Microprocessor controlled movement of solid colonic content using sequential neural electrical stimulation
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Background and aims: Invoked peristaltic contractions and movement of solid content have not been attempted in normal canine colon. The purpose of this study was to determine if movement of solid content through the colon could be produced by microprocessor controlled sequential stimulation.

Methods: The study was performed on six anaesthetised dogs. At laparotomy, a 15 cm segment of descending colon was selected, the proximal end closed with a purse string suture, and the distal end opened into a collecting container. Four sets of subserosal stimulating electrodes were implanted at 3 cm intervals. The segment of bowel was filled with a mixture of dog food and 50 plastic pellets before each of 2–5 random sessions of non-stimulated or stimulated emptying. Propagated contractions were generated using microprocessor controlled bipolar trains of 50 Hz rectangular voltage having 20 V (peak to peak) amplitude, 18 second stimulus duration, and a nine second phase lag between stimulation trains in sequential electrode sets.

Results: Electrical stimulation using the above mentioned parameters resulted in powerful phasic contractions that closed the lumen. By phase locking the stimulation voltage between adjacent sets of electrodes, propagated contractions could be produced in an aboral or orad direction. The number of evacuated pellets during the stimulation sessions was significantly higher than during the non-stimulated sessions ($p<0.01$).

Conclusions: Microprocessor controlled electrical stimulation accelerated movement of colonic content suggesting the possibility of future implantable colonic stimulators.

In 1963, Bilgutay and colleagues$^1$ described accelerated recovery from postoperative ileus when the stomach was stimulated at high electrical frequency (HF). Although others could not confirm these results, there have been several subsequent attempts to use electrical stimulation as an alternative treatment for gastrointestinal motility disorders. Three different methods of stimulation have been described.

The first method consists of electrical stimulation at similar or slightly higher frequencies than the electrical control activity (ECA) of the studied organ.$^2,7$ As the basic intrinsic oscillation of the membrane potential controls the occurrence of contractions in the intestinal smooth muscle, this approach is aimed to entrain and synchronise ECA.$^3$ For the stomach, this type of stimulation was labelled gastric electrical pacing by Sarna and colleagues.$^7$ Although entrainment of ECA has been achieved using electrical pacing (EP) in different segments of the gastrointestinal tract,$^4$ its effect on contractions and movement of content is questionable. In normal canine stomachs, no significant improvement in gastric emptying has been documented with the use of EP Sarna and colleagues$^7$ showed that proximal gastric EP entrained pacesetter potentials but did not improve gastric emptying. However, distal antral stimulation caused oral propagation of ECA and delayed gastric emptying. Kelly and Code$^7$ confirmed these findings applying EP to the proximal duodenum with no effect on gastric emptying. Distal duodenal EP produced oral propagation of ECA causing duodenal-gastric reflux and delaying gastric emptying. Eagon and Kelly$^7$ studied the effect of gastric EP at frequencies of 6 and 30 cycles/minute on gastric motility and emptying, reporting an increase in the frequency of pacesetter potentials but no significant effect on gastric contractions and emptying. However, Bellahsene and colleagues,$^7$ in a model of gastroparesis in five vagotomised dogs with glucagon invoked dysrhythmia, showed acceleration of gastric emptying using gastric EP but no effect was documented without the artificially created dysrhythmia. In humans, the effect of gastric EP on emptying is controversial. In 17 postoperative patients, Hocking and colleagues$^7$ reported no improvement in emptying using gastric EP. In contrast, in a recent study by McCallum and colleagues$^7$ performed in nine patients with gastroparesis, gastric EP improved emptying and diminished symptoms.

