<td>767 (1335)</td>
<td>767 (1335)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stool</td>
<td>1548 (1178)</td>
<td>1883 (1392)*</td>
<td>336 (643)</td>
<td>26;646</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urine</td>
<td>2259 (1318)</td>
<td>1803 (960)</td>
<td>−456 (993)</td>
<td>−935;22</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance</td>
<td>1125 (1055)</td>
<td>1166 (684)</td>
<td>40 (1010)</td>
<td>−447;527</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium (mmol/day)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per oral</td>
<td>61.9 (24.7)</td>
<td>61.4 (24.5)</td>
<td>−0.5 (3.6)</td>
<td>−3.2;2.1</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stool</td>
<td>56.7 (29.8)</td>
<td>53.0 (23.8)</td>
<td>−3.7 (13.1)</td>
<td>−10.0;2.6</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absorption (%)</td>
<td>5.3 (12.9)</td>
<td>8.4 (12.1)</td>
<td>3.1 (15.4)</td>
<td>−5.1;11.3</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnesium (mmol/day)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per oral</td>
<td>22.4 (12.3)</td>
<td>21.4 (12.1)*</td>
<td>−1.0 (1.7)</td>
<td>−1.8;−0.2</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stool</td>
<td>20.2 (9.9)</td>
<td>19.6 (9.5)</td>
<td>−0.5 (5.7)</td>
<td>−3.3;2.2</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absorption (%)</td>
<td>2.2 (7.3)</td>
<td>1.8 (6.3)</td>
<td>−0.4 (6.2)</td>
<td>−4.4;1.1</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Significantly different from LTC period: p<0.05. Student’s paired t test.

PE, parenteral nutrition.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Results are expressed as mean (SD) and range. The Student’s t test for paired observations was used to compare changes between repeated measurements of paired variables. A p value <0.05 was considered statistically significant. The Microsoft EXCEL statistical program was used for all analyses.

Results

VOLUME AND FAT ABSORPTION

Data on intake, excretion, and percentage absorption of fat are summarised in table 2. As previously reported fat absorption expressed as a percentage of intake was significantly improved in patients on the L/MCT diet. On average fat absorption increased from 36% with the LCT diet to 56% with the L/MCT diet (p=0.0003) even though fat intake was significantly higher in the L/MCT test period. Patients ingested identical amounts (weight) of food and drinks (weight) in the two study periods. Eleven of 19 patients experienced increased diarrhoea with the L/MCT diet and on average stool volume increased by 336 ml (p=0.04). The overall balances of fluids were equal between the two study periods; the larger stool volume with the L/MCT diet was counterbalanced by a tendency towards a lower urinary volume (p=0.06).
between calcium and magnesium absorption during the two periods. Thus the mean net absorption of calcium was 5.3 mmol/day (range −21.1 to 34.3) on the LCT diet with no significant change on the L/MCT diet (p=0.45). Correspondingly, magnesium absorption varied considerably with a mean value of 2.2 mmol/day (range −20.1 to 10.0) with the LCT diet and no significant change on the L/MCT diet (p=0.84). On average calcium absorption was 8.6% on the LCT diet and 12.5% on the L/MCT diet (p=0.55). Conversely, the mean percentage of magnesium absorbed was 5.4% on the LCT diet and 2.9% on the L/MCT diet (p=0.72). With the L/MCT diet the percentage calcium absorption was improved in 10 patients and decreased in nine patients. The percentage magnesium absorption was improved in nine and had decreased in 10 patients. For patients with and without a colon in continuity, we found no difference in net percentage absorption of calcium or magnesium between the two diets (table 3). In addition, whether or not patients had a colon in continuity had no influence on the differences in the percentage absorption of calcium and magnesium with the L/MCT compared with the LCT diet.

Although net losses of calcium and magnesium were modest, two patients demonstrated massive calcium and magnesium losses irrespective of the dietary regimen.

