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Background: Glutathione (GSH) and the cytosolic glutathione S-transferases (GSTs) protect the gastrointestinal mucosa against the toxic effects of a wide variety of compounds, such as reactive oxygen species and electrophiles. Aims: We wished to investigate the distribution along the upper gastrointestinal mucosa and the influence of clinical variables on components of the GST system to learn more about factors which control its cytoprotective properties.

Methods: Antral and duodenal biopsies of normal appearing mucosa were collected from 202 patients (104 males, 98 females; mean age 62 years) undergoing upper gastrointestinal endoscopy. GST content was examined by high pressure liquid chromatography, GST enzyme activity by 1-chloro-, 2, 4-dinitrobenzene conjugation, and levels of the GST classes alpha, pi, and theta by western blot.

Results: GST, GST enzyme activity, and GST alpha levels were significantly lower (p<0.001) in the antrum than in the duodenum (antrum v duodenum: GST 23.0 (0.7) v 35.0 (1.0) nmol/mg protein; GST activity 626 (19) v 832 (22) nmol/mg protein/min; GST alpha 4.5 (0.5) v 20.0 (0.7) µg/mg protein) while GST pi content was significantly higher (p<0.001) in antral than in duodenal biopsies (16.5 (0.7) v 11.2 (0.5) µg/mg protein). Antral GST and GST activities were markedly lower in males compared with females (p<0.01). Some drugs (cisapride, diuretics, cortisol, analgesics) increased GST pi and GST alpha content but cytostatic drugs suppressed duodenal GST activity. High intake (>3 days a week) of vegetables enhanced duodenal GST alpha and GST pi and high intake of fruits the antral content of GST theta1.

Conclusions: The gastrointestinal GSH system represents the antioxidative barrier of the mucosa; its activity is influenced by localisation, sex, and drugs, and its enzymes are stimulated by a high intake of vegetables and fruits.

Abbreviations: GSH, glutathione; GST, glutathione S-transferase; GSTA, GST alpha; GSTP, GST pi; GSTT, GST theta; NSAIDs, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs; PBS, phosphate buffered saline
selective advantage to tumour cells. Increased expression of classes alpha and mu GSTs have also been detected in refractory tumours and drug resistant cell lines.

However, data on the distribution of GSH, GST activity, and classes alpha and mu along the upper gastrointestinal tract are limited, partially due to the relatively small number of studies previously conducted and their modest sample sizes. Therefore, we investigated the distribution and individual variations in the components of the intestinal glutathione system (GSH, GST activity, GSTA, GSTF, and GSTT1 and GSTT2) in the antral and duodenal mucosa of a large patient population. We also collected clinical data from individual patients and these variables were related to the parameters of the GSH system.

**METHODS**

**Patients, biopsies, and tissue preparation**

Two to three biopsies of both the antral and duodenal mucosa were obtained from 202 patients (104 males and 98 females; age range 16–92 years; mean age 62 (1) years) undergoing routine upper gastrointestinal endoscopy at the General Hospital of Gross Gerau, Germany. Biopsy specimens were taken from mucosal tissue of normal appearance using standard fibreglass video endoscopes from either Pentax (Video Pentax EG 290 P; Pentax GmbH, Hamburg, Germany) or Olympus (Olympus XQ 20; Olympus GmbH, Hamburg, Germany). Biopsy tissue was washed in ice cold 0.9% NaCl, quickly frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at −80°C until further use. Immediately after endoscopy, a standardised form was completed for each patient which contained information on age, sex, diagnosis, drug therapy, family history, laboratory parameters, and endoscopic findings, including a food frequency questionnaire. Vegetables (cucumber, cabbage, broccoli, asparagus, spinach, peppers, beans, peas, cauliflower, brussels sprouts) and green salad were consumed either 1–3 days a week (low intake) or 4–7 days a week (high intake). Fruit intake (apples, bananas, grapes, pears, berries, pine apples, plums, cherries) was considered low (1–3 days a week) or high (4–7 days a week). Potatoes, onions, garlic, spices, rice, and bread were examined separately. All information was collected prospectively by interview of the patient and using clinical data charts. Patient demographics are summarised in table 1. All patients provided written informed consent. The protocol for the study was approved by the ethics committee of the University of Dresden, Germany.