A second method of stimulation has been described by Familoni and colleagues.$^8$ They stimulated the canine stomach with frequencies 4–10 times the normal ECA (12–30 cycles/minute). The amplitude and frequency of contractions using this technique were significantly higher than those obtained using electrical stimulation at physiological frequencies.$^9$ Improvement in symptoms and gastric emptying was documented in one patient with refractory diabetic gastroparesis using electrical stimulation at 12 cycles/minute.$^{10}$

The third method uses electrical stimulation at HF (10–40 Hz).$^{10,11}$ It has been used successfully to improve colonic transit in a cat model with spinal cord injury,$^{12}$ to empty ileal pouches in dogs,$^{13}$ and to create an electrically stimulated neo-anal sphincter in a canine model.$^{13}$

In our previous studies of the stomach,$^{14,15}$ we have proposed a new fourth stimulation technique based on sequential electrical stimulation at HF (50 Hz) utilising sets of circumferentially implanted electrodes. The contractions elicited by this method$^{15}$ were abolished by atropine and therefore can be considered under cholinergic control. The effectiveness of this method was illustrated by accelerated microprocessor controlled gastric emptying of liquids$^{14}$ and solids$^{15}$ in acute dogs. Microprocessor controlled stimulation is facilitated by theoretical mathematical modelling of the
smooth muscle electromechanical dynamics in order to develop a quantitative description of the synchronisation and propagation contraction patterns in the colon for the purpose of their recreation. We have described such computer models for the stomach and colon.

The purpose of this study was to determine if movement of solid colonic content could be achieved using microprocessor controlled sequential electrical stimulation in the colon.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Six healthy dogs (four males, two females; weight 28.6 (7.8) kg; length 114 (19) cm; body mass index 22.12 (3.39) kg/m²) underwent laparotomy under Pentothal anaesthesia (Abbott, Montreal, Quebec, Canada). The initial dosage of anaesthesia was 30 mg/kg, supplemented with 3 mg/kg as needed based on the corneal reflex. Artificial ventilation was given during all experiments. At the end of the experiment the animal was sacrificed with an anaesthetic overdose.

A 15 cm segment of the descending colon was chosen preserving intact the mesenteric innervation and blood supply. In this segment, four sets of locally designed bipolar stainless steel wire electrodes (15×0.25 mm) were implanted longitudinally into the subserosa and sutured in place with 3-0 silk at 3 cm intervals. Each set consisted of one active and one reference electrode. All active electrodes were placed along the longitudinal axis of the colon, with the reference counterparts implanted parallel and diametrically opposite on the other side (fig 1). Usually, the last set of electrodes was positioned at 25–30 cm from the anus.

The four electrode sets were attached to a custom designed electronic multichannel stimulator controlled by specially designed software on an IBM personal computer (133 MHz).

A 2 cm transverse opening was made in the colon 2.0 cm proximal to the first set of electrodes. Through this opening, 40–50 ml of a viscous material obtained from a mixture of commercially available dog food (Friskies Petcare, North York, Ontario, Canada) and water (3:1 in volume) plus 50 plastic cylindrical pellets (radius 0.75 mm, height 1.5 mm; Hythe, Kent, UK) were introduced into the colon to quantify eventual movement of solid contents.

Prior to starting the experimental sessions, the colon was transected 2.5 cm distal to the tip of the last electrode. The resulting distal stoma was set on a metal dish to collect the emptied material from the stimulated segment (see fig 1).

The following stimulation patterns, suggested by our previous dynamic parametric model of the colon, were chosen: bipolar voltage trains of 50 Hz, 20 V (peak to peak) rectangular voltage, 18 second stimulus duration, nine second phase lag between the stimuli applied to successive electrode sets, and 18 second pause between successive stimulation sessions (fig 2 shows the stimulation sequence for the four channels).

