Timed barium oesophagram: better predictor of long term success after pneumatic dilation in achalasias than symptom assessment
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Background: Symptom relief post pneumatic dilation is traditionally used to assess treatment success in achalasia patients. Recently, we showed that symptom relief and objective oesophageal emptying are concordant in about 70% of patients, while up to 30% of achalasia patients report near complete symptom relief despite poor oesophageal emptying of barium.

Aims: We now report the results of long term clinical follow up in these two groups of achalasia patients, assessing differences in symptomatic remission rates.

Methods: Achalasia patients undergoing pneumatic dilation since 1995 were evaluated both symptomatically and objectively at regular intervals. Pre and post dilation symptoms were recorded. Barium column height was measured five minutes after ingesting a fixed volume of barium per patient to assess oesophageal emptying. Patients who initially reported near complete symptom relief were divided into two groups based on objective findings on barium study: (1) complete oesophageal emptying (concordant group), and (2) poor oesophageal emptying (discordant group). Patients were followed prospectively for symptom recurrence.

Results: Thirty four patients with complete symptom relief post pneumatic dilation were identified. In 22/34 (65%) patients, the degree of symptom and barium height improvements was similar (concordant group). In 10/34 (30%) patients, there was < 50% improvement in barium height (discordant group). Significantly (p<0.001) more discordant (9/10; 90%) than concordant (2/22; 9%) patients failed therapy at the one year follow up. Seventeen of 22 (77%) concordant patients were still in remission while all discordant patients had failed therapy by six years of follow up. Length of time in symptom remission (mean (SEM)) post pneumatic dilation was significantly (p=0.001) less for the discordant group (18.0 (3.6) months) compared with the concordant group (59.0 (4.8) months).

Conclusions: (1) Poor oesophageal emptying is present in nearly 30% of achalasia patients reporting complete symptom relief post pneumatic dilation. (2) The majority (90%) of these patients will fail within one year of treatment. (3) Timed barium oesophagram is an important tool in the objective evaluation of achalasia patients post pneumatic dilation.

Achalasias is a primary oesophageal motor disorder of unknown aetiology characterised by absent oesophageal peristalsis and abnormal lower oesophageal sphincter (LOS) relaxation.1 2 All current treatment options for achalasia are limited to reducing the pressure gradient across the LOS, thus facilitating passive oesophageal emptying by gravity. This goal can be accomplished most effectively by pneumatic dilation and surgical myotomy, or less effectively by pharmacological agents injected endoscopically into the LOS (botulinum toxin) or taken orally (calcium channel blockers and nitrates).3 4

Pneumatic dilation is the most effective non-surgical treatment option for patients with achalasia. Traditionally, patients’ reports of symptom improvement have been used to assess the success of pneumatic dilation post therapy.5 6 Using this criterion, reports of one year treatment success with the most commonly available pneumatic dilator, Rigiflex balloons (Microvasive, Millford, Massachusetts, USA), vary from 50% to 93%.7 However, recent studies show that many achalasia patients have altered vagal afferent response7 resulting in poor reliability of patients’ subjective reports. Therefore, objective assessment of oesophageal function may be important in evaluating oesophageal emptying after therapy.

We have previously reported on the technique of using a timed barium swallow as an objective means of assessing oesophageal emptying.8 The technique is simple, non-invasive, widely available, and provides an objective measure of success after pneumatic dilation. Using this simple technique in patients one month after pneumatic dilation, we showed that up to 30% of achalasia patients still had poor oesophageal emptying of barium despite reporting 90–100% symptom improvement.9 We hypothesised that this group would have a relapse of their symptoms earlier when followed long term compared with patients whose symptom resolution correlated with marked improvement in oesophageal emptying.

