IL-10 therapy in Crohn’s disease: at the crossroads
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Treatment of Crohn’s disease with the anti-inflammatory cytokine interleukin 10

Interleukin 10 (IL-10) was initially discovered and isolated on the basis of its ability to suppress cytokine synthesis by Th1 helper cells. Macrophages and their secreted mediators are the primary target of IL-10. IL-10 downregulates expression of class II and B7 molecules, as well as IL-12 production, thus impairing the macrophage dependent stimulation of antigen reactive Th-1 cells.

The important regulatory role of IL-10 in the gut became obvious when IL-10 deficient mice (IL-10−/−), generated by gene targeting, developed chronic enterocolitis. More interestingly, IL-10−/− mice kept under germfree conditions do not develop enterocolitis, which suggests that in the absence of the immunomodulatory effects of IL-10, an unrestricted intestinal inflammatory response develops towards normal enteric antigens. The observations in the IL-10−/− mice lay the foundation for administration of IL-10 in several animal models. The results of these studies clearly showed prevention of intestinal inflammation by IL-10, mainly by downregulation of a intestinal proinflammatory Th1 response. However, systemic IL-10 administration was successful only when administered prior to the initiation of colitis but was ineffective at reversing any established inflammation.

IL-10: THE CLINICAL EXPERIENCE

Based on the successful experimental findings in animal models of intestinal inflammation, IL-10 therapy was introduced as a potential new anti-inflammatory therapy in Crohn’s disease (CD). Several large multicentre trials were performed testing multiple IL-10 dosages in patients with mild/moderate or therapy refractory CD, as well as in patients undergoing curative ileal or ileocolonic resection to prevent endoscopic postoperative recurrence by systemic administration. All data indicate that IL-10 therapy is safe and well tolerated. But IL-10 treatment did not result in significantly higher remission rates or clinical improvement compared with placebo treatment. There are several explanations for the disappointment with this therapeutic strategy:

(i) With the administered dose of IL-10 in the clinical trials, the ultimate local IL-10 concentrations in the intestine could be too low to result in downregulation of inflammation. Unfortunately, increasing the dose of systemically administered IL-10 is limited due to side effects (for example, anaemia, headache).

(ii) IL-10 administration is only successful for preventing and not treating an established disease, as was suggested by the results of the animal experiments.

(iii) Administration of IL-10 alone fails to effectively suppress the dysregulation of the wide variety of proinflammatory mediators that are involved in the perpetuation of chronic intestinal inflammation.

(iv) The immunostimulatory properties of IL-10 on B cells and on interferon γ (IFN-γ) production by CD4+, CD8+, and/or natural killer cells counterbalance its immunosuppressive properties.

In this issue of Gut, data are presented which may explain, at least in part, the dilemma of IL-10 therapy in CD [see page 191]. Tilg et al have investigated the influence of subcutaneous administration of various doses of human recombinant IL-10 on lymphocytic IFN-γ production and lipopolysaccharide (LPS) induced tumour necrosis factor (TNF) secretion by macrophages in whole blood assays as well as on serum neopterin and nitrite/nitrate levels. The study was conducted using samples from two multicentre therapeutic trials in patients with steroid dependent chronic active CD and patients with mild to moderately active CD.

In patients treated with the highest dose of IL-10 (20 µg/kg), the study described a significant increase in neopterin, which is produced by human monocytes/macrophages in response to IFN-γ, as well as an increase in phytohaemagglutinin induced IFN-γ production compared with pretreatment levels. Furthermore, LPS induced TNF-α production was dose dependently downregulated by IL-10. Neither the elevation in neopterin or IFN-γ nor suppression of TNF correlated with the clinical response of the patients, which may also reflect the divergence of the clinical (Crohn’s disease activity index) and immunological (for example, proinflammatory mediators) readouts in trials employing cytokine or anticytokine strategies.