### Discussion

This study describes the effect of dietary MCTs on intestinal absorption of magnesium and calcium in small bowel resected patients. In view of the marked improvement in fat absorption with the L/MCT diet, we also anticipated increased mineral absorption. However, 50% replacement of LCTs with MCTs had no effect on net intestinal absorption of magnesium and calcium. Our results were based on metabolic balances generated in two three day study periods in which patients were randomised and crossed over between diets containing either LCT or L/MCT. This study design had the advantage that each patient served as his own control which eliminates errors caused by interindividual differences; the drawback is that theoretically there may have been a carryover of treatment effect from one period to the next, as only two days separated the two periods of stool collections. However, although we did not use a marker for faecal separation, we consider stool collections reliable, as the average transit time in the patients was less than six hours and none of the patients had a transit time exceeding 24 hours. Changes in the efficiency of absorption of calcium, in particular, is a major concern in balance studies as patients may adapt to the new diet with a gradual change in PTH and vitamin D levels over time. However, considering the relatively short test period it is unlikely that major adaptive changes occurred during the study.

Generally, MCTs are considered to reduce faecal losses of water and electrolytes in short bowel patients. We cannot explain the increase in faecal volume with the L/MCT diet in our study but it may have resulted from an osmotic or secretory effect of the MCTs. In theory, the increased diarrhoea could result in a significantly higher loss of endogenously secreted cations thus accounting for the lack of improvement of MCT substitution on calcium and magnesium absorption.

The results of the previous studies on the effect of MCT treatment on intestinal absorption of divalent cations in humans are conflict-

---

**Table 3** Differences in percentage absorption of calcium and magnesium with the L/MCT diet compared with the LCT diet in patients with and without a colon in continuity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Colon (n=10)</th>
<th>No colon (n=9)</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Calcium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absorption with LCT (%)</td>
<td>5.5 (27.2)</td>
<td>12.1 (15.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absorption with L/MCT (%)</td>
<td>9.2 (23.6)§</td>
<td>16.2 (19.8)§</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diff L/MCT ± LCT</td>
<td>3.7 (36.9)</td>
<td>4.1 (15.7)*</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnesium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absorption with LCT (%)</td>
<td>5.8 (30.9)</td>
<td>4.9 (18.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absorption with L/MCT (%)</td>
<td>8.3 (22.0)§</td>
<td>−3.1 (32.2)§</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diff L/MCT ± LCT</td>
<td>2.5 (27.7)</td>
<td>−8.0 (32.3)*</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

§No significant change between LCT and L/MCT diets (p>0.05, Student’s paired t test).

*No significant difference between patients with and without a colon in continuity (p>0.05, Student’s t test).
MCT therapy and intestinal resections

...ing, and only few data are available on the influence of MCT substitution on calcium and magnesium absorption in patients with fat malabsorption. In 1966, Winawer and colleagues showed that MCT supplementation improved calcium absorption but only one patient with short bowel syndrome was studied. A beneficial effect of MCTs on calcium absorption was later demonstrated by Kehayoglou and colleagues in biliary cirrhosis and by Agnew and Holdsworth in patients with partial gastrectomy and in healthy subjects. However, Agnew and Holdsworth found no significant effect in patients with fat malabsorption due to coeliac disease, and Harrison and colleagues were unable to demonstrate any significant effect of MCTs on calcium absorption in patients with pancreatic insufficiency. The effect of MCTs on divalent cation absorption in patients with bowel resections has previously been investigated by Hofmann and Poley, who studied nine patients with ileal resection and various degrees of steatorrhoea, and by McIntyre and colleagues who studied seven patients with a high jejunostomy. In agreement with our data, they found no consistent effect of MCTs on either calcium or magnesium absorption. These inconsistencies regarding the effect of MCTs may be due to the differences in the mechanisms leading to calcium and magnesium malabsorption in various conditions. MCTs are included in the fat blends of several preterm feeding formulas to increase fat absorption and several studies have been undertaken to access the value of MCT supplementation on calcium and magnesium absorption in infants. In common with the studies in adults, results are conflicting. Some studies reported enhanced absorption while others concluded that MCTs had no significant effect on divalent cation absorption.

In summary, this study evaluated the effects of MCTs on calcium and magnesium absorption in small bowel resected patients. The main findings were that the L/MCT diet had no beneficial effect on the amount of calcium and magnesium absorbed and appeared to increase faecal volume significantly.
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