All biopsies were transported on dry ice within 12 hours. Just before use, biopsies (about 30 mg) were rapidly thawed and homogenised on ice in five volumes of homogenising buffer (250 mM sucrose, 20 mM Tris HCl, 1 mM dithiothreitol, pH 7.4) using small plastic tissue grinders. The homogenates were centrifuged at 150 000 g for 50 minutes at 4°C. The supernatant was frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −20°C in small aliquots until analysis. Part of the supernatant was also diluted with 10% trichloroacetic acid for use in determining GSH content.

**Biochemical assays**

Biochemical analysis of the specimens was performed at the University Hospital St Radboud, Nijmegen, the Netherlands. Protein content was assayed in duplicate using the method of Lowry et al with bovine serum albumin as standard. GST enzyme activity with 1-chloro-2,4-dinitrobenzene as substrate was determined at 25°C according to Habig and colleagues. Total GSH was quantified by high performance liquid chromatography after reaction with monobromobimane using a modification of the method of Fahey and Newton. GST (containing GSTA1 and GSTA2) and GSTF levels were determined using ELISAs, as recently described. Briefly, polystyrene 96 well microtitre plates (Greiner, Alphen a/d Rijn, the Netherlands) were incubated with 100 µl of phosphate buffered saline (PBS) containing GSTA or GSTF monoclonal antibodies, as developed by Peters and colleagues. After coating for 12 hours at 4°C, unbound antibodies were removed, and the plates were incubated at room temperature with PBS-Tween containing 1% bovine serum albumin to block non-specific binding. One hour later, the plates were washed three times with wash buffer (PBS containing 0.05% Tween 20) and incubated for 12 hours at room temperature with either (i) standard amounts of purified GSTA and GSTF in ELISA buffer (consisting of 10% normal human plasma heat treated at 60°C for one hour; 20 mM EDTA; PBS; 0.05% Tween 20), (ii) test cytosol diluted in ELISA buffer, or (iii) control cytosol with a known content of GSTA or GSTF. After washing three times, the plates were incubated for three hours with a polyclonal rabbit antihuman GSTA or GSTF antibody (prepared at the Department of Gastroenterology, University Hospital St Radboud, Nijmegen, the Netherlands) diluted in PBS-Tween containing 1% bovine serum albumin (for anti-GSTA) or in PBS-Tween 10% normal human plasma (for anti-GSTF). The proteins were then washed again three times and incubated for two hours at room temperature with swine antirabbit IgG antibody conjugated with peroxidase, diluted 2000-fold with PBS containing 1% bovine serum albumin. After washing five times, the peroxidase substrate ortho-phenylenediamine in phosphate citrate buffer (0.1 M citrate, 0.2 M NaH2PO4; pH 5.0) with 0.01% H2O2 was added and the plates were incubated at room temperature for 15 minutes. The resulting colour reaction was stopped by adding 100 µl of 4 M H2SO4 to each well. Optical density was measured at 492 nm with background subtraction at 620 nm. The detection limits for GSTA and GSTF were 0.04 and 0.2 ng/ml, respectively. All calibration curves and samples were measured in duplicate. Concentrations were calculated using a four parameter weighted logistic regression model with special software developed at

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1 Patient characteristics and endoscopic findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Characteristics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (y)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body weight (kg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endoscopic diagnosis (n)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erythematous gastritis only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastrintestinal erosions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastrintestinal ulcers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other conditions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Values are mean (SEM) [range].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI, body mass index.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Results

Amounts of GSH, GSTA, and GSTP, as well as values for GST activity in the antral and duodenal mucosa are shown in figs 1 and 2. When the data were plotted as frequency histograms, we found that these parameters were normally distributed (data not shown). Total GSH content, GSTA content, and GST activity were significantly lower (p<0.001) in the antrum than in the duodenum. In contrast, GSTP content was significantly higher in antral compared with duodenal biopsies (p<0.001). The ratio GSTP/GSTA was 23.6 (4.9) in the antrum compared with only 0.9 (0.1) in the duodenum. In antral biopsies, GST activity was significantly correlated with antral GSTP content (r=0.3; p<0.001) as well as with antral GSTA values (r=0.2; p<0.01) but not with GSH content. In the duodenum, GST activity was significantly correlated with duodenal GSTA content and antral GST content (both r=0.4; p<0.001).

