**NOD2 (CARD15), the first susceptibility gene for Crohn’s disease**

“*This is not the end. It is not even the beginning of the end. But it is, perhaps, the end of the beginning.*”

Sir Winston Churchill, 1942.

---

**Abstract**

Crohn’s disease is a chronic inflammatory disorder of the gastrointestinal tract which is thought to result from the effect of environmental factors in a genetically predisposed host. A gene location in the pericentromeric region of chromosome 16, *IBD1*, that contributes to susceptibility to Crohn’s disease has been established through multiple linkage studies, but the specific gene(s) has not been identified. *NOD2*, a gene that encodes a protein with homology to plant disease resistance gene products is located in the peak region of linkage on chromosome 16. Here we show, by using the transmission disequilibrium test and case-control analysis, that a frameshift mutation caused by a cytosine insertion, 3020insC, which is expected to encode a truncated NOD2 protein, is associated with Crohn’s disease. Wild-type NOD2 activates nuclear factor NF-κB, making it responsive to bacterial lipopolysaccharides; however, this induction was deficient in mutant NOD2. These results implicate NOD2 in susceptibility to Crohn’s disease, and suggest a link between an innate immune response to bacterial components and development of disease.

---

**Comment**

A “working model” of the pathophysiology of Crohn’s disease (CD) is of an abnormal immune response to enteric bacteria in genetically susceptible individuals. There is a greater concordance for CD in monozygotic than dizygotic twins (concordance for CD in monozygotic twins 37% compared with 7% for dizygotic twins), suggesting a major genetic contribution to the pathogenesis of CD. The risk to siblings of affected individuals relative to the general population (RR) is 15–40 for CD and the calculated heritability for CD is greater than that for schizophrenia or asthma and at least equal to that of type 1 diabetes.1,2

Evidence for the role of enteric bacteria in the pathogenesis of CD comes from data demonstrating that genetically modified mice utilised as models for inflammatory bowel disease/CD fail to manifest the disease in germ free environments and only develop disease when exposed to normal enteric commensal organisms. In addition, Crohn’s colitis frequently improves when the faecal stream is diverted following formation of a loop ileostomy and symptoms from CD are transiently improved following administration of antibiotics.

Geneticists have proposed that the genes for complex genetic diseases will be discovered by one or more of the following three methods:

- Positional cloning using microsatellite markers and single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in multiply affected families.
- Identification of candidate genes through an understanding of the pathophysiology of the underlying defects leading to disease.
- Gene expression studies (for example, microarrays) comparing differential gene expression in tissue from affected and unaffected individuals.

In 1996, Jean-Pierre Hugot et al published the first genome wide scan identifying a susceptibility locus for CD adjacent to the centromere on chromosome 16, *IBD1*. Replication studies in different populations is the “gold standard” for confirmation of loci, and linkage to *IBD1* has been replicated by a number of centres, most notably by the IBD International Genetics Consortium who demonstrated a LOD score of 5.8 for CD at this locus.

Two independent groups have now identified the gene and subsequent polymorphism(s) at *IBD1* that confer susceptibility to CD.1,5 Hugot et al continued their previous work by fine mapping *IBD1* using 26 microsatellite markers approximately 1 centiMorgan apart.1 Using the transmission disequilibrium test they identified a borderline significant association (p<0.05) in 108 families between CD and an allele of the microsatellite marker

---


D16S3136. In order to confirm this association, the authors tested this marker in a further 76 families and found a weak association but with a different allele of this marker. Many investigators may have been dissuaded from pursuing this avenue of investigation by these borderline results but the authors hypothesised that while these may be type I errors, their results “may reflect true association in two sets of families drawn from genetically different populations.” Thus the authors sequenced a bacterial artificial chromosome clone containing this marker and found significant association with several SNPs and CD. This sequence and a human leucocyte cDNA library were used to identify a novel gene, NOD2. The gene was sequenced in 50 CD patients and a total of 13 polymorphisms were identified. Two amino acid changing SNPs (Arg702Trp and Gly908Arg) and one frameshift mutation (3020insC), causing a premature stop codon, were significantly associated with CD (p=0.001, p=0.003, and p=0.000006 respectively). (It is important to note that the nomenclature identifying the polymorphisms used is different in the two papers as NOD2 has alternative splice sites. In this commentary we have used the nomenclature used by Ogura and colleagues (see fig 1).) Three haplotypes, each containing one of the three polymorphisms, were also significantly associated with CD (interestingly, another containing one of the three polymorphisms, were also significantly associated with CD (see fig 1)). Three haplotypes, each containing one of the three polymorphisms, were also significantly associated with CD (interestingly, another containing one of the three polymorphisms, were also significantly associated with CD (see fig 1)). Three haplotypes, each containing one of the three polymorphisms, were also significantly associated with CD (interestingly, another containing one of the three polymorphisms, were also significantly associated with CD (see fig 1)). Three haplotypes, each containing one of the three polymorphisms, were also significantly associated with CD (interestingly, another containing one of the three polymorphisms, were also significantly associated with CD (see fig 1)).

