The continuing tale of cytokeratins in Barrett’s mucosa: As you like it

Barrett’s adenocarcinoma (BA) has seen a rapid increase in incidence throughout the Western world. The diagnosis of BA is often at an advanced stage and is generally associated with a poor prognosis and a mean survival of less than one year. Adenocarcinomas however do not arise de novo but follow an established sequence from Barrett’s metaplasia (BM) through dysplasia to neoplasia.

Efforts to intervene in the pathogenesis of oesophageal adenocarcinomas have so far been disappointing. Reduction of gastro-oesophageal reflux disease has led to minimal regression of BM and has yet to be shown to have any impact on cancer prevention. Surveillance programmes for patients with BM have had variable results and have raised important questions about their cost effectiveness and of better risk stratification of patients with BM. The prevalence of BM in the general population is approximately 1–3%, with only 0.5–1% of patients with BM converting to neoplasia each year. The reliable diagnoses of intestinal metaplasia and dysplasia have also been difficult to validate in each patient, mostly related to sampling errors due to the variable anatomy of the lower oesophagus and their patchy distribution within a segment of BM.

Our understanding of the molecular biology of BM has yielded many phenotypic and genetic changes within the epithelium that are associated with different stages along the metaplasia-dysplasia-neoplasia sequence. It has been suggested that some of these changes, such as cytokeratin subsets, might aid in the diagnosis and management of patients with BM and is the focus of the paper by Couvelard et al in this issue of Gut (See page 761).

Cytokeratins are highly conserved polypeptides that heterodimerise and form the building blocks for the intermediate filaments as part of the cell cytoskeleton. Intermediate filaments are anchored to desmosomes in the cell membrane and are of particular interest in epithelial systems in maintaining cell morphology, polarity, and intercellular adhesion. Cytokeratins are expressed in 20 distinct forms in epithelial cells but are absent from mesenchymal tissue where vimentin is used in the assembly of intermediate filaments.

There are variable patterns of expression of cytokeratins in epithelial cells depending on the type, location, and differentiation of epithelium. Some cytokeratins have a broad range of expression in columnar epithelium, such as CK8 and CK19, while others such as CK7 and CK20 show highly restricted expression. CK20 is commonly used as a marker of intestinal differentiation. It is expressed on the surface and crypt epithelium of the normal colon and small intestine. In the stomach, expression is limited to the surface foveolar epithelium, with no gastric gland or pit staining. CK7 has been proposed as a marker of ductal differentiation. It is expressed in ductal breast carcinomas but not in the normal epithelium of the gastrointestinal tract. Cytokeratins are very stable proteins and are conserved in most epithelial cancers, even in the presence of gross phenotypic and genetic alterations. For this reason, detection of cytokeratin subsets such as CK7 and CK20 have become very useful in diagnosing the origins of occult or poorly differentiated cancers and are part of current clinical practice.

Differential patterns of cytokeratin expression have been demonstrated in the oesophagus and proposed as useful clinical markers. A phenotypic map of cytokeratin expression validates our current histopathological categorisation of the gastro-oesophageal junction (fig 1). However, a unique pattern of CK7 and CK20 immunohistochemical
expression, designated the BM CK7/20 pattern, has been demonstrated to be both sensitive and specific to Barrett’s oesophagus and may be used as an objective marker of BM. This pattern shows superficial CK20 staining and strong CK7 staining of both superficial and deep glands and can be used to distinguish BM from intestinal metaplasia of the stomach which, although can be histologically indistinguishable, has a different pattern of cytokeratin expression, associated with Helicobacter pylori infection, and is not associated with an increased risk of malignancy.4

The Barrett’s CK7/20 pattern has been demonstrated in both long and short segment BM. The paper by Couvelard et al confirms the presence of a Barrett’s CK7/20 pattern and demonstrates that the same CK7/20 pattern holds true for ultrashort segment BM.5 The authors further suggest that these findings may be useful to improve endoscopic surveillance strategies to specifically target those at increased risk of BM and its complications. Ultrashort BM is however an area surrounded by controversy. It is defined as intestinal metaplasia found at the gastro-oesophageal junction arising in either very short tongues of columnar mucosa or in eccentric or normal appearing squamocolumnar junctions (SCJs). It has been reported that the prevalence of this may be up to 43% in unselected patients undergoing routine endoscopy. The nature of metaplasia in eccentric or normal SCJs remains unknown. This has prompted much debate as to the risk (if any) of dysplasia or indeed cancer in this group and the appropriateness of endoscopic surveillance. Furthermore, if we are to regard this lesion in a similar way to short and long segment Barrett’s and it is found in up to 43% of normal appearing SCJs, should we be performing screening biopsies of these patients? Certainly this does not seem to be a plausible option.