Therefore, the primary aim of this study was to determine if the timed barium oesophagram is a better predictor of long term treatment success after therapy than symptom assessment alone. In a group of patients with achalasia requiring pneumatic dilation, we correlated patients’ reports of symptom improvement with oesophageal barium emptying at one month post therapy. All patients with at least 90–100% symptom relief were then followed for up to six years to compare the frequency of relapse based on the post procedure oesophagram.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patient population
All patients with achalasia who had undergone pneumatic dilation from September 1995 to June 1998 were potential
patients in the study (n=114). Only those with a recent
diagnosis of achalasia and no prior therapy qualified for the
study (n=51). The diagnostic criteria for achalasia were
incomplete LOS relaxation and aperistalsis of the oesophageal
body on manometry and the presence of oesophageal dilation,
aperistalsis of the oesophageal body, and narrowed LOS on
barium oesophagram. Exclusion criteria included unwilling-
ness to participate in the study (two patients), secondary
achalasia (one patient), and unavailability of barium study or
incomplete symptom questionnaires pre or post dilation (two
patients). Therefore, 46 patients constituted the initial study
population which were then followed prospectively for symp-
tom recurrence. Repeat intervention was performed only with
symptom recurrence. For all discordant and concordant
groups of patients having symptom recurrences and in a sub-
group (n=10) of concordant patients with continued symp-
tom improvement, timed barium oesophagram was re-
peated. This was done to correlate patients’ reports of
symptom improvement and oesophageal emptying in those
followed long term (n=32).

Subjective assessment
All patients were interviewed before treatment and one
month after pneumatic dilation. The total symptom scores,
consisting of the sum of scores for dysphagia, regurgitation,
and chest pain, were recorded. The frequency of each
symptom was graded on a scale ranging from 0 to 5 (0=none;
1=once per month or less; 2=once per week, up to 3–4 times
a month; 3=2–4 times per week; 4=once per day; 5=several
times per day). The maximum total score was 15 points for
each patient. Total symptom improvement was assessed by
comparing the pre and post pneumatic dilation symptom
scores. The concordant and discordant groups were then
interviewed in person or by telephone annually for symptom
recurrence. Treatment failure was defined based on patients’
reports of return of their symptoms and the need for
therapeutic intervention.

Oesophageal manometry
Oesophageal manometry was performed before therapy in all
patients using a low compliance, pneumohydraulic, water
infusion system (Arndorfer Medical Specialties, Milwaukee,
Wisconsin, USA) and an eight lumen manometric catheter
(Arndorfer Medical Specialties). The catheter has four
proximal recording ports spaced at 5 cm intervals along its
length and another four ports radially oriented (90°) near the
tip. The recording sites were connected to an eight channel
polygraph (Synectics Medical AB, Stockholm, Sweden). All
swallows were monitored using an external microphone. LOS
pressures were measured by the station pull through technique
and recorded as the mean of four measurements at mid respi-
ration. Completeness of LOS relaxation (normal >85%) was
assessed as per cent decrease from mean resting LOS pressure
to gastric baseline after wet swallows. Oesophageal peristalsis
was recorded 3, 8, 13, and 18 cm above LOS in response to 5 ml
swallows of water at 30 second intervals. LOS pressures and
peristalsis were measured only at the time of diagnosis.

Pneumatic dilation
All pneumatic dilations were performed using the Rigiflex
balloon dilators under fluoroscopic control in the supine posi-
tion. The first pneumatic dilation was usually performed with
the 3.0 cm balloon, with progressive increases to 3.5 cm and
4.0 cm if symptoms were not relieved or if the patient had
prior dilations with balloons of smaller sizes. Patients fasted
for at least eight hours before the procedure. All patients were
sedated with intravenous midazolam, meperidine, and topical
anaesthesia of the pharynx. After upper gastrointestinal
endoscopy, the Rigiflex dilator was passed over a guidewire
and the balloon positioned across the diaphragmatic hiatus
using the radiopaque markers as guides. Correct location was
verified fluoroscopically by observing the waist, after balloon
inflation pressures of 3–5 psi. The balloon was then inflated
for 30–60 seconds at 9–15 psi until obliteration of the waist. A
gastrografin swallow followed by a barium swallow was
performed immediately after dilation to identify oesophageal
perforation.

Barium oesophagram
A timed barium oesophagram was performed before and one
month after therapy as an objective assessment of improve-
ment in oesophageal emptying. During the six year follow up
period, timed barium oesophagram was repeated in all
patients with recurrence of symptoms. Additionally, 10 of the
17 (59%) patients contacted in the discordant group, who
were still asymptomatic, agreed to have a follow up timed
barium oesophagram to assure continued concordance be-
tween symptoms and barium oesophagram. We were unable
to study the remaining seven patients in this group because of
loss to follow up (n=5), patient’s distance from the clinic
(n=1), or time away from work (n=1).

