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Abstract

Background and aims—Distension of the proximal stomach is a major stimulus for triggering transient lower oesophageal sphincter (LOS) relaxations. We have shown recently that atropine inhibits triggering of transient LOS relaxations in both normal subjects and patients with gastro-oesophageal reflux disease. Atropine could potentially act centrally by inhibiting the central integrating mechanism in the brain stem, or act peripherally by altering the response of the stomach to distension. The aim of this study was to investigate the effect of atropine on fasting gastric compliance and postprandial gastric tone using an electronic barostat.

Methods—Fasting and postprandial proximal gastric motor and sensory functions were assessed in 10 normal healthy volunteers. Oesophageal manometry and pH were simultaneously measured. On separate days, atropine (15 µg/kg bolus, 4 µg/kg/h intravenous infusion) or saline was given and maintained for the duration of the recording period.

Results—In the fasting period, atropine significantly reduced minimum distending pressure (5.5 (0.4) v 4.4 (0.4) mm Hg; p<0.005) and increased proximal gastric compliance (81.3 (5.3) v 102.1 (8.7) ml/mm Hg; p<0.05). In response to a meal, maximal gastric relaxation was similar on both study days. However, during atropine infusion, there was no recovery of proximal gastric tone in the two hour postprandial observation period. Postprandial fullness scores were higher during atropine infusion and correlated with changes in intrabag volume. Atropine significantly reduced the rate of postprandial transient LOS relaxations: first hour, 7.0 (5.3–10.0) v 3.0 (1.0–4.0) (p<0.02); second hour, 5.0 (3.3–5.8) per hour v 1.0 (0–3.0) per hour (p<0.05).

Conclusions—In humans, fasting and postprandial proximal gastric motor function is under cholinergic control. Atropine induced inhibition of transient LOS relaxations is unlikely to be caused by its effect on the proximal stomach, but rather by a central action on the integrating mechanisms in the brain stem.

(Gut 2000;47:30–36)
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Transient lower oesophageal sphincter (LOS) relaxation is the predominant mechanism of gastro-oesophageal reflux in normal subjects and in the majority of patients with gastro-oesophageal reflux disease. Distension of the proximal stomach is a major stimulus for triggering transient LOS relaxations. We have shown recently that atropine substantially inhibits gastro-oesophageal reflux in normal subjects and in patients with gastro-oesophageal reflux disease, predominantly by inhibition of transient LOS relaxations. The site at which atropine exerts this effect, however, is not known.

Current evidence suggests that gastric distension stimulates transient LOS relaxations via a vagal reflex pathway that is integrated in the brain stem. Atropine could potentially act at two sites: centrally, by inhibiting the central integrating mechanisms in the brain stem that are believed to mediate transient LOS relaxations; or peripherally, by altering the mechanical properties of the proximal stomach.

Data on the effect of atropine on motor and sensory functions of the proximal stomach are limited and findings have varied among studies. In dogs and cats, atropine reduces fasting gastric tone. In humans, however, atropine has not been shown to have any significant effect on fasting or postprandial proximal gastric tone. The aim of this study, therefore, was to further investigate the effect of atropine on proximal gastric motor and sensory functions using an electronic barostat in normal subjects in both the fasted and postprandial states, to gain further insight into the mechanism by which atropine inhibits transient LOS relaxations.

Methods

SUBJECTS

Studies were performed in 10 healthy subjects (eight males, two females) aged 19–39 years (median 25). Subjects were free of gastrointestinal symptoms, had no history of upper gastrointestinal surgery, and were not taking regular antacids or medications known to influence oesophageal or gastric motor function. Each subject gave written informed consent and the protocol was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the Royal Adelaide Hospital.

RECORDING METHODS

Oesophageal motility was measured using a multilumen manometric assembly with an...
outer diameter of 4.2 mm (fig 1). LOS pressure was measured with a reverse perfused sleeve sensor. Gastric pressure was recorded using a side hole located 10 mm distal to the sleeve sensor. Side holes, spaced at 30 mm intervals starting at the proximal margin of the sleeve sensor, monitored pressure at seven sites along the oesophageal body. A side hole in the pharynx recorded swallowing. The oesophageal and pharyngeal side holes and sleeve sensor were perfused with degassed distilled water at 0.15 ml/min and the gastric side hole at 0.08 ml/min.