In eight of the 202 patients, we were also able to determine the same parameters of the glutathione system in the mucosa of the transverse and sigmoid colon. A similar distribution pattern was found for GSH content, GST enzyme activity, and levels of GSTA isoenzymes: duodenum >antrum >sigmoid colon >transverse colon (data not shown). In contrast, expression of GSTP isoenzymes was highest in the antrum and decreased in the proximal to distal direction. In earlier studies involving smaller groups of patients, a similar distribution for the GST enzyme activity pattern was described.9 10 13 14 15 16

Marked sex related differences were observed with respect to antral GSH content and GST activity: values in females were significantly higher (both p<0.01) than in males (table 2). Using the multivariate ANOVA method to test the impact of the clinical variables shown in table 1, we found that sex was an independent variable with significant influence on antral GSH and GST. To validate these results, possible sex differences were also examined among endoscopically matched groups (n=166; 83 males, 83 females). Antral GST content was significantly higher in females compared with males (25.0 (1.1) v 21.6 (0.9) nmol/mg protein; p<0.01) as was antral GST activity (688 (35) v 563 (23) nmol/min/mg protein; p<0.01). In addition, the difference in antral GST activity between

---

**Figure 1** Antral and duodenal glutathione S-transferase (GST) activity and glutathione (GSH) content. Antral and duodenal GST activities were 626.5 (9.3) and 832.0 (22.2) nmol/mg protein min, respectively (p<0.001). Antral and duodenal GSH content were 23.0 (0.7) and 35.1 (1.0) nmol/mg protein, respectively (p<0.001).

**Figure 2** Antral and duodenal glutathione S-transferase alpha (GSTA) and pi (GSTP) content. Antral and duodenal GSTA content were 4.5 (0.5) and 20.0 (0.7) µg/mg protein, respectively (p<0.001). Antral and duodenal GSTP content were 16.5 (0.7) and 11.2 (0.5) µg/mg protein, respectively (p<0.001).
Glutathione peroxidases are among the principal protective enzymes of the body, responsible for maintaining the intracellular GSH levels and scavenging harmful reactive oxygen species. These enzymes, including GSTs, work in concert to combat oxidative stress and protect the cell against various noxious agents. However, while studies on GSTs have been extensive, sex differences in their expression and activity are not well understood.

In a recent study by Hoensch, Morgenstern, Petereit, et al, published in Gut, the distribution of GSH-related parameters was compared between males and females in the antrum and duodenum. The study aimed to investigate the sex-related differences in the human mucosal glutathione (GSH) system in the antrum and duodenum. The authors found that the sex difference was restricted to the stomach mucosa and not the duodenum, indicating that the phases I and II detoxification enzymes in the duodenum were found. GSTs in the colon and skin.

**Table 2** Sex related differences in the human mucosal glutathione (GSH) system in the antrum and duodenum†

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GSH parameter</th>
<th>Males (n=104)</th>
<th>Females (n=98)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GSH content (nmol/mg protein)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antrum</td>
<td>21.2 (0.8)</td>
<td>24.8 (1.0)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duodenum</td>
<td>34.2 (1.4)</td>
<td>36.2 (1.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GST activity (nmol/min/mg protein)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antrum</td>
<td>576 (21)</td>
<td>679 (32)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duodenum</td>
<td>813 (30)</td>
<td>851 (33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSTA content (µg/mg protein)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antrum</td>
<td>4.2 (0.5)</td>
<td>4.8 (0.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duodenum</td>
<td>20.4 (1.0)</td>
<td>19.5 (1.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSTP content (µg/mg protein)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antrum</td>
<td>15.3 (0.9)</td>
<td>17.7 (1.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duodenum</td>
<td>11.3 (0.7)</td>
<td>11.1 (0.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean (SEM). †Matched for endoscopic diagnosis. **Significantly different from antral value in males, p<0.01.