The risk of CD conferred from inheriting these mutations is shown in table 1. Inheritance of a combination of the three polymorphisms based on the data of Hugot and colleagues6 (see fig 1). NOD2 is expressed primarily in the innate immune system and located in a susceptibility region would be an ideal candidate gene for CD. The gene was sequenced in patients with CD known to have linkage to 16q12 and the same three polymorphisms described by Hugot et al were identified and found to be significantly associated with CD.6

Ogura et al also performed functional studies investigating the consequences of the frameshift mutation (3020insC) by cotransfecting embryonic kidney cell lines with 3020insC or wild type plasmids together with an NFκB reporter construct. The mutant NOD2 response to LPS from a variety of bacteria was significantly reduced compared with wild type, thereby confirming a functional consequence of the polymorphism.

There has been one subsequent paper reporting a similar association between the NOD2 frameshift mutation in German and British populations with CD.5 Finding a susceptibility gene for CD is exciting but many questions remain unanswered:

- Why does a frameshift mutation in the LRR region of the gene predispose to CD?

In the original paper identifying NOD2, mutational analysis demonstrated that expression of a NOD2 mutant form lacking the entire LRR region resulted in enhanced NFκB activity whereas the frameshift mutation causing a truncated protein missing the final 33 amino acids (of the LRR region) resulted in low NFκB activity. These results are not mutually exclusive but further work is needed to clarify the role of the LRR region in stimulating (or inhibiting) NFκB activity. Other potential explanations may be: that the frameshift mutation results in innate hyporesponsiveness thereby inducing an abnormal adaptive response to enteric bacteria; that the truncated protein may lead to elevated NFκB when stimulated by an as yet untested (unknown) bacterial LPS; that the frameshift mutation may have a differential effect on caspase 9 induced apoptosis; and that wild type NOD2 may induce anti-inflammatory cytokines such as interleukin 10.

- What are the functional consequences of the other identified NOD2 polymorphisms?

Recently Hugot’s group have demonstrated that polymorphisms within the NBD domain of NOD2 (CARD15) are associated with Blau syndrome (granulomatous arthritis, uveitis, and skin rash with camptodactyly).10

- Are there other mutations in NOD2 associated with CD?

Hugot et al identified 35, mostly rare amino acid changing NOD2 polymorphisms, in total, and also commented that the three associated polymorphisms do not account for all of the linkage demonstrated at 16q12. This suggests that other NOD2 polymorphisms or even other genes in this region may predispose to CD.

- What are the other genes for CD?

The data of Hugot et al show that approximately 40% of CD patients carry one of the three NOD2 variants (although approximately 15% of healthy controls also carry...
one of these variants). Other replicated loci do exist, particularly on chromosomes 6 (IBD3), 12 (IBD2), and 14 (IBD4), and it is possible that these areas also contain genes involved in the NOD2/innate immune system pathways. It may be that a NOD2 defect alone may not be sufficient for the development of CD and that interaction with other genes is necessary.

- **What is the prevalence of NOD2 mutations in “sporadic” CD?**

If the prevalence of NOD2 polymorphisms in sporadic cases is as common as in familial cases, this would suggest that all CD may be occurring in genetically susceptible individuals.

- **Why are NOD2 polymorphisms so common in the healthy population?**

The 7% allele frequency for at least one of the three SNPs in healthy controls suggests that these mutations might confer some evolutionary benefit, perhaps against an infection/disease.

- **Why do the three associated polymorphisms all occur on a common background that includes the Pro268Ser polymorphism?**

This could have occurred by chance but is unlikely. It is more probable that if these mutations have persisted due to positive selection, then two NOD2 variants may be needed for any evolutionary benefit to be manifest.

- **Will these discoveries lead to a new molecular classification of disease?**

Is there a particular CD phenotype associated with NOD2 polymorphisms and are other CD-like diseases (for example, Behçets, gastrointestinal tuberculosis, etc.) associated with NOD2 variants? If this is the case, classification based on genotype may allow a better prediction of response to medication, thereby enabling a degree of individualisation of therapy and may also predict the need (or not) for surgery.

- **Will reanalysis of linkage studies and genome wide scans stratified for NOD2 status demonstrate novel loci or evidence of epistasis between the chromosome 16 loci and other loci?**

It is clear that a considerable amount of work is required to answer these and other questions posed by these important discoveries. It is still too early for there to be any clear benefits for the clinician or patient in clinical practice and it is important to remember that the majority of subjects with NOD2 variants are healthy and the clinical course of NOD2 positive (or negative) CD patients is not yet known. Patients should however, if willing, be encouraged to participate in studies which may help researchers solve some of the outstanding questions and identify the other genes involved in IBD. Identification of this gene may well have insurance implications, not only for NOD2 positive patients, but also for their relatives.

These seminal papers demonstrate the benefits of the human genome project and the publicly available genetic databases and also prove, arguably for the first time, that the genes for complex polygenic diseases can be identified using both the positional cloning and candidate gene approaches. These data also support previous results suggesting that CD is a disease of an abnormal (innate) immune response to enteric bacteria in genetically susceptible individuals.
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