Flat and depressed colorectal tumours in a southern Swedish population: a prospective chromoendoscopic and histopathological study
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Background: Flat and depressed colorectal tumours are common in Japan but are very rare or nonexistent in Western countries.

Aims: To study the occurrence of flat colorectal tumours in a southern Swedish population.

Methods: In this prospective study, 371 consecutive European patients were examined by high resolution video colonoscopy combined with chromoendoscopy. The nature of the lesions was determined by histopathological examination.

Results: A total of 973 tumours were found; 907 (93.2%) were protruding and 66 (6.8%) were flat or depressed. Of the flat/depressed tumours, five (7.7%) were early adenocarcinomas in situ of the submucosa. Eleven carcinomas (1.2%) were found among protruding tumours. High grade dysplasia was observed in 18% (n=11) of flat/depressed adenomas in contrast with 3.3% (n=65) of protruding adenomas, and occurred in smaller flat/depressed tumours compared with protruding ones (mean diameter 8 mm v 23 mm, respectively). Furthermore, high grade dysplasia was significantly more common in flat elevated tumours with central depression or in depressed adenomas (35.7%; 5/14) than in flat elevated adenomas (12.8%; 6/47).

Conclusion: Flat and depressed tumours exist in a Western population. Future studies should address whether or not chromoendoscopy with video colonoscopy is necessary in the search for flat colorectal neoplasms.

Table 1 Summary of Western reports of larger series of flat colorectal tumours

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type of study</th>
<th>No of patients with flat lesions/all pts (%)</th>
<th>No of flat adenomas/all benign tumours (%)</th>
<th>No of flat carcinomas/all carcinomas</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wolber and Owen</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>18/210 (8.6)</td>
<td>29/340 (8.5)</td>
<td>2/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jaramillo et al ¹</td>
<td>Prospective</td>
<td>55/178 (31.4)</td>
<td>106/261 (40.7)</td>
<td>3/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuji et al ²</td>
<td>Prospective</td>
<td>No data; 47/208 (22) with tumours*</td>
<td>28/68 (41)</td>
<td>2/7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rembacken et al ²</td>
<td>Prospective</td>
<td>No data; 225/1000 (22.5) with tumours*</td>
<td>119/321 (36.7)</td>
<td>4/31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lampia et al ³</td>
<td>Prospective</td>
<td>18/148 (12)</td>
<td>66/136 (48.5)</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satish et al ⁴</td>
<td>Prospective</td>
<td>48/211 (22.7)</td>
<td>57/139 (44.4)</td>
<td>3/57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kieslich et al ⁵</td>
<td>Prospective</td>
<td>No data; 79/100 with tumours*</td>
<td>5/26 (19.2)</td>
<td>1/6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Both protruding and flat tumours.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Between 1 November 1993 and 31 October 1995, 1328 colonoscopic investigations were performed in 1189 patients (fig 1)
Specimens were fixed in 4% buffered formalin overnight and embedded in paraffin. Sections (4 μm thick) were stained with haematoxylin and eosin. If necessary, serial sections were also prepared. For statistical analysis the χ² test, the Mann-Whitney U test, and Fisher’s exact test were used; a p value less than 0.05 was considered significant.

**Endoscopic classification**

The lesions were classified according to the Japanese classification of colorectal carcinoma² with slight modifications. The Japanese system differentiates six different types of carcinomas: type 0 carcinomas are superficial flat lesions with or without minimal elevation or depression; type 1 carcinomas are protruding; type 2 carcinomas are ulcerating with a clear margin; type 3 carcinomas are ulcerating with infiltration; type 4 carcinomas are diffusely infiltrating; and type 5 carcinomas are unclassified. Type 0 is used for carcinomas limited to the submucosa and types 1–5 are used for carcinomas that invade the muscularis propria and beyond. Within the group type 0, there are two subtypes: type I (protruded) and type II (superficial).

Our classification was as follows: type I tumours were protruding; type II tumours were diagnosed when they were flat or slightly elevated with or without a central depression. Their breadth and length exceeded several times their height. Furthermore, type IIa was slightly elevated, type IIa+IIc was a IIa with central depression, IIc was depressed, and IIc+IIa was a IIc with slight marginal elevation (fig 2A, B). The nodular aggregating lesions were included among type I.