Perhaps it is in the understanding of the biology of BM where cytokeratins have already made a potentially useful contribution. Specifically, rearrangements in the composition of lateral cell-cell adhesion junctions, the desmosomes, have been recently reported in BM.6 These alterations in adhesion complexes while being associated with concomitant changes in cytokeratins are also important in releasing gamma catenin, a known oncogene involved in T cell factor/leucocyte enhancing factor transcription.7

In conclusion, greater understanding of the processes involved in the development and progression of BM is crucial if we are to develop strategies to intervene at an earlier stage in the metaplasia-dysplasia-carcinoma sequence and alter the outcome of oesophageal adenocarcinomas. Cytokeratins are undoubtedly of great scientific importance but caution should be observed in areas where clinical risk has not been already established.
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Monocytes or T cells in Crohn’s disease: does IL-16 allow both to play at that game?

Interleukin (IL)-16 was first described in 1982 under the name “lymphocyte chemoattractant factor”. Since its cloning in 1994,7 the complex structure and biological function of this cytokine has been extensively explored. In 1999, the IL-16 gene was localised to chromosome 15q26.3 but the role of genetic variants of this gene have yet to be explored in human disease.

IL-16 can be produced by a variety of inflammatory cells, including mast cells, eosinophils, mononuclear phagocytes, and CD4+ and CD8+ T cells.4 IL-16 is expressed as an 80 kDa precursor molecule,5 which is processed to active IL-16 by caspase 3.6

Most interestingly, the main receptor for IL-16 appears to be the CD4 molecule (which identifies T helper cells but is also present on monocytes and other phagocytes). It is assumed that interaction with the CD4 molecule is the main event in induction of most IL-16 mediated biological effects although other receptors and co-receptors may exist. The main biological function of IL-16 appears to be recruitment of CD4+ T cells. In addition, IL-16 induces the production of proinflammatory cytokines (that is, IL-6, and IL-15 by monocytes)5 and can regulate RAG gene expression in CD4+ B cells. The mechanisms of signal transduction of IL-16 are still unclear but appear to involve tyrosine kinases (that is, p56lck) in T cells, the stress activated protein kinases (SAPK) pathway, and activation of the p38 mitogen activated protein kinase (MAPK).7

Not surprisingly, IL-16 is implicated in the pathophysiology of chronic immune diseases, including allergen induced bronchial asthma, rheumatoid arthritis,8 and Crohn’s disease.11 It has been found to be elevated in both Crohn’s disease and ulcerative colitis where a positive correlation between disease activity and IL-16 expression has been found.12 Expression of IL-16 was also upregulated in an animal model of chronic intestinal inflammation and blocking IL-16 activity ameliorates TNBS colitis.13

In this issue of Gut, Middel and colleagues15 analysed the contribution of IL-16 to the pathophysiology of inflammatory bowel disease in an elegant study using a variety of
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See article on page 795
molecular techniques (see page 795). They found that increased production of IL-16 is particularly important in the pathophysiology of the inflammatory lesions in Crohn’s disease in comparison with ulcerative colitis. A strong relationship between increased expression of IL-16 in T cells/mast cells and numbers of CD4+ T cells was found. Discrete accumulation of mast cells as well as increased numbers of eosinophils have frequently been seen by pathologists although their contribution to pathophysiology was unexplained until now. The role of these cells in the pathophysiology of Crohn’s disease is now explained as important contributors of IL-16.

Recently, three studies have independently identified mutations in the NOD2 gene on chromosome 16q as a primary cause of Crohn’s disease in a subset (up to 25%) of patients. NOD2 is only expressed by monocytes/macrophages and its role in other phagocytes is presently unclear. However, it is not expressed in lymphocytes or epithelial cells. It is currently hypothesised that a mutation in the NOD2 gene impacts the handling of bacterial pathogens by monocytes by alteration in the lipopolysaccharide/NOD2 induced activation of nuclear factor kappa B (NFkB). It is thought that this constitutive defect predisposes patients with Crohn’s disease to chronic inflammation, which may be triggered by the commensal flora.

The argument can be made that T cells and hence IL-16 may only play a secondary role in the disease process. The study presented by Middle et al links activation of phagocytes in the pathophysiology of Crohn’s disease to strong evidence of a T cell contribution to disease pathophysiology. Increased activation of mononuclear phagocytes that results in the release of proinflammatory cytokines and also IL-16 is directly associated with CD4+ T cell recruitment. As first reports showed increased activation of p38 MAPK in Crohn’s disease, which may result in increased levels of IL-16, additional molecular data provided by Middle et al strongly supports this interpretation. IL-16 may be an interesting target to interrupt the loop between mononuclear phagocytes and T cell activation. An important question will be whether mast cells, eosinophils, and other phagocytes also express genes of the NOD2 family. As expression of IL-16 is most likely controlled by Nfkb, this cytokine may be a central player in linking the different aspects of Crohn’s disease pathophysiology.
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Sodium in preascitic cirrhosis: please pass the salt

The relationship between sodium retention, hyperactivity of the neurohumoral vasoactive systems, and ascites formation in cirrhosis is intriguing and still remains a subject of interest and debate. According to the most widely accepted theory, the so-called peripheral arteriolar vasodilation hypothesis of sodium retention and ascites formation in cirrhosis, the predominant mechanism in the pathogenesis of these abnormalities is the presence of persistent systemic arterial vasodilation leading to arterial hypotension, low peripheral resistance, high cardiac output, and decreased effective arterial blood volume. These circulatory abnormalities are detected by arterial and cardiopulmonary baroreceptors which in turn initiate the homeostatic activation of the endogenous neurohumoral systems aimed at maintaining arterial pressure within normal or near normal levels. In the kidneys however homeostatic activation of the vasoactive and sodium retaining systems promotes tubular sodium reabsorption and sodium retention. Because the splanchnic vasculature is a major site of arteriolar vasodilatation in cirrhosis, it is not surprising that extravasation of the fluid retained by the kidneys occurs mainly in this compartment, leading to the formation of ascites.

