Frequency of clonal intraepithelial T lymphocyte proliferations in enteropathy-type intestinal T cell lymphoma, coeliac disease, and refractory sprue
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Abstract

Background—Clonal T cell receptor (TCR) gene rearrangements and loss of T cell antigens such as CD8 and TCR-β in intraepithelial lymphocytes (IELs) may indicate the development of an enteropathy-type intestinal T cell lymphoma (EITCL) in patients with refractory sprue.

Aims—To define the diagnostic value of these markers in duodenal biopsies from patients with villous atrophy as a result of various underlying disorders.

Patients and methods—Duodenal biopsies from eight patients with coeliac disease and five patients with villous atrophy caused by defined disorders were compared with three patients with refractory sprue evolving into overt EITCL, two patients with ulcerative jejunitis, and with eight patients with overt EITCL, for expression of CD3, CD4, CD8, and TCR-β in IELs using immunohistology and for clonal TCR-γ gene rearrangements using polymerase chain reaction. In addition, biopsies from six consecutive patients with refractory sprue of uncertain cause were examined.

Results—Clonal TCR-γ gene rearrangements were found in all resected tumours of patients with EITCL, in 3/8 duodenal biopsies of patients with EITCL, in 2/2 patients with ulcerative jejunitis, in 2/3 patients with refractory sprue evolving into overt EITCL, and in 1/6 patients with refractory sprue. No rearrangements were found in biopsies from patients with refractory sprue caused by defined disorders or those with coeliac disease. Clonality in duodenal biopsies was associated with an abnormal phenotype of IELs in all cases and in all but one case in patients with evidence of underlying coeliac disease. Specificity for detection of an EITCL using immunohistology was 77% for CD8 and for TCR-β staining, and 100% for detection of a clonal TCR-γ gene rearrangement. Sensitivity was 62% for staining with CD8 and clonality investigation, while sensitivity reached 100% for TCR-β staining in all investigated patients with EITCL.

Conclusions—Clonal proliferations of phenotypically abnormal IELs in refractory sprue represent an early manifestation of EITCL, for which the term “sprue-like intestinal T cell lymphoma” is proposed. This constellation is also found in duodenal biopsies from patients with an overt EITCL and is not related to other sprue syndromes, resulting in a high specificity for detection of an EITCL or refractory sprue evolving into EITCL. Overt EITCL may develop directly from coeliac disease without a precursor lesion (refractory sprue with clonal IELs) being demonstrable in duodenal biopsies or via a “sprue-like intestinal T cell lymphoma”. This latter entity is a complication of coeliac disease.
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Enteropathy-type intestinal T cell lymphoma (EITCL) is a complication of longstanding and untreated coeliac disease.1–3 It is associated with a poor prognosis due to its late diagnosis and high rate of complications. Earlier diagnosis and consecutive treatment have been suggested to improve survival because of lower clinical stages at diagnosis.4 The epitheliotropism and immunophenotypic properties—for example, expression of CD103—of EITCL indicate a derivation from intraepithelial T lymphocytes (IELs). Furthermore, histological features of EITCL include an increase in IELs, villous atrophy, and crypt hyperplasia in areas adjacent to and/or distant from the main tumour site.5–9 In the absence of overt tumour infiltration, these findings are histologically indistinguishable from other diseases with crypt hyperplasia and villous atrophy,5,7,10 thus rendering the differential diagnosis of EITCL from refractory sprue syndromes in duodenal biopsies a clinical and histopathological challenge.