**Histopathological classification**

All biopsied and removed lesions were examined under the microscope and reviewed by one pathologist with special interest in gastroenteropathology. Lesions containing dysplastic cells were classified as neoplastic, either adenomas (tubular, tubulovillous, villous, or serrated) or carcinomas. We did not classify aberrant crypt foci as separate entities. The small adenomatous lesions on the surface of the mucosa involving the uppermost part of 1–3 crypts were histologically classified as flat tumours if the mucosa was not elevated endoscopically and were regarded as type I tumours if there was mucosal elevation/protrusion.

There is some confusion in the literature regarding the histopathological terminology of flat and depressed lesions. In recent publications from Japan, type II tumours are called “superficial” adenomas whereas these tumours were designated as “flat adenomas” in the Western literature. We decided to use these two terms synonymously in this paper. The endoscopically identified superficial type II lesions were classified histologically as flat tumours if the height of the tumour was less than twice the thickness of the adjacent normal mucosa (fig 2C). As a rule, these tumours showed radial extension with dysplastic epithelium in the luminal third of the crypts at the periphery of the tumour. Dysplasia was defined as either low or high grade according to the criteria by Riddell.²⁴ The category of high grade dysplasia was comprised of “classic” high grade dysplasia, adenocarcinoma in situ, and intramuscosal carcinoma (fig 3). Invasive carcinoma was diagnosed when tumour cells were observed within the submucosa or in deeper tissues (fig 4). The presence of dysplastic cells within “crypt inclusions” were not diagnosed as invasion. The term “crypt inclusion” refers to a normal phenomenon when long crypts penetrate the muscularis mucosae and are thus partly situated in the submucosa. Adenocarcinomas with only submucosal invasion were considered as early carcinomas whereas advanced cancers were diagnosed in case of involvement of or beyond the muscularis propria.

**RESULTS**

**Patients**

Adenomas and early adenocarcinomas were found in 337 patients. Of these, 171 were male and 166 female. Mean ages...
were 67 years in males and 68 years in females (range 28–90 years and 26–87 years, respectively). Indications for colonoscopy are summarised in table 2.

Superficial type II tumours were found in 52 of 337 patients with adenomas and early carcinomas (15.4%). Of these, there were 30 females and 22 males (ratio 1.4). Females were somewhat younger than males but the difference was not significant (mean 67 (range 26–84) years and 70 (46–86) years, respectively).

**Morphology of tumours**

Endoscopically, tumours were diagnosed as either protruding type I lesions (907 tumours, 93.2%) or superficial type II lesions (66 tumours, 6.8%) (table 3). The majority of protruding tumours were located in the left colon and rectum (529/907 (58.3%)) whereas most flat tumours were found in the right colon (37/66 (56.1%)). The difference was significant ($p<0.05$). Right sided predominance was also found among early flat carcinomas (40% (2/5) flat cancers $v$ 27.3% (3/11) protruding tumours). The frequency of carcinoma in protruding type I (11/907) and superficial type II (5/66) tumours differed significantly ($p=0.003$) (table 3).
Type II flat adenomas with both low and high grade dysplasia were significantly smaller than their protruding counterparts (table 4). A similar relationship was observed regarding early carcinomas: all flat early carcinomas were less than 20 mm in diameter with a mean size of 16 mm (SD 1.3; range 15–18) compared with 28 mm (15.8; 15–70) for protruding neoplasms. Five of the latter were larger than 20 mm across. Mean endoscopic diameters of type I and type II adenomas with high grade dysplasia were 23 mm (SD 2.0; range 3–100) and 8 mm (5.7; 3–20), respectively. The difference was highly significant (p<0.0001) (table 4).

Endoscopically, 77% of all type II adenomas were of the elevated type IIa (n=47). A central depression (type IIa+IIc) was seen in 12 of these (20%) and two tumours were depressed (IIc; IIc+IIa). All but one of the non-elevated tumours were less than 10 mm in diameter (table 5).