All patients were asked to fast overnight prior to the barium
oesophagram tests. While standing, patients ingested a low
density barium sulphate suspension (45% weight in volume)
(E-Z-PAQUE; E-Z-EM, Westbury, New York, USA) over 30–45
seconds. They were instructed to drink the amount of barium
they could tolerate without regurgitation or aspiration
(usually between 100 and 250 ml). With the patient upright in
a slightly left posterior oblique position, radiographs (35×35
cm) of the oesophagus were taken one, two, and five minutes
after the last swallow of barium. The distance in centimetres
from the distal oesophagus (identified by the bird’s beak
appearance of the oesophagogastric junction) to the top of a
distinct barium column (barium height) as well as the maxi-
mal oesophageal width were measured. We used barium
height at five minutes to determine completeness of
emptying. This was based on our observations that most
healthy individuals have barium emptied out of their oesoph-
aguses by one minute, and in all individuals by five minutes.

Statistics
The degree of improvement after treatment was determined as
the percentage reduction of either symptoms or barium height
compared with pretreatment values. Improvement in patient
symptoms as well as oesophageal barium emptying was clas-
sified into three groups: (1) failure: <50% improvement; (2)
partial response: 51–90% improvement; or 3) success:
91–100% improvement. Three possible outcomes for both sub-
jective (symptom) as well as objective (barium emptying)
improvement resulted in a 3×3 table with nine possible
outcomes for each treated patient. Subjective and objective
improvements were strongly associated if improvement in
both parameters was similar. The association between the degree of patient symptom improvement and oesophageal emptying measured by barium column height was statistically assessed using the Cochran Mantel-Haenszel and linear regression analysis. χ² and Fisher’s exact tests were performed when appropriate for analysis of proportional data. Patients with near complete (91–100%) symptom and oesophageal barium improvement (concordant group) were compared as a group with those who had near complete symptom improvement but had <50% improvement in oesophageal barium emptying (discordant group). In these two groups, patient characteristics such as sex, age, LOS pressure, oesophageal emptying, and oesophageal barium height and width were compared using forward stepwise regression analysis for predicting outcome. The Kaplan-Meier method was used for percent remission over time between the concordant and discordant groups and the difference between groups was tested using the log rank test.

RESULTS

Demographics

Table 1 lists the demographic characteristics of the 32 patients with near complete symptom relief post therapy who were followed long term. Mean (SEM) age of the 32 patients was 46.1 (3.6) years. Mean (SEM) LOS pressure and oesophageal amplitude were 42.2 (4.4) mm Hg and 27.2 (6.2) mm Hg, respectively. Mean (SEM) pretreatment oesophageal barium height and width were 15.1 (2.2) cm and 5.4 (0.4) cm, respectively. Twenty four patients (75%) required only one dilation and the remaining eight patients (25%) were treated with more than one pneumatic dilation. Nineteen (60%) group A patients were older than 60 years compared significantly (p=0.03) older than patients in group B. Six of 10 (60%) group A patients were older than 60 years compared with only three of 22 (14%) of group B patients. By definition, group B patients had significantly (p<0.001) reduced oesophageal barium height and width post therapy than group A patients (table 1).

Symptom to oesophageal barium improvement relationship

Overall, in the initial 46 achalasia patients, there was a significant association (p<0.001, Cochran Mantel-Haenszel) and correlation (linear regression r=0.61, p<0.001) between patient symptoms and barium height improvement. However, near complete symptomatic improvement (91–100%) was less predictive of oesophageal barium emptying. In 34 patients reporting nearly complete symptom resolution, 10 (30%) had less than 50% oesophageal barium emptying (group A, discordant group), oesophageal emptying was moderate (50–90%) in two patients, while 22 patients (65%) had complete (91–100%) improvement in both symptoms and barium height (group B, concordant group). Table 1 lists the pre and post therapy patient demographics for the discordant and concordant groups of patients. Comparing group A patients with group B patients, stepwise regression identified age as the only difference between the two groups for pre therapy parameters tested (table 1): group A patients were significantly (p=0.03) older than patients in group B. Six of 10 (60%) group A patients were older than 60 years compared with only three of 22 (14%) of group B patients. By definition, group B patients had significantly (p<0.001) reduced oesophageal barium height and width post therapy than group A patients (table 1).