Refractory sprue is defined by persisting villous atrophy and an increase in IELs in the small bowel in spite of a strict gluten free diet.1,6 It comprises a heterogenous group of diseases that include entities such as collagenous sprue, immunodeficiency associated sprue, tropical sprue, giardiasis, hypergastrinaemia, and other entities, but also disorders without a definite diagnosis.10–12 Clonal rearrangement of the T cell receptor gene (TCR-γ gene) is a diagnostic criterion for EITCL.13–16 Clonal clonality of IELs is a hallmark of EITCL,17–23 whereas clonality in villous atrophy is a more frequent finding.24–26 Clonality in duodenal biopsies from coeliac disease patients was found only in the presence of high-grade dysplasia or villous atrophy,25,27 whereas clonal rearrangements were not found in IELs from patients with refractory sprue of uncertain cause.28

In order to validate the diagnostic value of clonal IELs in the detection of EITCL, duodenal biopsies were obtained from patients with refractory sprue evolving into overt EITCL, from refractory sprue of uncertain cause, and from patients with coeliac disease. Expression of CD3, CD4, CD8, and TCR-β was assessed in IELs using immunohistology, and clonal TCR-γ gene rearrangements were assessed using polymerase chain reaction (PCR) or immunohistology.

Of nine patients with overt EITCL, clonal TCR-γ gene rearrangements were found in all biopsies. Clonality was found in IELs from three patients with refractory sprue evolving into overt EITCL and two patients with ulcerative jejunitis. Clonal rearrangements were also found in IELs from one patient with villous atrophy. Clonality was not found in material from eight patients with coeliac disease, three of which had villous atrophy and five had crypt hyperplasia without villous atrophy. In addition, clonal rearrangements were not found in biopsies from patients with refractory sprue of uncertain cause.

Conclusions—Clonal rearrangements of TCR-γ gene were found in all biopsies from patients with overt EITCL, in 3/8 duodenal biopsies of patients with refractory sprue evolving into overt EITCL, in 2/2 patients with ulcerative jejunitis, and in 2/3 patients with refractory sprue evolving into overt EITCL. Clonality was not found in biopsies from patients with refractory sprue of uncertain cause or those with coeliac disease. These findings suggest that clonal IELs are a diagnostic criterion for EITCL.
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patients with coeliac disease while Bagdi distant from the overt lymphoma in EITCL.18
may also be detectable in IELs in the mucosa sprue and coeliac disease, para the EITCL group. For patients with refractory and primary overt EITCL were combined as refractory sprue evolving into overt EITCL, results of patients with ulcerative jejunitis, jejunitis represents a special form of EITCL, duodenal biopsies were excluded. As ulcerative infiltration of malignant tumour cells into their lymphoma. Patients with microscopic overt centre study on intestinal non-Hodgkin’s centres participating in the German multi-
sprue evolving into overt EITCL, and those with refractory sprue with clonal IELs is not clear. Furthermore, the precise frequency of clonality and antigenic loss in refractory sprue has not been described. In addition, data on the characteristics of intestinal IELs of patients with EITCL are sparse and contradictory: Spencer et al found no differences in T cell antigens in three patients compared with patients with coeliac disease while Bagdi et al reported uniform clonality and antigenic loss (CD8 negativity).16 These findings have deci-sive implications both for our understanding of the pathogenesis of EITCL and for diagnostic purposes. We focused our study on duodenal biopsies as these are undertaken in many centres for the initial workup of disorders associated with malabsorption.

Materials and methods
PATIENTS AND MATERIAL
Formalin fixed, paraffin embedded, snap frozen material from patients with EITCL, ulcerative jejunitis, and those with refractory sprue evolving into overt EITCL were from centres participating in the German multicentre study on intestinal non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma. Patients with microscopic overt infiltration of malignant tumour cells into their duodenal biopsies were excluded. As ulcerative jejunitis represents a special form of EITCL, results of patients with ulcerative jejunitis, refractory sprue evolving into overt EITCL, and primary overt EITCL were combined as the EITCL group. For patients with refractory sprue and coeliac disease, paraffin blocks of tissue taken for diagnostic reasons were drawn from the archives of the Institute of Pathology. Included in the study were 11 consecutive patients who presented initially with villous atrophy refractory to a strict gluten free diet and who were negative for antiendomysium and antigliadin antibodies under a gluten free diet. Coeliac disease was diagnosed according to the ESPGAN criteria or by detection of antibodies to endomysium.20 21

Patients with overt EITCL (n=8)
In 7/9 patients with an EITCL, coeliac disease had been diagnosed previously. Median time between diagnosis of coeliac disease and diagnosis of EITCL was 42 months (9 months to 24 years). In one patient, coeliac disease was diagnosed simultaneously with EITCL by detection of antiendomysium antibodies (EITCL4). One patient was excluded due to microscopic infiltration of the duodenum by tumour cells (EITCL8). The primary tumour location in all cases was the small bowel (table 1).