The renin-angiotensin-aldosterone (RAAS) and sympathetic nervous (SNS) systems, together with atrial natriuretic peptide (ANP), are the main endogenous neurohumoral systems involved in sodium homeostasis. The RAAS is one of the most extensively investigated endogenous vasoactive systems in cirrhosis because it is markedly activated in patients with sodium retention. Plasma renin activity and plasma aldosterone levels closely correlate with urinary sodium excretion and in many decompensated cirrhotic patients they reach extraordinarily high values, with levels being higher in patients with marked sodium retention. The SNS is another key factor involved in the pathogenesis of sodium retention and ascites formation in cirrhosis. In fact, noradrenaline concentrations are consistently found to be increased in patients with sodium retention and ascites. On the other hand, endogenous natriuretic substances such as brain natriuretic peptide (BNP) and A-type natriuretic peptide (ANP) are increased in ascites, probably related to the accumulation of sodium and water in the peritoneal cavity.
as ANP inhibit tubular sodium reabsorption and counteract the antinatriuretic and renal vasoconstrictor effects of the RAAS and SNS.2

Whereas in decompensated cirrhosis the mechanisms underlying sodium retention are quite well established, in preascitic cirrhosis this question remains elusive. Patients with preascitic cirrhosis (patients without a history of ascites or diuretic use) usually do not exhibit sodium retention. Moreover, the activities of the RAAS and SNS, estimated by plasma renin activity and circulating levels of aldosterone and noradrenaline, respectively, are consistently found to be either normal or decreased in preascitic cirrhotic patients.3 These patients however present subtle abnormalities in renal sodium handling leading to an expanded circulatory blood volume but without causing ascites or oedema. Indeed, the existence of an altered renal sodium metabolism in preascitic cirrhotic patients can be readily uncovered by administering a sodium overload or following mineralocorticoid treatment. Therefore, and although not clinically evident, preascitic cirrhotic patients encounter a positive sodium balance which presumably is a homeostatic mechanism to compensate for the reduced effective arterial blood volume due to arteriolar vasodilatation present in this condition.

In this issue of Gut, Wong et al examine the status of sodium homeostasis in preascitic cirrhosis by investigating renal sodium handling in 16 biopsy proven cirrhotic patients without ascites submitted to a high sodium diet (200 mmol/day) for five weeks (see page 847). The results obtained show that daily sodium intake of 200 mmol results in weight gain and a positive sodium balance for three weeks, returning to a complete sodium balance thereafter. These findings indicate that despite continued high sodium intake, preascitic cirrhotic patients eventually reach a new steady state of sodium balance thereby preventing fluid retention and the development of ascites. As significant suppression of the RAAS and SNS, as estimated by plasma renin activity and aldosterone and noradrenaline levels, respectively, is observed in preascitic cirrhotic patients submitted to a high sodium diet for more than three weeks, the new state of sodium balance is presumably reached at the expense of intravascular volume expansion. In fact, elevated plasma ANP levels, a surrogate marker of an expanded blood volume, is consistently found in these patients during the course of the study. Interestingly, preascitic cirrhotic patients do not exhibit renal resistance to the diuretic and natriuretic effects of ANP, and thus increased plasma levels of ANP apparently contribute to the establishment of a new sodium balance in this condition. This scenario is completely different to that usually found in decompensated cirrhotic patients who show marked sodium retention despite the existence of elevated ANP plasma levels.3,5 Finally, it is noteworthy that at the end of the study period (that is, after five weeks on a high sodium diet), when patients have already reached a new steady state level of sodium balance and plasma renin activity and plasma aldosterone levels do not differ significantly from baseline, noradrenaline levels still remain suppressed. This is not consistent with previous investigations by the same group reporting that plasma noradrenaline levels are not suppressed in preascitic cirrhotic patients submitted to an oral sodium load of 200 mmol/day for one week.6 7 These controversial results could be interpreted as that evaluation of sodium homeostasis in cirrhosis without ascites is best performed over extended study periods rather than following acute or short term treatments.

In summary, the study by Wong and colleagues4 indicates that preascitic cirrhotic patients retain sodium when challenged with a high sodium intake. However, at this stage of disease, cirrhotic patients are still able to re-establish a new steady state of sodium balance mainly due to elevated levels of ANP and inhibition of the RAAS and SNS, thus preventing further development of sodium retention and ascites formation. Altogether these observations contribute to a significant advancement in our knowledge on the mechanisms underlying the disturbed sodium homeostasis of patients with preascitic cirrhosis.
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