Table 1  Patient characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex (F/M)</th>
<th>Group A (discordant group)</th>
<th>Group B (concordant group)</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5/5</td>
<td>10/12</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (y)</td>
<td>56.0 (4.0)</td>
<td>50.0 (5.0)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOS pressure (mm Hg)</td>
<td>44.1 (4.2)</td>
<td>41.2 (4.8)</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amplitude (mm Hg)</td>
<td>24.8 (2.9)</td>
<td>30.0 (17.8)</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barium height (cm)</td>
<td>13.0 (2.7)</td>
<td>16.1 (2.0)</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barium height (cm)</td>
<td>10.0 (2.3)</td>
<td>3.1 (1.1)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barium width (cm)</td>
<td>5.8 (0.7)</td>
<td>5.0 (0.4)</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barium width (cm)</td>
<td>5.4 (0.6)</td>
<td>3.8 (0.3)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long term follow up (y)</td>
<td>3–72</td>
<td>3–72</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long term follow up (range)</td>
<td>3–72</td>
<td>3–72</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are [mean (SEM)].

*Post therapy results.

LOS, lower oesophageal sphincter.

Figure 1  Kaplan-Meier graph of the remission rate (%) in the concordant and discordant groups of achalasia patients. Over a six year follow up period, significantly (p<0.001) more patients in the concordant group (17/22; 77%) were in symptom remission than in the discordant group (0/10; 0%).

Figure 2  Oesophageal barium emptying in the discordant and concordant groups of achalasia patients at one month post pneumatic dilation (PD) compared with long term follow up values. Despite reporting near complete symptom relief post PD, the discordant group of patients had poor oesophageal emptying at one month post therapy, which continued long term until the report of symptom failure (n=10). In the concordant group, symptom relief post PD accompanied by complete barium emptying at one month post PD persisted long term in patients available for follow up oesophagram (n=10).
symptomatic improvement long term, timed barium oesophagram was repeated to ensure adequate objective oesophageal emptying. In all (100%) patients, there were continued rapid oesophageal barium clearance by five minutes similar to one month post PD (fig 2). One of two patients with moderate (50–90%) oesophageal emptying continued to do well symptomatically at two years of follow up while the other patient was lost to follow up.

The importance of the barium oesophagram in predicting treatment success post pneumatic dilation may be demonstrated by the following two cases: (1) 42 year old female patient with an initial symptom score of 11 who had concordant symptom and timed barium oesophagram after pneumatic dilation (fig 3), and (2) 74 year old female patient with pre therapy symptom score of 9 and significant oesophageal barium retention (A) underwent treatment with the 3.0 cm Rigiflex balloon with near complete (95%) improvement in her symptoms. However, oesophageal barium emptying (B) showed minimal improvement compared with pre therapy levels. The patient had symptom recurrence after seven months of follow up requiring therapy. Repeat dilation with a 3.5 cm balloon relieved all her symptoms and oesophageal barium emptying was complete by five minutes (C), emphasising the superiority of timed barium oesophagram in assessing success post pneumatic dilation.
an initial symptom score of 9 with discordant subjective and objective findings (fig 4).

**DISCUSSION**

As we previously reported, the upright timed barium oesophagram, as an objective measure of oesophageal emptying, correlates well with symptom improvement observed by most achalasia patients after pneumatic dilation. In our previously reported series there was 73% concordance between the degree of symptom improvement and degree of oesophageal emptying by barium oesophagram. Furthermore, patients reporting poor symptom improvement (<50%) post pneumatic dilation nearly always (17/19, 89%) had poor oesophageal emptying on their barium examination. Somewhat to our surprise, we found that marked symptom improvement (91–100%) after pneumatic dilation was not always accompanied by improved oesophageal emptying—that is, 10/34 (30%) had <50% objective improvement in their oesophageal emptying.