Patients with ulcerative jejunitis (n=2)
Two patients presented with a clinical and endoscopic picture of ulcerative jejunitis (Ashton-Key). Both presented with clinical signs of coeliac disease but sprue typical antibodies were found in only one patient. Response to a gluten free diet lasted 12 and 33 months, respectively. Whole bowel wall resec-tion was performed for final diagnosis in both cases (table 1).

Patients with refractory sprue evolving into overt EITCL (n=3)
In three patients with an overt EITCL, refrac-tory sprue had been diagnosed 12, 13, and 60 months earlier. All had coeliac disease (12, 69, and 72 months). One patient did not adhere to a gluten free diet and the other two had clinical evidence of coeliac disease four and 16 years before the diagnosis was finally established (table 1). A more detailed description of one of these cases is published elsewhere.22

Patients with refractory sprue (n=6)
Refractory sprue of uncertain cause was defined by the following criteria: (1) villous atrophy refractory to a strict gluten free diet; (2) negativity for antiendomysium IgA or antigliadin IgA antibodies while on a gluten free diet; (3) exclusion of hypergastrinaemia, infectious causes, allergic reactions, Crohn’s disease, bacterial overgrowth, collagenous, or tropical sprue; and (4) no evidence of intestinal lymphoma after thorough investigation including computed tomography scans, small bowel x ray, and endoscopic investigation. Only one patient had an earlier diagnosis of coeliac disease but his response to a gluten free diet lasted for 10 months only (RS6). Two patients died from disease related causes without evidence of intestinal lymphoma at autopsy (table 1).

Patients with sprue syndromes due to defined disorders (n=5)
In two patients, thickening of the subepithelial matrix established the diagnosis of collagenous sprue.17 Three patients had villous atrophy due to a sprue-like syndrome associated with ulcerative colitis, common variable immuno-deficiency, and autoimmune enteropathy, respecti-vely. A more detailed description of the
case with autoimmune enteropathy is published elsewhere. None of the patients had coeliac disease (table 1).

Patients with coeliac disease (n=8)

Patients with coeliac disease on a gluten containing diet served as a control group. Inclusion criteria were: availability of the initial duodenal biopsy under a normal diet, prompt clinical response to a gluten free diet, and positivity for antigliadin or antiendomysium antibodies. Duodenal biopsies under a gluten free diet were available in 6/8 patients showing histological improvement (table 1).

DNA ISOLATION

DNA was extracted from formalin fixed, paraffin embedded, duodenal and colonic biopsies and resected tumour according to the manufacturer’s instructions (QIAamp tissue kit; Qiagen GmbH, Hilden, Germany). DNA was stored at 4°C for a maximum of four weeks until further usage.

PRIMER SETS

Oligonucleotide consensus primers for detection of V_{γ1} to V_{γ8} segments were used in a semi nested polymerase chain reaction (PCR) in conjunction with established J_{γ} primers, as described by Dippel and colleagues. Primers were synthesised on an automated DNA synthesiser (Model 381A; Applied Biosystems, Weiterstadt, Germany) and purified by high pressure liquid chromatography.