The endoscopic and histological types of the 973 tumours are summarised in table 6. The majority of the flat lesions were tubular adenomas (58, 87.9%); five (7.7%) were early adenocarcinomas infiltrating the submucosa (fig 4). Villous or serrated tumours were not found among flat lesions. The protruding neoplasms were also dominated by tubular adenomas (58, 87.9%) but only 1.2% (11 tumours) were early cancers.

Dysplasia in flat and protruding adenomas

High grade dysplasia (fig 3) was seen in 11 type II adenomas (18.0%) and in 65 type I protruding adenomas (7.3%) (table 6). The difference was highly significant (p<0.001). In nine of 53 (16.9%) type II adenomas of less than 10 mm in diameter, high grade dysplasia was observed in contrast with type I protruding adenomas of the same size (12/711, 1.7%) (table 6). The frequency of high grade dysplasia increased with size, which was not the case in flat neoplasms. Nevertheless, the frequency of high grade dysplasia increased in parallel with size in type IIa adenomas (table 5). There were only three type Ic and IIc+IIa tumours larger than 6 mm in this material, and hence no relationship between size and grade of dysplasia could be established.

Synchronous neoplastic lesions

Synchronous flat lesions were found in seven of 52 patients with type II tumours. Four (7.7%) had two flat tumours, two (3.8%) others had three tumours each, and one (2%) patient had seven flat tumours. Of these 52 subjects, synchronous protruding tumours were found in every second patient, of whom five had protruding adenocarcinomas.

DISCUSSION

Indications for colonoscopy in this series showed that all patients were at increased risk of colorectal cancer. They were referred because of a detected or strongly suspected neoplastic lesion on double contrast colonographies, previous polypectomies, colorectal cancer operations, recent rectal bleeding, or change in bowel habit (table 2).

Our observations support previous reports that flat or depressed tumours occur in Western populations. Due to differences in the classification of flat adenomas between Western and Japanese pathologists, comparison of series from Japan and Europe is difficult. Adenomas, which show high
grade dysplasia according to Western trained pathologists, are regarded as early carcinomas in Japan and grouped together with cancers invading the submucosa. Furthermore, discrepancy exists even among Japanese pathologists in differentiating high grade dysplasia from early invasive carcinoma.

Flat colorectal tumours were observed in 6.0% of patients in Malmö (52/866 patients), excluding those with familiar polyposis, hereditary non-polyposid colon cancer, and inflammatory bowel disease. A retrospective study from Canada reported flat adenomas in 8.6% of 210 patients whereas flat colorectal tumours occurred in 12.2% in Omaha. The other Swedish material from Stockholm cannot be considered in this respect because patients were randomly chosen in that study. A considerably higher incidence of flat adenomas (22.7%) was found in the prospective study performed in Texas, USA.

The incidence of both endoscopically and histologically proved flat epithelial neoplasms among all colorectal epithelial tumours was 6.8% in the present material, compatible with 8.5% in the Vancouver report. These values however are less than those observed in Mainz (19.2%) and considerably less than those published from Stockholm (42%), Leeds (41% and 36.7%), and Texas 44.4% (table 1). These latter values are closer to those of the Japanese (38.7% (210/542 non-invasive epithelial tumours) and 42.9% (2498/5816) respectively). The difference between the two Swedish studies can be explained by the different approaches (randomly chosen versus prospective) whereas the reason for the different values between Leeds and Malmö is unclear. The methods were similar, conducted under the guidance of experienced Japanese endoscopists at both centres.

The female to male ratios in patients with flat adenomas and early carcinomas (1.4) differed significantly from that of protruding tumours (0.9) in our series. In Stockholm there was also a slight female preponderance (1.3). These observations are in sharp contrast with data from both Canada and Japan with a male predominance of 1.6 in Vancouver, 4.8 in the original report by Muto and colleagues, and 3.4 in a second Japanese study. The Texan study did not analyse the sex distribution of flat tumours. We have no explanation for the different ratios.