The effectiveness of microprocessor controlled movement of solid content using sequential neural stimulation was evaluated in separate random sessions by comparing the
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**Figure 1** Schematic diagram of the implantation of four sets of longitudinal subserosal electrodes (15×0.25 mm) at 3 cm intervals. The electrode sets were attached to a multichannel stimulator controlled by specially designed software on a personal computer. A 15 cm segment of descending colon was filled with 40–50 ml of viscous material plus 50 pellets through a transversal opening made 2.0 cm proximal to the first set of electrodes and maintained closed with a purse string suture. The colon was transected 2.5 cm distal to the last set of electrodes. The resulting distal stoma was positioned in a metallic dish to collect eventual emptied material during stimulation and non-stimulation sessions.
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**Figure 2** Time characteristics of the stimuli applied to the electrode sets that produced aboral propagation of contractions (starting with the most proximal pair).
number of pellets evacuated spontaneously without stimulation to the number of pellets evacuated after stimulation. Each experimental session consisted of one period of 30 minutes without stimulation (spontaneous emptying) and one period of two consecutive stimulation trains (emptying after stimulation) (see fig 2). After each stimulation period, the colonic segment was filled again with the original amount of viscous material plus 50 additional pellets.

Between two and five repetitions of each experimental session were performed in each dog. Altogether 25 experimental sessions were completed.

At the end of the experiment, the order of administering stimulation sequences to the electrode sets was reversed to evaluate the possibility of orad movement of content (fig 3 shows the stimulation sequence that produced orad movement).

In three dogs, an additional and final stimulation session was performed. The colon was filled with the standard amount of viscous material and pellets, and atropine 0.6 mg intravenously was given prior to electrical stimulation.

The number of pellets obtained as a result of spontaneous and stimulated emptying during each experimental session was analysed and documented using mean (SD) values and the paired Student’s t test for statistical significance.

The experiments were approved by the Animal Welfare Committee at the Faculty of Medicine, University of Alberta.

RESULTS
Motility patterns
Stimulation of a single set of electrodes using rectangular trains of 50 Hz, 20 V (peak to peak) amplitude, and 18 second duration resulted in a powerful phasic ring-like contraction that totally closed the lumen with a deflection of the colonic wall starting at about 1.5 cm proximal to each electrode and ending at about 1.5 cm distally from it, defining a contraction scope of 4.5 cm (including the electrode length of 1.5 cm). The produced contraction did not propagate. However, by sequential stimulation of adjacent electrodes with a time lag of nine seconds, the contraction propagated in peristaltic fashion. The direction of the peristalsis depended on the chronological organisation of the stimulus. If the time lag was oriented from proximal to distal adjacent electrode sets, peristalsis followed the caudad direction (see fig 2). Conversely, when the order of the electrodes was reversed, peristalsis followed the orad direction (see fig 3).

As the electrode sets were positioned at about 3 cm intervals (see fig 1), the effective distance between the metal wires was about 1.5 cm, giving a length of the stimulated segment between the most remote electrode sets of about 15 cm. A contraction produced by a given electrode set could overlap with a subsequently produced contraction by the adjacent electrode set, providing there was an overlap in the electrical stimuli, which in our experiments was 4.5 seconds (see for example fig 2). This overlapping between adjacent single contractions was important in avoiding the formation of pouches of immobile content between the adjacent electrode sets.

Emptying measurements
The microprocessor controlled sequential stimulation produced propagated powerful contractions that closed the lumen of the stimulated colonic segment, propelling and emptying its solid content through the stoma synchronously with the periods of active stimulation. The average number of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dog, session</th>
<th>No of pellets evacuated after 30 min of spontaneous emptying</th>
<th>No of pellets emptied after stimulation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dog 1, session 1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dog 1, session 2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dog 2, session 3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dog 3, session 4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dog 4, session 5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dog 3, session 6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dog 3, session 7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dog 3, session 8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dog 3, session 9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dog 3, session 10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dog 4, session 11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dog 4, session 12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dog 4, session 13</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dog 4, session 14</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dog 5, session 15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dog 5, session 16</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dog 5, session 17</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dog 5, session 18</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dog 5, session 19</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dog 5, session 20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dog 6, session 21</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dog 6, session 22</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dog 7, session 23</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dog 6, session 24</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dog 6, session 25</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
pellets emptied after a given stimulation session was 39, accounting for 78% of the content. Although we performed two consecutive stimulation trains in each experimental session, most of the content was expelled in the first train of stimulation. We observed a similar outcome in all experimental sessions. No signs of muscular fatigue, accommodation, or tissue damage were observed.