PCR CONDITIONS

A semi nested PCR was performed for detection of clonal TCR-γ rearrangements. In the first round of amplification, two separate reactions were carried out employing the same V_{γ1}–8 primer in conjunction with the J_{γ} primer JGT1/2 and JGT3, respectively. An aliquot (1%) of the first two PCRs was used for reamplification which was performed with a nested V_{γ1}–8 primer and the same J_{γ} primers (table 1). DNA (200 ng) extracted from paraffin embedded tissue sections was used in the first PCR. The reaction (100 µl) was carried out after a hot start technique (AmpliWax PCR GEM 100; Perkin Elmer, Weiterstadt, Germany) with 2.0 mmol/l MgCl₂, 800 µmol/l deoxyribonucleotides, 1.25 U of Taq polymerase (Ampli Taq DNA Polymerase; Perkin Elmer), 10 µl of 10× PCR buffer (Perkin Elmer), and 200 ng of each primer. For reamplification, 1 µl of the first amplification product was used as a DNA template. Buffer conditions were identical with the exception of 1.5 mmol/l MgCl₂. Cycling parameters were 96°C (15 seconds) for denaturation, 60°C (40 seconds) for primer annealing, and 72°C for strand elongation for 30 seconds each (25 cycles). For denaturation, 96°C was extended in the first step to four minutes. PCR products were visualised on ethidium bromide stained 6% polyacrylamide gels. For negative controls water samples and for positive controls DNA from a T cell lymphoma cell line (PEER) were run in parallel with each PCR. Contamination was strictly avoided by separating DNA isolation, PCR set up, and processing into different rooms, using gloves at all times.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (y)</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Coeliac disease</th>
<th>GFD</th>
<th>Response to GFD</th>
<th>EmA antibodies</th>
<th>AGA antibodies</th>
<th>Grade of enteropathy</th>
<th>Duration of symptoms (months)</th>
<th>Clonality Tu/jejunal in UJ (bp)</th>
<th>Outcome (months)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CD1-8</td>
<td>12-70</td>
<td>6F, 2M</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>6+, Zni</td>
<td>8+</td>
<td>III–IV</td>
<td>2–384</td>
<td>Poly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CoS 1</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>Oligo</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CoS 2</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>Poly</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colass.</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Poly</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVID 3</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Poly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auto E</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>Poly</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RS 1</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>Poly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RS 2</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Poly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RS 3</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>Poly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RS 4</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Poly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RS 5</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Poly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RS 6</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Mo 200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RS–Tu</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>Bi 208,209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RS–Tu</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>ni</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>Poly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RS–Tu</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Mo 205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UJ 1</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Mo 207</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UJ 2</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Mo 211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EITCL 1</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Poly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EITCL 2</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Mo 207</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EITCL 3</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>III–IV</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>Poly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EITCL 4</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Poly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EITCL 5</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>288</td>
<td>Poly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EITCL 6</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>Bi 196,212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EITCL 7</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Poly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EITCL 8</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>mi</td>
<td>ni</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>Mo 210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EITCL 9</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>mi</td>
<td>ni</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>240</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results of antibody tests were undertaken during a normal diet. 
F, female; M, male; CD, coeliac disease; GFD, gluten free diet; EmA, antiendomysium antibodies; AGA, IgA antigliadin antibodies; enteropathy, enteropathy in duodenal biopsies is graded according to the classification by Marsh; duration of symptoms in months until definite diagnosis; outcome, if patients are alive, duration of disease since date of diagnosis is stated in months; CoS, collagenous sprue; Colass., colitis associated sprue syndrome. CVID, common variable immunodeficiency; auto E, autoimmune enteropathy; RS, refractory sprue; RS-Tu, refractory sprue developing EITCL; ni, not investigated; +xy, died after xy months; clonality Tu, results of TCR-γ gene PCR from DNA from the overt lymphoma; poly, polyclonal; mo, monoclonal; len, dominant bands in base pairs; oligo, oligodonal; bi, biclonal; bp, base pairs; UJ, ulcerative jejunitis.
described above employing fluorescence labelled V\textsubscript{1}–8 primers. Deionised formamide (2.0 µl), 0.5 µl of loading buffer, and 0.5 µl of 6-carboxyfluorescein dye labelled DNA size standard buffer mixture (Genescan-500-ROX; Applied Biosystems, Weiterstadt, Germany) were mixed with 1 µl of PCR product and heated for three minutes to 90°C. The samples were immediately chilled and 5.0 µl loaded onto a 6% acrylamide gel containing 6 mmol/l urea and analysed in an automated DNA sequencer 373A. Size determination was performed on a Macintosh computer with the GeneScan software 672 (Applied Biosystems).\textsuperscript{24} Cloinality was defined as the occurrence of one or two dominant bands.