Proximal gastric function was measured with an electronic barostat (Distender Series II G&J Electronics Inc., Willowdale, Ontario, Canada) which consisted of a rigid cylinder that introduced or withdrew air from a polyethylene bag positioned in the proximal stomach. The lumina used by the barostat for delivery of air into the bag and sensing of pressure within the bag were incorporated within the manometric assembly. The polyethylene bag had a capacity of approximately 1100 ml. The proximal portion of the polyethylene bag was tied to the manometric assembly 60 mm distal to the sleeve sensor. The cylinder introduced or withdrew air from the bag at 30 ml per second via an oval channel measuring 1.9 × 2.4 mm internal diameter (ID) and 1570 mm in length.

Pressure in the bag was sensed via a lumen of 0.6 mm ID that opened directly into the bag.

Oesophageal pH was measured with an antimony electrode (Synectics Medical AB, Stockholm, Sweden) positioned 3 cm above the proximal margin of the LOS.

Data were recorded on a personal computer (PowerPC 7100, Apple Computer Inc., Cupertino, California, USA). Manometric data were digitised at 10 Hz using a NBMI016 A-D board (National Instruments, Austin, Texas, USA). Barostat data were acquired at 1 Hz via a serial interface. A custom written program (G Hebbard) using Labview (National Instruments) controlled the barostat and acquired both manometric and barostat data. Data were imported into AcqKnowledge (Biopac Systems, Santa Barbara, California, USA) for subsequent analysis.

ASSESSMENT OF SYMPTOMS

Perceptions of sensations of fullness, nausea, abdominal discomfort, and hunger were quantified using validated 100 mm visual analogue scales.

STUDY PROTOCOL

Subjects were studied after an overnight fast. A cannula was inserted into the forearm for administration of saline or atropine. The manometric assembly, with the barostat bag folded around it, and the pH electrode were

Figure 1 Diagrammatic illustration of the combined manometric and barostat assembly (left) and a cross sectional view of the assembly (right).

Figure 2 Effect of atropine or saline on heart rate for the duration of the infusion period. Data are expressed as mean (SEM).

Figure 3 Effect of atropine on the pressure-volume relationship of the proximal stomach during pressure controlled (A) and volume controlled (B) distension. Data are expressed as mean (SEM). *p<0.05 v saline. MDP, minimum distending pressure.
distensions, intrabag pressure was increased in 1 mm Hg increments in a stepwise manner every two minutes starting at a pressure 2 mm Hg below the previously determined MDP and continued until either an intrabag volume of 800 ml or a pressure of 12 mm Hg above MDP, or the threshold for subject discomfort was reached. During volume controlled distensions, intrabag volume was increased in 100 ml increments in a stepwise fashion every two minutes to either 800 ml or the threshold for discomfort. Sensations of fullness, nausea, abdominal discomfort, and hunger were recorded at the end of each distension step.

Following the distensions, subjects rested for 10 minutes. The barostat was then set to maintain a pressure of 2 mm Hg above MDP and fasting baseline intrabag volume was recorded for 20 minutes. The bag was then deflated and subjects consumed a 1700 kJ (400 kcal), 45% fat, soft mixed nutrient meal, consisting of savoury minced meat, mashed vegetables, milk, and ice cream. The bag was then reinfated to 2 mm Hg above MDP and recordings made for another two hours. Sensations were assessed before and every 15 minutes after the meal.

**DATA ANALYSIS**

During pressure controlled and volume controlled distensions, mean values for intrabag volume and pressure, respectively, were calculated for the second minute of each distension step, thereby allowing one minute for equilibration. Pressures were expressed as mm Hg above MDP. Measured volume was corrected for the effects of air compressibility using an experimentally derived constant which also included a component related to the internal compliance of the barostat unit. Gastric compliance was calculated as the ratio of change of pressure to change of volume in the barostat bag (dP/dV) for each individual subject during pressure controlled distension.

Fasting tone was defined as the mean intrabag volume over the last 15 minutes of the 20 minute preprandial observation period. Postprandial changes in gastric tone were determined as change in intrabag volume from mean fasting volume. Maximal postprandial relaxation was defined as the maximal and uniform (variations less than 30 ml) increase in intrabag volume observed after the meal.17

For assessing reflux episodes, acid reflux was defined as a decrease in oesophageal pH to less than 4 for at least four seconds or, if basal oesophageal pH was already below 4, a further decrease in pH of at least 1 pH unit. When determining the occurrence of reflux during transient LOS relaxation, however, a decrease in pH of 1 pH unit was used.18 19 For each reflux episode, the mechanism of reflux was determined from the pattern of LOS pressure and oesophageal body activity, the relationship of these variables to swallowing, and the occurrence of abdominal straining.4 19 Transient LOS relaxations were defined and counted separately according to criteria.
The rate of spontaneous swallowing was determined by counting the number of pharyngeal pressure waves.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS
Data for intrabag volume and pressure, and sensation scores were analysed using repeated measures analysis of variance (SuperAnova, Abacus Concepts Inc., Berkley, California, USA) followed by paired comparisons where appropriate. Data for reflux episodes and transient LOS relaxations were analysed using the Wilcoxon signed rank test and are presented as median (interquartile range). All other data were analysed using the paired t test and are presented as mean (SEM). A p value of <0.05 was accepted as indicating statistical significance.

