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Abstract

Background—The presumed protective effect of coffee consumption on colorectal cancer, which is supported by case control studies, has not been confirmed in prospective cohort studies. Cohort studies are few in number however and often suffer from a small number of cases, limited attention to confounding variables, and a low percentage of heavy coffee drinkers.

Methods—We examined data from a large population based cohort of Swedish women who were free from cancer at the start of follow up, with a wide range of coffee consumption, information on many potentially confounding variables, and a larger number of cases than any previous cohort study of coffee consumption and colorectal cancer.

Results—During an average of 9.6 years of follow up of 61 463 women aged 40–74 years, we observed 460 incident cases of colorectal cancer (291 with colon cancer, 159 with rectal cancer, 10 with cancer at both sites). We found no association between coffee consumption and colorectal cancer risk. The risk ratio for drinking four or more cups per day compared with none was 1.04 (95% confidence interval 0.63–1.69; p for trend 0.84). The findings were similar for cancers of the distal and proximal colon and rectum.

Conclusions—The recently published affirmative conclusions regarding the protective effect of coffee consumption may be premature. For patients seeking advice about coffee consumption, the evidence suggests that moderate or even high consumption will probably not influence the risk of colorectal cancer.

(Gut 2001;49:87–90)
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Evidence from the majority of studies of the relation between coffee consumption and the risk of colorectal cancer has led some investigators to conclude that the data convincingly support a protective effect. As coffee is a common exposure, and colorectal cancer is among the most common diagnosed cancers in developed countries, this issue is of public health importance. In a recent meta-analysis, case control studies generally showed consistent inverse associations while cohort studies did not support a protective effect. Cohort studies that address this association are few however and often suffer from a small number of cases and limited attention to potentially confounding variables. Moreover, pooling of results by geographic region showed stronger inverse associations among Northern European studies compared with studies conducted in Southern Europe and in the USA. This geographic difference is consistent with the higher range of coffee consumption in Scandinavia and suggests the possibility that the range of exposure to coffee may be too narrow in some of the cohort studies to detect a true protective effect.

We examined data from a large population based prospective cohort of women in Sweden with a wide range of coffee consumption, information on many potentially confounding variables, and a larger number of cases than any previous study of coffee consumption and colorectal cancer.

Methods

THE SWEDISH MAMMOGRAPHY SCREENING COHORT

From 1987 to 1990, a population based mammography screening programme was introduced in two counties in central Sweden. In Västmanland county all women born between 1917 and 1948 received a mailed invitation to be screened by mammography between March 1987 and March 1989 (n=41 786) together with a six page questionnaire; 31 735 women (76%) returned completed questionnaires. In Uppsala county all women born between 1914 and 1948 were invited to the screening and received the same questionnaire between January 1988 and December 1990 (n=48 517); 34 916 women (72%) returned completed questionnaires. Hence questionnaires completed before the mammography were obtained from 66 651 (73.8%) women in the source population. These questionnaires included items on age, weight (kg), height (cm), education, and diet.

For the present analyses, we excluded women who were outside the age range 40–74 years (n=165), those with missing (n=707) or incorrect ID numbers (n=415), as well as those with no date on the questionnaire (n=608), a missing date as a result of moving out of the study area (n=79), or no date of death (n=16). After further exclusion of 793 women with extreme energy intake estimates (below or above mean±3 SD for log transformed calories), the cohort was restricted to 63 868 women. By linkage to the Swedish Cancer Registry, we identified and excluded all women...
with a previous cancer diagnosis other than non-melanoma skin cancer (n=2405). Thus the study cohort comprised 61 463 women at the start of follow up.

DIETARY ASSESSMENT
Participants were asked how often over the past six months, on average, they had consumed coffee and 66 other commonly eaten foods. For coffee consumption, eight predefined frequency categories were used including: “never/seldom,” “1–3 cups per month,” “one cup per week,” “2–3 cups per week,” “4–6 cups per week,” “one cup per day,” “2–3 cups per day,” and “four or more cups per day.” For nutrient calculations, age specific portion sizes were used (40–52, 53–65, 66–74 years) based on mean values from 5922 days of weighed food records among 213 women randomly selected from the study population. Intake of nutrients was computed by multiplying the frequency of consumption of each unit of food by the nutrient content of the specified portions, as per the Swedish National Food Administration data. The validity of dietary assessment based on self reported food frequencies was evaluated in a subsample of 129 women from the cohort. During four, seven day periods, 3–4 months apart, each participant weighed and recorded all foods consumed. The validity estimate for coffee consumption was measured as a Pearson correlation coefficient between the food questionnaire and food records (r=0.54).