The finding in our previous report is clinically important only if those with discordant findings (group A) fail therapy earlier than those showing concordance between symptom report and oesophageal emptying (group B). The current study is the long term follow up of our patients for up to six years. We found that 77% of the discordant group were still in symptomatic remission while this was the case in none of the discordant group (fig 1). In fact, 9/10 (90%) patients with discordant findings failed therapy within one year of pneumatic dilations (fig 1) while the failure rate was constant and slow for the discordant group. Furthermore, patients in the discordant group available for follow up continued to have complete oesophageal emptying as well as symptomatic remission long term (fig 2). Repeated barium oesophagram in 10 of these patients found that all continued to have objective improvement together with their symptomatic remission, suggesting continued and successful oesophageal decompression. Therefore, our data suggest that the timed barium oesophagram not only assesses treatment success shortly after therapy but it can also predict poor long term outcome if patients continue to have retained barium post pneumatic dilation. The latter group of patients probably warrant closer follow up than those with symptomatic and physiological improvement.

Previously reported success with Rigidflex pneumatic dilations vary from 50% to 93%. Until now, this variability was attributed to differences in dilation technique; however, recent reports indicate that differences in techniques (that is, balloon distention time) do not affect symptomatic outcome. As prior studies used symptom improvement post therapy as the primary measure of success, we speculate that a portion of these failures after pneumatic dilation represent the subset of patients with improved symptoms but continued poor oesophageal emptying. As our study indicates, symptom assessment alone may not be the optimum method of following achalasia patients post pneumatic dilation. Employing a simple objective measure of oesophageal emptying, such as the timed barium oesophagram, may identify patients who need further therapy to ensure long term remission (fig 4).

A few studies have used manometric data post therapy to identify predictors of treatment success and long term clinical response. For example, Eckardt and colleagues found that a post therapy LOS pressure of <10 mm Hg was the single most valuable factor for predicting long term clinical response (p <0.0005). However, studies by Kakadia and Wong, Kim and colleagues, and Shahi and colleagues did not find any manometric predictors of symptom response. Despite the mixed results in the literature, it is generally accepted that oesophageal manometry is a useful technique not only in diagnosing achalasia but also in providing valuable information on long term clinical response after pneumatic dilation or myotomy. In our current protocol all patients had oesophageal manometry to diagnose achalasia before therapy and had timed barium oesophagram post therapy. We recently showed that there is a good correlation between post therapy reduction in LOS pressure and barium column height in achalasia patients undergoing pneumatic dilation. We have learned that patients are generally more accepting of the less invasive and easily performed barium oesophagram. Furthermore, as it is more widely available, patients can have the test closer to home without the need to travel to specialty centres. Some of the clinically important disadvantages of performing oesophageal manometry limiting its use both pre and post therapy include: patient discomfort, difficulty in placement of the catheter in a megaesophagus sometimes requiring endoscopy, and the need for serial testing in the same laboratory to ensure standardisation.

The discordant group of patients in our study were older than their counterparts who had concordance of symptom change and barium oesophagram findings (table 1). This observation is consistent with earlier reports that achalasia patients with chronic symptoms often subjectively interpret minimal improvement in oesophageal emptying as dramatic improvement in swallowing. Additionally, our data support a recent study by Lasch and colleagues showing decreased pain perception to oesophageal balloon distension in older patients compared with their younger counterparts. Therefore, the clinical decision to stop therapy based on symptoms alone may leave this subset of patients with continued poor oesophageal emptying possibly leading over time to further deterioration of oesophageal function and a gradual increase in oesophageal diameter. The role of duration of patients' symptoms prior to dilation may also be an important factor but this is a difficult parameter to measure as the disease onset is usually not well established. Thus our findings underscore the need for a simple test to objectively assess the response to achalasia treatment, especially in patients reporting symptomatic improvement.

In conclusion, the timed barium oesophagram is an important objective test in evaluating the success of achalasia treatment. Using this test, we identified a subset of patients in whom oesophageal emptying was poor despite reports of excellent symptom relief after pneumatic dilation. Furthermore, nearly all patients in this group failed therapy within one year of treatment. Therefore, we believe that the timed barium oesophagram should be used to evaluate all patients pre and post pneumatic dilation to identify those who are more likely to fail therapy and require additional intervention.
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