The immunopotentiating effects of IL-10 found by Tilg et al are corroborated by a study in healthy volunteers subjected to experimental endotoxaemia. Systemic IL-10 treatment enhanced endotoxin (LPS) induced IFN-γ release as well as the IFN-γ dependent chemokines IFN-γ inducible protein 10 (IP-10) and monokine induced by IFN-γ (MIG). The stimulatory effects were most pronounced when IL-10 administration was performed one hour after the LPS challenge.

IL-10 THERAPY IN CD: A DEAD END?

What then are the lessons to be learned from the experimental and clinical experiences with IL-10?

The clinical studies published so far clearly indicate no relevant advantage of systemic IL-10 therapy compared with placebo in active and postoperative CD. Furthermore, as the results of Tilg et al indicate, higher doses of systemically administered IL-10 (which were also used in the clinical trials) may be detrimental rather than helpful. Nevertheless, the concept of rebalancing the intestinal immunological homeostasis with IL-10 is still very compelling and applying IL-10 locally in high concentrations may result in strong immunosuppression and circumvent the systemic side effects. So far we do not know whether high IL-10 concentrations also have immunostimulatory properties in the intestine as the study of Tilg et al was performed using only whole blood or serum. Furthermore, as indicated above, IL-10 prevented intestinal inflammation in animal studies but could never completely cure an established disease, indicating that IL-10 therapy in CD would succeed rather in preventing relapses than abolishing acute or chronic inflammation.

Recently, a novel compelling approach of local IL-10 therapy, which could also be used as a long term therapeutic approach, has been described. Steidler et al demonstrated that intragastric administration of an IL-10 secreting Lactobacillus lactis, generated by genetic engineering, caused a significant reduction in colitis in two different mouse models. Thus with dietary supplementation it may be possible to deliver high concentrations of IL-10 within the gut, thus preventing the recurrence of CD. However, this work has just proved a therapeutic principle and there is still a long way to go until such a concept can be evaluated in clinical studies.
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The beneficial effects of infliximab, the tumour necrosis factor antibody, in Crohn's disease may be mediated by apoptosis of activated mucosal T cells

The advent of new biological agents for the treatment of autoimmune and chronic inflammatory disorders is drastically altering the approach to management while setting higher standards for therapeutic expectations. Only a fraction of the new biological agents keeps the promise of improved efficacy and specificity but the few that do can generate impressive results as we are currently witnessing for anti-tumour necrosis factor (TNF-α) therapy in rheumatoid arthritis and Crohn's disease. Based on these results, a number of other conditions where TNF-α biological activity may play a pathogenic role, such as psoriasis, sarcoidosis, spondyloarthritis, Behçet's syndrome, and sepsis, are being treated using TNF-α blocking antibodies with variable but generally positive results. Since the first report of the use of infliximab in human disease, the literature has swelled to over 200 publications on practical applications and theoretical considerations of this humanised antibody. In this enormous body of information however, disappointingly little is found on the mechanisms of action of infliximab. Almost invariably the optimism caused by the feeling of finally having discovered a magic bullet against unyielding diseases causes all interest and resources to be shifted to more clinical trials. Although this reaction is understandable, all too often it comes at the expense of investigating mechanisms of action that would ultimately lead to a safer and more reliable use of the biological agent, or even the discovery of better biomarkers. Thus the study of ten Hove et al in this issue of Gut, describing induction of mucosal T cell apoptosis during infliximab treatment of Crohn's disease, is a welcome and necessary complement to our still incomplete knowledge of the effect and manipulation of TNF-α in chronic intestinal inflammation [see page 206].