**Table 3** Sex related differences in glutathione S-transferase theta1 (GSTT1) and theta2 (GSTT2) in the human mucosal GSH system

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GSTT1 content (µg/mg protein)</th>
<th>Males</th>
<th>Females</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Antrum</td>
<td>37.2 (3.1)</td>
<td>44.0 (3.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duodenum</td>
<td>37.6 (3.0)</td>
<td>42.4 (3.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSTT2 content (µg/mg protein)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antrum</td>
<td>8.9 (1.1)</td>
<td>11.7 (1.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duodenum</td>
<td>8.1 (0.8)</td>
<td>9.9 (1.5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean (SEM). Significant differences were found only between antrum and duodenum.

**DISCUSSION**

Cellular GSH and related enzymes such as GST and glutathione peroxidases are among the principal protective mechanisms against endogenous and exogenous toxic substances and free radical mediated damage in gastric and intestinal mucosa as well as in other tissues. GSH homeostasis at the cellular level is maintained by the balance between biosynthesis, uptake, oxidation, reduction, and export. In the present study, GSH content, GST enzyme activity, and levels of the GST classes alpha, pi, and theta in the antral and duodenal mucosa were investigated in patients undergoing upper gastrointestinal endoscopy for various clinical reasons. Intra-cellular GSH concentration was found to be quite low compared with the high enzymatic GST activity (Vmax) measured in vitro. However, the GSH level in vivo is sufficient for various GST-dependent enzymes and is well maintained by rapid resynthesis (GSSG reductase and GSH synthetase) as well as by GSH uptake into enterocytes from the luminal and vascular site.

Significant differences in the distribution of the parameters of the glutathione system in the mucosa of the antrum and duodenum were found. GSH content, GST activity, and GSTA content were lower and GSTP content higher in the antrum compared with the duodenum.

The duodenum and jejunum are the main sites of absorption of xenobiotics and nutrients while the stomach shows little absorptive activity. Therefore, the increased capacity of the GSH system in the duodenum may serve to protect duodenal tissue from toxic compounds. Alternatively, natural nutritional inducers (for example, flavonoids, indoles, allyl sulphides) may enhance the activity of the intestinal GSH system to build up a protective barrier against noxious agents such as carcinogens and electrophilic drugs.

Previously, we demonstrated an inverse correlation between tumour incidence and GST activity by plotting the estimated epithelial GST enzyme activity in different loci of the human gastrointestinal tract against the tumour incidence at different sites. A low GST activity may therefore be a relevant factor in increased tumour risk.

In line with several previous studies on intestinal carcinogenesis, we did not find any influence of age on the GSH system in the mucosa of the upper gastrointestinal tract using correlation analysis and plotting scattergrams. However, other investigations have provided evidence for diminution of GSH content and GST activity in gastric mucosa with increasing age. It has been speculated that this could contribute to age related carcinogenesis as the detoxification potential of colonic epithelial cells decreases in parallel with GST activity. Others have also shown that GST activity in the liver of elderly persons is 84% of that in younger subjects. It is possible that the lifespan of the enterocyte (3–4 days) is too short for expression of age effects.

In our study, a significant difference in antral GSH content and GST activity between males and females was found, with higher values detected in females (see table 2). Interestingly, the sex difference was restricted to the stomach mucosa and not the duodenum. The higher GST activity of the antral mucosa in females could be due to enhanced expression of antral GSTT1 in females which however did not reach statistical significance (p=0.068) for data matched for endoscopic diagnosis (p=0.032 for unmatched samples). Previously, we reported significantly higher levels of GSTA in the livers of females versus males.