**HISTOLOGY AND IMMUNOHISTOCHEMISTRY**

Endoscopically removed or resected material was fixed in 10% formalin. Villous atrophy was graded according to Marsh and colleagues\textsuperscript{25}: grade I, infiltrative lesion; grade II, infiltrative-hyperplastic lesion; grade III, flat-destructive lesion with subtotal villous atrophy; and grade IV, flat-destructive lesion with total villous atrophy. Sections of formalin fixed paraffin embedded tissue were used for immunohistochemistry applying the immunoalkaline phosphatase method with the following antibodies: βF1 (TCR β chain) from T cell Sciences (Cambridge, USA), 123C3 (CD56) from Monosan (Uden, Netherlands), and 1F6 (CD4), C8-144 (CD8), and CD3 (polyclonal) from Dako (Glostrup, Denmark). Pretreatment was done using high pressure cooking for antigen retrieval in a 10 mmol/l citrate buffer, pH 6.0. Pretreatment for βF1 consisted of proteolytic digestion. IELs and positively stained IELs were counted per 750 epithelial cells under blinded conditions. Results are expressed as (positively stained) IELs per 100 epithelial cells and per cent of positively stained IELs.

**STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

Results were compared using the Mann-Whitney U test and the Kruskal-Wallis test for unpaired samples. Results of patients with refractory sprue due to defined disorders were not evaluated in this test because of different disease entities. For evaluation of TCR-γ gene rearrangement, the χ\textsuperscript{2} test was used.

Specificity was defined as the frequency of a negative test (clonal rearrangement of the TCR-γ gene and/or loss of T cell antigens) in patients without EITCL (coeliac disease and refractory sprue due to defined disorders) and sensitivity as the frequency of a positive test in patients with EITCL (primary overt EITCL, ulcerative jejunitis, and refractory sprue evolving into overt EITCL).

**Results**

**HISTOLOGICAL FINDINGS**

IELs were increased in all patients with EITCL above 40 per 100 epithelial cells. In patient EITCL\textsubscript{8}, overt infiltration of the duodenum by the lymphoma was found on histology and immunohistology. Data from this patient were therefore excluded from the statistical analysis. All patients had grade III–IV enteropathy except for patient EITCL\textsubscript{2} who had grade II enteropathy with a patchy increase in CD56 positive IELs (table 1). Multiple ulcerations without evidence of lymphomatous infiltration were observed in the duodenum of patients with ulcerative jejunitis.

In the first patient with collagenous sprue (CoS\textsubscript{1}), a 35 µm thick subepithelial band-like structure, positive for van Gieson's staining, was seen. In the other patient with collagenous sprue (CoS\textsubscript{2}), subepithelial collagen deposition reached a thickness of 50 µm. In the patient with common variable immunodeficiency, weakly periodic acid-Schiff positive macrophages and a reduced number of plasma cells were found. A non-specific lymphocytic infiltrate was seen in the patient with ulcerative colitis associated sprue syndrome. Finally, the patient with autoimmune enteropathy presented with signs of chronic inflammation in the lamina propria, vacuolisation of the surface epithelium, but no significant increase in IELs.

Patients with coeliac disease showed a dense mononuclear infiltrate in the lamina propria. Median IEL counts in coeliac disease were higher in comparison with the other groups but this difference was not statistically significant (table 1, fig 1A).