IDENTIFICATION OF COLON AND RECTUM CANCER CASES AND FOLLOW UP OF THE COHORT
Cases of invasive colon and rectum cancers were identified through matching of the cohort with the computerised regional cancer registers of colon and rectal cancer diagnoses in the two counties to 31 December 1998. Quality control of the regional cancer registers was performed by a search for cases through the departments of pathology in the region and a study of all reports of individual medical records during the follow up period of 1987–1994. The completeness of follow up for colorectal cancers was documented to be 98%, as was the completeness of the National Cancer Registry as a whole. Colon cancers were defined as those occurring above the peritoneal delineation of the abdominal cavity and rectal cancers were those occurring below this delineation. Proximal colon cancers were defined as those occurring from the caecum to the splenic flexure (n=118), and distal colon cancers were defined as those occurring from the descending colon to the sigmoid colon (n=101); 72 were of unspecified location. Date of death in the cohort was ascertained through the Swedish Death Register and date of moving away from the study area was obtained by matching the cohort with the computerised and continuously updated Swedish Population Register. The study was approved by the ethics committee at Uppsala University Hospital and by the Karolinska Institute’s regional ethics committee.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS
Cox proportional hazards models were used to estimate hazard rate ratios with 95% confidence intervals (CI) relating different explanatory variables to the occurrence of invasive colorectal cancer. Follow up was censored at date of death, date of migration out of the study area, or at the end of the follow up period (31 December 1998). Multivariate models included age in five year age groups, body mass index (quartiles), education level (less than high school, high school, and university), quartiles of intakes of energy, total fat, dietary fibre, calcium, vitamin C, folic acid, vitamin D, red meat, and alcohol. As a basis for the trend tests, scores were constructed from the median values of the categorised variables.

Results
During an average of 9.6 years of follow up of our cohort of 61 463 women (588 270 person years) we observed 460 incident cases of colorectal cancer (291 colon, 159 rectal, 10 with both colon and rectal cancer). Mean age at diagnosis of colon and rectal cancer was 67 and 68 years, respectively. Slightly more than 18% of our population consumed four or more cups of coffee per day, and approximately 78% consumed two or more cups per day (table 1). Coffee consumption was associated with higher intakes of calories, red meat, calcium, and alcohol, and with lower intakes of vitamin C and folic acid. Median age of subjects in the highest coffee consumption category was slightly lower than the median age of those

Table 1  Baseline characteristics of the study cohort

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic*</th>
<th>&lt;1 cup/day (n=5537)</th>
<th>1 cup/day (n=8278)</th>
<th>2–3 cup/day (n=36 576)</th>
<th>4+ cup/day (n=11 072)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Person years</td>
<td>52 340</td>
<td>78 545</td>
<td>351 223</td>
<td>106 161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median age at baseline (y)</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median body mass index (kg/m²)</td>
<td>24.1</td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>24.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dietary intake (median)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy (kcal/day)</td>
<td>1216</td>
<td>1227</td>
<td>1310</td>
<td>1374</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total fat (g/day)</td>
<td>44.4</td>
<td>44.2</td>
<td>45.1</td>
<td>46.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dietary fibre (g/day)</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>16.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium (mg/day)</td>
<td>725</td>
<td>732</td>
<td>718</td>
<td>749</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin C (mg/day)</td>
<td>63.4</td>
<td>65.8</td>
<td>61.3</td>
<td>56.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Folic acid (µg/day)</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin D (µg/day)</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red meat (servings/week)</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol (g/day)</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Values presented are age standardised.
consumption less. There was no appreciable difference in median fat intake or body mass index among coffee consumption groups.

Coffee consumption was not associated with a lowered risk of colorectal cancer in age adjusted or multivariate adjusted models (table 2). Eight original coffee consumption groups were collapsed into four due to the small number of cases in some exposure categories. In the collapsed data, the age adjusted rate ratio for the highest exposure (4+ cups/day) compared with the lowest (<1 cup/day) was 1.09 (95% CI 0.74–1.61; p for trend 0.73) for colorectal cancer and the multivariate adjusted rate ratio was 1.04 (95% CI 0.70–1.54; p for trend 0.95). Using the original eight categories, the age adjusted rate ratio for the highest exposure (4+ cups/day) compared with the lowest (never or seldom) was 1.11 (95% CI 0.68–1.81; p for trend 0.97), and the multivariate adjusted rate ratio was 1.04 (95% CI 0.63–1.69; p for trend 0.84). Similarly, we found no association for colon cancer (total, proximal, or distal) or rectal cancer in the original or collapsed data (multivariate adjusted data shown). Examined over strata of age (in five year age groups), body mass index (quartiles), and energy adjusted intakes of alcohol, fat, fibre, folic acid, vitamin C, and vitamin D (quartiles), no differences in the association between coffee consumption and colorectal cancer were observed (not shown).