The number of pellets expelled after stimulation was significantly greater than the number of pellets expelled spontaneously (p<0.001) (table 1).

Administration of atropine abolished the generation and propagation of ring-like contractions elicited by electrical stimulation. As a result, movement of solid content and evacuation were not observed.

**DISCUSSION**

This study demonstrates that it is possible to accelerate the transit of solid content in the colon using sequential microprocessor based stimulation. In these experiments, we used the same 50 Hz frequency bipolar rectangular voltage that proved to be effective in our previous study of solid gastric emptying.23 Synchronising the stimuli, combined with appropriate electrode set positioning derived from a parametric electromechanical model,24 resulted in artificial “massaging” of the colon and immediate movement of colonic content. The direction of this movement depended on the synchronisation pattern of the stimulating voltage.

The two conceptually different approaches of electrical stimulation for promoting gastrointestinal motility, electrical pacing and neuroelectrical stimulation, aim at modulating intrinsic physiological phenomena. The use of EP attempts to organise and entrain normal ECA, thus restoring the physiological balance in patients with electrical dysrhythmias. This so-called “physiological” electrical stimulation has proved its effectiveness involving entraining of normal pacesetter potentials. However, its effect on contractions and transit of solid content remains controversial. We are surprised by the optimistic report from McCallum and colleagues25 that showed significant improvement in symptoms and gastric retention of gastroparetic patients using gastric EP because entraining of a physiological pacesetter potential does not generate contractions by itself. When the membrane potential reaches a certain threshold,22,23 it generates a different type of electrical activity known as electrical response activity (ERA). Simultaneously with ERA the intrinsic ECA depolarisations do not exceed the threshold potential under resting conditions. The membrane potential changes necessary for the genesis of contractions require neural control.

In contrast, the use of neuroelectrical stimulation aims at promoting ERA by stimulation of the cholinergic excitatory system. Although mechanical activity of the intestinal smooth muscle depends on changes in the membrane potential of the basic cyclic depolarisations (ECA), initiation of these changes is achieved by neural stimulation. Significant evidence supports this hypothesis: (a) acetylcholine increases the amplitude and duration of the plateau potential beyond the ERA threshold20; (b) atropine significantly decreases the amplitude and duration of the plateau potential increasing ERA threshold and as a result blocking the contractions;20 (c) extrinsic autonomic denervation of the pelvic parasympathetic plexus produced abnormalities in colonic motility and defecation21; and (d) extrinsic stimulation of anterior sacral roots using HF produced colonic contractions.22

Neural electrical stimulation does not have any effect on the organisation of physiological pacesetter potentials but is effective in invoking powerful contractions which, when combined with multiple sequentially activated electrode sets under microprocessor control, result in movement of gastrointestinal content that can be precisely timed and controlled. Our previous experiments in the stomach,27 and the results obtained in the present study clearly show a dramatic acceleration in the transit of solid content (p<0.01). In the current experiments, an identical response of powerful ring-like contractions that propagated in peristaltic fashion and moved solid contents with each stimulation was achieved without signs of muscular fatigue or tissue damage.

Physiological organised contractions have been described resembling our artificially invoked propagated contractions. They are called giant migrating contractions28-30 and are responsible for mass movement in the intestine.

The use of a microprocessor controlled system with flexible patterns of stimulation makes this method individually adjustable, depending on the physiological requirements of the organ. The method suggested in this article has been tested only in acute experiments in dogs. Evaluation of the long term effects of this technique on the tissue surrounding the stimulating electrodes, accommodation, and absorption calls for future investigation on chronic animal models. The development of possible secondary effects such as pain must also be studied before applying this method to humans.

In conclusion, a method for neural electrical stimulation is proposed using a microprocessor controlled sequential stimulation with flexible and adjustable parameters to artificially produce and propagate contractions in peristaltic fashion. The technique accelerated significantly the movement of solid content thus demonstrating the feasibility of future implantable microelectronic stimulators for the colon.
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