The continuing tale of cytokeratins in Barrett’s mucosa: As you like it

Barrett’s adenocarcinoma (BA) has seen a rapid increase in incidence throughout the Western world. The diagnosis of BA is often at an advanced stage and is generally associated with a poor prognosis and a mean survival of less than one year. Adenocarcinomas however do not arise de novo but follow an established sequence from Barrett’s metaplasia (BM) through dysplasia to neoplasia.

Efforts to intervene in the pathogenesis of oesophageal adenocarcinomas have so far been disappointing. Reduction of gastro-oesophageal reflux disease has led to minimal regression of BM and has yet to be shown to have any impact on cancer prevention. Surveillance programmes for patients with BM have had variable results and have raised important questions about their cost effectiveness and of better risk stratification of patients with BM. The prevalence of BM in the general population is approximately 1–3%, with only 0.5–1% of patients with BM converting to neoplasia each year. The reliable diagnoses of intestinal metaplasia and dysplasia have also been difficult to validate in each patient, mostly related to sampling errors due to the variable anatomy of the lower oesophagus and their patchy distribution within a segment of BM.

Our understanding of the molecular biology of BM has yielded many phenotypic and genetic changes within the epithelium that are associated with different stages along the metaplasia-dysplasia-neoplasia sequence. It has been suggested that some of these changes, such as cytokeratin subsets, might aid in the diagnosis and management of patients with BM and is the focus of the paper by Couvelard et al in this issue of Gut (See page 761).

Cytokeratins are highly conserved polypeptides that heterodimerise and form the building blocks for the intermediate filaments as part of the cell cytoskeleton. Intermediate filaments are anchored to desmosomes in the cell membrane and are of particular interest in epithelial systems in maintaining cell morphology, polarity, and intercellular adhesion. Cytokeratins are expressed in 20 distinct forms in epithelial cells but are absent from mesenchymal tissue where vimentin is used in the assembly of intermediate filaments.

There are variable patterns of expression of cytokeratins in epithelial cells depending on the type, location, and differentiation of epithelium. Some cytokeratins have a broad range of expression in columnar epithelium, such as CK8 and CK19, while others such as CK7 and CK20 show highly restricted expression. CK20 is commonly used as a marker of intestinal differentiation. It is expressed on the surface and crypt epithelium of the normal colon and small intestine. In the stomach, expression is limited to the surface foveolar epithelium, with no gastric gland or pit staining. CK7 has been proposed as a marker of ductal differentiation. It is expressed in ductal breast carcinomas but not in the normal epithelium of the gastrointestinal tract. Cytokeratins are very stable proteins and are conserved in most epithelial cancers, even in the presence of gross phenotypic and genetic alterations. For this reason, detection of cytokeratin subsets such as CK7 and CK20 have become very useful in diagnosing the origins of occult or poorly differentiated cancers and are part of current clinical practice.

Differential patterns of cytokeratin expression have been demonstrated in the oesophagus and proposed as useful clinical markers. A phenotypic map of cytokeratin expression validates our current histopathological categorisation of the gastro-oesophageal junction (fig 1). However, a unique pattern of CK7 and CK20 immunohistochemical

Figure 1  Schematic illustration of cytokeratin (CK) 7 and 20 expression in the normal oesophagus, Barrett’s metaplasia, squamocolumnar junction, and proximal stomach. CK 7 is only found in the superficial layers of the squamous epithelium in contrast with columnar lined tissue in the oesophagus that shows increased expression throughout the crypts and glands. CK20 is limited to superficial areas of Barrett’s metaplasia and the gastric cardia but upregulated in intestinal metaplasia of the cardia.
Monocytes or T cells in Crohn’s disease: does IL-16 allow both to play at that game?

Interleukin (IL)-16 was first described in 1982 under the name “lymphocyte chemoattractant factor”. Since its cloning in 1994, the complex structure and biological function of this cytokine has been extensively explored. In 1999, the IL-16 gene was localised to chromosome 15q26.3 but the role of genetic variants of this gene have yet to be explored in human disease.

IL-16 can be produced by a variety of inflammatory cells, including mast cells, eosinophils, mononuclear phagocytes, and CD4+ and CD8+ T cells. IL-16 is expressed as an 80 kDa precursor molecule, which is processed to active IL-16 by caspase 3.

Most interestingly, the main receptor for IL-16 appears to be the CD4 molecule (which identifies T helper cells but is also present on monocytes and other phagocytes). It is assumed that interaction with the CD4 molecule is the main event in induction of most IL-16 mediated biological effects although other receptors and co-receptors may exist. The main biological function of IL-16 appears to be recruitment of CD4+ T cells. In addition, IL-16 induces the production of proinflammatory cytokines (that is, tumour necrosis factor, IL-1β, IL-6, and IL-15 by monocytes) and can regulate RAG gene expression in CD4+ B cells. The mechanisms of signal transduction of IL-16 are still unclear but appear to involve tyrosine kinases (that is, p56lck) in T cells, the stress activated protein kinases (SAPK) pathway, and activation of the p38 mitogen activated protein kinase (MAPK).

Not surprisingly, IL-16 is implicated in the pathophysiology of chronic immune diseases, including allergen induced bronchial asthma, rheumatoid arthritis, and Crohn’s disease. It has been found to be elevated in both Crohn’s disease and ulcerative colitis where a positive correlation between disease activity and IL-16 expression has been found. Expression of IL-16 was also upregulated in an animal model of chronic intestinal inflammation and blocking IL-16 activity ameliorates TNBS colitis.