The in vivo action of infliximab has been more extensively explored in rheumatoid arthritis where blocking of TNF-α alters production of interleukin (IL)-6, IL-8, monocyte chemotactic protein 1, vascular endothelial growth factor, matrix metalloproteinases 1 and 3, angiogenesis, and the recruitment of inflammatory cells. Targeting of these and other activities is also of obvious importance in Crohn's disease in view of the broad role of TNF-α in mucosal inflammation. Unfortunately, except for the demonstration of a reduction in CD4+, CD8+ T cells, and CD68+ monocytes, downregulation of cell adhesion molecules, and decrease in IL-4 and TNF-α+ cells in the gut, little else has been published on the cellular and molecular effects of infliximab in patients with Crohn's disease. The aetio- and pathogenesis of Crohn's disease is still uncertain but there is good evidence to indicate that this condition falls into the category of disease associated with defective T cell apoptosis, a fundamental mechanism of immune homeostasis indispensable to the maintenance of health. Without proper control of apoptosis, the complex process regulating proliferation and death of naive and memory T cells during an immune response goes awry, and an inappropriate accumulation of T cells ensues in the tissues and leads to inflammation. This deleterious series of events appears to occur in Crohn's disease, as indicated by studies showing that mucosal T cells are resistant to multiple apoptotic stimuli and have a reduced expression of the proapoptotic Bax protein, while an imbalance between Bax and the antiapoptotic Bcl-2 protein is present in the inflamed mucosa. Therefore, it is reasonable to assume that eliminating excessive T cells could restore the gut to its normal state of physiological inflammation or, at least, a state of controlled inflammation (fig 1). Strong evidence for this effect is provided by animal models where experimental colitis is abrogated by induction of increased T cell apoptosis with IL-12 antibodies, blockade of IL-6 trans signalling, or deletion of CD44v7+ cells. Based on the above reasoning and experimental evidence, ten Hove et al hypothesised that infliximab, in addition to neutralising soluble TNF-α, could improve Crohn's disease by inducing apoptosis of mucosal T cells. To test this hypothesis, the authors measured markers of activation and cell death in peripheral and mucosal T cells of patients with clinically active Crohn's disease receiving a therapeutic infusion of infliximab. In patients with a clinical response they found only minor changes in the properties and apoptosis of circulating T cells while the number of apoptotic cells, primarily CD3+ T cells, significantly increased in mucosal biopsies taken 24 hours after the start of treatment. They complemented these observations by demonstrating that infliximab could induce in vitro apoptosis of activated but not resting Jurkat T cells. As mucosal T cells in active Crohn's disease are in an enhanced state of activation, the authors concluded that the beneficial effects of infliximab may be mediated by killing of activated mucosal T cells (fig 1). This conclusion is warranted even though in vitro studies on infliximab mediated apoptosis of resting and activated peripheral blood and gut intraepithelial T cells were not performed. The results could have reinforced the conclusion reached by the authors, and shed some light on whether defective apoptosis in Crohn's disease is an intrinsic systemic defect or one that is only detectable on exposure of T cells to the immunological challenges of the mucosa.

A number of interesting issues, questions, and speculations are raised by this work. For starters, as ten Hove et al point out, the exact mechanism of infliximab mediated killing of mucosal T cells remains to be explored, especially knowing that apoptosis is not induced by direct in vitro exposure of these cells to TNF-α. Is induction of mucosal T cell apoptosis the only mechanism responsible for the beneficial effects of infliximab? Most likely not in view of the multiplicity of biological activities of TNF-α and this antibody. Whether induction of apoptosis is the dominant mechanism of action should be ascertained in the near future once studies similar to the one reported in this issue of Gut are repeated in other diseases that also benefit from TNF-α blockade. Finally, if indeed killing of activated T cells is the modus operandi of infliximab, this could have broad therapeutic implications. In fact, any condition characterised by increased numbers of activated T cells may profit from killing of these cells in the affected organs. There is preliminary evidence that infliximab provides clinical benefit for some patients with steroid refractory ulcerative colitis, which is also characterised by high numbers of activated T cells in the mucosa. Expansion of the ten Hove study to ulcerative colitis and other chronic inflammatory conditions should provide rather interesting answers to the questions and speculations raised in this commentary.
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