Results
All subjects tolerated the study well, and reported symptoms and exhibited signs of cholinergic blockade during atropine infusion. The mean pulse rate during atropine infusion (99 (2) beats/min) was significantly higher than that during saline infusion (73 (3) beats/min; p<0.0001) and remained elevated for the duration of the infusion period (fig 2). The rate of swallowing in the postprandial period during atropine infusion (104 (17) per hour) was similar to that during saline infusion (104 (15) per hour).

FASTING RECORDINGS
Atropine significantly reduced fasting MDP from 5.5 (0.4) to 4.5 (0.4) mm Hg (p<0.005). However, mean fasting basal intrabag volume at 2 mm Hg above MDP during atropine infusion (234 (12) ml) was not significantly different from that during saline infusion (240 (20) ml). Atropine significantly altered the pressure-volume relationship of the proximal stomach. During pressure controlled distension, at each distension pressure from 1 mm Hg above MDP, greater volumes were observed during atropine infusion than during saline infusion (fig 3). Consequently, atropine significantly increased calculated compliance (dV/dP) of the proximal stomach from 81.3 (5.3) to 102.1 (8.7) ml/mm Hg (p<0.05). Similarly, during volume controlled distension, at intrabag volumes of 600 ml and above, intrabag pressure was significantly lower during atropine compared with saline infusion (fig 3).

During pressure controlled and volume controlled distensions, sensations of fullness and abdominal discomfort increased in parallel with changes in intrabag volume and pressure. The controlled distensions had no effect on nausea or hunger. Atropine had no significant effect on the level of any of the sensations tested.

POSTPRANDIAL RECORDINGS

Ingestion of the meal was followed by an increase in intrabag volume on both study days. Maximal postprandial volumes achieved during atropine (244 (46) ml) and saline (187 (48) ml) infusions were not significantly different. During saline infusion, however, there was almost complete recovery of proximal gastric tone after 90 minutes. In contrast, during atropine infusion, there was no recovery of proximal gastric tone during the two hour postprandial observation period so that in the second hour, mean intrabag volume remained elevated during atropine infusion compared with saline infusion (fig 4).

Sensation scores
Consumption of the meal was associated with increased fullness scores and reduced hunger scores in both groups (fig 3). Fullness scores paralleled changes in intrabag volume and hunger scores were inversely related to intrabag volume. During atropine infusion, fullness scores were higher than in controls. No significant nausea or abdominal discomfort was reported after the meal.

Transient LOS relaxations
In the postprandial period, atropine significantly reduced the frequency of transient LOS relaxations in the first and second hours: first hour, 7.0 (5.3–10.0) per hour v 3.0 (1.0–4.0) per hour (p<0.02); second hour, 5.0 (3.3–5.8) per hour v 1.0 (0–3.0) per hour (p<0.05) (fig 6). However, atropine had no effect on the
Discussion

In this study we have investigated the effects of cholinergic blockade on the function of the proximal stomach in normal human subjects. Our findings indicate that cholinergic blockade significantly inhibits both fasting proximal gastric compliance and postprandial proximal gastric tone.

The reduction in MDP and fasting compliance are consistent with previous findings of the effects of atropine on fasting gastric tone in dogs and cats and suggest that there is tonic cholinergic input to the proximal stomach. Findings from studies in dogs suggest that this input is vagal. These previous studies have also reported a reduction in fasting proximal gastric tone as evidenced by increased basal fasting intrabag volume. We did not observe such an effect. This apparent discrepancy may be due to methodological differences. In the previous study, MDP was determined before administration of atropine and intrabag pressure was set in relation to this pressure. In our study, however, MDP was determined after administration of atropine. Because atropine decreased MDP, basal intrabag pressure during atropine was lower than that during placebo and therefore may have artificially reduced fasting basal intrabag volume relative to that during placebo.