**IMMUNOHISTOLOGY**

CD3 staining of the duodenal biopsies confirmed the histological data, except in two cases in which lower numbers of CD3 positive IELs were counted (EITCL\textsubscript{1} and EITCL\textsubscript{2}; fig 1B). In patient EITCL\textsubscript{2}, IELs and tumour cells were CD56 positive. Duodenal biopsies from patients with coeliac disease showed significantly more CD3 positive IELs compared with patients in the EITCL group, and compared with patients with refractory sprue of uncertain cause (fig 1B, fig 2). The numbers of CD3 positive IELs in patients with sprue syndromes due to defined disorders were similar to those of the EITCL group (fig 1B). As the absolute numbers of IELs were very variable, we used the proportion of CD8 or TCR-β positive IELs as a more reliable factor. The proportions of CD8 and TCR-β positive IELs were significantly decreased in patients in the EITCL group and in those with refractory sprue of uncertain cause in comparison with patients with coeliac disease. The proportion of TCR-β positive IELs in patients in the EITCL group was decreased compared with refractory sprue patients of uncertain cause (fig 1F, fig 2). One patient with coeliac disease had low numbers (<50%) of CD8 positive IELs but responded...
to a gluten free diet. Histological examination indicated an improvement after a gluten free diet. This patient is clinically well five years after the initial diagnosis. In three patients with EITCL, TCR-β staining could not be evaluated because the initial staining failed and further tissue was not available. CD4 was expressed only in a small proportion of IELs in all groups of patients (medians (minimum-maximum): EITCL 3 (0–9); refractory sprue of uncertain cause 6 (4–9); refractory sprue due to defined disorders 3 (1–17); and coeliac disease 3 (1–23); p=0.22).

Patients with collagenous sprue had CD3 positive IELs exceeding 40 per 100 epithelial cells. The proportion of CD8 positive IELs was above 50% but TCR-β was only detected in a small percentage of IELs (see fig 1D, F) in both.

Lymphoma tissue of patients with overt EITCL and refractory sprue evolving into EITCL was negative for CD8 in 8/12 cases and negative for the TCR-β in 9/10 cases (in two cases staining for TCR-β was not possible). There was no correlation between the phenotype of the tumour cells and the phenotype of IELs in duodenal biopsies (data not shown).

REARRANGEMENT OF THE TCR-γ GENE
Investigation of TCR-γ gene rearrangement for clonality in duodenal biopsies of patients with coeliac disease and of patients with sprue syndromes due to defined disorders revealed polyclonal results in all cases (fig 1). In duodenal biopsies of 7/13 patients in the EITCL group, amplification revealed clonal rearrangements (p<0.005 compared with the non-EITCL

Figure 1 Absolute numbers of intraepithelial T lymphocytes (IELs) (A), and positively marked IELs with anti-CD3 (B), CD8 (C) and T cell receptor (TCR)-β (E) per 100 epithelial cells are shown. In addition, the percentage of positively marked IELs with anti-CD8 (D) and anti-TCR-β (F) of the total IELs was calculated. Clonal rearrangements of the TCR-γ gene are depicted by filled symbols. The number of CD8 and TCR-β positive IELs in duodenal biopsies of patients with enteropathy-type intestinal T cell lymphomas (EITCLs) were significantly reduced in comparison with patients with coeliac disease (C–F). In most cases, clonality was associated with reduced expression of T cell antigens. RSUC, refractory sprue of uncertain cause; RSDD, refractory sprue due to defined disorders. For other abbreviations see table 1.
Rearrangements of the tumour specimens were clonal with the same length and sequence of amplificate (table 1, fig 3). Both patients with ulcerative jejunitis had a clonal rearrangement in their duodenal biopsy as well as in their whole bowel wall specimen. Two of the three patients who had refractory sprue before developing overt EITCL showed the same clonal amplificate in their duodenal biopsy as that of the subsequent tumour specimen. All patients in the EITCL group with normal CD8 positive IEL counts (>50%) had a polyclonal TCR-γ gene rearrangement in their duodenal biopsies (medians for CD8 positive IELs per 100 epithelial cells: polyclonal 68.5; monoclonal 6 (p<0.05); medians for TCR-β positive IELs: polyclonal 17; monoclonal 13.5 (p=0.22)). The exception was patient EITCL2 whose CD8 positive IELs were mainly CD56 positive and whose duodenal biopsy was monoclonal for the TCR-γ gene rearrangement.

Amplification of the TCR-γ gene revealed clonal rearrangement in only 1/6 patients with refractory sprue of uncertain cause. An abnormal phenotype of IELs—CD8 and TCR-β negativity—was demonstrated in the duodenal biopsy of the monoclonal case (RS6); all other...
The specificity of TCR-γ gene clonality for detection of an EITCL in comparison with coeliac disease and refractory sprue due to defined disorders was 100% but sensitivity was only 62% (table 2).