Several series have reported a preponderance of flat adenomas in the right hemi colon: 56% in our material, 64% reported by Jaramillo and colleagues, and 30.9% by Adachi and colleagues. In contrast, the Canadian study demonstrated an equal distribution of flat adenomas in the large bowel. The fact that flat adenomas are more frequent in the right hemi colon is important because it implies that the whole colon should be investigated in the search for these tumours.

Flat adenomas are regarded as having a higher malignant potential than protruding adenomas. The frequency with which high grade dysplasia is found varies however, both in the West and in Japan. In Western countries high grade dysplasia was seen in 14% in Britain, in 12% and 14.7% in Stockholm, 19 in 18.9% in our series, and in 41% in Canada. In Japan, high grade dysplasia has been reported in 8%, 42%, and 61% from the Cancer Institute in Tokyo (including intramuosal carcinoma which is classified as high grade dysplasia in the West) and the Japanese study. A possible explanation for these differences might be selection of patients. Nevertheless, there is agreement between authors that flat tumours with high grade dysplasia or invasive carcinoma are smaller in size compared with protruding tumours. 8 25 41 42 These observations are corroborated by the present material.

Colonicoscopic observation of a central depression in a flat adenoma is an important warning sign that may predict invasion. Thus Kudo and colleagues reported that 14.6% of 130 depressed lesions invaded the submucosa compared with 1.1% of 2431 non-depressed flat lesions. The corresponding values presented from Sweden were 43% versus 7%.

### Table 5: Endoscopic classification, size, number, high grade dysplasia, and number of carcinomas of flat neoplasms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Size (mm)</th>
<th>Adenoma No</th>
<th>HGD No (%)</th>
<th>Carcinoma No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IIa</td>
<td>1–5</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1 (4.5)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt; 5 to 10</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>3 (16.6)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2 (28.6)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IIa+IIc</td>
<td>1–5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4 (40.0)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt; 5 to 10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt; 10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IIIc (IIc+IIa)</td>
<td>1–5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 (100.0)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt; 5 to 10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt; 10</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>61</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HGD, high grade dysplasia.

### Table 6: Endoscopic and histological types of tumours

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>LGD (n)</th>
<th>HGD (n [%])</th>
<th>LGD (n)</th>
<th>HGD (n [%])</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TA</td>
<td>745</td>
<td>671</td>
<td>16 (2.1)</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>11 (18.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TVA</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>39 (21.8)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TA</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>9 (42.8)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1 (7.7)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early [sm]</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>973</td>
<td>907</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

sm, submucosal invasion; LGD, low grade dysplasia; HGD, high grade dysplasia; TA, tubular adenoma; TVA, tubulovillous adenoma; VA, villous adenoma; SA, serrated adenoma.
tumours, one of which showed high grade dysplasia and the other was invading the submucosa.22 Our observations are in agreement with these data, with high grade dysplasia found in four of the five flat early adenocarcinomas as IIa+IIc or IIc/IIc+IIa adenomas (35.7%) versus five of 47 (7.1%) in non-depressed flat adenomas. Furthermore, four of the five flat early adenocarcinomas were IIa+IIc or IIc/IIc+IIa. Thus it seems justified to support the observation made earlier by others22 33 34 that a central depression is an ominous endoscopic sign of high risk of malignant transformation. Chromoendoscopy makes it easier to discover the central depression, as was shown by recent studies.24 25 In general, endoscopic discovery of flat and depressed lesions is more reliable after the use of indigocarmine, as was shown in the present study: 62% of lesions were found following dye spraying.21

In conclusion, we found flat colorectal neoplasms in a Western population, their incidence being 6.8% in the present material. They were more often located in the right colon and smaller than their protruding counterparts. High grade dysplasia was revealed more often (18.0% vs 7.3%) and in smaller (mean 8 mm vs 23 mm) superficial type II than in protruding type I adenomas. Depression should be regarded as an important endoscopic sign predicting an increased risk of invasive carcinoma. Knowledge that flat type II colorectal adenomas occur in Western patients is important and should alert endoscopists to search more vigorously for them so that afflicated patient can be treated and cured. Video colonoscopy combined with dye spraying chromoendoscopy seems to be helpful in this respect.
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