Our findings, however, differ from those of previous studies in normal humans which found no significant effects of atropine on fasting proximal gastric function. This discrepancy is likely a result of differences in the doses of atropine used in the previous studies which were substantially lower than in the present study.

Atropine also had a pronounced effect on postprandial motility and effectively inhibited recovery of postprandial proximal gastric tone. Similar effects on insulin induced proximal gastric relaxation have been reported after proximal gastric vagotomy. It appears, therefore, that return of proximal gastric tone is under cholinergic control. Our findings are at variance with those of the previous studies in humans, again probably because of differences in the doses of atropine.

Atropine had no effect on the degree of postprandial relaxation, a finding consistent with previous findings in dogs and humans. Gastric relaxation is mediated by vagal non-adrenergic non-cholinergic inhibitory nerves releasing nitric oxide. Failure of atropine to influence this response suggests that either the inhibitory stimulus produces maximal relaxation or that cholinergic receptors are not important in the inhibitory pathway. Analogous vagal inhibitory pathways to the lower oesophageal sphincter are similarly not affected by atropine alone but can be almost completely inhibited by a combination of atropine and hexamethonium.

The major impetus for this study was to address the issue of whether atropine might inhibit triggering of transient LOS relaxations by altering proximal gastric tone. Our data do not support this concept. Gastric distension, either by balloons, air or meals, increases the rate of transient LOS relaxations by activation of mechanoreceptors in the proximal stomach,
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particularly in the region adjacent to and involving the cardia. It is not known whether these mechanoreceptors respond to tension or stretch, although current evidence favours the former. Whatever the stimulus, however, under the conditions of this study in which intragastric pressure was maintained constant and slightly above MDP, relaxation of the proximal stomach by atropine would be expected to increase the rate of transient LOS relaxations.

We have recently reported a similar finding with sumatriptan, a potent inhibitor of proximal gastric tone.\(^{24}\)

In this and other studies,\(^{7}^{9}^{25}\) atropine decreased, rather than increased, the rate of transient LOS relaxations. Our findings are more consistent, therefore, with the notion that atropine reduces the rate of transient LOS relaxations via a central effect on the central pattern generator in the brain stem that is believed to mediate transient LOS relaxations.\(^{6}\) Atropine is a non-specific muscarinic antagonist which crosses the blood-brain barrier\(^{26}\) and all five of the muscarinic receptor subtypes (m1–m5) have been detected in the brain.\(^{27}^{28}\)

We believe that a central effect of atropine on reducing the rate of transient LOS relaxations outweighs the potential peripheral effects of atropine on the mechanical properties of the proximal stomach which would be expected to increase the rate of transient LOS relaxations.

In a recently reported abstract, Massey et al demonstrated that atropine increased the rate of transient LOS relaxations triggered by gastric air insufflation.\(^{50}\) However, subjects were not studied in the postprandial state and intragastric volume was not controlled or measured. It is possible that under the conditions of that study, the peripheral effects of atropine on the proximal stomach in increasing the rate of transient LOS relaxations may have outweighed any potential central effect of atropine on reducing the rate of transient LOS relaxations.

A recent study has shown that perception of gastric distension is a result of increases in gastric wall tension.\(^{31}\) Glucagon induced relaxation of the proximal stomach and increased gastric compliance improves sensitivity to pressure controlled distension and decreases sensitivity to volume controlled distension.\(^{32}\)

In the present study, however, the atropine induced increase in gastric compliance had no effect on the perception scores of fullness, hunger, or abdominal discomfort during the controlled distensions for either pressure controlled or volume controlled distensions. This lack of effect on the sensitivity to distension probably reflects the relatively small effects of atropine on the pressure-volume relationship of the proximal stomach compared with those seen after glucagon administration.\(^{24}\) In the postprandial period, fullness scores paralleled changes in intrabag volume. The higher level of postprandial fullness scores during atropine most likely reflects a higher intrabag volume rather than any difference in visceral sensitivity.

In summary, we have demonstrated for the first time that in humans, fasting and postprandial proximal gastric motor function is under cholinergic control. Inhibition of proximal gastric tone by anticholinergic agents may influence triggering of transient LOS relaxations. However, because under the conditions of the study inhibition of gastric tone might be expected to increase rather than decrease the rate of transient LOS relaxations, our findings support the notion that atropine inhibits transient LOS relaxations by a central action on the integrating mechanisms in the brain stem. Further exploration of the central integrating mechanism that triggers transient LOS relaxations is required to help identify future potential pharmacological target sites in the central nervous system.
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