Influence of body posture on intestinal transit of gas
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Background: Patients describe that body posture may affect their abdominal bloating, distension, and flatulence, but whether changes in position have objectively demonstrable effects, either beneficial or deleterious, has not been investigated.

Aim: To determine the effect of body posture, upright versus supine, on intestinal transit of gas loads.

Subjects: Eight healthy subjects without gastrointestinal symptoms.

Methods: In each subject a gas mixture was continuously infused into the jejunum (12 ml/min) for three hours, and gas evacuation, clearance of a non-absorbable gaseous marker, perception, and abdominal girth were measured. Paired studies were randomly performed in each subject on separate days in the upright and supine positions.

Results: In the upright position, intestinal gas retention was much smaller than when supine (13 [52] ml v 146 [75] ml retention at 60 minutes, respectively; p<0.05), and clearance of the gas marker was expedited (72 [10]% clearance v 49 [16]% at 60 minutes, respectively; p<0.05). The gas challenge test was well tolerated both in the upright and supine positions without abdominal distension.

Conclusion: Body posture has a significant influence on intestinal gas propulsion: transit is faster in the upright position than when supine.

Material and Methods

Participants
Eight healthy individuals (three women and five men; age range 21–28 years) participated in the study after giving written informed consent. Subjects completed a pre-entry questionnaire to determine the absence of gastrointestinal symptoms, particularly symptoms of constipation, difficult gas evacuation, feeling of excessive abdominal gas, or excessive gas evacuation. The protocol for the study had been previously approved by the Institutional Review Board of the University Hospital Vall d’Hebron.

Gas transit test
Jejunal gas infusion
We used a polyvinyl tube assembly (4.1 mm OD) that incorporated a gas infusion channel (2.0 mm ID) with multiple side holes scattered over the distal 2 cm segment. Gas was continuously infused into the proximal jejunum at 12 ml/min, using a modified volumetric pump (Asid Bonz PP 50–300; Lubratronics, Unterschleissheim, Germany). We infused a gas mixture containing 88% nitrogen, 6.5% carbon dioxide, and 5.5% oxygen, bubbled into water for saturation, that mimicked the partial pressures of venous blood gases to minimise diffusion across the intestinal-blood barrier.1 A non-absorbable stable gaseous marker, 6 ml sulphurhexafluoride (SF6), was added to the gas mixture infused during the first 10 minutes.3

Rectal gas collection
To prevent potential effects of the anal sphincters on gas evacuation, gas was collected via an intrarectal catheter (Foley 20 F; Bard, Barcelona, Spain) with the balloon inflated with 5 ml of water. The rectal catheter was connected via a leak proof low resistance collection line to a barostat,1 and the volume of gas evacuated was continuously recorded on a paper polygraph (model 6006; Letica, Barcelona, Spain), as previously described.2 A sample of gas evacuated (flatus) during each 30 minute period was stored in metallised bags (Gas collection 750 ml; QuinTron, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, USA) for later analysis of SF, concentration by infrared absorbance after determination of standard curves.7

Measurement of abdominal girth changes
A non-stretch 39 mm wide belt with a metric tape measure was positioned around the abdomen over the umbilicus and adjusted by means of two elastic bands so that the belt could be continuously measured. Girth measurements were taken at 15 minute intervals during the study, as follows. Participants were asked to breathe in a relaxed manner, maximal and minimal girth changes were determined over three consecutive respiratory expirations (inspiratory and expiratory values), and the average girth value was then calculated.

Perception measurement
Conscious perception was measured at 15 minute intervals during the studies using a graded questionnaire to score the intensity and type of sensations perceived, and an anatomical questionnaire to measure the location and extension of the sensations.4,5 The graded questionnaire included four graphic rating scales graded from 0 (no perception) to 6 (pain) specifically for scoring: (a) pressure/bloating; (b) cramp/colicky sensation, (c) stinging sensation, and (d) other type of sensation.

Abbreviations: SF6, sulphurhexafluoride.
sensation (to be specified), respectively. Participants were asked to score any abdominal sensation (one or more perceived simultaneously) on the respective scale(s), but only the highest score, instead of the mean or cumulative score, was computed for comparisons. The questionnaire included a tick box (yes/no) to signal belching. The anatomical questionnaire incorporated a diagram of the abdomen divided into nine regions corresponding to the epigastrium, periumbilical area, hypogastrum, both hypochondria, flanks, and iliac fossae. Participants were instructed to mark the location—that is, abdominal region(s) or extra-abdominal—where the sensations were perceived.