It is also known that the phase I cytochrome P450 detoxification enzymes show sex related differences in their expression. Higher P450 monooxygenase activity has been reported in the jejunal mucosa of males than in females.

Singhal et al demonstrated sex differences in 10 patients with respect to expression of GSTs in the colon and skin. They found a 1.6-fold higher activity of colon GST in females compared with males. GST isolated from both sexes also differed in the stability and kinetics of inhibition. Temellini et al, studying 68 patients with respect to renal cortex and lung tissue, also found higher activity of GSTs in females than in males. However, the data...
in the literature are inconsistent. Loguerico et al did not find any differences in the activity of GSTs in gastric mucosa between males and females.10,11 In the colonic mucosa, Szarka et al found no association of GST parameters with patient sex.12 However, the number of investigated patients in the above cited studies was considerably lower than in the present study. Numerous studies in rodents have shown that GSTs are subject to sex specific or ontogenetic variations.3 When examining the influence of the menopause, the difference in antral GST activity was greater between males and females before the menopause compared with after the menopause.

Limited studies have indicated a role for thyroxin, pituitary growth hormone, and testosterone in sex dependent expression of GST isoenzymes by pretranslational mechanisms in adult rat liver.13,47 Lavoie and Chessex described higher intracellular GST content in human neonatal tissues from females compared with males.48 Taking into consideration that the glutathione system is a central mechanism in the defence against toxic free radicals and carcinogens, it may be hypothesised that specific tissues in females are potentially better protected than those in males. However, it is also possible that there is enhanced oxidant stress in the antral stomach mucosa of females and the increased GSH system capacity indicates an adaptive and compensatory response of this tissue.

Animal studies have shown that drugs can profoundly affect the intestinal GSH system35 but studies in humans with clinically relevant drugs have not yet been published. Most animal studies indicated an inducing effect of dietary exogenous compounds on intestinal GST activity. The mucosal GSH concentration itself is barely inducible. We found that important drugs such as insulin, heparin, and cortisol derivatives enhance predominantly GSTP and less markedly GSTA and GSTT1 levels (table 4). Much less effective in this regard are analgesic (paracetamol, metamizol) and diuretic drugs. In a unique way, cisapride treatment is associated with higher activities of the GST in the antrum and duodenum while cytostatic drugs seem to depress this enzyme. The majority of the observed drug effects may be unspecific but some may indicate enhanced detoxification capacity (cisapride) and decreased tissue resistance (cytostatics) of the gastrointestinal mucosa. NSAIDs had no appreciable gross effect on the mucosal GSH system but time and dose dependent effects were observed. Initial depression of mucosal GSH content was dose dependent and could be explained by tissue depletion of GSH due to a drug specific effect followed by restoration and adaptation over time (data not shown). NSAIDs only affected the antral but not the duodenal mucosa.

Vegetable consumption is known to induce the GST enzyme system both in human36 and animal studies.49 While high fruit intake seems to enhance only the GSTT1 level of the antral mucosa, vegetable diets lead to higher duodenal GSTA and GSTP levels. Comparison of high and low consumption of potatoes, onions, garlic, spices, rice, and bread did not reveal significant differences in GSH and its enzymes. Epidemiological data demonstrate that vegetables protect us from neoplasia of the colon.50 Therefore, our data may indicate that induction of intestinal GST is beneficial and could be used as a marker of cytoprotection.49 While GSTA and GSTP expression are related to specific exogenous factors and constitute a response of the differentiated mature function of the enterocytes of the mucosa, GSTT1 and GSTT2 levels are not influenced by sex or localisation. Most likely, GST class theta enzymes play a role as precursors of the specified functionally committed enzyme species.

In conclusion, the GSH system of the upper gastrointestinal tract most likely serves as an antioxidant barrier for the mucosa thus preventing the noxious effects of dietary toxins at their portal of entry. Increased expression of GST enzymes by higher intake of vegetables and fruits could be beneficial and may lead to chemoprotection of the gut mucosa.
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