**Discussion**

In 1998, Cellier *et al* in a seminal paper stated that intestinal IELs from patients with refractory sprue are clonal and display an abnormal phenotype with antigenic loss, including that of CD8 and the β-chain of the TCR. Initially, the precise nature (reactive, neoplastic) of these T cell populations was uncertain. Evidence has now accumulated suggesting that these T cells are an early manifestation of EITCL: some of these refractory sprue patients developed overt EITCL containing the same clonal rearrangements in their overt lymphomas as in the duodenal biopsies. Furthermore, patients with EITCL may show identical clonal rearrangements throughout their intestinal mucosa as in their main tumour. As these data have an important impact on our understanding of the pathogenesis of sprue syndromes and EITCL as well as on the histopathological diagnosis of these diseases, we wished to define the frequency and specificity of these findings in a larger patient group. To test the diagnostic significance of these changes, we concentrated on duodenal biopsies.

We first questioned the specificity and sensitivity of clonality and of the loss of T cell antigens in IELs of duodenal biopsies for the detection of an EITCL as data in this respect are sparse.

Our data revealed that clonality of the TCR-γ gene was highly specific for EITCL without exception, but displayed a rather low sensitivity (54% of patients with EITCL). This low sensitivity might be due to the relatively small number of clonal intraepithelial lymphoma cells in comparison with all other infiltrating reactive lymphocytes that are also investigated in the duodenal biopsy. Dippel *et al* reported a detection limit of 5% of clonal DNA. Immunohistology had a very high sensitivity for detection of an EITCL (decreased TCR-β in IELs 100%) but was less specific. Thus decreased T cell antigen expression was also seen in 31% of patients without EITCL, in particular in patients with refractory sprue due to defined disorders. Decreased expression of CD8 (below 50% of IELs) in the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2</th>
<th>Loss of T cell antigen and T cell receptor γ (TCR-γ) gene clonality in patients with enteropathy-type intestinal T cell lymphoma (EITCL), without EITCL, and in refractory sprue (RS)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Loss of CD8</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EITCL group (n=13)</td>
<td>8 (62%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EITCL (n=8)</td>
<td>4 (50%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UJ (n=2)</td>
<td>2 (100%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RS-Tu (n=3)</td>
<td>2 (67%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-EITCL (n=13)</td>
<td>3 (23%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD (n=8)</td>
<td>1 (12.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSDD (n=5)</td>
<td>2 (40%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RS (n=6)</td>
<td>2 (33%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

†TCR-β was evaluated in only 11 patients in the EITCL group.
*Less than 50% of IELs positive for CD8.
‡Less than 50% of IELs positive for TCR-β.
For further abbreviations, see table 1.
absence of clonality was also found in one patient with coeliac disease who improved clinically and histologically after a gluten free diet and therefore did not suffer from refractory sprue. In view of published data, the most likely explanation for this finding is an expansion of CD8 negative and γδ positive IELs (which are TCR-β negative). Decreased T cell antigen expression in IELs of typical coeliac disease patients indicates that decreased expression of CD8 and/or TCR-β is not sufficient for a diagnosis of refractory sprue (in the sense of early EITCL), as described by Cellier et al, and that clonality analyses are clearly required for these cases. A combination of immunohistology and clonality investigation is recommended for the detection of EITCL. Clearly, demonstration of clonal and/or phenotypically abnormal IELs in duodenal biopsies warrants further clinical investigations as these patients may harbour or develop an overt EITCL. However, the absence of these findings does not exclude the possibility of an EITCL.