**Procedure**

Participants were instructed to follow a diet excluding legumes, vegetables, onion, nuts, cereals, wholemeal bread, and fizzy drinks during the two days prior to each study day. The night before the study they had a dinner that could consist of meat, fish, eggs, rice, pasta, and/or white bread but avoiding in particular dairy products, salad, fruit, and alcoholic beverages. All participants were required to have one bowel movement within the 12 hours prior to the study or otherwise the study was postponed. On each study day participants were orally intubated after an eight hour fast. The intestinal tube assembly was positioned under fluoroscopic control with the gas infusion ports 5 cm caudad to the angle of Treitz, the gas collection catheter was introduced into the rectum. The studies were conducted in a quiet isolated room. In each experiment, intestinal gas was infused continuously for three hours, and perception and girth measurements were obtained at 15 minute intervals.

**Experimental design**

In each subject two experiments were performed in random order on different days separated by an interval of at least one week: (a) while placed supine in bed at an angle of 30° to the horizontal and (b) while standing with the arms on a 1.12 m high table that provided firm support to rest on it. In the standing experiments, subjects were allowed to sit down for five minutes at 20 minute intervals.

**Outcome measures**

In each subject, we calculated the volume of gas retained within the gut as the difference between the volume of gas infused and the volume of gas recovered. Perception of abdominal sensations experienced by participants during the studies was measured by the score rated in the scales. In each subject, we also counted the number of times each abdominal sensation was scored to calculate the frequency (as per cent distribution) of each specific sensation. In the anatomical questionnaire, we calculated the percentage of sensations referred over each abdominal region as well as the percentage referred over more than one region.

**Statistical analysis**

For each subject, repeat measurements of gas retention, abdominal perception, and girth changes were averaged over 30 minute periods. In each experimental condition (upright position and supine) mean values (SEM) of the parameters measured in the group of subjects were calculated. The Komolgorov-Smirnov test was used to check the normality of data distribution. Paired comparisons were performed by the Student’s t test for parametric normally distributed data, or otherwise by the Wilcoxon signed rank test. Correlations between paired data were examined by linear regression analysis.

**RESULTS**

**Gas transit and clearance**

In the supine position, the gas infused was initially retained within the gut. There was a lag time before subjects started to evacuate gas (the first 10 ml of gas were collected from the rectum 17 (5) minutes after starting the infusion) and therefore a significant volume of gas was initially retained within the gut. Specifically, during the first 30 minutes, 47 (14)% of the volume infused was retained. After one hour, gas evacuation increased to match and even exceed the infusion rate and consequently the volume of gas retained progressively decreased (fig 1). By the end of the three hour experiment, the total volume of gas infused had been evacuated. Gas retention was statistically significant only during the first 60 minutes of gas infusion.

In the upright position, gas transit and evacuation were faster than in the supine position, and the first 10 ml of gas were collected from the rectum 10 (2) minutes after starting the infusion (p<0.05 v supine). During the first 30 minutes, only 23 (10)% of the volume infused was retained in the gut (p<0.05 v supine) but this initial retention was rapidly cleared out from the gut. By the end of the study period, gas retention was significantly higher in the upright position (mean girth change 2 (1) mm from the upright or supine position (mean perception score 0.7 (0.2) in the supine and 0.6 (0.2) in the upright position; NS). Abdominal girth measured in the same subjects on different experimental days before starting the gas infusion was 771 (31) mm in the upright position and 779 (31) mm in the supine position. Girth remained constant over time during the gas infusion tests (no significant changes were observed) and was not affected by the relatively small volumes of intraluminal gas in the upright or supine position (mean girth change 2 (1) mm and 3 (1) mm, respectively; NS). The type of sensations acknowledged by participants was similar in both positions: the incidence of pressure/bloating and cramp/colicky sensation was 44 (17)% and 54 (17)% in the upright position versus
Body posture, and particularly the upright position in comparison with the supine position, involves changes both in abdominoperineal muscle tone and in the intra-abdominal hydrostatic pressure gradient, and results in a marked pressure increment in the lower abdominal cavity. Theoretically, abdominal pressure could not exert direct effects on intraluminal gas movement, except at the rectal venting site. However, these changes may activate mechanoreceptors at various possible sites and conceivably modify intestinal gas propulsion via somatovisceral reflexes. The anus effectively controls gas evacuation but the use of an intrarectal gas collection catheter convincingly ruled out potential effects of the anal sphincters.

Our data may have substantial pathophysiological implications because they provide an experimentally controlled answer to a relevant clinical question. Our results imply that the upright position would favour gas evacuation in patients with gas retention. As our studies were conducted in healthy volunteers, we cannot affirm or deny such a prediction. However, in patients complaining of abdominal bloating and distension without apparent cause, reflex control of intestinal gas propulsion is impaired. Therefore, it is possible that reflex motor activity triggered by the upright position also fails and constitutes an additional contributory factor to gas retention.
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