In this issue of Gut, Middel and colleagues analysed the contribution of IL-16 to the pathophysiology of inflammatory bowel disease in an elegant study using a variety of
Sodium in preascitic cirrhosis: please pass the salt

The relationship between sodium retention, hyperactivity of the neurohumoral vasoactive systems, and ascites formation in cirrhosis is intriguing and still remains a subject of interest and debate. According to the most widely accepted theory, the so-called peripheral arteriolar vasodilation hypothesis of sodium retention and ascites formation in cirrhosis, the predominant mechanism in the pathogenesis of these abnormalities is the presence of persistent systemic arterial vasodilation leading to arterial hypotension, low peripheral resistance, high cardiac output, and decreased effective arterial blood volume. These circulatory abnormalities are detected by arterial and cardiopulmonary baroreceptors which in turn initiate the homeostatic activation of the endogenous neurohumoral systems aimed at maintaining arterial pressure within normal or near normal levels. In the kidneys however homeostatic activation of the vasoactive and sodium retaining systems promotes tubular sodium reabsorption and sodium retention. Because the splanchnic vasculature is a major site of arteriolar vasodilatation in cirrhosis, it is not surprising that extravasation of the fluid retained by the kidneys occurs mainly in this compartment, leading to the formation of ascites.

The renin-angiotensin-aldosterone (RAAS) and sympathethic nervous (SNS) systems, together with atrial natriuretic peptide (ANP), are the main endogenous neurohumoral systems involved in sodium homeostasis. The RAAS is one of the most extensively investigated endogenous vasoactive systems in cirrhosis because it is markedly activated in patients with sodium retention. Plasma renin activity and plasma aldosterone levels closely correlate with urinary sodium excretion and in many decompensated cirrhotic patients they reach extraordinarily high values, with levels being higher in patients with marked sodium retention. The SNS is another key player involved in the pathogenesis of sodium retention and ascites formation in cirrhosis. In fact, noradrenaline concentrations are consistently found to be increased in patients with sodium retention and ascites. On the other hand, endogenous natriuretic substances such as...
as ANP inhibit tubular sodium reabsorption and counteract the antinatriuretic and renal vasoconstrictor effects of the RAAS and SNS.²

Whereas in decompensated cirrhosis the mechanisms underlying sodium retention are quite well established, in preascitic cirrhosis this question remains elusive. Patients with preascitic cirrhosis (patients without a history of ascites or diuretic use) usually do not exhibit sodium retention.³ Moreover, the activities of the RAAS and SNS, estimated by plasma renin activity and circulating levels of aldosterone and noradrenaline, respectively, are consistently found to be either normal or decreased in preascitic cirrhotic patients.³ These patients however present subtle abnormalities in renal sodium handling leading to an expanded circulatory blood volume but without causing ascites or oedema. Indeed, the existence of an altered renal sodium metabolism in preascitic cirrhotic patients can be readily uncovered by administering a sodium overload or following mineralocorticoid treatment.³ Therefore, and although not clinically evident, preascitic cirrhotic patients encounter a positive sodium balance which presumably is a homeostatic mechanism to compensate for the reduced effective arterial blood volume due to arteriolar vasodilatation present in this condition.

In this issue of *Gut*, Wong et al examine the status of sodium homeostasis in preascitic cirrhosis by investigating renal sodium handling in 16 biopsy proven cirrhotic patients without ascites submitted to a high sodium diet (200 mmol/day) for five weeks (see page 847). The results obtained show that daily sodium intake of 200 mmol results in weight gain and a positive sodium balance for three weeks, returning to a complete sodium balance thereafter. These findings indicate that despite continued high sodium intake, preascitic cirrhotic patients eventually reach a new steady state of sodium balance thereby preventing fluid retention and the development of ascites. As significant suppression of the RAAS and SNS, as estimated by plasma renin activity and aldosterone and noradrenaline levels, respectively, is observed in preascitic cirrhotic patients submitted to a high sodium diet for more than three weeks, the new state of sodium balance is presumably reached at the expense of intravascular volume expansion. In fact, elevated plasma ANP levels, a surrogate marker of an expanded blood volume, is consistently found in these patients during the course of the study. Interestingly, preascitic cirrhotic patients do not exhibit renal resistance to the diuretic and natriuretic effects of ANP, and thus increased plasma levels of ANP apparently contribute to the establishment of a new sodium balance in this condition. This scenario is completely different to that usually found in decompensated cirrhotic patients who show marked sodium retention despite the existence of elevated ANP plasma levels.³ Finally, it is noteworthy that at the end of the study period (that is, after five weeks on a high sodium diet), when patients have already reached a new steady state level of sodium balance and plasma renin activity and plasma aldosterone levels do not differ significantly from baseline, noradrenaline levels still remain suppressed. This is not consistent with previous investigations by the same group reporting that plasma noradrenaline levels are not suppressed in preascitic cirrhotic patients submitted to an oral sodium load of 200 mmol/day for one week.³ These controversial results could be interpreted as that evaluation of sodium homeostasis in cirrhosis without ascites is best performed over extended study periods rather than following acute or short term treatments.

In summary, the study by Wong and colleagues⁴ indicates that preascitic cirrhotic patients retain sodium when challenged with a high sodium intake. However, at this stage of disease, cirrhotic patients are still able to re-establish a new steady state of sodium balance mainly due to elevated levels of ANP and inhibition of the RAAS and SNS, thus preventing further development of sodium retention and ascites formation. Altogether these observations contribute to a significant advancement in our knowledge on the mechanisms underlying the disturbed sodium homeostasis of patients with preascitic cirrhosis.
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