In additional analyses, the multivariate adjusted rate ratio for the highest quartile of total caffeine intake compared with the lowest was 1.10 (95% CI 0.80–1.51; p for trend 0.91). Tea consumption showed a non-significant positive association with colorectal cancer risk but exposure to tea was relatively low compared with coffee. To eliminate the possibility that changes in coffee consumption due to preclinical undiagnosed colorectal cancers could influence our results, we performed an analysis after excluding cases that occurred within the first three years of follow up. This exclusion did not alter our results.

Discussion

In our population based prospective cohort, with a wide range of coffee consumption and the largest number of cases studied to date, we found no association between coffee consumption and risk of total colorectal cancer, proximal or distal colon cancer, or rectal cancer. Regular consumers of four or more cups of coffee per day showed no decreased risk of colorectal cancer compared with those who never or rarely drank coffee. Statistical adjustment for potentially confounding variables did not alter this finding. In subgroup analyses, we found no evidence that the association with coffee consumption varied over strata of age, body mass index, or various dietary factors.

In a recent meta-analysis,7 the calculated relative risk for “high” versus “low” coffee consumption in five cohort studies with number of cases ranging from 126 to 269 was 0.97 (95% CI 0.73–1.29; p for trend 0.83). Conversely, the calculated relative risk for 12 case control studies, including a Swedish study, was 0.72 (95% CI 0.61–0.84; p for trend <0.001). The calculated relative risk for 17 studies of both cohort and case control design was 0.76 (95% CI 0.66–0.89; p for trend <0.001), reflecting the inverse associations of the more numerous case control studies. The reasons for the differing results by study design are unknown but may be related to changes in coffee consumption among cases due to the adverse effects on digestion.8,9 In addition to our study, the results of another prospective cohort study, with 185 colorectal cancer cases, reported after the meta-analysis showed no significant association between coffee consumption and colon or rectal cancer.10

It seems unlikely that differences in results by study design can be explained by differences in coffee brewing method. During the time period in which percolation or boiling have ceased to be popular methods for brewing coffee, results from case control studies show no clear trend in association between coffee consumption and colorectal cancer risk.11 Moreover, assessment of coffee consumption over the same time period that our data were collected showed an inverse association in a previous case control study from the same region in Sweden,7 where we would suspect that brewing methods are comparable. Boiling coffee, as opposed to use of a coffee filter, was prevalent 10 years ago in Sweden only among inhabitants of the most northern areas.12 Significant inverse associations have also been seen in case control studies from the USA13 and Italy14 during periods where non-filtered coffee consumption was presumed to be rare.15,16 However, brewing method in relation to colorectal cancer has not been formally studied and further examination of this issue might be informative.

The strengths of our study include the large sample size of our cohort and its population

---

### Table 2: Relation between coffee consumption and colorectal cancer*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Colorectal n=460 (RR (95% CI))</th>
<th>Colon n=291** (RR (95% CI))</th>
<th>Proximal colon n=118 (RR (95% CI))</th>
<th>Distal colon n=101 (RR (95% CI))</th>
<th>Rectal n=159 (RR (95% CI))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 1 cup/day</td>
<td>1.0 (reference)</td>
<td>1.0 (reference)</td>
<td>1.0 (reference)</td>
<td>1.0 (reference)</td>
<td>1.0 (reference)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 cup/day</td>
<td>0.96 (0.66–1.40)</td>
<td>0.97 (0.61–1.54)</td>
<td>0.89 (0.45–1.79)</td>
<td>0.84 (0.39–1.81)</td>
<td>0.88 (0.45–1.73)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2–3 cups/day</td>
<td>0.93 (0.67–1.29)</td>
<td>0.89 (0.59–1.33)</td>
<td>0.80 (0.44–1.46)</td>
<td>0.78 (0.41–1.50)</td>
<td>1.01 (0.57–1.78)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4+ cups/day</td>
<td>1.04 (0.70–1.54)</td>
<td>1.06 (0.65–1.72)</td>
<td>1.17 (0.56–2.43)</td>
<td>0.94 (0.45–2.08)</td>
<td>1.06 (0.54–2.10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p for trend</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Rate ratios (RR) with 95% confidence interval (CI). Multivariate models included age in five year age groups, body mass index (quartiles), education level (less than high school, high school, and university), quartiles of intakes of energy and red meat, and quartiles of energy adjusted total fat, dietary fibre, calcium, vitamin C, folic acid, vitamin D, and alcohol.

**72 cases of colon cancer were of unspecified location.
based design, specific subsite diagnoses for the majority of colorectal cancer cases, and completeness of follow up in the Swedish cancer register system. It is unlikely that undiagnosed cases, based on a large population based cohort study with a wide range of coffee consumption and the largest number of cases examined to date, do not support an inverse association with colorectal cancer. Thus the recently published affirmative conclusions regarding the protective effect of coffee consumption may be premature. Increasingly, the public is aware of the potential role of dietary factors, such as coffee, in disease aetiology. For patients seeking advice about coffee consumption, the evidence suggests that moderate or even high consumption will not likely influence the risk of colorectal cancer.
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