Secondly, we were interested in the frequency of clonality of the TCR-γ gene and loss of T cell antigens in cases of refractory sprue of uncertain cause. We found these features among this patient group in only one case. These results appear to contrast with those presented by Cellier et al who demonstrated clonal IELs in all patients with refractory sprue. In our group of patients with refractory sprue however, coeliac disease was present in only one patient who had clonal IELs, whereas all patients described by Cellier et al had serological or clinical evidence of coeliac disease or dermatitis herpetiformis. It is of interest that serological and/or clinical evidence of coeliac disease was also present in all patients in the EITCL group displaying clonal proliferations of phenotypically abnormal IELs in their duodenal biopsies except in the patient with a CD56 positive lymphoma (table 1). These findings imply that refractory sprue associated with clonal IELs and displaying antigenic loss only occurs as a complication of coeliac disease. It is also evident that there is a large group of patients with refractory sprue who do not fit into any defined disease entity, including that of clonal IEL proliferation, and which is not related to coeliac disease. As some of these patients has neither developed clonality nor EITCL over a long observation period, they do not represent early refractory sprue developing into overt EITCL. Some of these patients may suffer from autoimmune enteropathy or some form of protein intolerance which escaped detection.

Clonal populations of phenotypically abnormal IELs were found in our study in 3/8 patients with overt EITCL, in 2/3 patients with refractory sprue evolving into EITCL, and in 2/2 patients with ulcerative jejunitis. Confirming the data of Murray et al and Ashton-Key et al, identical clonal rearrangements were discovered in the overt tumours of patients with EITCL and in the mucosa at different sites in ulcerative jejunitis. Regarding the pathogenesis of EITCL, these findings imply: (1) that overt EITCL may either develop directly from coeliac disease without a precursor lesion (refractory sprue with clonal IELs) which are demonstrable in duodenal biopsies; (2) that in some patients EITCL is preceded/ accompanied by a refractory sprue-like disease which is probably neoplastic from the beginning; and (3) that in these patients refractory sprue-like disease is a complication of coeliac disease.

In summary, our findings concerning CD56 negative EITCL demonstrated that clonal and phenotypically abnormal IELs are an early intraepithelial form of EITCL as the same clonal rearrangements were detectable in the tumours of EITCL which subsequently developed. Furthermore, we demonstrated for the first time that patients with clonal IEL proliferations devoid of T cell antigens are clearly different from other sprue syndromes and forms of refractory sprue, and represent a distinct disease entity for which we suggest the term “sprue-like intestinal T cell lymphoma”. For diagnostic purposes in patients with refractory sprue, it appears that demonstration of TCR-γ gene clonality is highly specific and loss of T cell antigens in duodenal biopsies is highly sensitive in the diagnosis of an EITCL. These results however will have to be confirmed in a prospective study. Thus according to the results presented, a combined immunohistological and molecular genetic approach is necessary as a large number of IELs devoid of CD8 and/or the β-chain of the TCR may also occur in reactive conditions. It is also apparent from our findings that many patients with refractory sprue cannot be assigned to a specific disease category which clearly is a subject for further research.

Note added in proof
During the review process, Cellier et al reported on immunohistochemical and molecular findings of IELs in patients with refractory sprue (Lancet 2000;356:203–8). Although the number of patients without features of “sprue-like intestinal T cell lymphoma” was smaller than in our study, their conclusions concerning “sprue-like intestinal T cell lymphoma” are similar to ours—that is, that this is a neoplastic disorder and a complication of coeliac disease.
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The following are members of the Intestinal Non-Hodgkin Lymphoma Study Group: Dr Bettina Derender, Medizinische Klinik I des Universitätsklinikums Benjamin Franklin, FU Berlin; Professor Dr E Dühmke, Strahlentherapeutische und Radioonkologische Abteilung, Universitätsklinikum Grosshadern, München; Professor Dr W Fischbach, II Medizinische Klinik, Aschaffenburg; Professor Dr H-K Müller-Hermelink, Institut für Pathologie der Universität Würzburg, Würzburg; Professor Dr C Ohmann, Koordinierungszentrum für Klinische Studien der Heinrich-Heine-Universität, Düsseldorf; Professor Dr A Thiede, Chirurgische Klinik der Universität Würzburg, Würzburg; Professor Dr E Thiel,
Medizinische Klinik III des Universitätsklinikums Benjamin Franklin, Berlin; Professor Dr Verreet, Zentrum für Chirurgie, Krefeld; Professor Dr K Wilms, Medizinische Poliklinik der Universität Würzburg, Würzburg.
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